Is there an association between the levels and changes in Vice Chancellors' and Chief Executive Officers' compensation and the performance of Australian universities and Government Business Enterprises?

Greg Pazmandy

Supervisory Panel:

Professor Martin Bugeja

Professor Zoltan Matolcsy

Dr Brett Govendir

Submitted to the Accounting Discipline Group in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

at the

University of Technology Sydney

April 2017

## **Certificate of Authorship / Originality**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as part of the collaborative doctoral degree and/or fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship

5<sup>th</sup> May 2017

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank and acknowledge the guidance and contribution of my supervisors Professor Zoltan Matolcsy, Professor Martin Bugeja and Dr Brett Govendir.

Professor Zoltan Matolcsy has had a significant positive influence on my life both professionally and more importantly as a life-long friend. He has been extremely generous with his informed guidance, patience and contribution during my candidature which has only strengthened and added another dimension to our friendship.

In the many meetings held with my supervisors, Professor Martin Bugeja always provided an alternative well informed perspective on my work. On many occasions a couple of words from Martin, resulted in a couple of days of work for me; however, it was always relevant and constructive feedback that not only enhanced the quality of my work but also revealed the intricacies of research. The overnight feedback express was also greatly appreciated.

The support provided by Dr Brett Govendir on the quantitative aspects of my PhD was extremely valuable. I would also like to thank Mr Jakob Govendir for sharing his special skills with numbers, quantitative processes and analysis of results with me. Additionally, I would like to thank Brett and Jakob for encouraging me to undertake a PhD back in July 2012.

I am extremely grateful to my wife Min Li and my sons Ashley, Anthony and Maximilian for their patience, support and understanding over the last five years.

There are also many special people who deserve acknowledgement. In particular, my close friend, the late Professor Dan Dhaliwal with whom I have spent many days both in Sydney and Arizona on a professional and social basis and who I considered a very close friend. His insight, knowledge and encouragement was of immense value in the initial stages of my PhD. I would also like to thank, Professors Dan Simunic and Gere Francis for their review of my work and their insightful and value adding comments. Additionally, I would like to thank my fellow academic staff members at UTS for their comments and encouragement.

Completing my PhD was a very enjoyable and enriching experience, giving me a new dimension to my career as an academic. Finally, I would like to collectively thank the many people who shared with me their time, knowledge, wisdom and encouragement.

## **Table of Contents**

Certificate of original authorship i				
Ackn	owlde	gments	ii	
Chapter 1		Introduction	1	
Chapter 2		Relation between Vice Chancellors' Compensation and the performance of Australian Universities	6	
1	Intr	oduction	7	
2	Lite	erature Review and Hypothesis Development	12	
	2.1	Institutional Setting of Australian Universities	12	
	2.2	Job Description for VCs at Australian Universities	15	
	2.3	Empirical evidence on VC's compensation	16	
	2.4	Theory Development and Hypothesis	18	
3	San	nple & Data	22	
	3.1	Experimental Design	32	
4	Ma	in Results	34	
	4.1	Main Results based on the Aggregate GUG Rankings	34	
	4.2	Main Results based on the Individual GUG Rankings	39	
	4.3	Main Results based on Changes ( $\Delta$ ) for both Dependent and Independent variables	42	
5	Sen	sitivity Analysis	45	
	5.1	Alternative Performance Measures	45	
	5.1.1	Model 1 results based on QS & ARWU Alternative Performance Measures	45	
	5.1.2	Model 2 results based on QS & ARWU Alternative Performance Measures	46	
	5.1.3	Model 3 results based on QS & ARWU Alternative Performance Measures	47	
	5.1.4	Model 4 results based on QS & ARWU Alternative Performance Measures	48	
	5.1.5	Model 5 results based on QS & ARWU Alternative Performance Measures	49	
	5.2	The Association between Current VC Compensation and Future Rankings.	50	

	5.3	The Association between the Different Performance Measures a Abnormal Growth in VC compensation	
	5.4	Alternative Institutional Control Variable – Growth in Administration	
6	Coi	nclusions	
		A – Tables supporting the sensitivity analysis	
		B – Schedule of Higher Education Providers in Australia	
•		-	
Cna	pter 3	The relation between CEOs' compensation and performance Government Business Enterprises	
1	Intr	oduction	
2		stitutional setting and hypothesis development	
	2.1	Institutional setting	
		Legislation specifying the Objectives of GBEs	
		Legislation with respect to Ownership Structure and Responsibility for GBEs	lity
	2.1.3	Legislation covering the Reporting Requirements of GBEs	84
	2.2	Corporate Governance Mechanisms of GBEs	84
	2.2.1	Legislation relating to Board Appointments	85
	2.2.2	Legislation covering the Appointment of the CEO of GBEs	86
	2.2.3	Legislation covering the Remuneration of Directors	87
	2.2.4	Legislation covering the Remuneration of CEOs.	88
	2.2.5	Legislation specifying Performance Measures and Community Service Obligations	89
	2.2.6	Summary of Governance Legislation	90
	2.3	Political Interference in the Corporate Governance Mechanism GBEs	
	2.4	Empirical Evidence on the Pay / Performance relation in the Pul Sector	
	2.5	Alternative Theoretical Perspectives on the Pay Performance Relation for CEOs of GBEs	94
3	San	nple, Data and Research Design	96
	3.1	Sample	97
	3.2	Data	100
	3.3	Experimental Design	106
1	Ma	in Results	110

5 Se	ensitivity Analysis	130
5.1	Alternative Economic, Compensation Measures and Performan	
	Measures	130
5.1.	1 Alternative Size Measure using Total Assets	130
5.1.2	2 GBEs and CEO Bonus payments	133
5.1.3	3 Alternative Performance Measures (Using Lagged Performanc	e
	Measures)	
6 Co	onclusion	140
Appendi	x A – Sensitivity Results using Total Assets as alternate Size	
N	Measure	144
Appendi	x B – Sensitivity Results using Bonus Payments as alternative	
C	Compensation measure	152
Appendi	x C – Sensitivity Results using Lagged (Prior Year) Performance	
N	Measures	161
Chapter 4	Conclusions	165
Rihliogra	aphy	171
Dioliogic	ършу	1 / 1

## **List of Tables and Appendices**

# **List of Tables – Chapter 2**

Table 1 – Sample Construction for the period 2005-2012.	23
Table 2 – Rankings data used as performance measures	24
Table 3 – Variable names and definitions	27
Table 4 – Panel A – Descriptive Statistics – Raw and Logged Data	28
Table 5 – Pearson and Spearman Correlations Matrix – Log Data	31
Table 6 – Regressions results for Models 1, 2 & 3 - Evaluating VC's compensation	35
Table 7 – Regression using individual performance measures	40
Table 8 – Regression using changes in VC's compensation, size and institutional controls	43
Chapter 2 – Appendix A – Alternative Performance Measures and Future Rank Table A1 – QS Rankings - Variables names and definitions	
Table A2 – ARWU Rankings - Variables names and definitions	59
Table A3 - Regression results for Model 1 using QS and ARWU Rankings	.60
Table A4 - Regression results for Model 2 using QS and ARWU Rankings	.61
Table A5 - Regression results for Model 3 using QS and ARWU Rankings	62
Table A6 - Regression results for Model 3A using QS and ARWU Rankings	63
Table A7 - Regression results for Model 4 using QS and ARWU Rankings	.64
Table A8 - Regression results for Model 5 using QS and ARWU Rankings	65
Table A9 - Regression results for Model 1 Using Next period's Total Ranking Points (t + 1) as the Dependent Variable with the current period VC's compensation being the key independent variable	
Table A10 - Regression results for Model 1 Using Total Ranking Points two years ahead (t + 2) as the Dependent Variable with the current period's VC Pay being the key independent variable	_
Table A11 - Regression results for Model 2 Using Next period's Ranking Points as the Dependent Variable with the current period changes in VC's compensation being the key independent variable	ng
Table A12 - Regression results for Model 2 Using Total Ranking Points two years ahead (t + 2) as the Dependent Variable with the current period changes in VC compensation being the key independent variable	
Table A13 – Details of the Total Rank score and descriptive statistics by University a year.	

## **List of Tables – Chapter 3**

Table 1 – Sample Construction covering the period 2006 – 2013 inclusive	97
Table 2 – Classification of Observations by Community Service Obligations (CSOs).	98
Table 3 – Sample Observations Classified by GICS Sector	99
Table 4 – Sample Observations by State and CSOs	00
Table 5 – Variables used in Empirical tests	02
Table 6 - Descriptive Statistics	03
Table 7 – Correlation Matrix – PEARSON & SPEARMAN (above the diagonal) 10	05
Table 8 - Model 1 investigating the association between CEO Total Compensation and performance	
Table 9 - Model 2 investigating the association between changes in CEO Total  Compensation and changes in GBEs performance	13
Table 10 - Model 3 - Test of whether the current level of CEO compensation provides an incentive for improving next year's performance	
Table 11 - Data used for the calculation of the Instrumental Variable	18
Table 12 - Model 3 - 1SLS regression with Ln CEO Total Comp being the Dependent variable and the introduction of the Instrumental Variable ( <i>IV Rec_GICS</i> ) as a regressor.	
Table 13 - Model 3 - 2SLS investigating the association between future performance based on the inclusion of the predicted value of CEO Total Comp (from 1SLS).	21
Table 14 - Model 4 investigating the association between changes in future performance (ΔROA, ΔPM and ΔATO based on current changes in CEO Total Compensation	
Table 15 - Model 5 reports on CEO compensation investigating the pay performance relation with the inclusion of indicator variables representing the largest (by number of observations) (i) Industry (GICS) Sector, and (ii) State	26
Table 16 - Model 6 reports on the Change in CEO compensation with the inclusion of the largest (by number of observations) Industry and State indicator variables.	. 28

# Chapter 3 – Appendix A – Alternative Economic Size Measure

Table A1 - Model 1 substituting Total Assets as the alternate Economic Size  Measure	144
Table A2 - Model 2 using Change in Total Assets as Economic Size Measure	145
Table A3 - Model 3 using Total Assets as the alternate Economic Size Measure	146
Table A4 - Model 3 - 1SLS results with the economic size measure being Total Assets.	147
Table A5 - Model 3 - 2SLS results using Total Assets as the Economic Size measure.	148
Table A6 - Model 4 using ΔTotal Assets as the economic size measure	149
Table A7 - Model 5 using Total Assets as the economic size measure.	150
Table A8 - Model 6 using ΔTotal Assets as the economic size measure	151
Chapter 3 – Appendix B – Alternative Compensation Measure - Bonus Paymo	ents
Table B1 - Model 1 using CEO Bonus as the dependent variable	152
Table B2 - Model 2 using %Δ CEO Bonus as the dependent variable	153
Table B3 - Model 3 using CEO Bonus as the compensation measure	154
Table B4 - Model 3 - 1SLS using CEO Bonus as the compensation measure to asset possibility of endogeneity.	
Table B5 - Model 3 - 2SLS results using CEO Bonus as the compensation measure	e 156
Table B6 - Model 4 using ΔCEO Bonus as the compensation measure	157
Table B7 - Model 5 using CEO Bonus as the dependent variable	158
Table B8 - Model 6 using %ΔCEO Bonus as the dependent variable	159
Table B9 - Logistic Regression using and Indicator variable for Bonus Payment	160
Chapter 3 Appendix C – Using Lagged Performance Measures (Prior year's)	
Table C1 - Model 1 using one (1) year lagged performance measures	161
Table C2 - Model 2 using change (Δ) in lagged performance measures.	162
Table C3 - Model 5 using lagged performance measures as regressors.	163
Table C4 - Model 6 using change in lagged performance measures as regressors	164

#### Abstract:

Universities and Government Business Enterprises (GBEs) are economically significant and form part of the Australian public sector. Over the last thirty years both Universities and GBEs have gone through significant regulatory changes with respect to enhancing their efficiency and effectiveness. These changes have led to, amongst other things, explicit and implicit performance requirements for these entities coupled with the deregulation of University Vice Chancellor (VC) and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of GBEs compensation. This thesis investigates the question of:

"Is there an association between the levels and changes in VCs' and CEOs' compensation and the performance of Australian universities and GBEs?"

The key findings are: (i) there is no association between external University rankings and levels of and changes in VC compensation, (ii) there is no association between financial performance measures of GBEs and levels of and changes in CEO compensation even after controlling for their community service obligations. The only explanatory variable that is associated with both VCs' and CEOs' compensation is size. Both sets of results are robust with respect to alternative econometric specifications, alternative variable specifications and other sensitivity tests.