



**THE EFFECTS OF CROSS-FUNCTIONAL  
INTEGRATION MECHANISMS AND CUSTOMER  
CHARACTERISTICS ON THE OUTCOMES OF NEW  
PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS**

**Vi Tran**

A thesis submitted to the University of Technology Sydney in fulfilment of  
the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Marketing

**2017**

## **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Date:

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis could not have been completed without the help and support of many individuals and organizations, and this is an opportunity for me to give my thanks to them.

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Dr Graham Massey, Associate Professor Paul Burke, and Dr Jan Hohberger, who made the perfect supervising team, provided me with invaluable advice and encouragement, and proofread the manuscript of this thesis, thus greatly enhancing this research.

Many thanks go to Associate Professor Francois Carrillat who recommended me to test common method bias in this research. My appreciation is also extended to Associate Professor Liem Ngo (UNSW) for his helpful suggestions when I collected data. I would also like to thank Professor Lester Johnson (Swinburne University of Technology) and the anonymous examiner for their helpful comments on my work.

I would appreciate the help of Michael Lee at the early stage of my data collection. I thank Julie for helping me in identifying eligible respondents and inviting them to participate in this study. My appreciation also goes to managers who gave up their valuable time to give feedback on the drafts of my questionnaires and who completed the questionnaires.

I greatly benefited from coursework, seminars, and workshops delivered by Marketing Discipline Group, UTS Business School, UTS Graduate Research School, and UNSW Business School. Therefore, my sincere thanks are due to the academics, visiting academics, and professional staff of these schools.

I am grateful to University of Technology Sydney and Vietnam International Education Development for their financial support. I would also like to thank Marketing Discipline Group for providing me with funds to collect data.

I thank Jonny Wells, helpers at churches in Bankstown and Riverwood, and the librarians of Bankstown library for helping me improve my English skills. My appreciation also goes to my dear colleagues at Vietnam Aviation Academy and my close friends, who always gave me a hand when help was needed.

I am deeply indebted to my parents, who have been looking after my little daughter for more than four years, during which I and my wife have not been being by her side. My sincere thanks are also extended to my mother-in-law, my aunts and uncles, my younger sister, my younger brother-in-law, and my cousins, who have always been there.

Finally, to my wife, Hoang Cao, and our beloved daughter, Duc Tran, the word ‘thanks’ seems not to be sufficient. Without their love and sacrifices, this work could not have been possible. I dedicate this thesis to my wife and my daughter.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	v
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xv
LIST OF TABLES .....	xvi
ABSTRACT .....	xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Background to the research .....	1
1.1.1 The importance of new product development (NPD) .....	1
1.1.2 The high failure rates of new products .....	2
1.1.3 The primary focus of the research .....	3
1.2 Research problems and objectives .....	6
1.3 Research contributions .....	8
1.3.1 Theoretical contributions .....	8
1.3.2 Managerial contributions .....	9
1.4 Methodology .....	10
1.5 Definitions of key terms .....	10
1.6 Research scope .....	12
1.6.1 Industries to be researched .....	12
1.6.2 Unit of analysis .....	12

1.7	Outline of the thesis .....	12
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....		15
2.1	Introduction .....	15
2.2	Success factors of NPD projects .....	15
2.2.1	Marketplace characteristics .....	16
2.2.2	Organizational characteristics .....	17
2.2.3	Product characteristics .....	18
2.2.4	Strategy characteristics .....	20
2.2.5	Process characteristics .....	22
2.3	Justification for examining the effects of cross-functional integration mechanisms and customer characteristics on NPD performance .....	23
2.4	Review of cross-functional integration mechanisms .....	30
2.4.1	Job rotation .....	30
2.4.2	The use of cross-functional teams .....	32
2.4.3	Cross-functional training .....	34
2.4.4	Superordinate goals .....	36
2.4.5	Co-location .....	38
2.4.6	Information and communication technology (ICT) .....	40
2.4.7	Informal coordination .....	42
2.4.8	Joint reward systems .....	43
2.5	Review of customer characteristics .....	46
2.5.1	Customers with lead user characteristics .....	47

2.5.2	Innovative customers .....	49
2.5.3	Customers with product expertise .....	51
2.5.4	Customers with price expertise .....	53
2.5.5	Financially attractive customers .....	54
2.6	The multi-dimensional nature of NPD performance .....	55
2.7	Conclusion .....	59
CHAPTER 3 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK & HYPOTHESES .....		60
3.1	Introduction .....	60
3.2	Theoretical background .....	60
3.2.1	Information processing perspective .....	61
3.2.2	Resource dependence theory .....	63
3.3	Conceptual framework .....	66
3.3.1	Dependent variables .....	68
3.3.2	Antecedent variables .....	69
3.3.2.1	Cross-functional integration mechanisms .....	69
3.3.2.2	Customer characteristics .....	72
3.3.3	Control variables .....	73
3.4	Hypothesis development .....	74
3.4.1	The effects of job rotation .....	74
3.4.2	The effects of cross-functional team use .....	76
3.4.3	The effects of cross-functional training .....	78

3.4.4	The effect of superordinate goals .....	80
3.4.5	The effects of co-location.....	82
3.4.6	The effects of information and communication technology (ICT) .....	84
3.4.7	The effects of informal coordination.....	86
3.4.8	The effects of joint reward systems .....	88
3.4.9	The effects of customers with lead user characteristics .....	90
3.4.10	The effects of innovative customers .....	93
3.4.11	The effects of customers with product expertise.....	95
3.4.12	The effects of customers with price expertise.....	98
3.4.13	The effects of financially attractive customers .....	100
3.5	Conclusion.....	102
CHAPTER 4 METHODOLOGY .....		103
4.1	Introduction .....	103
4.2	Research philosophy.....	103
4.3	Research approach.....	105
4.4	Research strategy.....	106
4.5	Research design .....	107
4.6	Measures of the constructs .....	109
4.6.1	Job rotation.....	110
4.6.2	Cross-functional team use .....	110
4.6.3	Cross-functional training.....	111



4.6.4	Superordinate goals.....	112
4.6.5	Co-location.....	112
4.6.6	The use of information and communication technology (ICT) .....	113
4.6.7	Informal coordination.....	114
4.6.8	Joint reward systems .....	115
4.6.9	Customers with lead user characteristics .....	115
4.6.10	Innovative customers .....	117
4.6.11	Customers with product expertise.....	117
4.6.12	Customers with price expertise .....	118
4.6.13	Financially attractive customers.....	118
4.6.14	NPD speed.....	119
4.6.15	New product advantage.....	120
4.6.16	New product success .....	120
4.7	Sampling procedure and expected sample size .....	121
4.7.1	Target population .....	121
4.7.2	Key informants of the research .....	121
4.7.3	Process of constructing sampling frame .....	122
4.7.4	Expected sample size .....	124
4.8	Data collection.....	125
4.8.1	The issue of common method bias (CMB) .....	125
4.8.2	Questionnaire development.....	126

4.8.3	Questionnaire design.....	129
4.8.4	Process of data collection.....	130
4.8.5	Data screening and the achieved sample size .....	131
4.9	Methods of data analysis .....	134
4.9.1	Overview of structural equation modeling (SEM).....	134
4.9.2	Covariance-based SEM (CB-SEM) and variance-based SEM (PLS-SEM) 135	
4.9.3	Justifications for using PLS-SEM.....	136
4.9.4	Two-step approach to evaluation of PLS-SEM results.....	138
4.9.4.1	Evaluation of the measurement models.....	138
4.9.4.2	Evaluation of the structural models .....	140
4.10	Ethics considerations .....	143
4.11	Conclusion .....	143
CHAPTER 5 DATA ANALYSIS & RESULTS.....		144
5.1	Introduction .....	144
5.2	Data examination.....	144
5.2.1	Detecting outliers .....	144
5.2.2	Missing data .....	146
5.2.3	Data distribution.....	147
5.2.4	Testing for nonresponse bias.....	147
5.3	Descriptive empirical findings .....	148
5.3.1	Characteristics of firms .....	148

5.3.2	Characteristics of respondents.....	151
5.3.3	Characteristics of NPD projects.....	152
5.4	Evaluation of measurement models.....	155
5.4.1	Internal consistency reliability.....	157
5.4.2	Indicator reliability.....	159
5.4.3	Convergent validity.....	160
5.4.4	Discriminant validity.....	161
5.5	Evaluation of structural models.....	166
5.5.1	Collinearity assessment.....	166
5.5.2	Evaluation of structural model 1.....	167
5.5.2.1	Results of path coefficients ( $\beta$ ).....	169
5.5.2.2	Result of the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ).....	173
5.5.2.3	Result of the predictive relevance ( $Q^2$ ).....	173
5.5.2.4	Post hoc statistical power calculation.....	173
5.5.3	Evaluation of structural model 2.....	174
5.5.3.1	Results of path coefficients ( $\beta$ ).....	176
5.5.3.2	Result of the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ).....	179
5.5.3.3	Result of the predictive relevance ( $Q^2$ ).....	179
5.5.3.4	Post hoc statistical power calculation.....	179
5.5.4	Evaluation of structural model 3.....	180
5.5.4.1	Results of path coefficients ( $\beta$ ).....	182

5.5.4.2	Result of the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ).....	185
5.5.4.3	Result of the predictive relevance ( $Q^2$ ).....	185
5.5.4.4	Post hoc statistical power calculation .....	185
5.5.5	Summary of the results of three models.....	186
5.5.5.1	Summary of structural model results .....	187
5.5.5.2	Summary of effect size $f^2$ and effect size $q^2$ .....	190
5.6	Additional analyses .....	193
5.6.1	Importance-Performance Matrix Analysis (IPMA) .....	193
5.6.2	Testing common method bias (CMB).....	196
5.6.2.1	Harman's single-factor test.....	196
5.6.2.2	Common method factor test.....	197
5.6.2.3	Full collinearity assessment.....	198
5.7	Conclusion.....	199
CHAPTER 6 DISCUSSION & IMPLICATIONS .....		201
6.1	Introduction .....	201
6.2	Discussion of the results and managerial implications .....	201
6.2.1	Overall results of cross-functional integration mechanisms .....	201
6.2.1.1	Co-location - the most effective mechanism .....	201
6.2.1.2	Superordinate goals - the second most effective mechanism .....	203
6.2.1.3	ICT use - the third most important mechanism .....	205
6.2.1.4	The effects of cross-functional training.....	208

6.2.1.5	The effects of joint reward systems .....	209
6.2.1.6	Mechanisms with no effects .....	211
6.2.2	Overall results of customer characteristics .....	217
6.2.2.1	Customers with product expertise - the best partners of firms .....	218
6.2.2.2	Customers with lead user characteristics - the second most important partners	219
6.2.2.3	The effects of financially attractive customers .....	222
6.2.2.4	Types of customers with no effects .....	224
6.2.3	Managerial actions according to NPD objectives .....	227
6.2.4	The effects of control variables .....	230
6.3	Theoretical implications .....	232
6.4	Limitations and directions for future research .....	236
6.5	Conclusion .....	239
APPENDICES .....		241
Appendix 1. Human ethics approval .....		241
Appendix 2. Invitation letter .....		243
Appendix 3. Information sheet .....		244
Appendix 4. Questionnaire .....		246
Appendix 5. Outliers of control variables .....		254
Appendix 6. Missing data & data distribution .....		256
Appendix 7. Independent-samples t test .....		258
Appendix 8. Outer loadings .....		261

Appendix 9. Cross loadings .....	267
Appendix 10. Common method bias analysis for model 1 .....	270
Appendix 11. Common method bias analysis for model 2 .....	272
Appendix 12. Common method bias analysis for model 3 .....	274
REFERENCES.....	276

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Conceptual model .....	67
Figure 4.1 The process of deduction .....	106
Figure 5.1 Percentage of various NPD project types .....	153
Figure 5.2 Involved departments in NPD project .....	154
Figure 5.3 Model 1 – The effects of eight cross-functional mechanisms and five customer characteristics on NPD speed .....	168
Figure 5.4 Model 2 – The effects of eight cross-functional mechanisms and five customer characteristics on new product advantage .....	175
Figure 5.5 Model 3 – The effects of eight cross-functional mechanisms and five customer characteristics on new product success .....	181

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Typical success factors of NPD projects.....	16
Table 2.2 Empirical research on the relationship between cross-functional integration and NPD performance.....	26
Table 2.3 Empirical research on the relationship between customer integration and NPD performance.....	27
Table 4.1 Indicators of job rotation (Code: Rotation).....	110
Table 4.2 Indicators of cross-functional team use (Code: CFTeam).....	111
Table 4.3 Indicators of cross-functional training (Code: Training).....	111
Table 4.4 Indicators of superordinate goals (Code: Goal).....	112
Table 4.5 Indicators of co-location (Code: Colocation).....	113
Table 4.6 Indicators of the use of ICT (Code: ICT).....	114
Table 4.7 Indicators of informal coordination (Code: Informal).....	114
Table 4.8 Indicators of joint reward systems (Code: Reward).....	115
Table 4.9 Indicators of customers with lead user characteristics (Code: Lead).....	116
Table 4.10 Indicators of innovative customers (Code: Inno).....	117
Table 4.11 Indicators of customers with product expertise (Code: ProdKnow).....	118
Table 4.12 Indicators of customers with price expertise (Code: PriceKnow).....	118
Table 4.13 Indicators of financially attractive customers (Code: Bulk).....	119
Table 4.14 Indicators of NPD speed (Code: Speed).....	119
Table 4.15 Indicators of new product advantage (Code: Advantage).....	120



Table 4.16 Indicators of new product success (Code: Success).....	121
Table 4.17 Comparison between CB-SEM and PLS-SEM.....	136
Table 5.1 Industry profile of the sample .....	149
Table 5.2 Respondent titles .....	151
Table 5.3 Summary of constructs and their codes .....	157
Table 5.4 Internal consistency reliability .....	159
Table 5.5 Average Variance Extracted (AVE) .....	161
Table 5.6 Fornell & Larcker criterion .....	164
Table 5.7 Heterotrait-Monotrait ratio (HTMT).....	165
Table 5.8 Collinearity assessment.....	167
Table 5.9 PLS testing results of model 1 .....	170
Table 5.10 Post hoc statistical power .....	174
Table 5.11 PLS testing results of model 2 .....	176
Table 5.12 Post hoc statistical power .....	180
Table 5.13 PLS testing results of model 3 .....	182
Table 5.14 Post hoc statistical power .....	186
Table 5.15 PLS testing results of three models.....	187
Table 5.16 Summary of the hypothesis assessment .....	189
Table 5.17 Results of $f^2$ value and $q^2$ value.....	192
Table 5.18 Results of Importance-Performance Matrix Analysis.....	194
Table 5.19 Full collinearity assessment .....	199

Table 6.1 Rankings of the effectiveness of cross-functional integration mechanisms and customer characteristics .....	228
---	-----

## **ABSTRACT**

Over the past numbers of years, the important role of new product development (NPD) has been acknowledged by both academics and practitioners. NPD is not only a crucial means for the survival and renewal of organizations, but also a valuable source of competitive advantage and prosperity of firms. New products have been found to account for up to 50% of sales and 50% of profits of firms. Firms, however, have to confront the high failure rates of new products, which range from 40% to 50%. The importance of NPD, coupled with the poor performance of new products, motivates us to look for the drivers of new product success.

The literature suggests that cross-functional integration mechanisms and customer involvement in NPD projects are crucial for new product success. Nonetheless, there is lack of research on the direct impact of cross-functional integration mechanisms and customer characteristics on NPD performance. Therefore, we aim to identify which cross-functional integration mechanisms and which customer characteristics directly affect NPD outcomes.

To this end, based upon the information processing perspective and resource dependence theory, we proposed a conceptual model and developed hypotheses of the relationships between cross-functional integration mechanisms, customer characteristics, and NPD outcomes. Data was collected from marketing managers, sales managers, product managers, brand managers, and the like who have been working in Australian firms and have been involved in NPD projects. The hypotheses were tested by employing variance-based structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM).

We find that five mechanisms, namely co-location, superordinate goals, the use of information and communication technology, cross-functional training, and joint reward systems have a positive impact on NPD outcomes (i.e. NPD speed, new product advantage, and new product success), whilst three other mechanisms, namely job rotation, the use of cross-functional teams, and informal coordination do not.

Concerning the customer side, customers with product expertise, customers with lead user characteristics, and financially attractive customers can help firms enhance NPD speed, new product advantage, and new product success. Nevertheless, innovative customers and customers with price expertise have no influence on these three NPD outcomes.

Our study adds to the limited research on the direct effects of cross-functional integration mechanisms and customer characteristics on NPD outcomes, and provides a more comprehensive picture of the factors driving NPD performance than existing studies in the research stream. Our findings also enable firms to select the effective integration mechanisms as well as the right customers for NPD projects, thereby maximizing the success of new products.