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Abstract—In this paper we present an advanced method of obstacle avoidance for a laser based intelligent wheelchair using optimized Bayesian neural networks. Three neural networks are designed for three separate sub-tasks: passing through a door way, corridor and wall following and general obstacle avoidance. The accurate usable accessible space is determined by including the actual wheelchair dimensions in a real-time map used as inputs to each networks. Data acquisitions are performed separately to collect the patterns required for specified sub-tasks. Bayesian framework is used to determine the optimal neural network structure in each case. Then these networks are trained under the supervision of Bayesian rule. Experiment results showed that compare to the VFH algorithm our neural networks navigated a smoother path following a near optimum trajectory.

I. INTRODUCTION

Reports show that the number of elderly people and people with disabilities are increasing significantly [1]. The aim of Rehabilitation technology is to improve the quality of life for people with disabilities. In particular, intelligent wheelchairs are developed to accommodate people with mobility impairments. The provision of independent mobility, also increases the opportunities for users to develop physical, cognitive, communication and social skills [2].

Obstacle avoidance is one of the most fundamental tasks of autonomous systems. It is also a very important function in designing an intelligent wheelchair system with its specific requirements such as safety, smoothness, and comfort. Many algorithms for enabling autonomous tasks have been developed. The most popular being, global map, occupancy grid [3], virtual force field and vector field histogram [4, 5]. However, most of these algorithms have difficulty successfully operating in dense and dynamic environments and providing smooth trajectories and stability required by any assistive wheelchair systems. Our Bayesian learning general obstacle avoidance neural network was first introduced in [6]. Initial results were encouraging but also showed that a single neural network could not provide the desired performance in all situations and hence further development was required.

In this paper, we present a more advanced obstacle avoidance technique that utilises separate neural networks for specified tasks. The obstacle avoidance task is divided into three sub-tasks: passing through a door, corridor and wall following and general obstacle avoidance. This enables the network to respond to the particular features of each task, improving performance. Specific data acquisitions are performed to collect the patterns used to design the neural network for each task. Bayesian framework is then applied to determine the optimal network structures. The training patterns are then used in conjunction with the Bayesian training process to improve the generalisation and performances of each network. Our method was able to successfully accomplish difficult navigation tasks smoothly following a near optimum trajectory.

This paper will be presented in a number of sections. The next section reviews the Bayesian framework. The following two sections discuss the obstacle avoidance method and present the results. In the last section we present our discussion and conclusions.

II. BAYESIAN NEURAL NETWORK

A. Regularisation

In network training, using simple sum square of error as a performance function can not prevent the over-growth of network’s weights. Large weight values can produce poor performance with new data. An appropriate regulation strategy can be achieved by adding a weight decay term to the error function, $E_{Dw}$, to penalise the growth of weights as follow:

$$S(w) = \beta E_{Dw} + \sum_{i=1}^{G} \alpha_i E_w$$

where $S(w)$ is the performance function, $\beta$ and $\alpha_i$ are known as the hyper-parameters for training and weight sets, $E_m$ is the weight values for the $i^{th}$ group of weights and biases, and $G$ is the number of groups of weights and biases in the neural network. Minimising this performance function, $S(w)$, by appropriately adjusting the hyper-parameters can converge the weight set to a global minimum ensuring both a network’s performance and generalisation.

B. Bayesian Framework

The Bayesian framework for a multi-layer perceptron neural network is based on a Gaussian approximation, [7, 8]. It automatically adjusts the hyper-parameters to the most probable value given by the training data set during the
Bayesian learning process. Different networks with different structures and trained weight sets can be compared and ranked to find the most suitable network for an application.

According to the Bayesian inference, the posterior probability of the network parameters, weight set - \( w \), of a neural network, \( H \), given by a training data set, \( D \), could be estimated by:

\[
p(w \mid D) = \int p(w \mid \alpha, \beta, D) p(\alpha, \beta \mid D) d\alpha d\beta . \tag{2}
\]

With a Gaussian approximation for posterior distribution of hyper-parameters, \( p(\alpha, \beta \mid D) \), this integration can be estimated as

\[
p(w \mid D) \approx p(w, \alpha^{MP}, \beta^{MP}, D) \int p(\alpha, \beta \mid D) d\alpha d\beta \tag{3}
\]

which can be simplified to \( p(w, \alpha^{MP}, \beta^{MP}, D) \) by using

\[
\int p(\alpha, \beta \mid D) d\alpha d\beta = 1
\]

This mean that the most probable values \( \alpha^{MP}, \beta^{MP} \) shall maximise the posterior probability of weights. These values, \( \alpha^{MP}, \beta^{MP} \), can be estimated from their posterior of distribution as equation follows, [9].

\[
p(\alpha, \beta \mid D) = p(D \mid \alpha, \beta) p(\alpha, \beta) \propto p(D \mid \alpha, \beta) \tag{4}
\]

The term \( p(D \mid \alpha, \beta) \) is called evidence of the hyper-parameters. The log of this evidence could be estimated by equation bellows, [9]:

\[
\ln p(D \mid \alpha, \beta) = -S(w^{MP}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |A| + \frac{W}{2} \ln \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln (2\pi)
\]

where \( A \) is the Hessian matrix of the cost function, \( A = aC + \beta B, \nabla \nabla E_w = C, \nabla \nabla E_D = B \). The term \( W \) is the number of network parameters, \( N \) is the number of training patterns and \( w^{MP} \) is the most probable value of weight.

The most probable values of hyper-parameters \( \alpha^{MP}, \beta^{MP} \) can be estimated by equation above as:

\[
\alpha^{MP} = \frac{\gamma}{2E_w^{MP}}, \quad \beta^{MP} = \frac{N - \gamma}{2E_w^{MP}}, \quad \gamma = \sum \lambda_i + \alpha
\]

where \( \lambda_i \) is the eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix A. These values are re-estimated during training to constrain the over growth of weight values to ensure the generalization of the neural network.

Bayesian framework can compare and rank different neural networks with different structures and weight values by estimating the probabilities of these networks. The Bayesian formula for a network, \( H_n \), and its probability given by the training data, \( D \), is:

\[
p(H_n \mid D) = \frac{p(D \mid H_n) p(H_n)}{p(D)} \propto p(D \mid H_n) . \tag{6}
\]

The prior probability of a network is assumed to be the same for all models and the term \( p(D) \) is independent on the model. Hence, the posterior probability of the model can be determined by evidence \( p(D \mid H) \). The evidence of the model can be calculated by estimating the integration below over the set of network parameters - \( w \),

\[
p(D \mid H) = \int p(D \mid w, H) p(w \mid H) dw . \tag{7}
\]

Bishop evaluated the log evidence of model, \( H_n \), rather than the evidence itself [9] as:

\[
\ln p(D \mid H_n) = -\alpha^{MP} E_w^{MP} - \beta^{MP} E_D^{MP} - \frac{1}{2} \ln |A| + \frac{W}{2} \ln \alpha^{MP} + \frac{W}{2} \ln \beta^{MP} + \ln M + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 - \frac{N}{N - \gamma}
\]

The different network structures are compared by estimating the evidence by the above equation. The optimal network is the one that has the highest evidence.

III. OBS TACLE AVOIDANCE METHOD

A. Data Acquisition

Our neural networks use usable accessible space data as an input and providing values of steering and velocity as outputs. A method reported in [10] for accurately determining collision free accessible space, by combining information from a laser range finder, the actual wheelchair dimensions and encoder sensors mounted on the wheelchair is used to produce a real-time map as shown in fig. 1.

The wheelchair is required to follow a number of predetermined paths to gather data for training. These paths are selected by the designer to simulate the previously mentioned tasks. The movements of the wheelchair are measured and formed as training patterns for each obstacle avoidance sub-task.

B. Bayesian Training

The Bayesian framework is first applied to determine the most suitable structure of a neural network for each task by estimating the evidence of a set of neural networks with different hidden nodes by equation 8. The collected patterns are divided to two sets: training and testing sets. The aim of using a testing set is to verify generalisation of these networks. Second, all available patterns are used in training this network under the Bayesian rule to find the most probable weight set that improves the network’s performances and generalization. The trained networks are then used to control the wheelchair in real-time.

IV. EXPERIMENT RESULTS

A. General Obstacle Avoidance (GOA)

The wheelchair was made to follow a number of paths classified as general obstacle avoidance situations to collect
data. The number of patterns gathered was 3951. This set was divided to two sets: training and test (2374 and 1577 patterns respectively) based on the independent data collected from the different paths.

First, a Bayesian framework was applied to determine the most suitable structure for the general obstacle avoidance neural network. The training results are shown in fig. 2. The network with four hidden nodes produced the highest evidence. This network also produced lower errors compared to other networks when tested by the test set. Hence this structure is the most suitable for the general obstacle avoidance task.

Second, the data from both the training and test sets was used to train a network with four hidden nodes applying the Bayesian rule. During training, the Bayesian framework constrains the growth of weights to the most probable values by automatically adjusting the hyper-parameters, $\alpha$ and $\beta$. After training the network was used to enable the wheelchair to perform general obstacle avoidance tasks.

In the first experiment (results shown in fig. 3) the wheelchair was asked to access to a narrow dead-end corridor. Our method was compared to the well-known VFH [5], Vector Field Histogram. The VFH algorithm utilises a polar-histogram of range data to keep to the middle of the available free-space determined by a constant threshold. As shown in the figure our neural network method produced a superior result providing a smooth, stable and reliable trajectory as the wheelchair navigated the requested path. Conversely, the VHF algorithm was not as smooth and guided the wheelchair extremely close to the obstacle on the left hand side when negotiating the corner.

B. Corridor and Wall Following (C-WF)

The data acquisition procedure as described for the general obstacle avoidance task was again used for this task. The number of collected patterns was 3478. These were divided into separate training and test sets (2283 and 1195 patterns for each set respectively).

The Bayesian framework was again applied to find the most suitable network structure for this task. The training results shown in fig. 4 found that a network with only two hidden nodes produced the highest evidence and recorded consistently low errors when tested by the test set. Hence this is the most suitable network structure for the corridor/wall following task. The most probable weight values were obtained by applying all patterns to the Bayesian training. The trained network then was used to control the wheelchair when performing corridor and wall following tasks.

The wheelchair was required to travel along the longest wall in our laboratory as shown in fig. 5. Again the performance of our neural network method was compared to the VFH algorithm. Our method guided the wheelchair smoothly and reasonably directly along the wall, moving only slightly away from the wall where the wider free-space was encountered. Conversely, the VHF algorithm produced a less satisfactory result producing a fluctuating and less direct path during the experiment.

C. Door Passing (DP)

Of the three sub-tasks passing through a doorway is the most difficult. 3070 patterns were acquired during the day to
The requisition process, which were divided into training and test sub-set with 2356 and 714 patterns respectively.

After applying Bayesian training, a network with five hidden nodes was found to produce the highest evidence, fig.6 and small errors when tested by the test set. The most probable weight values were obtained by applying all patterns to the Bayesian training of the five hidden node network. After training this neural network was used to control the wheelchair for door passing tasks.

![Fig. 6. Passing through a door task’s training result. The network with five hidden nodes produced the highest evidence and provided low error when tested by the testing set.](image)

To test the network performance the wheelchair was requested to travel along a corridor and then turn through a doorway, fig. 7. The experiment found our network had superior performance compared to the VFH algorithm. This network made a substantial turn as the wheelchair approached the doorway then reduced the wheelchair’s velocity at point 1 in preparation for the door passing task. The wheelchair followed a smooth and near optimal path in negotiating the doorway finishing the task at point 2, after which the wheelchair moved towards the middle of the available free-space. Conversely, the VFH algorithm was unable to successfully navigate through the doorway, colliding with the door frame before stopping at point 3.

![Fig. 7. Our network allowed the wheelchair to navigate safely and relatively directly through the doorway. In comparison the VHF algorithm crashed the wheelchair into the door frame.](image)

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The results suggest that Bayesian neural networks have significant potential to solve the obstacle avoidance tasks required by an intelligent wheelchair system. Improved performance is achieved by dividing the overall obstacle avoidance task into a number of sub-tasks each controlled by using the specifically designed neural networks. In addition, as the Bayesian framework resists overgrowth of network weights, it promotes network generalization, assisting it to deal with new environments. After training the networks showed the potential to provide satisfactory real-time performance. The optimal method of effectively combining these networks to achieve the desired performance is the focus of ongoing research.

We are currently working on developing a shared control strategy to combine user intentions via an interface, such as a joystick or head movement sensor with available free space and safety considerations to accurately interpret user’s intentions. These intentions will be used to decide which of the available sub-tasks should be chosen in any given situation, allowing the wheelchair to successfully navigate in dense and dynamic environments. We are currently investigating the potential of using a Bayesian framework [11] to develop an effective strategy based on probabilistic reasoning.
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