Rural Migrants and Their Marital Problems: Discourses of Governing and Knowledge Production in China

Published online: 23 Aug 2017

Critical Policy Studies

 $h\;tt\;p\;://\;d\;x\;.\;d\;o\;i\;.\;o\;r\;g\;/\;1\;0\;.\;1\;0\;8\;0\;/\;1\;9\;4\;6\;0\;1\;7\;1\;.\;2\;0\;1\;7\;.\;1\;3\;6\;9\;4\;4\;4$ 

Abstract

Since 2010, there has been a noticeable increase in China's academic publications about young rural migrants' love life. Social scientists play a crucial role in shaping public opinions and policy formations regarding the welfare of individuals from this disadvantaged group. Knowledge about rural migrants' marital problem — the nature of their problem, its causes and possible solutions — provides legitimation to the government's social policies, and for this reason it warrants careful investigation. Taking an interpretative policy analysis approach, the paper analyses the recurring narrative and discursive frameworks in the Chinese-language scholarship. Furthermore, it juxtaposes scholarship produced inside and outside China in order to bring into sharp relief the 'Chinese characteristics' of China's scholarly publications in the fields of social sciences. The paper also discusses why the private life of rural migrants has become a source of political and social anxiety. This discussion demonstrates the complex connection between socioeconomic inequality, social policy formations, and the cultural politics of class in post-socialist China.

Introduction

In January 2010, the Chinese central government issued a 'No.1 Document' – a policy edict from the highest authority – in which the government declared its intention to 'step up efforts to solve the problem facing second-generation rural migrant workers'. This was the first time the term 'second-generation rural migrant workers' had appeared in the government's key documents (Sun, R. 2010). The term refers to

young rural migrants born in the 1980s and 1990s. Many are the children of the rural migrants who went to the city to seek employment in the first two decades of economic reforms. A National Bureau of Statistics report published in 2016 reveals that China's internal migrants now number 278 million. Migrant labour services a wide range of sectors, including manufacturing and construction as well as service industries. Workers between 21 and 30 make up 29.2% of this community, with another 3.7% aged between 16 and 20 (National Bureau of Statistics 2016). The pattern of the marital status of rural migrants is also changing. A 2006 survey indicated that up to 80% of the entire migrant labour force was married, compared to only 20% in 2009 (Sun, W. 2014).

The No.1 Document of 2010 precipitated a number of nation-wide surveys about the lives of rural migrant young people. In the same year, the All China Workers' Union released a report based on a survey of rural migrants in 10 cities across several provinces, pointing out that a widespread sense of loneliness due to the lack of romantic prospects had become a 'defining' aspect of the migrant experience (ACFTU 2010):

The second-generation rural migrants are mostly unmarried. This means that members of this community will experience important rites of passage – falling in love, getting married, having children, and sending children to school – while working away from home. This forms a sharp contrast to the first-generation rural migrants, 80% of whom were married. This is a problem we can no longer afford to ignore. (ACFTU 2010)

A similar sense of urgency was also conveyed in a report by the China Research

Centre for Youths and Children, which found that more than 70% of the construction

workers surveyed considered emotional loneliness as the most painful aspect of their

migrant life (People's Daily online 2012).

Calls to pay attention to the emotional life of rural migrant youth have come from concerned public figures, scholars and media as well as government organizations. In 2013, Feng Gong made a form alsubmission to the 12<sup>th</sup> Communist Party Congress, highlighting the fact that many young rural migrants have trouble finding a marriage partner. Feng, a household name in China for his humorous cross-talk performances, is also a member of the national committee of the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference and a permanent member of the Revolutionary Committee of the Chinese Kuomintang. He argues that the government should work hard to 'elevate the level of happiness of China's rural migrants' (Shao 2013).

China's social science scholars, think-tank researchers, policy makers, and media interpreted the No. 1 document as a clear signal that rural migrants' marital difficulties had become a matter of pressing concern. Discussions and analyses dealing with young rural migrants' difficulties in finding marriage partners have since appeared in a number of discursive spaces, including (1) national new spapers such as the People's Daily and Guangming Daily, which target readers in elite intellectual and policy-making circles; (2) widely circulated periodicals such as Observation and Thinking (观察与思考) and Open Times (开放时代), which target the general public but with a distinct concern with social issues; and (3) in social sciences academic journals.

Starting from 2010, there has been a noticeable increase in academ ic research
publications about rural m igrants and m arriage. A search of the China Academic
Journals Database - a part of the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI)
and the most comprehensive full-text database of Chinese journals in the world –
using key words such as 'new-generation rural m igrants' (新生代农民工) and
'm arriage' (婚 姻 /婚 恋 ) – points to a sharp increase in the num ber of research papers on
this topic. Over the 32-year period of 1978 to 2009, there are 7754 results in total,
w hereas there are as many as 12,860 results within the six years from 2010 to 2015. I
other words, the pre-2010 average was 242 papers per year on this topic, compared
with 2143 papers per annum after 2010. It is safe to conclude that two main factors
have contributed to this sharp increase: the empirical reality that second-generation
young people have reached m arriageable age, and the problem atization of this issue
from the point of view of the government.

The increased academic output on this topic is a safe indication of the level of anxiety on the part of the government. To attract funding as well as to get published, the majority of social scientists in China tend to choose to research on topics which are prioritised by the government – there are few alternative funding opportunities for social sciences in China. While social scientists had relatively more freedom to pursue critical research and collaborate with scholars outside China in the earlier decades of economic reforms, there has been much tighter ideological control in the last decade, especially since Xi Jinping's regime which began in November 2012. The social sciences in China are now closely scrutinised, and are expected to serve the political agenda of the Party and the government and conduct research within ideological and political parameters that shore up the Party's legitimation. In 2013, Chinese

universities were told by propaganda authorities not to talk about 'seven things',
including universal values, freedom of speech, civil society, hum an rights, and
m istakes of the Chinese Com m unist Party (Carlson 2013). In M ay 2016, in his speech
addressing an assem bly of social scientists in C hina, President X i reiterated these
restrictions, saying that social sciences in China should have 'Chinese characteristics'
This included rejecting W estern liberalism , supporting the political m and ate of the
Party, and avoiding anything that showed the Chinese Comm unist Party government
and top leaders in a negative light (X i 2016). Following these prescriptions, in 2016,
the China Academy of Social Sciences, China's key social science research entity,
announced a new policy to scrutinise all theses for their ideological soundness (Radio
France International 2016).

In this paper I argue that we need to subject the scholarly writings in social sciences in China to critique not in spite of but because of the state-imposed categories and definitions according to which they operate. As members of a disadvantaged community, rural migrants embody China's most intractable problems of inequality, and as such, they are poorly represented in both political and institutional terms (Sun 2014). Social scientists in China function as key intermediaries between the government and the rural migrant community, and their roles are both important and ambiguous. On the one hand, they are well-educated, urban and professional individuals whose interests and views are closely aligned with the conservative state agenda on social stability (Li 2013; Ren 2013). On the other hand, these knowledge class elites play a crucial role in shaping public opinions and policy narratives about the welfare of individuals from this disadvantaged group. And their research about

possible solutions – provides legitim ation of the government's social policies, and for
this reason it warrants careful investigation. M ore specifically, what causes this
problem, and what are the proposed remedies according to this body of scholarship?
W hat political and m oral impulses propel the production of these views, and to what
extent can the creation of this knowledge effectively dispelthe government's anxiety?
This paper is concerned with these questions. Since a high level of ideological
uniform ity is expected in China's social sciences publications, the main objective of
this analysis is not to generate quantitative data that confirms the presence or absence
of diverse or even oppositional views. Rather, I adopt a critical discourse analysis
method, aiming to interpret the 'meaning' of 'situation-specific' narratives (Y anow
2007, $110$ ), in order to highlight 'm ultiple and competing discourses in policy texts'
(Taylor 2004, 433). My intention here is to identify and then make sense of the
principal discursive positions in this body of research. For this reason, the paper takes
as its focus of analysis recurring narratives in contemporary social sciences
scholarship on this topic. Drawing on a selection of papers published since 2010
retrieved from the Chinese Journal Database of the CNKI, I first conduct a critical
analysis of key narrative fram es. This is followed by an analysis of the politics of
'personal qualities', which, I argue, lends m oral legitim acy to the discourse of
governance. In both these sections of the paper, I juxtapose
sociological/anthropological scholarship produced inside and outside C hina in order
to bring into sharp relief the 'C hinese characteristics' of internal publications. In the
final section, I discuss the reasons why the private life of rural migrants has become a
source of political and social anxiety. My main aim is to shed light on how a
neoliberal discourse of governance assists an authoritarian state in its m anagement of

inequality. ii In doing so, I hope to demonstrate the incontrovertible but complex connection between socioeconomic inequality, social policy form ations, and the cultural politics of class, and in doing so, also outline the 'Chinese characteristics' of such a connection.

# Problem s, causes and remedies

In the research literature surveyed, the difficulty facing rural m igrant young people in their attempts to establish intim ate relationships or find m arriage partners is predom inantly presented as a given, but interpretations diverge as to the causes of these problems. A recurring explanation is lack of equity and access, largely as a consequence of China's long-standing hukou policy.

## Research on hukou outside China

Hukou is a Chinese term meaning 'household registration system'. Since its implementation in the late 1950s, China's long-standing and deeply ingrained hukou policy has effectively divided the nation along urban-rurallines, with up to 70 percent of the population having rural hukou. While the policy was used from the late 1950s until the late 1970s to keep villagers in the countryside, incremental reforms to the hukou system over the past few decades have made it possible for 'ruralites' to leave home in search of labour opportunities (Chan and Buckingham 2008; Jacka 2006; Solinger 1999; Wang, F. 2005; Zhang, L. 2001). Reforms in the hukou system have been implemented initially to meet demands for labour in the export-oriented market, and in more recent years, as a strategy to stimulate domestic consumption and continued economic growth. However, despite myriad reform measures, the

 $governm\ ents-both\ central\ and\ local-have\ been\ unwilling\ to\ abolish\ the\ strictures$  that persistently discrim in at eagainst rural migrants.

Due to the inherently discriminatory nature of the household registration system, hukou has become a keyword in the study of inequality in China and rural-to-urban m igration. Exam ined from institutional and structural perspectives, hukou can be understood as a two-tiered system which shapes the system atic practice of social exclusion against the rural migrants who come to work and live in the city. Such exclusion, justified by the political and practical necessity of socialist geopolitics, continues to shape the unequal distribution of a range of social benefits, including health care, education, housing, and employment. Indeed, if we consider citizenship in the sense of social mem bership (Solinger 1999), an effectively two-tiered citizenship system continues to play a pivotal role in China. Situated in the context of structure versus agency dynamics, the issue of hukou is also central to anthropologists wishing to understand rural migrant individuals' behaviour, practices, and subjectivity. For this reason, ethnographic accounts of China's rural migrants produced by anthropologists outside China engage directly with the hukou question (Zhang, L. 2001, 2002; Pun 2005; Jacka 2006; Sun 2009; Gaetano 2015). In recent years, scholars have argued that the discrim inatory nature of the hukou system has both m aterial and symbolic components, in that does not simply divide people into rural and urban populations, but also shapes in myriad ways how each group imagines and talks about the other (Yan, 2008; Sun 2009, 2014).

Research on hukou inside China

Echoing the sociological and anthropological literature on hukou produced outside

China, some Chinese social scientists also believe that hukou is largely responsible for
the urban-rural disparity, and that it is a significant contributing factor for rural
migrants' marriage problems (Li and Pu 2011; Guo 2013). They believe that a number
of issues in the lives of rural migrants contribute to their difficulty finding marriage
partners, all of which are shaped by, as well as directly correlated with, the hukou
policy. For instance, most rural migrant young people have no permanent housing to
their name, no secure employment or income, and low social status. Given their low
income, they cannot afford to go on a date, let alone save enough money for housing,
a car, wedding gifts, and a wedding, all of which urbanites consider essential (Fan
2011; Zhu 2012).

We also learn from this literature that young rural migrants of both sexes share common issues. Many are either born in the city or have lived in the city most of their lives. Most have few skills and little interest in farming, and most do not want to go back to the village to live (ACFTU 2010). At the same time, their prospects of settling in the city and enjoying similar entitlements as urban residents are barely better than those of their parents (Huang 2011). The ambiguity and uncertainty in terms of status (urban or rural residence) and identity (worker or peasant), plus a high level of mobility (frequently moving from one place to another to find employment) are anathema to sustained, long-term relationships (Fan 2011; Song and Li 2015). Furthermore, their employment is mostly characterised by long hours and low wages. Many migrant young people work in gender-specific workplaces, either the maledominated construction sector or the female-dominated toy and clothing manufacture

sector, and they therefore have few chances to meet young people of the opposite sex (Liu 2011).

At the same time, it is also clear from this literature that hukou-determined socioeconomic marginality affects rural migrant women and men in different ways. In their attempt to improve their life chances through marriage by obtaining an urban hukou, a small percentage of rural women end up marrying urban men who them selves face som e kind of disadvantage. These men may be older, divorced, disabled, or poor. In m arrying 'outside' their hukou, these women reduce the marriage prospects of male rural migrants within the cohort (Li and Pu 2011). A small percentage of migrant women become the mistresses of urban married men (Liu 2001). In both cases, these attempts to 'marry up' on the part of rural migrant women (Shi 2015) are found to lack 'emotional foundation', and often end in unhappiness (Xu, C. 2006; Guo 2013). A cross both sexes, then, the literature identifies two important patterns: first, rural migrant women have a much better chance than men to achieve cross-hukou m arriages; second, for rural migrant community as a whole, the majority of marriages and intimate relationships are with someone from their own cohort. For most rural migrants, successful marriages with urban people are simply 'wishful thinking' (Wu, X.2011,15).

In the meantime, while some women aspire to upward mobility through marriage (often in vain), almost all writings surveyed for this paper point to the fact that rural men at the bottom rung, unable attract women of similar status, report widespread feeling of sexual repression and low self-esteem. Not being able to afford betrothal gifts is cited as a key impediment to finding a marriage partner (Miao 2012). Many rural migrant men also report being rejected on the grounds that they do not own a

house, or because they have too many siblings or an impoverished family background
or because they come from a poor and remote area. In other words, if you are a rural
m igrant m an who meets this description – and many of them do – your chances of
finding a marriage partner are slim, especially if you are not physically attractive or
do not have an engaging personality. A survey of 579 young Foxconn workers
conducted by a labour-advocacy group found that up to 70% of male workers are
single and without a girlfriend (Deng Kang 2015). Older migrants — those in their late
20s and 30s - are already living with the stigm a of being 'm en left on the shelf'(剩
男 ). Yet, the pressure from their parents remains relentless. For this cohort, the
em otional pain derives equally from loneliness and sexual repression and from the
quilt of having let their perents down

But it is not just the single young migrants who face difficulty in seeking love and fulfilm ent. M arried rural migrants are also reported to face myriad challenges. The most obvious problem is long-term separation and its consequence of the absence of conjugal intim acy. M arried couples, many of whom live in different cities and often in separate dorm itory accommodation in the same cities, find it difficult to sustain conjugal relationships. One nation-wide survey in 2011 found that an increasing number of rural migrants get married while they are living an itinerant life and remain separated after marriage (Song et al. 2012). Rural migrants score much higher than their urban counterparts for divorce rates, loveless marriages, extra-marital affairs, and contraction of venereal diseases (Chongqing Report 2010).

Chang Zizhong, a research fellow at the Centre for Development under the State

Council, a key policy think-tank in China, could not be more explicit about the link

between the happiness of individual rural m igrants and the responsibility of the

Some people may say that marriage is a personal matter and has nothing to do with society and government. Some say that if the individual can't find someone to marry, the mayor cannot be expected to be of any help. But in reality, the obstacles preventing young rural migrants from marrying are structural. The obstacles are caused by the socio-economic disadvantages rural migrants suffer as a result of our hukou system and our employment and education systems. The problems are a direct result of young migrants not having their basic rights guaranteed, and not having full access to their entitlements as citizens. Marriage is the next big problem facing rural migrants; it is also becoming a major new challenge facing the urban government. (Chang, Zizhong 2010a, 44)

Suzhi - the other side of the coin?

However, although these writers believe the causes of rural migrants' marriage difficulty are structural, and some gesture towards the end to reform the hukou system, they at the same time make it clear that a critique of the hukou policy alone cannot solve rural migrants' problems. Many writers list the 'undesirable' attitudes, outlooks and behaviours of rural migrantyouths. They hold the view that, typically, rural migrants suffer from inadequate 'personal qualities', or suzhi, as it is referred in Chinese (Xiao and Chen 2012; Song and Li 2015). Suzhi is an all-purpose, allencompassing term that is often used to refer to an individual's lack of civility, morality and self-discipline (Jacka 2009). Rural migrants' emotional problems are perceived to be both a consequence and a symptom of their low suzhi. In the view of

m any researchers, rural m igrant young people do not have an accurate understanding
of what love is, and they date someone only to relieve boredom or loneliness, or to
m eet their need for companionship or sexual gratification. M igrant youth are also
critiqued for not understanding the serious and long-term implications of getting
m arried, and for tending to get m arried on impulse, thus leading to the common
phenomenon of 'shotgun weddings', followed by 'flash divorces'. Furtherm ore, whil
rural m igrant young people are open-m inded about sex, they are perceived to be less
prepared to accept the responsibility associated with sexual freedom . Finally, researc
suggests that young rural m igrants are largely uneducated about a wide range of
health-related issues to do with pregnancy, birth control, and childcare (Xiao and
Chen 2012; Song and Li 2015).

A ccording to many researchers, low suzhi means that rural migrants fall victim to the myriad and cacophonous discourses on love and sexuality on the internet and in commercial media, popular culture and social media. These domains are judged to have a negative impact on impressionable young rural migrants. As one paper observes, many rural migrants 'swallow, without digesting, the cultural fast food which is readily on offer' (Xiao and Chen 2012). As noted by some researchers, young rural migrants in the city are now widely exposed to urban ways of living, and many have come to expect a similar standard of consumption as city people, although this is unrealistic on their modest incomes (e.g. Yang and Shu 2010; Pan and Ge 2014). Internet-based popular culture is also widely blamed for the 'incorrect' outlook displayed by rural migrant youths. Rural migrants are believed to be particularly susceptible to negative influences, as they have trouble discerning the difference between the real and the virtual, the possible and the unrealistic.

### The politics of personal qualities

In these narratives of problems, causes and remedies, we see a juxtaposition of socioeconomic and moral-cultural arguments. Policy recommendations in these writings are a mixture of critiques of hukou policy on the one hand, and argument in favour of suzhi education on the other. What is noteworthy is that these two arguments are more often than not presented as two sides of the same coin, and as complementary and compatible. Suzhi has become widespread since the 1980s and refers to the 'innate and nurtured physical, psychological, intellectual, moral, and ideological qualities of human bodies and their conduct' (Jacka 2009). Usually translated as 'personal qualities', suzhi is an extremely resourceful 'keyword' (Kipnis 2006) in the anthropological work on rural migrants conducted by scholars outside China.. It is often used to decry a range of deficiencies ranging from a lack of form al schooling and low literacy to poor personal hygiene and inappropriate table manners. Suzhi is usually found to be lacking in the behaviour of peasants living in poor provinces and migrants from these provinces (Bakken 2000; Jacka 2009; Anagnost 2008; Yan 2008; Sun, W. 2009).

Social scientists in China generally consider the link between low suzhi and problem s in rural migrants' love lives as natural and logical, and as part of a well-established 'conventional wisdom', requiring no substantiation. In fact, suzhi discourse is central to the definition of what are referred to as 'disadvantaged social groups', and to the explanation of their form ation: certain social groups become socioeconomically disadvantaged because they have low suzhi in the first place. Following this 'logic', recommendations aimed at solving their marital problems by increasing their suzhi

level seem equally logical and natural. Many papers share a similar view to the following one:

A key factor which negatively affects rural migrants' attitudes to love and marriage is a lack of suzhi and capacity for moral self-discipline. Given this, a crucial pathway is education. Education will elevate their suzhi level, raise their awareness of civility, guide them to adopt correct values related to marriage, and foster in them an upbeat and positive outlook in life. (Zhao, L. 2013, 130)

If we are to follow the logic implicitin this quotation, rural migrants' lack of marital happiness is caused as much as their suzhi deficiency (lack of the 'right' personal qualities) as it is by structural inequality. As som e writers argue, although rural m igrants' problem s m anifest in economic term s, they are nevertheless caused by an individual's incapacity to gain a grounded view of life. According to such critics, migrant young people need to adopt a more realistic view point or - to put it more bluntly - hold lower expectations about love and a happy marriage. Chang Zizhong, the same research fellow who highlighted structural, hukou-based inequality in an earlier quotation, observes that the government needs to find a way to convince rural m igrant individuals that they can enjoy the rom ance of dating despite their difficult m aterial circum stances, and that they can have a happy marriage which 'though not extravagant, can still give them some warm th' (Chang, Z. 2010b, 44). In other words, rather than arguing for the redistribution of economic resources in order to reduce inequality between various social groups, these writers believe that rural migrants should learn to be content with whatever level of love, rom ance and happiness they can achieve in their inferior status. Here, 'unrealistic' expectations about love and m arriage are seen as a symptom of suzhi deficiency. Although these writers'

suggestion may be well-meaning, it nevertheless appears to betray a sense of class superiority, giving the impression of wanting to put those in the lower classes in their place.

Another symptom widely discussed in this body of literature is rural migrants' lack of self-awareness, self-reflection and capacity for psychological 'self-adjustment' in general. A typical suggestion involves psychological counselling, which is believed to be beneficial to rural migrants whose failure in pursuit of intimacy leaves them feeling depressed, frustrated and inadequate (Li and Pu 2011). Here, it seems that suzhi can be a double-edged sword: while it provides a basis for policy recommendations for the provision of skills training, health education and psychological counselling for migrant workers, it also provides a potent moral foundation on which prejudices associated with this social group can be validated and justified. An even more explicit attempt to frame workers' difficulties as an issue of psychological maladjustment can be found in a paper that argues that many concepts and methods in positive psychology, widely practised in the US, could be introduced to help rural migrants convert their negative feelings into positive ones so that their sense of happiness could be improved (Li, L. and Yu, Q. 2014).

Rural migrant young people's lack of suzhi is seen to have other worrisom e consequences. It underlies their inability to negotiate the differences between modern and traditional attitudes and practices about sex and sexuality. A recurring narrative in this body of research is the tension facing rural migrant young people between, on the one hand, the modern ideas of individual choice, freedom, autonomy, and romantic love and, on the other, pressure from their parents to get married and have children as soon as possible. Widely exposed to the images and discourses of sexual freedom,

young rural migrants are reported to be much more accepting of co-habitation, sex
before m arriage, extra-m arital affairs, and having children out of wedlock. At the
sam e tim e, unable to resist pressure from both parents and society at large, during
their visits home, many rural migrant young people in their late teens and early
twenties engage in endless rounds of speed dating arranged by friends and relatives,
often followed by shotgun weddings, som e of which result in a quick divorce plus
unwanted pregnancies (Zhu 2012; Wu, X. 2011). In other words, com mentators
suggest that rather than taking advantage of the benefits of m odern attitudes towards
sexuality to maximise their chances for intimacy as their urban middle-class
counterparts do (Sun and Lei 2016), rural migrants are only interested casual sex - a
superficial dim ension of m odern relationships. W orse still, this casual attitude towards
sex is now widely associated with marriage breakdown on the one hand, and, worse
still, the rise in sexual crime and the spread of venereal diseases on the other (Li and
Pu 2011; Zhu 2012; Wu, X. 2011).

This persistent view of the moral and psychological inadequacy of rural migrants is in sharp contrast to scholarship on rural migrants produced by anthropologists and sociologists outside China. There, structural inequality not only accounts for rural migrants' material and economic disadvantage; it is also understood to shape the unequal ways in rural migrants are represented and recognised in the political and cultural domains. In other words, rather than pointing to moral deficiency as a likely cause for the hardships facing rural migrants, this scholarship usually critiques the very discourse of moral education. In contrast to the discourse promoting suzhi improvement, outside China the supposed and taken-for-granted link between suzhi deficiency and rural migrants is widely interrogated (e.g. Jacka 2006; 2009; Yan 2008;

A nagnost 2004; Sun 2009). For instance, engaging with the M arxist notion of surplus
value, Y an Hairong, an anthropologist studying C hina's rural migrants, argues that
suzhi functions as an 'intangible operator' in the labour contract. She argues that the
suzhi discourse 'facilitates exploitation and makes it invisible', and in so doing, is
central to a neoliberal governm entality (Y an 2003, 498). According to the logic of
capital, suzhi, a concept which has become measurable and quantifiable, is used to
evaluate the economic worth of individuals. This is most vividly embodied in rural
n igrants' low wages. The system atic practice of hiring m igrants as cheap labour -
hus enabling profit generation and capital accum ulation – is m orally justifiable due to
he perceived low suzhi level on the parts of rural people. In other words, Y an argues
hat suzhi, as an articulation of a person's value, extracts value from rural migrant
workers and this is crucial to the econom ic production of surplus value.

Suzhi discourse is not only important to contemporary China's booming, globally oriented market economy, it is also essential to new post-socialist forms of state governance and state control, as argued by Jacka (2009), another anthropologist studying rural migrants. This is because the suzhi discourse plays a central role in justifying the inclusion and exclusion of individuals from certain social groups in terms of rights and responsibilities (e.g. Jacka 2009). Echoing these arguments, Ann Anagnost points out that suzhi provides a crucial means of justifying class exploitation and domination. While the urban middle class justifies its privilege on grounds of their better suzhi (Tomba 2014), many aspirational rural migrants respond to the urban residents' view by trying to improve themselves and become more 'cultured' and 'civilized' (Jacka 2009).

Despite the seem ingly natural and unquestionable ease with which these two
argum ents – the socioeconom ic versus the moral-cultural – co-exist in the social
sciences papers exam ined here, they are inform ed by radically different political and
ideological positions, and are likely to produce vastly different socioeconomic
outcom es. A fter all, hukou offers a socioeconom ic argum ent against inequality,
w hereas a suzhi-based explanation is an argum ent that defends and justifies inequality
The hukou-based argum ent made in these papers is informed by socioeconomic
reality, but to push this argum entfurther and suggest radical redistribution of
economic resources is not in the interests of the middle class, and therefore political
unviable from the point of the Chinese state. There is little explicit elaboration in this
literature about what concrete measures may be feasible, nor is much thought given to
the likely ram ifications of hukou reform s in regards to the support of the urban middle
classes. Recent reports of vociferous opposition by Beijing and Shanghai residents to
proposals granting equal rights to migrants in Beijing (Nanfang Weekend 2014) serve
as a tim ely rem inder of the likely backlash that any hukou-based pie-sharing policy
recom m endations m ay encounter from urban residents and socio-economic elites.
Public debates and academ ic literature on hukou reform s have indeed produced som e
tangible policy change. For instance, recent attem pts to reform \( hukou \) system in som e
cities by adopting a point system – accum ulation of points based on education, hom e
ownership, and payment of taxes over a certain num ber of years. On the surface, this
m easure appears to have done away with the urban-rural distinction, but in reality, it
privileges the wealthy and the educated – those who are usually believed to have good
suzhi- while continuing to exclude the vast majority of rural migrant workers in low-
wage and unskilled jobs. Som e scholars believe that this m av further hurt the interests

of rural migrants if they are to lose the rights to their land (W allis $2016$ ). Seen in this
light, kukou reforms may have been widely promoted as a key measure for bringing
about such lim ited redistribution without fundam entally altering social relations.
Indeed, in its earnest desire to shore up political legitim acy, the C hinese C om m unist
Party has sought to am eliorate social inequality 'through lim ited redistributive
intervention without having to deal with inequality-generating productive processes
and relations' (Guo 2012, 736). However, such intervention is too slow and too
lim ited to benefit rural m igrants in the foreseeable future.

### Rural migrants' love lives and the maintenance of stability

In Chinese-language scholarship on rural migrants, the link between the rural migrants' social problems and the potential of these problems to disrupt China's stability and social harmony is taken for granted, and is often used as the justification for studying this group (Li Peilin 2003; Li Qiang 2004). It is clear that the presence of a large cohort of unmarried but sexually repressed or sexually active rural migrant young people in urban China is unsettling to the government and the state in general on a number of levels. Many young migrants are believed to be ignorant about health, especially related to pregnancy, birth control, and childcare. Some young migrants are reported to commonly engage in prostitution, commodified sex, and unprotected sex, which lead to the spread of venereal diseases and unwanted pregnancies, posing challenges to public health and the state's birth control policy (Song and Li 2015).

Furthermore, sexual repression is believed to have ramifications for law and order and to pose a serious threat to the moral order (Chang 2010b, 44).

But concerns about these issues are social and political as well as moral and legal.

Since a stable heterosexual family structure is considered to be the basic unit for the

m aintenance of social order (Evans 1997), the percentage of single young rural
m igrants is considered to be a direct threat to social stability, linked as these statistics
are with higher incidents of 'shotgun m arriages', divorces, broken homes, and
neglected children. There is a widespread contemporary perception of a link between
repressed sexuality on the part of the unmarried rural migrant men and sex-related
crim es in urban areas. In addition, historical literature also points to a connection
between frustrated marital aspirations and social unrest in China (Bronwell and
W asserstrom 2002; Som mers 2000). It may well have been to maintain stability that,
at the end of the Cultural Revolution, many unmarried 'over-age' young people
received help from various government bodies to find a marriage partner when they
returned to the city from the countryside (Zhang and Sun 2014). By comparison with
rural m igrants, the problem s urban professionals face with finding a m arriage partner
though equally real, are perceived to be less worrisom e from the point of view of
social stability. Instead of direct governm ent intervention, to help urban young people
the market has stepped in (Zhang and Sun 2014).

But the government's anxiety about rural migrants' marital problems goes beyond a concern with social order. The issue is now considered to directly impinge on the government's political legitimacy. After more than three decades of economic reforms, the various CCP leadership regimes have become increasingly aware of the threat to political legitimacy posed by a growing level of class-based inequality. To a great extent, the maintenance of social stability and the CCP's political legitimacy is believed to rely on its capacity to minimize, if not remove, the feeling of being stuck experienced by subaltern groups, particularly young people. It is logical to speculate that the concern with social inequality circulating in scholarly literature and media

helps shape and sharpen the governm ent's understanding of its political challenge.
This is evidenced in the rhetoric of top leaders. In his speech at the 'two congresses'
in 2015, Premier Li Keqiang (2015) referred to the need to restructure income
distribution and prom ote social justice, so that m ore young people, especially those
from impoverished families, would be able to 'change their destiny through
education', and would 'have more pathways for upward social mobility'. For the same
reason, President X i Jinping also stressed the need to remove obstacles which prevent
people from participating in economic activities and from enjoying the fruits of
economic development. He envisioned a future when everyone would have equal
opportunities to succeed and to realise their dream s. X i also warned that unless social
justice were improved, there could be 'no guarantee for social harm ony and stability,
and people will lose faith in the economic reforms' (W u, Z. $2015$ ).

After all, the CCP has ostensibly abandoned its original goal of leading China towards a communist utopia and now openly declares the its central mission to be, instead, to ensure that 'our people' live a 'happy life' (X i 2012). In other words, the level of happiness of the Chinese people has become a key perform ance indicator of satisfactory governance by the CCP. In his recommendation to the central government to improve the marriage prospects of rural migrants, Feng Gong — the well-known cross-talk performance artist quoted earlier — also said that the rural migrant's dream to get married and have a happy life was 'their China dream'. Feng's turn of phrase is both subtle and pointed. It reminds the government that the much touted 'China Dream' — the ideological brainchild of President X i Jinping — would be devoid of moral substance and political legitimacy if members of China's marginalised social groups cannot even realise their humble dream of finding a marriage partner. Given

this, the statistics about rural migrants' love life (or lack of it) touches a raw nerve for the Party. This is especially the case, given that from its earliest revolutionary eras, the CCP has sought to win the support of the rural population by promising to make marriage and family life accessible to poor male peasants (Stacey 1984; Diamant 2000). To put it more bluntly, the marital difficulty of rural migrant young people is perceived to be a matter of pressing concern to the CCP, not because of these citizens' emotional unhappiness per se, but because of the likely political and social ram ifications of their unhappiness.

However, although the problems in the private lives of rural migrants have become a source of anxiety for the government, structural reforms aimed at reducing inequality may turn out to have an even more disturbing and destabilizing effect on the middle class. This is because the argument for a more equitable share of resources between urban and rural citizens will have 'distributive consequences' (Stiglitz 2012, 72) — consequences which are unlikely to be welcomed by either the urban middle class or the vulnerable urban groups such as laid-off factory workers and recipients of minimum welfare benefits. It entails, in Butler's words, a redistribution of 'vulnerability' (Butler 2012) — an outcome which is unlikely to be popular with the middle classes. For this reason, the middle-class is seen to constitute a key stabilizing force in society, and as thus, are politically conservative (Ren 2013; Li, C. 2013).

Threatening the social and economic interests of the middle-class by implementing social policy aiming at redistribution would risk instability as much as ignoring rural migrants' marital problems. That is the Catch-22 of the government's stability

#### Conclusion

Juxtaposing the production of scholarly work produced inside and outside China, we are able to see that both hukou and suzhi emerge as keywords. However, it is clear from this discussion that they are deployed to constructentirely different narrative fram eworks within which to make sense of the emotional experience and marital difficulty of China's rural migrant youths. What lies at the core of this difference are the ways in which scholarly work is pursued to serve ideological and intellectual purposes. Protected by both geographic and political distance between them selves and China, sociologists and anthropologists outside China have the relative freedom to directly criticise the Chinese state. Motivated by a different intellectual agenda, and relatively unburdened with the need to address policy concerns or demonstrate policy implications, they generally see their core business as being to understand how the state-versus-society dynamic plays out in the context of the everyday experiences of individuals from disenfranchised communities.

This is not to say that social scientists in China are unmoved by the plight of disenfranchised groups. In fact, China-based scholars, especially those from a rural family background such as Li De (Li, D. 2011), have dedicated their research careers to documenting, often with sympathy and understanding, the conundrums and frustrations experienced by rural migrants. What sets this body of literature apart from their overseas counterpart is the fact that these Chinese researchers' scholarly knowledge is increasingly expected to both contribute to and lend credibility to the official discourse of governing. Trained to follow standard formula in presenting problems, causes and remedies, Chinese scholars are expected to generate findings

cultural explanations outlined in this paper recognise that (1) the marital diffi	culty
facing rural m igrants is widespread, and the emotional needs of the rural mig	rants are
not being m et; (2) som ething needs to be done to address this problem . Howe	ver, as
my discussion has demonstrated, within China the production of knowledge a	bout the
problem is driven by an intention to govern vulnerable com munities from the	t o p
down and to manage inequality, rather than by an anthropological desire to	
understand how social inequality shapes the em otional experiences of rural m	igrant
individuals in their everyday lives.	

There is a widespread consensus that whereas urban middle class citizens can manage the problems in their private life without much government intervention, the state should not ignore the private lives of rural migrants. At the same time, it is clear to all that, to improve rural migrants' marriage prospects, policy changes are necessary in the realms of social welfare, housing, healthcare, employment, and education. Also clear, however, is the fact that these changes may end up alienating the urban middle-class. For this reason, although the scholarly knowledge produced by China's social scientists has gone some way towards shedding important light on a pressing social issue, the politics that informs this process of production can only extend itself so far as to make the point that rural migrants have emotional needs and presently these needs are not being met.

W hat this discussion brings to light is the differential politics of knowledge production between C hina-based and overseas-based social scientists. It also uncovers the hidden connection between structural inequality and discourses of governing. Having pointed out that hukou is a major structural cause of rural migrants' marital problems, social scientists in C hina nevertheless have to stop short of proposing the

abolition of hukou as a structurally based solution. This is because a fundamental
reform or abolition of hukou would lead to a radical redistribution of economic
resources - a socioeconom ic outcom e that would not be in the interests of the middle
class and therefore unviable from the point of view of the Chinese state. This is why,
while some Chinese scholars certainly allude to the discriminatory nature of $hukou$
and the need to reform it, very few explicitly argue for the significant reduction of
structural inequality as the only effective solution. In fact, most of the policy
recom mendations made by these writers are along the lines of improving the $suzhi$
level of rural migrants. Here, $\mathit{suzhi}$ is framed both as a cause of inequality and as the
site for its possible solution; m ore specifically, we see a system atic deployment of this
cultural-m oral discourse for the purpose of legitim ating and governing — rather than
reducing or elim inating – social inequality. The hukou system leads to structural
inequality, which in turn becomes the root of rural migrants' marital difficulties. Yet,
these writers do not see a $hukou$ -based solution as being politically viable; it is the
elephant in the room in their attempts to grapple with these issues. In its place, $suzhi$ is
presented as a discursive proxy, and as such, is far from convincing, both as a possible
cause and as a solution. Herein lies the very root of the anxiety facing the government
China's social sciences researchers and the middle-classes in general. If anxiety is the
feeling of unease about a feared outcome as well as an inability to dispel such fear,
the problem of rural migrants' love life is indeed a source of anxiety. The suzhi
discourse results from as well as further contributes to the political and social concern
surrounding rural m igrants' love life.

W hat this discussion has also uncovered is the important yet am biguous position of C hina's social scientists. A dopting a critical discourse analysis, this paper

nevertheless points to the potential usefulness of future research that involves actual
conversations with some of China's social scientists regarding their role as key
interm ediaries between vulnerable com m unities and the government. Such
conversations m ay shed light on how they negotiate the possible tension between this
public role and their own status as members of the middle classes. In any case, social
scientists both within and outside China who are concerned with the reality of
growing social inequality m ay want to reflect on the possibility that the knowledge
they produce is not external to, and m ay in fact be som ew hat constitutive of, the
cultural politics of class.

#### References

- ACFTU (All China Workers' Union). 2010. "A Research Report into the Lives of China's New-Generation Rural Migrants."全国总工会关于新生代农民工问题研究报告.www.youngmigrants.org/ew/uploadfile/20100718143155316.pdf.
- A nagnost, A. 2008. "From 'Class' to 'Social Strata": Grasping the Social Totality in

  Reform -era China." Third World Quarterly 29 (3): 497-519.
- Bakken, B. 2000. The Exemplary Society: Human Improvement, Social Control, and the Dangers of Modernity in China. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Brownell, Susan & Jeffrey N. Wasserstrom, eds. 2002. Chinese Femininities/Chinese

  Masculinities. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Butler, J. 2012. "A politics of the street." Lecture given at the Peter W all Institute for Advanced Studies, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, May 24.
- Carlson, Benjam in. 2013. "The 7 things you can't talk about in China." Toronto Star. https://www.thestar.com/news/world/2013/06/30/the\_7\_things\_you\_cant\_talk\_about\_in\_china.html
- Chan, Jenny, and Mark Selden. 2013. "Class, Hukou, and the New Generation of

  Chinese Rural Migrant Workers." Paper presented at the "Media and the Cultural

  Politics of Class in China" Workshop, University of Technology, Sydney,

  December 4-5.
- Chan, Kam Wing, and Will Buckingham. 2008. "Is China Abolishing the *Hukou*System?" *China Quarterly* 195: 582-606.

- Chang, Zizhong. 2010a. "Research on New-generation Rural Migrants' Decisions in Marriage, Reproduction and Other Related Issues." 当前新生代农民工择偶、生育及相关方面的研究, Northern Economics 北方经济, (6): 20-22.
- Chang, Zizhong. 2010b. "Marriage Problems Facing the Second Generation Rural

  Migrants: Structuralissues behind the absence of love."农二代'的婚恋问题—

   情感缺失背后的制度困境. People's Forum 人民论坛, Issue 280, pp. 42-44.
- Chongqing Report. 2010. "Chongqing Report on Rural Migrants: Private Life Is

  Forever the Source of Heartache." 重庆农民工调查报告: 私生活是心中永远的痛.http://cq.qq.com/a/20100326/000545.htm.
- Deng, Kang. 2015. 谁 葬 送 了 富 士 康 工 人 的 爱 情 ? (W ho killed Foxconn workers' chance for love?), 20 August. http://www.ilabour.net/html/xsdytd/lgrd/3954.html (accessed 8 Septem ber 2016).
- Diam ant, Neil. 2000. Revolutionizing the Family: Politics, Love, and Divorce in

  Urban and Rural China 1949–1968. University of California Press.
- Dutton, Michael. 1998. Streetlife China. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University

  Press.
- Evans, Harriet. 1997. Women and Sexuality in China: dominant discourse of female sexuality and gender since 1949. Cam bridge, UK: Polity.
- Fan, Y. 2011. "Tradition or Modernity: Second-Generation Rural Migrants"

  Marriages."传统还是现代:新生代农民工的婚恋状况[J]. Law and Society 法制与社会 1:180-181.

- Gaetano, Arianne. 2015. Out to Work: Migration, Gender, and the Changing lives of Rural women in Contemporary China. Honolulu, HI: University of Hawaii Press.
- Guo, L. 2013. "Problems and Solutions on the Issue of New-Generation Rural

  Migrants and Their Marriages."新生代农民工婚恋问题及对策. Academic

  Journal of Yibin University 宜宾学院学报 13:1:87-90.
- Guo, Y. 2012 "Classes without Class Consciousness and Class Consciousness without Classes: the meaning of class in the People's Republic of China", Journal of Contemporary China, Issue 77: 723-739.
- Huang, C. 2011. China's New-generation Rural Migrant Workers. 中国新生代农民工.Beijing: People's Literature Press.
- Jacka, T. 2006. Rural Women in Urban China: Gender, Migration, and Social

  Change. Armonk, NY: M. E. Sharpe.
- Jacka, T. 2009. "Cultivating Citizens: Suzhi (Quality) Discourse in the PRC."

  Positions: East Asia Cultures Critique 17 (3): 523-35.
- Kipnis, A. 2006. "Suzhi: A Keyword Approach." The China Quarterly 186: 295-313.
- Li C. 2013. "Sociopolitical Attitudes of the Middle Class and the Implications for

  Political Transition." In Middle Class China: Identity and Behaviour, edited by

  Minglu Chen, and David Goodman, 12-33. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar

  Publishing.
- Li, D. 2011. A Report on the Marriage Problem Facing the New-Generation Rural

  Migrants. 新生代农民工婚姻报告. Shanghai: Shanghai Jiaotong University

  Press.

- Li, K. 2015. Let Youths from Poor Families Have More Access to Upward Mobility." 让贫困家庭孩子有更多上升通道。 http://news.cnr.cn/special/2015lh/zb/lkq/zy/20150315/
- Li, Peilin. 2003. Nong min gong: zhongguo nong min gong de jingji shehui fenxi
  [Rural Migrant Workers: A Social and Economic Analysis]. Beijing: Shehui kexue wenxian chubanshe.

t 2 0 1 5 0 3 1 5 \_ 5 1 8 0 0 5 7 5 7 .s h t m 1

- Li, Qiang. 2004. Nongmingong yu Zhongguo shehui fengceng [Rural Migrant

  Workers and Social Stratification In China]. Beijing: Shehui kexue wenxian

  chubanshe [Social Sciences Academic Press].
- Liu, J. 2001. "Behind the Hukou System: The Social Psychology of Rural Migrant Women."户籍制度背后:打工妹心理状态及社会心理. Zhongzhou Academic Journal 中州学刊 9:38-43.
- Liu, J. 2011. "Strangers in the City Also Long for Love: A Study of the New-generation Rural Migrants and their Marriage Problem." 异乡的他们也渴望一份爱:新生代农民工婚恋问题研究, Social Studies.,社会研究 6:93-94.
- Liu, S. 2011. "To Become An Urban Citizen Is The Biggest Wish Of New-Generation Rural Migrants." 做 城里人成为新生代农民工憧憬. China Youth Daily中国青年报 December 9, 2011. http://zqb.cyol.com/html/2011-12/09/nw.D110000zgqnb\_20111209\_6-03.htm.

- Li, H. and Pu. K. 2011. "An Analysis of the Psychological Conflicts in Rural Migrants' Love Life."新生代农民工的婚恋心理冲突探析,Journal of Jiangxi

  University of Agriculture 江西农业大学学报 10 (4):55-58.
- Li, L. and Yu, Q. 2014. "An Exploration of the Young Rural M igrants' M arital

  Problem from the Perspective of Positive Psychology." 积 极 心 理 学 视 角 下 的 新

  生 代 农 民 工 婚 恋 问 题 探 究 . Human Resources Development 人 才 资 源 开 发

  October Issue: 40-42.
- Miao, Tao. 2012. "Betrothal Gifts and the Difficult Situation Facing Rural Youth."彩 礼'与农村青年的婚姻困境. Science and Technology Digest科技文汇 6:205-6.
- Nanfang Weekend 2014. "Migrants from Out of Town Are Not Welcomed in Beijing and Shanghai?" 外地人,北京上海不欢迎?
- National Bureau of Statistics. 2016. 2015 年 农民工 监 测 调 查 报 告 [A Report on the Survey of Rural Migrant Workers 2015], 28 April.

  http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/zxfb/201604/t20160428\_1349713.html(accessed 7
- Pan, Q. and Ge, H. 2014. "On the Transform ation of Rural Migrant Youths' Attitude to Marriage."论新生代农民工婚恋观的变迁. Chifeng Academic Journal赤峰学院学报 35 (11): 129-131.
- People's Daily online. 2012. "Second-generation Rural Migrants Face Emotional Loneliness."新生代年轻农民工面临'情感孤独', http://lianghui.people.com.cn/2012npc/GB/239293/17343929.html.

Septem ber 2016).

- Pun, Ngai. 2005. *Made in China: Women Factory Workers in a Global Workplace*.

  Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Radio France International (RFI). 2016. "China Academy of Social Sciences

  Announces that It Will Conduct Ideological Scrutiny of Dissertations."中国社科院宣布:将对学位论文进行"意识形态审读.trad.cn.rfi.fr/中國/20160519.
- Ren, Hai. 2013. The Middle Class in Neoliberal China: Governing Risk, Lifebuilding, and Themed Spaces. London: Routledge.
- Shao, H. 2013. "According to Feng Gong, Member of the Political Consultative

  Committee, Being Able to Get Married Is New-Generation Migrant Workers'

  China Dream."政协委员冯巩: 恋爱婚姻是新生代农民工的'中国梦http://www.yicai.com/news/2013/03/2537317.html
- Shi, L. 2015. "Rural Migrant Youths' Marriages: Views, Practices, and Patterns of Change." 青年农民工婚恋观念,行为 模式及其变迁历程. Research on Youth 青年探索 98:75-80.
- Solinger, Dorothy J. 1999. Contesting Citizenship in Urban China: Peasant Migrants, the State, and the Logic of the Market. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Som mers, Matthew. 2000. Sex, Law and Society in Late Imperial China, Stanford,

  CA: Stanford University Press.
- Song, Y. and Li, L. 2015. "New-generation Rural Migrants' Marriage and Reproductive Health: An Exploration."新生代农民工婚恋及生殖健康问题探析. Zhongzhong Academic Journal 中州学刊 217:79-83.

- Song. Y., Zhang, L, Duan C. 2012. "Tradition, Cultural Shock and Transform ation An Analysis of the Pattern of Marriage Behaviours among the New-Generation Rural Migrants."传统、冲击与嬗变 新生代农民工婚育行为探析.
- Stacey, Judith. 1984. Patriarchy and Socialist Revolution in China. University of California Press.
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2012). The Price of Inequality: How Today's Divided Society

  Endangers Our Future. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
- Sun, R. 2010. "What Lies Ahead for New-Generation Rural Migrants' Desire to Belong to The City."新生代农民工融入城市路在何方. Observation and Thinking观察与思考 3 (1): 44-47.
- Sun, W. 2009. Maid in China: Media, Morality, and the Cultural Politics of

  Boundaries. London: Routledge.
- Sun, W. 2014. Subaltern China: Rural Migrants, Media and Cultural Practices.

  Lanham, Maryland: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Sun, W, and Lei, W. 2016. "In Search of Intimacy in China: The Emergence of

  Advice Media for the Privatized Self", Communication, Culture & Critique,

  doi:10.1111/cccr.12150.
- Taylor, S. 2004. "Researching education policy and change in 'new times: using critical discourse analysis", Journal of Education Policy, 19(4): 533-451.
- Tomba, L. 2014. The Government Next Door: Neighborhood Politics in Urban China.

  Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

- Wallis, Cara. 2013. Technomobility in China: Young Migrant Women and Mobile

  Phones, New York: New York University Press.
- Wallis, Cara. 2016. "Hukou reform and China's migrantworkers", China Policy

  Institute: Analysis. https://cpianalysis.org/2016/10/10/hukou-reform-and-chinasmigrant-workers/
- Wang, Fei-ling. 2005. Organizing Through Division and Exclusion: China's Hukou

  System. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Wu, X. 2011. "Between Tradition and Modernity: Rural Migrants' Marriage and Love."传统与现代之间—新生代农民工的恋爱与婚姻, Research on Chinese Youth中国青年研究 1:15-18.
- Wu, Z. 2015. "Social Justice Is the Biggest Wish of the Population."社会公正是全体人民意愿最大公约数. Guangming Daily 光明日报

  http://study.hebszgwdx.com/xxdg/llyj/2015-06-03/a824950.shtml.
- Yan, Hairong. 2008. New Masters, New Servants: Migration, Development, and
  Women Workers in China. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Xiao, Xiangmin, and Chen, Aixiang. 2012. "Young Rural Migrant's Attitudes to Love and Marriage and Policy Recommendations Regarding their Education", 新生代农民工婚恋观及其教育对策, China Collective Economy中国集体经济25:
- Xi, Jinping. 2012. "Xi Jinping's Speech: We Must Continue the Path of Developing Socialism with Chinese Characteristics, Promote the Party's Message from the 18th Congress." 习近平:紧紧围绕坚持和发展中国特色社会主义学习宣传

贯 彻 党 的 十 八 大 精 神 . http://cpc.people.com.cn/n/2012/1119/c64094-19615998-3 html

- Xi, Jinping. 2016. "Speech to a Humanities and Social Sciences Conference." 习近平在哲学社会科学工作座谈会上的讲话.
  - $h\; ttp: // n\; e\; w\; s\; .\; x\; in\; h\; u\; a\; n\; e\; t\; .\; c\; o\; m\; /p\; o\; litic\; s\; /2\; 0\; 1\; 6\; -0\; 5\; /1\; 8\; /c\; \_\; 1\; 1\; 1\; 8\; 8\; 9\; 1\; 1\; 2\; 8\; . h\; tm\;\; .$
- Xu, C. 2006. "New-general Rural Migrants' Willingness to Marry City People: A study of Various Factors."新生代农民工与市民通婚意愿及影响因素研究.

  Youth Studies青年研究 9:38-43.
- Yan, Hairong. 2008. New Masters, New Servants: Migration, Development, and

  Women Workers in China. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Yan, L. 2014. "'I Wanta Home in the City': An Investigation into the Marriage of New-Generation Rural Migrants."想在城里安个家一一关注新生代农民工婚恋状况 Qiantang Theoretical Research 钱塘理论
- Yan, Y. 2010. "The Chinese Path to Individualization." British Journal of Sociology, 61 (3), 489-512.

 $h\,ttp://q\,tl1.h\,z\,x\,c\,w\,.g\,o\,v\,.c\,n\,/z\,x\,z\,x\,x/x\,x\,th/c\,o\,n\,te\,n\,t/2\,0\,1\,4\,-0\,9\,/1\,8\,/c\,o\,n\,te\,n\,t_{-}\,5\,6\,2\,9\,5\,4\,9\,.h\,tm\,$ 

- Yang, L. and Shu, R. 2010. "A Study of the Modern Attitudes of New-Generation

  Rural Migrant Workers to Marriage."新生代农民工婚恋观的现代性研究. The

  Academic Journal of the Shanxi Agricultural University 3:279-281.
- Y anow, D. 2007. "Interpretation in policy analysis: On methods and practice", Critical Policy Studies, 1(1): 110-122.

- Zhang, L. 2001. Strangers in the City: Reconfigurations of Space, Power, and Social

  Networks within China's Floating Population. Stanford, CA: Stanford University

  Press.
- Zhang, L. 2002. "Spatiality and Urban Citizenship in Late Socialist China." *Public Culture* 14 (2): 311-34.
- Zhang. J. and Sun, P. 2014. "'When Are You Going to Get Married?'" Parental

  Matchmaking and Middle-Class Women in Contemporary urban China." In

  Wives, Husbands, and Lovers: Marriage and Sexuality in Hong Kong, Taiwan,

  and Urban China, edited by D. Davis and S. Friedman, 118–146. Stanford, CA:

  Stanford University Press.
- Zhao, Lu. 2013 "A Preliminary Discussion of New-generation Rural Migrants"

  Attitudes to Marriage from the Perspective of Social Interaction."试论新生代农民工的婚恋观-以社会互动为视角, Social Studies社会研究19:129-30.
- Zhu, G. 2012. "From Tradition to Modernity: Dilemmas in New-generation Rural Migrants' Marriage Prospects." 传统到现代:新生代农民工的婚恋转型及困境 Xinjiang Social Sciences Research新疆社会科学 2012 (3):130-134.

Although it is difficult to find published evidence pointing to this, this observation is borne out by my personal communication with numerous social scientists in China.

This paper is part of a multi-year ethnographic project aiming to explore rural migrants' views on and experience with love, romance and intimacy. While drawing on insights from our fieldwork whenever it is relevant, this paper is not ethnographic, and is more concerned with the politics of discourse.