SUSAN PETRILLI – It would seem that you have dedicated your life to testifying to the importance of hope deriving from socio-political and ethical engagement. As much as it seems unreasonable if not impossible, is hope for a better world justified? From this point of view, how significant do you think are such expressions as “anti-global movements”, “peace movements”, the “World Social Forum”? –

NOAM CHOMSKY – They are extremely important. In fact, they are the hope for the future. I would not use the term “anti-globalisation”, however. The World Social Forum, for example, illustrates globalisation in a far richer and more extensive way than the World Economic Forum, which meets at the same time. The WSF brings together people from all over the world, from all walks of life, committed to further international integration, but for the interests of people, not concentrated economic and state power. Therefore, the expression “anti-globalisation” in the doctrinal system refers to that which is shaped by concentrated power, in this respect as in others –

(From the interview with Noam Chomsky)