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ABSTRACT: Hybrid nano-structures containing one-dimensional (1D) carbon nanotubes and two-dimensional (2D) graphene sheets have many promising applications due to their unique physical and chemical properties. Herein, we found Prussian blue (dehydrated sodium ferrocyanide) can be converted to N-doped graphene-carbon nanotube hybrid materials through a simple one-step pyrolysis process. Through FESEM, TEM, XRD, Raman spectra, AFM, and isothermal analyses, we identified that 2D graphene and 1D carbon nanotubes were bonded seamlessly during the growth stage. When used as the sulfur scaffold for lithium-sulfur batteries, it demonstrated outstanding electrochemical performance, including a high reversible capacity (1221 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.2 C rate), excellent rate capability (458 and 220 mAh g⁻¹ at 5 C and 10 C rates, respectively), and excellent cycling stability (321 and 164 mAh g⁻¹ at 5 and 10 C (1 C = 1673 mAh g⁻¹) after 1000 cycles). The enhancement of electrochemical performance can be attributed to the three-dimensional architecture of the hybrid material, in which, additionally, the nitrogen doping generates defects and active sites for improved interfacial adsorption. Furthermore, the nitrogen doping enables the effective trapping of lithium...
polysulfides on electroactive sites within the cathode, leading to a much improved cycling performance. Therefore, the hybrid material functions as a redox shuttle to catenate and bind polysulfides, and convert them to insoluble lithium sulfide during reduction. The strategy reported in this paper could open a new avenue for low cost synthesis of N-doped graphene-carbon nanotube hybrid materials for high performance lithium-sulfur batteries.
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**Introduction**

Because of the high theoretical capacity (1673 mAhg\(^{-1}\)) and energy density (2600 Whkg\(^{-1}\)) of sulfur, lithium-sulfur (Li-S) batteries can, in principle, boost capacity five-fold over the current lithium-ion batteries. Furthermore, sulfur is a naturally abundant element (around 3% of the Earth's mass), has a low cost, and is environmentally benign. Therefore, increasing number of the research groups focus on the Li-S batteries. However, practical applications of Li-S batteries are currently hindered by several obstacles. Sulfur is an insulator, which causes poor electrochemical contact within the electrode material. The intermediate polysulfide products can be dissolved in the electrolyte, and they can shuttle between the anode and cathode. This causes the so-called 'shuttling effect' which is associated with an irreversible loss of sulfur, resulting in low Coulombic efficiency, low cycling capacity, and an increase in internal resistance. Furthermore, the final insoluble product Li\(_2\)S always induces large volume expansion due to the differences in densities of Li\(_2\)S (1.66 g cm\(^{-3}\)) and sulfur (2.03 g cm\(^{-3}\)), leading to a rapid capacity decay.\(^{[1]}\)

So far, many efforts have been made to improve the performance of Li-S batteries. The most popular approach is to encapsulate sulfur in the pores of carbon materials or a conductive polymer matrix. An encouraging early indication is that, in some cases, the special structure of sulfur carriers such as porous carbon can prevent polysulfides from dissolving in the electrolyte.\(^{[2]}\)

Macroporous or
Mesoporous carbon materials have useful large pore volumes but their large pores have proved to be inefficient for the capture of sulfur and, furthermore, they do not block the naturally high solubility of polysulfides. Micro porous carbon materials with pore sizes < ~1 nm, on the other hand, can effectively increase the electrochemical performance of Li-S batteries. (The IUPAC Gold Book recommends the term 'microporous' for carbonaceous materials with pore sizes < 2 nm. They are also commonly described as 'nanoporous' in the literature).

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), a 1-D allotrope of carbon with extraordinary properties, have been widely investigated in recent decades. Not only do CNTs exhibit outstanding mechanical strength and a very large surface-to-volume ratio, some specific forms are also highly electrically conductive. For this latter reason, CNTs have been used to construct electrochemical electrodes for sensing and energy storage. Graphene is a recently discovered 2-D sp² carbon, which exhibits an even higher charge-carrier mobility and specific surface area than CNTs. Graphene is also an attractive choice for potential applications in actuators, solar cells, supercapacitors, and batteries. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes, graphene, graphite oxide have been trialed for the Li-S batteries also.

Qian Sun et al. reported an aligned and laminated sulfur-absorbed mesoporous carbon/CNT hybrid for Li-S batteries with a high capacity of 1226 mA h g⁻¹ and achieves a capacity retention of 75% after 100 cycles at 0.1 C. However, both forms of CNT and graphene exhibit a tendency to aggregate due to strong van der Waals interactions. This degrades the attainable physical properties of carbon nanotubes and graphene. The synthesis of CNT and graphene composites with a 3D hierarchical structure can effectively mitigate the self-aggregation and re-stacking of carbon nanotubes and graphene, thereby preserving their intrinsic physical properties. Theoretical research has also suggested that a covalently bonded graphene and single-walled carbon nanotube hybrid material can extend their electronic properties to 3D for applications in energy storage and nanoelectronic technologies. Therefore, 3D carbon-networks combining 1D carbon nanotubes and 2D graphene...
have attracted considerable interest for the Li-S batteries.\textsuperscript{[16]} Huisheng Peng et al. summarized recent progress on hybrid carbon structures for the rechargeable Li-S batteries.\textsuperscript{[17]}

Generally, hybrids of CNTs and graphene can be synthesized by catalytic growth of one building block on the other one.\textsuperscript{[18]} Such as Qiang Zhang and co-authors fabricated graphene/single-walled carbon nanotube hybrid by a catalytic growth on layered double hydroxide at a high temperature over 950 °C as cathode materials for Li-S batteries. The hybrid exhibited good performance with a capacity as high as 650 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} after 100 cycles even at a high current rate.\textsuperscript{[19]} However, the stability of metal catalysts on carbon supports is very complicated.\textsuperscript{[18]} Some recent attempts have been made to fabricate carbon nanotube and graphene hybrid films by spin-coating homogeneously mixed solutions of the two carbon-based nanomaterials.\textsuperscript{[20]} However, the hybrid films consist of aggregated thick graphene layers with poor controllability. There are also reports of hydrothermal processes using graphene oxide (GO) and oxidized multi-walled carbon nanotube as precursors to synthesize carbon nanotube and graphene composites.\textsuperscript{[21]} So far, however, reports of hybrids in which integration of high-quality aligned carbon nanotube and graphene have been achieved are very rare. Generally, it seems that the excellent physical properties of the pure CNT and graphene allotropes are not inherited by the hybrid structure.\textsuperscript{[16a]}

It has been further reported that, tunable chemical techniques, such as using functional groups, doping, and surface modification on the sp\textsuperscript{2} carbon, can help with the attainment of the desired physical and chemical properties. For example, in energy storage applications, it was found that nitrogen doped sp\textsuperscript{2} carbons have significantly improved electrochemical performance and catalytic activity.\textsuperscript{[10a]} This is because nitrogen bears five valence electrons which induce a shift in the Fermi level to the conducting band.\textsuperscript{[22]} When nitrogen-doped graphene and carbon nanotube (N-GE-CNTs) hybrids were used as cathode materials for Li-S batteries, enhanced cycling and rate performances can be demonstrated.\textsuperscript{[23]} Therefore, N-GE-CNTs hybrids are also a promising candidate for Li-S batteries. Previously, the nitrogen was doped in from outside sources, such as ammonia,\textsuperscript{[21]} pyridine,\textsuperscript{[24]}
or melamine. For example, Cheng Tang et al. used the ammonia to introduce nitrogen atoms into the carbon framework to synthesize the nitrogen-doped aligned carbon nanotube/graphene sandwich via a two-step CVD growth method, which demonstrated the enhanced cyclic and rate performances (1152 mA h g⁻¹ at 1 C, maintaining ca. 880 mA h g⁻¹ after 80 cycles).

Here we report a facile one-step method to synthesize Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids from the low-cost raw material Prussian blue. It was observed that graphene and CNTs were bonded seamlessly during the growth stage, forming a 3D nano-architecture. When the as-prepared Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids were used as a 3D scaffold to accommodate sulfur in Li-S batteries, a high reversible capacity of 1221 mA h g⁻¹ was achieved. Excellent cycling stability was attained, with fading rates of 0.015% and 0.025% per cycle at 5 C and 10 C rates over 1000 cycles, respectively. The enhancement of electrochemical performances can be attributed to the 3D N-GE-CNTs hybrid nano-architecture with improved electrical conductivity. Furthermore, the nitrogen doping can induce more defects and active sites in the carbon framework and effectively trap lithium polysulfides at electroactive sites within the cathode.

Experimental

Synthesis of Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. Anhydrous Na₄Fe(CN)₆ was obtained by dehydration of commercial Na₄Fe(CN)₆·10H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥ 99%) at 150 °C for 24 hours. Dehydrated Na₄Fe(CN)₆ was annealed at 900 °C for 3 hours with a heat up rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ to convert it to the hybrid material. After cooling to the room temperature, the final product was obtained. To analyze the formation mechanism of Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids, the dehydrated Na₄Fe(CN)₆ was annealed at 850, 950, and 1000 °C in the N₂ atmosphere.

Synthesis of S@Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. We used the melt-diffusion strategy to load S. In a typical process, 25 wt. % Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids and 75 wt. % sulfur were ground together. Then, the mixture was put into a glass tube sealed with plastic wrap and heated to 155 °C. The mix-
ture was maintained at this temperature overnight. For the comparison experiments, appropriate S
was also melted with trigonal Na\textsubscript{4}Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6}
and RGO-CNTs composites.

**Structural and physical characterization.** The phase and crystal structure of the material were
categorized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Siemens D5000) using Cu K\textsubscript{α} radiation with a scanning
step of 0.01° s\textsuperscript{-1}. The morphology of the material was observed by field emission scanning electron
microscopy (FESEM, Zeiss Supra 55VP). The details of the material and crystal structure were
analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM, JEOL
JEM-2011). Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were recorded by a Gatan charge-
coupled device (CCD) camera in a digital format. Elemental mapping was obtained by energy
dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (Bruker SDD EDS Quantax 400 system). Thermo-
gravimetric/differential thermal analysis (TG/DTA) was performed at a heating rate of 5 °C min\textsuperscript{-1}
under air flow from room temperature to 900 °C with a 2960 SDT system (Lukens Drive New
Castle, DE 19720). The thickness of graphene nanosheets was measured by atomic force microscopy
(AFM) using a Bruker Dimension 3100 instrument under a MicroMasch NSC16 tip with 40 N m\textsuperscript{-1} of
spring constant. The AFM sample was deposited on the mica plate for AFM measurement. Raman
spectra were measured using a Renishaw inVia Raman spectrometer system (Gloucestershire, UK)
equipped with a Leica DML B microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) and a 17 mW at 633 nm Renishaw
helium neon laser source. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out
on a Kratos XSAM-800 spectrometer with an Mg K\textsubscript{α} radiation source.

**Electrochemical testing.** The electrodes were prepared by first blending 80 wt. % as-prepared
composite materials, 10 wt. % acetylene carbon black, and 10 wt. % poly(vinylidene fluoride) binder
(PVDF, (CH\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{n}, Sigma-Aldrich) in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{4}NO, Sigma-Aldrich,
99.5 %) to form a slurry, and the slurry was then pasted onto aluminum foil and dried in a vacuum
oven for 12 h, which was followed by pressing at 200 kg cm\textsuperscript{-2}. The mass loading of each electrode
was approximately 1.1 mg cm\textsuperscript{-2}. Electrochemical measurements were carried out using CR2032 coin
cells with lithium foil as reference and counter electrode and a porous polypropylene (Celgard 2300) as the separator. The CR2032 coin cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box (UniLab, Mbraun, Germany) with low levels of H₂O and O₂ (H₂O < 0.01 ppm, O₂ < 0.01 ppm). The electrolyte solution was 1 M LiTFSI and 1 wt. % LiNO₃ in 1,3-dioxolane and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (volume ratio 1:1). The galvanostatic charge-discharge measurements were performed at room temperature at different current densities in the voltage range from 1.7 to 2.7 V. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out on a CHI 660C electrochemistry workstation with a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ from 1.7 to 2.7 V.

**Computational methods.** Thermodynamic calculations were carried out with Thermocalc v3.1 using the TCFE7 database to calculate the equilibrium phases resulting when molten S with Fe₃C@NG-CN-Ts hybrids at 155 °C.

**Results and discussion**

The synthesis process for the Fe₃C@NG-CN-Ts hybrid is illustrated in Figure 1a. The anhydrous Na₄Fe(CN)₆ nanocrystals were simply obtained by dehydration of commercial Na₄Fe(CN)₆·10H₂O (space group: P2₁/n (14), a = 9.766 Å, b = 11.44 Å, c = 9.029 Å, and β = 97.48°, Figure 1b. The SEM, TEM, and HRTEM images of Na₄Fe(CN)₆ are shown in Figure S1 (Supplementary Information, SI). Figure 1a also shows the crystal structure of Na₄Fe(CN)₆·10H₂O, Na₄Fe(CN)₆ and Fe₃C. As revealed by the TGA measurement (Figure S2, SI), the commercial Na₄Fe(CN)₆·10H₂O can be completely dehydrated at 150 °C, with 37.2 % weight loss. The XRD patterns of the anhydrous Na₄Fe(CN)₆ are shown in Figure 1c. All the diffraction peaks match JCPDS No. 00-001-1026 and are consistent with previously reported results.[12] The structure was Rietveld refined to be trigonal symmetry (space group: P-3, (147), Figure 1a) with satisfied convergence factors (Rwp = 12.7 %, Rp = 9.73 %). As indicated by the diffraction peak in the low d-spacing range (20 = 15.2°), the obtained trigonal Na₄Fe(CN)₆ has a large amount of intercalation space in the crystal structure (d =
5.73 Å. As shown in Figure S3 (SI), the refined lattice of the as-prepared trigonal Na₄Fe(CN)₆ consists of the trigonal layers formed by the [Fe(CN)]₄⁻ complexes. After annealing at 900 °C for 3 hours in nitrogen atmosphere, the XRD patterns can be refined to the Fe₃C (Figure 1d), with an orthorhombic symmetry (space group: Pnma (62), a = 5.098 Å, b = 6.807 Å, and c = 4.528 Å, Rwp = 13.3%, Rp = 7.56%). The field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) image (Figure 1e) revealed that the annealed products have a typical hierarchical structure, consisting of graphene nanosheets and carbon nanotubes, as illustrated in Figure 1a. The free standing graphene, atomically thin, can be observed in Figure 1f and g. The carbon nanotubes have a diameter of around 100 nm (Figure 1h). Figure 1i shows a carbon nanotube connected to a graphene layer with junctions. Meanwhile, Fe₃C nanoparticles can be observed on the tips of the carbon nanotubes. Obviously, Fe₃C functions as the catalyst to grow carbon nanotubes, as indeed is widely used in the chemical vapor deposition method[28] and consistent with previous reports. As illustrated in Figure 1j, the carbon nanotube appears to replicate the shape of the Fe₃C catalyst on the graphene substrate. The carbon network expands by lifting off from the catalyst particle, which itself is thereby restructured. It was found that the crystal structure of the precursor is crucial for the synthesis of the 3D Fe₃C@N-G-E-CNTs nanoarchitecture. When the fcc cubic structure of annealed Na₄Fe(CN)₆ nanocrystals (space group: Fd3m (216), a = 9.96 Å) was combined with graphene oxide for synthesis via a low-temperature hydrothermal method (Figure S4 and S5, SI), there is no carbon nanotube formation in the final carbon materials (Figure S6, SI). Similar results have been reported previously.[29] When the cubic structure Prussian blue precursor is pyrolyzed, a core-shell carbon can be obtained instead of the graphene and carbon nanotube hybrid structure. It can be hypothesized that the anhydrous Na₄Fe(CN)₆ precursor presents much larger interstitial sites with a 5.73 Å interlayer distance. There is no direct chemical bond between the adjacent [Fe(CN)]₄⁻ octahedral complexes, allowing the evaporation of sodium ions and formation of Fe₃C. Consequently, the growth of carbon nanotubes is fostered. Furthermore, the annealing temperature is another factor for the final product’s architecture.
As shown in Figure S7 (S1), at 850 °C, there are no carbon nanotubes formed (Figure S7a, S1) because the temperature is not high enough for triggering the growth of carbon nanotubes. When the temperature was raised to 900 °C, the carbon nanotubes grew with the ~30% relative amount (Figure S7b, S1). Increasing the annealing temperature to 950 °C, more carbon nanotubes grew from the Fe3C catalysts, forming carbon nanotubes with larger diameters with the ~45% relative amount (Figure S7c, S1). When the temperature was increased to 1000 °C, no remaining graphene nanosheets can be observed. Instead, much larger carbon nanotubes with ~95% relative amount or even core-shell structures Fe3C@C were formed (Figure S7d, S1). Therefore, we conclude that 900 °C is the optimal temperature for the growth of 3D Fe3C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. We conducted the TGA analysis of Fe3C@N-GE-CNTs to figure out the amount of Fe3C in the final hybrid structure is ~28% (Figure S8, S1).
Figure 1. a. Illustration of synthesis process for the Fe$_3$C@N-G-E-CNTs hybrids. b. Rietveld refinement pattern of X-ray diffraction data for commercial Na$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$·10H$_2$O (b), anhydrous Na$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$ (c), and Fe$_3$C@N-G-E-CNTs hybrids (d). The observed and calculated intensities are represented by the red circles and the blue solid line, respectively. The bottom purple-colored line shows the fitting residual difference. The Bragg positions are represented by light-green ticks. (e)–(i). FESEM images of Fe$_3$C@N-G-E-CNTs hybrids. (j). Illustration of carbon nanotubes grown from graphene.
Figure 2a is a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the graphene. A typical crumpled surface is clearly observed, indicating the features of the 2D structure. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image (Figure 2b) shows that the material is slightly different from single-layer graphene. Several layers can be observed, which was confirmed by the AFM measurement (Figure 2c, top) together with their thickness profiles (Figure 2c, bottom). The height profile along the white line indicates it has ~13 nm thickness. As shown in Figure 2d, the carbon nanotubes force graphene towards the Fe$_3$C catalyst cluster. We used the high-resolution TEM images to reveal the conjunction between CNTs and graphene layers. As shown in Figure 2e and Figure S9a (SI), the carbon nanotube root (light purple area in Figure 2e and mark in Figure S9a, SI) connect to the graphene plane (light yellow area in Figure 2e and mark in Figure S9a, SI) and orient towards the Fe$_3$C catalyst (light green area in Figure 2e and mark in Figure S9a, SI, the (2 1 0) crystal plane of Fe$_3$C with 2.38 Å d-spacing can be readily observed). The ring-like area, as marked by light pink color in Figure 2e is a characteristic property of covalent bonds between CNTs and graphene.[16a]

Furthermore, from the typical carbon nanotube TEM image (Figure 2g), it can be seen that one tip of the CNT is open-ended. This is agreeing with the theoretical prediction that the CNT should be open-ended when it forms covalent bonds with the graphene basal layer, [31] and consisted with the previous reported covalent bonded CNT and graphene.[16a] Furthermore, as shown in Figure S9a (SI), the catalytic nanocrystal Fe$_3$C has the steps with 3-4 monolayers in height (as marked by arrows in the Figure S9b, SI) and the carbon layers emerge at various angles along with the steps and terminate at the stepped Fe$_3$C surface. Subsequent CNT layers nucleate at an already-grown Fe$_3$C-C interface as demonstrated by the corresponding crystal model (Figure S9c, SI). This suggests that the carbon layers will nucleate along with the surface of the catalytic centers (Fe$_3$C) and will form the catalyst-carbon (Fe$_3$C-C) interface and then get the growth of the CNT. Therefore, the carbon source has interactions with the catalytic centers during the growth of CNTs. Furthermore, such C-stabilized Fe$_3$C step-edges involves diffusion of C atoms toward and Fe$_3$C
atoms away from the graphitic layer-Fe₃C interface, resulting the elongation of the Fe₃C
catalyst crystal (Figure 2d). This dynamics of the catalytic Fe₃C crystal reshaping are critical for the
alignment of the graphitic layers into a straight CNT (Figure 2d and f). The inner diameter of ~50 nm
depends on the size of Fe₃C nanocrystal and the thickness of the CNT (~15 nm) is consistent with
the graphene thickness). If the Fe₃C particle elongation is followed by an abrupt contraction, a
bamboo-structured CNT will be created, with a conformal carbon overcoat behind (Figure 2g).
Obviously, carbon activity drives the dynamic restructuring of the catalyst, which determines
structural selectivity. When catalyst particle elongation is suppressed and encapsulated by carbon,
resulting into the interruption of the Fe₃C-C interaction, CNT growth will be ceased (Figure 2h, The
CNT has the typical 3.39 Å interlayer distance). On the basis of these observations, a possible
mechanism shown in Figure 2i-l summarizes the different Fe₃C@N-G-E-CNTs hybrids growth
stages. At low temperature (850 °C), the sodium ions evaporate along the large interstitial spaces of
the trigonal Na₄Fe(CN)₆ precursor (Figure 2l). When the temperature reaches 900 °C, the graphitic
lattice starts to nucleate on the Fe₃C catalyst surface (Figure 2j). Initially, the carbon cap appears to
replicate the shape of the apex of the Fe₃C particle (Figure 2j). It was reported that step sites on the
catalyst surface nucleate the graphitic lattice formation [32] and that the carbon cap of a CNT is
stabilized by the fact that carbon precipitation is faster than the speed of step withdrawal. After then,
the carbon network expands by lifting off from the catalyst particle, which itself is thereby
restructured (Figure 2k). Along with carbon nanotubes growth process, the growing nanotube forces
its shape onto the Fe₃C particle, whereby constrains the Fe₃C particle to a more cylindrical shape
(Figure 2l). When the tangential graphitic lattice encapsulates the catalyst particle, CNT growth will
be terminated.[33]
Figure 2. a. TEM image of graphene. b. HRTEM image of graphene. c. AFM measurement and thickness profiles. D. TEM image of carbon nanotube. e. HRTEM image of Fe$_3$C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids, which shows the conjunction of Fe$_3$C, carbon nanotube, and graphene. f. TEM image of root area of carbon nanotube growing on the graphene. g. TEM image of a typical carbon nanotube. h. HRTEM image of carbon nanotube. Inset is the thickness profile of the carbon nanotube wall. i-l. Schematic diagram of evolution of Fe$_3$C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids.

Figure 3a is the Raman spectrum of Fe$_3$C@N-GE-CNTs, which displays the well-defined D and G bands at 1328 and 1580 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, originating from a hybridized vibrational mode associated with graphene edges and the $E_{2g}$ vibration of the sp$^2$-bonded carbon atoms, respectively. The intensity ratio of I_D/I_G is ~ 0.93, indicating edge defects in the graphene structure and a relatively low degree of graphitization.$^{[34]}$ The broad and asymmetric 2D peak at 2700 cm$^{-1}$ implies that the sample
mainly consists of inhomogeneous multi-layer graphene sheets rather than single-layer graphene,\textsuperscript{[14]} which is consistent with the TEM and AFM observations. Furthermore, based on the isothermal curve (Figure 3b) and pore size distribution (inset in Figure 3b), the as-prepared Fe\textsubscript{3}C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids show a high BET surface area of 248 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} and a high pore volume of 0.45 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1}. As compared, we also measured the surface area and pore volume of the reduced graphene oxide (rGO)-CNT to be 178 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} and 0.21 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. Obviously, the N-GE-CNT hybrid structure exhibits the higher BET surface area, indicating the carbon nanotubes within N-GE-CNT hybrid can effectively brace graphene nanosheet to form the 3D hierarchical structure and mitigate the self-aggregation and re-stacking of nanocarbon materials. The XPS survey spectra (Figure 3c and d) shows the dominant C 1s peak at ca. 284.5 eV (Figure 3c) and the N 1s peak at ca. 399.9 eV (Figure 3d). The high-resolution XPS spectrum of C 1s (Figure 3c) can be deconvoluted into four peaks at 284.5, 285.7, 287.3 and 290.1 eV, which correspond to C–C, C–N (N–sp\textsuperscript{2} C), C–N (N–sp\textsuperscript{3} C) and O–C=O bonds,\textsuperscript{[35]} respectively. The high-resolution XPS N 1s peak (Figure 3d) reveals the presence of pyridinic N (398.7 eV), pyrrolic N (399.9 eV) and graphitic N (401.2 eV),\textsuperscript{[35–36]} as demonstrated by Figure 3e. The high intensity of graphitic N indicates the success of nitrogen doping in the Fe\textsubscript{3}C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. Furthermore, the EDS spectrum of the Fe\textsubscript{3}C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids shows the K\textsubscript{α} signal of N element (at ~ 0.392 KeV, Figure 3f), confirming the nitrogen dopant. By comparison, the Na element in the Na\textsubscript{4}Fe(CN)\textsubscript{6} precursor has been completely removed after annealing at 900 °C for 3 hours (Figure 3f). The as-prepared Fe\textsubscript{3}C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids were further characterized by EDS mapping and the uniform C, N, and Fe elements distribution is shown in Figure S10 (S1).
Figure 3. (a) Raman spectrum (b) isotherm analysis (inset shows pore size distribution.) (c) High-resolution C 1s XPS spectra (d) N 1s XPS spectra (e) schematic diagram of N-doped graphene (f) EDS spectra of anhydrous Na₄Fe(CN)₆, Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids, S@Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids, and S@RGO-CNTs composites.
Figure 4. (a) FESEM image of sulfur loaded Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids (b) EDS spectrum mapping, (c) uniform C elemental distribution, (d) S elemental distribution, (e) Fe elemental distribution.

As characterized above, the as-prepared Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids present a 3D hierarchical structure, consisting of 2D graphene and 1D carbon nanotubes - but retaining the essential characteristics of each - which can effectively mitigate the self-aggregation and re-stacking of nanocarbon materials. Furthermore, the nitrogen dopant with five valence electrons induces a shift in the Fermi level to the conducting band, leading to an improved reactivity for Li-S batteries. For testing the electrochemical performance of Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids in Li-S batteries, S was loaded by melt-diffusion method.\[26\] The FESEM images of S@Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids are shown in Figure 4.

No large bulk S aggregations can be found in the composite. Uniform C, S, and Fe elemental distributions can be observed using EDS spectrum mapping (green curve in Figure 3f, Figure S11 (SI) and Figure 4). The high surface area and large pore volume of Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids can accommodate the melted S. 2D graphene nanosheets can encase the S and 1D carbon nanotubes can work as a string to hold the graphene wrappers together, as indicated schematically in Figure 5a. After melting S with the as-prepared Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids at 155 °C for 12 hours, the XRD pat-
terns of the mixture (blue curve in Figure 5b) can be indexed to crystalline S (JCPDS File No. 00-008-0247). Compared with the main peaks area of Fe₃C (at 40° - 50°), the XRD peaks corresponding to the Fe₃C (green curve in Figure 5b) noticeably disappeared, suggesting that Fe₃C lost its crystalline nature. Furthermore, no new XRD patterns can be observed except for S. Calculations made using Thermocalc™ indicated that the equilibrium phases resulting when molten S at 155 °C is reacted with Fe₃C are S, Fe₃S (pyrrhotite) and graphite. The XRD peaks for the pyrrhotite formed here are, however, either obscured by those of the S or it is poorly crystalline. The Raman spectrum of melted S with Fe₃S@N-GECNTs hybrids is shown in Figure 5c (blue curve). Besides the D, G and 2D bonds, corresponding to the N-GECNTs hybrids, there are new peaks at 149, 216, 245, 434, and 472 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the S₈, as compared with the Raman spectrum of pure S (red curve in Figure 5c). Since a lack of XRD peaks indicates that the pyrrhotite was formed after melting with S, XPS was used to identify the chemical bonds. Figure 5d compares the binding energy measurement of Fe 2p of Fe₃C@N-GECNTs and after melting with S. Both of them exhibit two strong peaks, but with different positions. For the Fe₃C@N-GECNTs hybrids, the observed binding energy of Fe 2p at 711.7 eV and 725.1 eV are attributed to the Fe 2p3/2 and Fe 2p1/2, respectively, which demonstrates the formation of Fe₃C. After melting with S, the binding energy at around 708.7 eV is attributed to Fe(III)-S and is always observed at the initial mackinawite surface. Figure 5e shows the XPS of S 2p of the as-prepared material after melting with S. The observed peak of approximately 163.4 eV corresponds to the S 2p1/2, while the peak at around 162 eV can be ascribed to S 2p3/2, which corresponds to the FeS.[35] The peaks at 165.4, 168.3 and 169.5 eV should be ascribed to the SO₄²⁻, which originates from the surface oxy-groups on N-GECNT. Therefore, it is confirmed that, after melting with S, the hybrid materials are Fe₃S@N-GECNTs. The weight percentage of S was around 73%, as measured by TGA (blue curve in Figure 5d). For comparison, RGO-CNTs composites were also tested. Due to the trigonal structure, Na₄Fe(CN)₆ has large interstitial sites with 5.73 Å interlayer distance. Therefore, trigonal Na₄Fe(CN)₆ can directly act as a carrier for the small sulfur mole-
A bout 85 % S was loaded into the trigonal Na₄Fe(CN)₆, as revealed by the TGA (Figure S12, SI). Interestingly, S was evaporated at different temperatures in different matrices (228, 230, 275, and 295 °C for the Fe₅S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids, RGO-CNTs composites, trigonal Na₄Fe(CN)₆, and bare S respectively) as revealed by the differentiated TGA curves (Figure S11, SI). This indicates the activity of different matrices for S-bonding. Obviously, Fe₅S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids show the highest activity for S due to the lowest evaporation temperature.

The electrochemical performance of the S@Fe₅S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids for Li-S batteries was firstly evaluated by cyclic voltammetry (CV, Figure 6a). The cells exhibited the typical electrochemical behavior of a sulfur cathode. Two obvious cathodic peaks at 2.35 and 2.03 V can be identified, corresponding to the transformation of cyclooctasulfur to long-chain lithium polysulfides (Li₂Sₙ, n≥4) and the decomposition of those polysulfides to short-chain lithium sulfides (Li₂Sₙ, 1 < n < 4), respectively. In the anodic scan, a prominent peak appeared at 2.37 V, corresponding to the reverse reactions of polysulfides back to the sulfide. The negligible position shift of both oxidation and reduction peaks of the CV curves and small overpotential between the cathodic and anodic processes imply that this electrode can operate with an excellent reversibility, good stability, and fast kinetics. Furthermore, the sharp reduction and oxidation peaks are clear evidence of high reactivity of S contained in the Fe₅S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. Figure 6b shows typical galvanostatic charge/discharge curves at 0.2 C within a voltage window of 1.7 - 2.7 V. The discharge and charge profiles clearly show two plateaus, which are consistent with the CV results. The plateau between 2.5 - 2.1 V in charging profiles and 2.1 - 2.0 V in discharge profiles are related to the formation of long-chain lithium polysulfides and short-chain lithium polysulfides, respectively. The S@Fe₅S@N-GE-CNTs hybrid electrode delivered a high initial discharge specific capacity of 1224 mAh g⁻¹ (with the capacity calculation based on the mass of sulfur). After 20 cycles, the electrode maintained a capacity of 1117 mAh g⁻¹. After 60 cycles, the electrode still retained a high discharge capacity of 1071 mAh g⁻¹. Even after 100 cycles, the electrode had nearly 91 % capacity retention (ca. 1014 mAh
Figure 6c shows the cycling stability and Coulombic efficiency of the cells at different rates. At 0.5 C, the initial discharge capacity was 1040 mA h g⁻¹, with 759 mA h g⁻¹ being sustained after 100 cycles and representing minor capacity loss of 0.27% per cycle. The S@Fe₅S₈@N-GE-CNTs hybrid electrode also showed high Coulombic efficiencies at both 0.2 and 0.5 C. Figure 6d compares the initial galvanostatic charge and discharge profiles at different rates (0.5, 1, 2, 5 and 10 C). Although the overpotentials between charge and discharge processes gradually increase along with increased current densities, they all preserved the typical plateaus, indicating the stability at different rates. The electrodes exhibited high initial capacities of 844, 712, 458, and 220 mA h g⁻¹ at 1, 2, 5 and 10 C rates, respectively. Furthermore, the electrodes demonstrated superior cycling performances at high rates of 1, 2 and 5 C (Figure 7a). Reversible capacities of 629, 495, and 332 mA h g⁻¹ were retained after 1000 cycles at 1, 2, and 5 C rates, respectively. Surprisingly, the electrodes also show superior cycling performances at high current rate of 10 C (10 minutes to fully charge and discharge, Figure 7b). The samples still delivered exceptional reversible capacities of 158 and 128 mA h g⁻¹, after 1000 and 2000 cycles, respectively, with a degradation rate of 0.037%/cycle. Moreover, the high Coulombic efficiencies at high rates can be maintained after thousands of cycles. This indicates that the electrode can minimize processes such as loss of electrical contact of Li₂S or sulfur with the conductive host caused by volume changes or the 'shuttle reaction' caused by the dissolution of polysulfides. Figure 7c shows the results of S@Fe₅S₈@N-GE-CNTs hybrid electrode cycled at varied current rates. During the charge/discharge processes, the electrode was cycled from low current rates to high current rates and then returned to low rates. The results show that at lower current rates, high discharge capacity was obtained. Although the capacity decreased with increasing current rate, the electrodes still maintained high discharge capacities. Furthermore, a capacity of 1135 mA h g⁻¹ was recovered when the current rate was reversed back to 0.2 C. This indicates that the S@Fe₅S₈@N-GE-CNTs hybrids electrode can tolerate varied current rates and has excellent rate capability and stability.
**Figure 5.** (a) Schematic diagram of S@Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. (b) XRD patterns. (c) Raman spectra of S@Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids, pure S and Fe,C@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. (d) XPS of iron 2p of Fe,C@N-GE-CNTs and Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. (e) XPS of sulfur 2p of Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. (f) TG curves of S@Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids. Inset is the corresponding first derivative of temperature vs. time.
**Figure 6.** (a) 1st – 3rd CV curves of S@Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s\(^{-1}\). (b) Typical charge and discharge profiles of S@Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids for the 1st, 20th, 40th, 60th, 80th, and 100th cycles at 0.2 C. (c) Cycling performances and Coulombic efficiencies of S@Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids at 0.2 and 0.5 C rates. (d) The 1st cycle charge and discharge profiles of S@Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids at 0.5, 1, 2, 5, and 10 C rates.

The electrochemical performance of Li-S batteries made when RGO-CNTs composites were melted with S (blue curve in Figure 3f, Figure S13, and S14, S1) was also evaluated (Figure S15, S1). Although high initial discharge capacities were obtained at current rates of 0.2 and 0.5 C (1045 and 1004 mAh g\(^{-1}\), respectively, Figure S15a and b, S1), the values quickly dropped to less than 400 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 100 cycles and less than 300 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 200 cycles (Figure S15c and d, S1). In contrast the S@Fe,S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids demonstrated outstanding electrochemical properties for Li-S batteries, which can be ascribed to the incorporation of nitrogen atoms into the hierarchical 2D graphene and 1D carbon nanotube hybrids, inducing more active defect sites on the interface and edges, and therefore improving the affinity between sulfur, polysulfides and the nitrogen-doped carbon scaffolds.\([22b,22c,42]\) Furthermore, the good conductivity of 2D graphene and 1D carbon nanotube ar-
chitecture also contributes to improve overall electron conductivity. The rigid 2D matrix can prevent the dissolution of polysulfides, minimize the shuttle effect, thus, solving the polysulfide dissolution problem and improving the cycling performance. The 3D interconnected porous space leads to the easy penetration and diffusion of electrolytes. It can be seen that there is no obvious change on the A.C. impedance of S@Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids before and after cycling test (Figure S16, SI).

Figure 7. (a) Cycling performances and Coulombic efficiencies of S@Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids at 1, 2, and 5 C rates. The 1ˢᵗ cycle was tested at 0.5 C. (b) Cycling performance and Coulombic efficiency of S@Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids at 10 C. The 1ˢᵗ cycle was tested at 0.5 C. (c) Cycling performance of S@Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids at varied current rates (0.2, 0.5, 2, 4, 5, and 10 C).

To examine the lithium polysulfide interaction with Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids, the ex-situ Raman spectra of the S@Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids after 1000 cycles were collected (Figure 8). It can be seen that besides the D and G bonds, corresponding to the N-GE-CNTs hybrids, the peaks at 126 and 748 cm⁻¹ are the vibrations from the lithium nitrate (LiNO₃) and lithium bis-(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) additives in the electrolyte, respectively. The peaks at 331, 1149, and 1247 cm⁻¹ correspond to the DME and DIOX electrolyte, the peaks at 155, 192, and
397 cm⁻¹ derive from the S₄⁻, and the peaks at 280 and 552 cm⁻¹ originate from the S₆⁻. Therefore, this clearly confirmed that polysulfides (S₄⁻, S₆⁻) were entrapped on the cathode. This benefits the cycling performance of the electrode. Therefore, the hybrid material functions as a redox shuttle to catenate and bind polysulfides, and convert them to insoluble lithium sulfide. On the contrary, no obvious new peaks can be observed in the S@RGO-CNT composites after 1000 cycles (Figure S17, S1). This indicates that the lithium polysulfides in the S@RGO-CNTs composites were dissolved in the electrolyte. For this reason, the cycling performance of S@RGO-CNTs composites is not as good as that of the S@Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs electrode. The N-GE-CNT hybrid structure preventing polysulfide dissolution could be ascribed to the unique 3D hierarchical architecture bonded by 1D CNT and 2D GE, in which the high surface area and mesopores can prevent polysulfides from dissolving in the electrolyte. Furthermore, the nitrogen doped sp² carbons with enhanced interfacial affinity also contributed to confine polysulfide dissolution, benefiting the enhanced cycling and rate performances for Li-S batteries. This is because nitrogen bears five valence electrons which induces a shift in the Fermi level to the conducting band, significantly improving electrochemical performance [22]. We conducted XPS measurement to confirm the interaction between polysulfides and N-GE-CNT hybrid. As shown in Figure 8b, the Li 1s XPS spectrum of electrode after discharging to 2.0 V, exhibits a single asymmetric peak at around 56.0 eV which can be fitted to two peaks at position of 55.6 and 57.2 eV, respectively. The former corresponds to the Li-S binding energy resulting from polysulfides. The later with a +1.2 eV shift can be attributed to the Li in the polysulfides interacting with doped N (Li-N). Because normally, the Li 1s XPS spectrum only show the symmetric peak around 56 eV, this result is consistent with the previous report. [23] Furthermore, the corresponding N 1s XPS spectrum shows the peaks shifts of the graphitic N and pyrrolic N due to the interaction between the N and Li (Figure S18, S1), confirming that nitrogen doped GE-CNT hybrid can trap the polysulfides and contribute to confine polysulfide dissolution.
Figure 8. (a) Ex-situ Raman spectra of bare S@Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs hybrids and the electrode after 1000 cycles at 1 C and discharge down to 2.0 V. (b) XPS of Li-S of the electrode after discharge down to 2.0 V.

Conclusion

We discovered a facile one-step method to synthesize 3D nitrogen-doped graphene-carbon nanotube hybrids (Fe₃C@N-GE-CNTs) using low-cost precursors. It was observed by means of FESEM, TEM, XRD, Raman spectra, AFM, and isothermal analyses that 2D graphene and 1D carbon nanotubes were bonded seamlessly during the growth stage, which is an ideal architecture as a scaffold to accommodate sulfur for Li-S batteries. After conversion to Fe₃S@N-GE-CNTs, the hybrid material demonstrated a high reversible capacity of 1221 mAh g⁻¹, high rate capability (844, 712, 458, and 220 mAh g⁻¹ at 1, 2, 5, and 10 C, respectively), and excellent cycling stability at high rates (332 and 158 mAh g⁻¹ at 5 and 10 C after 1000 cycles). The enhanced electrochemical performance can be attributed to the unique architecture combining 2D graphene and 1D carbon nanotubes, which improves the electron conductivity, prevents the dissolution of polysulfides, and minimizes the shuttle effect. The 3D interconnected porous spaces also facilitate the penetration and diffusion of electrolytes. Furthermore, the doped nitrogen introduces more defects and active sites to...
the carbon framework and effectively traps lithium polysulfides on electroactive sites within the cathode. This strategy could open a new avenue for developing high performance Li-S batteries.
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Herein, we report a Fe$_3$C @ nitrogen-doped graphene - carbon nanotube (Fe$_3$C @ N - GE - CNTs) hybrid material for sulphur storage in lithium-sulfur (Li-S) batteries. The new hybrid material was prepared by a one-step pyrolysis process using dehydrated sodium ferrocyanide as a precursor. Lithium-sulfur batteries made with these cathodes demonstrated outstanding electrochemical performances.
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