Improved Measurement of Three-Dimensional Magnetic Properties of SMC Material
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Abstract—An improved three-dimensional (3-D) magnetic property measurement system, which can indirectly measure the magnetic field strength H vector in a cubic sample of soft magnetic composite (SMC) material by controlling the three components of the magnetic flux density B vectors, has been developed and calibrated. This paper especially describes the improved structure of sample with sensing H and B coils, and deals with the magnetic compensation problem. The relationship between the B vector and H vector has been measured under alternating and rotating flux conditions by using the 3-D magnetic measuring system, and the core loss features have been analyzed when the B loci are controlled as circles with increasing magnitudes and ellipses evolving from a straight line to circle in three orthogonal planes. (Abstract)
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I. INTRODUCTION
Soft magnetic composite (SMC) material is widely applied to 3-D magnetic flux machines. Conventional methods for detecting the magnetic properties of SMC material are not accurate due to the rotational magnetic domains, as the magnetic properties of the materials with 3-D magnetic fluxes must be considered [1]. By using a novel 3-D magnetic properties tester developed in University of Technology, Sydney (UTS), a kind of SMC material, SOMALOY™ 500, made of highly pure iron powder with surface coating to ensure low eddy current loss, has been measured and analyzed [2]. A cubic sample with the side length of 22 mm and six guard pieces of 22 x 22 x 5 mm³ were cut from a large cylindrical perform. In this paper, in order to obtain more accurate experimental results, a new structure of the sample with H and B sensing coils has been designed and constructed.

II. STRUCTURE OF THE 3-D TESTING SYSTEM
The UTS 3-D magnetic property testing system consists of a 3-D yoke to guide the magnetic fluxes along the orthogonal x-, y-, and z-axis, a data acquisition system, three groups of excitation coils which are wound around the legs of the 3-D yoke, and a feedback control system comprising a control unit and three high power amplifiers [2,3]. Three groups of computer controlled excitation coils are used to produce magnetic fields in three axes, so that various flux density loci, such as circularly and elliptically rotating flux density vectors, can be obtained. The components of the sample surface field intensity H are measured by 12 sensing coils and the flux density B components are measured by three search coils wrapped around the cubic sample. Fig.1 illustrates the cross section of the 3-D tester core.

Figure 1. Cross section of the 3-D tester core (skinned the z direction)

III. IMPROVEMENT OF THE TESTING SYSTEM
A. Improved Structure of SMC Sample with Sensing Coils
The original tester structure can be improved by considering that the surface area of the H coil equals that of a side of the cubic sample and the magnetic field in the sensing area may not be uniform. An improved structure is proposed as following. Epoxy resin perform is cut to be the shape as shown in Fig.2 (a). The thickness is ground to be about...
0.55mm, and the height of the embedded B coil is 0.5mm, so the circle in the center of the epoxy resin can hold the B coil. H sensing coils are wound and attached to the surface of epoxy base which impinge on the surface of SMC sample. So the minimized H coil and the embedded B coil can be attached to the central area of each side of the cubic sample, as shown in Fig. 2(b). Six sets of combined H and B coils are placed on the six sides of the cubic sample in given order and the coils on the opposite sides are connected in series. It has been found the field near the central area is quite uniform. The tangential component of H is continuous if no applied current flows on the sample surface. The sensing area is now centralized and the gap between the sample and H coil is contracted. This new structure of sensing coils can improve the precision of measurement. Both the H coils and the B coils are attached to the surface of sample. It also simplifies the fixing work, so samples can be changed easily.

### B. Magnetic Compensation

For obtaining ideal testing data, magnetic compensation should be considered in several aspects. Firstly we should reduce the influence caused by the imperfect winding, which can form some effective areas on the face and the side face of coils where the fluxes can go through and an additional electromotive force (emf) can be induced in the coil. The double-cross-winding structure of H sensing coil is adopted, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The two layers can induce signals with equal magnitude but opposite direction, and hence cancel each other. Furthermore, the method of wire wrapping is adopted, which can shrink the area of the circle and optimize the magnetic circuit. Secondly, the adoption of the guard pieces which are the same material as the sample can significantly decrease the equivalent reluctance of the magnetic circuit and hence the excitation current required to magnetizing the sample. Finally, the measured B and H components are not the true values if the axes of the sensing coils are misaligned with the axes of the excitation coils. This error can be corrected by using the rotational transformation of coordinates [4].

### C. Calibration of the Sensing Coils

In Fig.4, the magnetic line of force passes through the windings effective area in x direction, inductive electromagnetic force will be generated due to Faraday law of electromagnetic induction.

\[ E = -N \frac{d\phi}{dt} \]  

The calibration of the improved H and B coils in x direction is performed in a long solenoid, which can generate a uniform magnetic field. The surface of the coil is set to be parallel to the generated field [2]. The coefficients are calculated by

\[ K_H = \frac{V_H}{\sqrt{2\pi f\mu_0 H_m}} \]  

\[ K_{Bx} = N_{Bx} A_{sp} \]  

where \( V_H \) is the rms value of the induced emf, \( \mu_0 H_m \) the peak value of the air flux density in the middle of the solenoid, \( f \) the excitation frequency, \( N_{Bx} \) the number of turns of the Bx coil, and \( A_{sp} \) the cross-sectional area of the sample. The final results, which were obtained by averaging over a number of measurements, are \( K_{Hx} = 0.0021743 \), \( K_{Hy} = 0.0021589 \), \( K_{Hz} = 0.002265 (m^2) \), \( K_{Bx} = 0.0018015 \), \( K_{By} = 0.0018024 \), \( K_{Bz} = 0.0018893 (m^2) \).

In intense magnetic field, the influence in the other two directions is obvious and should be concerned. A new method of calibrating the H coil’s coefficient in z direction is by means of the embedded B coil which is calibrated in the solenoid. Similarly, the B coil’s coefficient in parallel direction can be obtained by means of H coil. This calibration job must be carry out in the 3-D tester. Table I shows the calibration data in the 3-D tester. The 50 Hz alternating current signal comes from a signal generation and power amplifier. The averaging measurement results of the H coils’ coefficient in y and z directions are \( K_{Hy} = 8.815 \times 10^{-7} \), \( K_{Hz} = 6.229 \times 10^{-5} (m^2) \). The
average coefficient of the $B$ coils' in parallel direction is $K_B^p = 1.242 \times 10^{-5}$ (m$^2$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$ (A)</th>
<th>$u_{B1}$ (mV)</th>
<th>$u_{H1}$ (mV)</th>
<th>$B_{H1}$ (mT)</th>
<th>$K_{H1}$</th>
<th>$u_{B2}$ (mV)</th>
<th>$u_{H2}$ (mV)</th>
<th>$B_{H2}$ (mT)</th>
<th>$K_{H2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>14.35806</td>
<td>5.542E-05</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>10.279332</td>
<td>1.239E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.453</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>23.259</td>
<td>6.022E-05</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16.4984</td>
<td>1.158E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.915</td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>30.69411</td>
<td>6.109E-05</td>
<td>15.22</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>21.75988</td>
<td>1.17E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.665</td>
<td>24.23</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>42.79161</td>
<td>6.248E-05</td>
<td>21.55</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>30.809404</td>
<td>1.24E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.541</td>
<td>32.26</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>56.97306</td>
<td>6.593E-05</td>
<td>28.71</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>41.04586</td>
<td>1.241E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.108</td>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>76.32105</td>
<td>6.348E-05</td>
<td>33.35</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>55.414036</td>
<td>1.264E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.748</td>
<td>43.34</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>96.79161</td>
<td>6.119E-05</td>
<td>41.83</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>64.621116</td>
<td>1.281E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.137</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>106.4916</td>
<td>6.379E-05</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>70.411228</td>
<td>1.311E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.559</td>
<td>50.73</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>116.4916</td>
<td>6.387E-05</td>
<td>49.25</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>74.621116</td>
<td>1.324E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.056</td>
<td>55.29</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>126.4916</td>
<td>6.387E-05</td>
<td>53.35</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>80.411228</td>
<td>1.337E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the cubic SMC sample is placed into the sensing house and subjected to a magnetic field, the voltage for the $x$, $y$, $z$ directions in $H$ coils $U_H$ and $B$ coils $U_B$ can be expressed as

$$U_{Hx} = \mu_0 \left( K_H^x \frac{dH_x}{dt} + K_H^y \frac{dH_y}{dt} + K_H^z \frac{dH_z}{dt} \right)$$

$$U_{Hy} = \mu_0 \left( K_H^x \frac{dB_x}{dt} + K_H^y \frac{dH_y}{dt} + K_H^z \frac{dH_z}{dt} \right)$$

$$U_{Hz} = \mu_0 \left( K_H^x \frac{dH_x}{dt} + K_H^y \frac{dB_y}{dt} + K_H^z \frac{dH_z}{dt} \right)$$

$$U_{Bx} = K_B^{Bx} \frac{dB_x}{dt} + \mu_0 K_B^{Bz} \frac{dH_z}{dt}$$

$$U_{By} = K_B^{Bx} \frac{dB_x}{dt} + \mu_0 K_B^{Bz} \frac{dH_z}{dt}$$

$$U_{Bz} = K_B^{Bx} \frac{dB_x}{dt} + \mu_0 K_B^{Bz} \frac{dH_z}{dt}$$

Actually the coefficients can be written in matrix form. Then the field strength and flux density components can be worked out by solving matrix equations.

**IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Fig. 4 shows the curve of magnetic flux densities follows the increasing alternating current in the center of the 3-D tester without sample. It denotes that the linearity of the B curve is nearly perfect. Uniformity of the magnetic field is to ensure accurate measurement.

Fig. 5 plots the hysteresis loops and core losses when the $B$ is controlled to be sinusoidal alternating at 50 Hz along the $x$, $y$, and $z$-axis, respectively. It is noticed that the loops for the $x$ and $z$-axes are similar, while the $y$-axis seems to be the easy axis, though the sample is expected to be magnetically isotropic. The permeability along the $y$-axis is higher than that along the $x$ or $z$-axis. The reason is the difference of mass densities of the SMC sample in the compressing and perpendicular directions. The preform was compacted in a cylindrical die with a high pressure along the $y$-axis, i.e. the axis of the cylinder [5].
emf under the intense magnetic field though some valid compensation methods are concerned. The coefficients of non-virtual directions are tested and quantified can help to improve the testing precision. By using the improved 3-D magnetic tester, the magnetic properties of an SMC sample have been systematically measured. It is found that the $B$ and $H$ loci lie in the same magnetization plane, but the $H$ loci and power losses strongly depend on the orientation, position, and process of magnetization. Under the different magnetizing conditions, experimental data would be more accurate thanks to the improved sensing coils.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

$B$ and $H$ sensing coils are reconstructed and calibrated in long solenoid and 3-D tester. The detailed calibration outcome testified that the non-virtual direction of the coils can induct
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