

**Assessing the vulnerability of a habitat forming
macroalga to climate warming: Roles of physiology,
ecology and evolutionary processes in determining
resilience**



Jennifer S. Clark

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Science,
Climate Change Cluster (C₃), School of Life Sciences, University of Technology Sydney
September 2016

Supervisors:

Assoc Prof Martina A. Doblin (UTS)
Assoc Prof Alistair G.B. Poore (UNSW)
Dr Melinda A. Coleman (NSW Department of Industries)
Prof Peter J. Ralph (UTS)

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as part of the collaborative doctoral degree and/or fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Date: September 9th 2016

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

What a journey this has been! I am immensely grateful to the many people who have helped me along the way. I would first and foremost like to thank my supervisors, Martina Doblin, Alistair Poore, Melinda Coleman and Peter Ralph for their endless knowledge, guidance, support and encouragement throughout my candidature and for helping me develop into the scientist I am today. I have learnt so much from you individually about science, about being a researcher as well as about life. I would also like to thank Alecia Bellgrove and Aimee Hauser for showing me the ropes in the molecular world as well as Anthony Van Rooyan for helping me with the microsatellite work. I would also like to thank Chris Evenhuis for his help with data analysis.

I would like to thank the UTS Australian Postgraduate Award, for supporting me during my PhD candidature as well the School of Life Sciences and Climate Change Cluster (C₃) for their generosity in funding my project.

Thank you to the members of the Climate Change Cluster (C₃), for your support as well as the technical staff Paul Brooks and Gemma Armstrong for technical support, keeping me safe in the lab and in the field as well as for the many laughs during our mid-hallway conversations. Thank you so much to the members of the Coastal Oceanography and Algal reSearch Team (COAST) for their support and friendship over the years. I also want to thank Jessica Tout, Lauren Messer, Allison McInnes and Michaela Larsson for your friendship over the years. You have been more than just colleagues at UTS, but life-long friends. We have had a lot of laughs and memories together and I thank you for always being there for me, through the thick and the thin.

To all the volunteers I have had over the years, I am grateful for your help within the field. Thank you, Daniel Lewis, Caitlin Lawson, Margarita Albas, Charlotte Robinson (that time when it was storming...) Madeline Ross, Sanjana Saxena, Kirralee Baker and Lisa Roberts.

Finally, I would like to dedicate this thesis to my husband Dan and our families. I want to thank my husband Dan (and best field assistant ever) for his love, support and encouragement throughout this journey. You are my rock. You believed in me, when I didn't believe in myself. You saw me through the good, the bad and the ugly and you kicked my butt when I needed it. Thank you, for your patience, for looking after me and for being there with me every step of the way. To our families, thank you for all your love and support over the years. To my brothers, Jason and Jonathan, thank you for your support and thoughtful conversations over cyber space and for all your silly antics that brought a smile to my face, even oceans apart. To my parents, Jeff and Nina, thank you for teaching me to follow my heart -even if it means to the opposite end of the world, for teaching me to love the natural world around me and for all your love and encouragement. To my dad, the green warrior, thank you for inspiring me to love science, for our endless conversations about the natural world, and for teaching me to look after our best investment – our environment.

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Table of Contents | |
| CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP | i |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | ii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xii |
| ABSTRACT | xiv |
| Chapter 1: General Introduction and Thesis Outline | 1 |
| 1.1. INTRODUCTION | 2 |
| 1.2. Variation in thermal tolerance of intertidal algae | 5 |
| 1.3. Physiological tolerances of individuals | 6 |
| 1.3.1. Morphology and physiology..... | 7 |
| 1.3.2. Regional scale variation..... | 8 |
| 1.3.3. Small scale variation..... | 10 |
| 1.4. The effects of genetic diversity and gene flow on determining vulnerability to climate change | 11 |
| 1.4.1. Genetic variation within a population and the potential for adaptation..... | 11 |
| 1.4.2. Gene flow between populations..... | 12 |
| 1.5. Potential for transgenerational effects | 13 |
| 1.5.1. Life history stages..... | 13 |
| 1.5.2. Transgenerational effects..... | 15 |
| 1.6. Study organism | 15 |
| 1.7. Thesis outline | 16 |
| Chapter 2 Shaping up for stress: Physiological consequences of variation in morphology of an intertidal alga | 18 |
| 2. ABSTRACT | 19 |
| 2.1. INTRODUCTION | 20 |
| 2.2. METHODS | 24 |

| | | |
|--|--|-----------|
| 2.2.1. | Sample collection and study sites | 24 |
| 2.2.2. | Thallus and vesicle morphology | 24 |
| 2.2.3. | Relative water content and photosynthetic efficiency during desiccation and re-immersion | 25 |
| 2.2.4. | Statistical analyses | 26 |
| 2.2.5. | Predicting function from morphology | 27 |
| 2.3. | RESULTS | 28 |
| 2.3.1. | Thallus and vesicle morphology | 28 |
| 2.3.2. | Relative water content and photosynthetic efficiency during desiccation and re-immersion | 33 |
| 2.3.3. | Predicting function from morphology | 42 |
| 2.4. | DISCUSSION | 42 |
| 2.4.1. | Local scale differences in morphology and the effects on relative water content and photosynthetic efficiency of PSII | 43 |
| 2.4.2. | Regional scale differences in morphology and the effects on relative water content and photosynthetic efficiency of PSII | 45 |
| 2.4.3. | Conclusions | 48 |
| 2.5. | SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES AND TABLES | 50 |
| Chapter 3: Thermal variation experienced at different spatial scales reveals sensitivity to warming in a habitat-forming macroalga at the warm-edge of its distribution | | 54 |
| 3. | ABSTRACT | 55 |
| 3.1. | INTRODUCTION | 56 |
| 3.2. | METHODS | 60 |
| 3.2.1. | Study sites and collection of samples | 60 |
| 3.2.2. | Effects of temperature on embryo growth and ontogenesis | 61 |
| 3.2.3. | Effects of temperature on photophysiological traits | 62 |
| 3.2.4. | Statistical analyses | 64 |
| 3.3. | RESULTS | 64 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| 3.3.1. | Temperature regimes | 64 |
| 3.3.2. | Effects of temperature on embryo growth and ontogenesis | 66 |
| 3.3.3. | Effects of temperature on photophysiological traits | 73 |
| 3.4. | DISCUSSION | 77 |
| 3.4.1. | Regional scale variation in thermal tolerance | 77 |
| 3.4.2. | Local scale variation in thermal tolerance | 80 |
| 3.4.3. | Organismal scale variation in thermal tolerance | 82 |
| 3.4.4. | Further considerations for predicting <i>Hormosira banksii</i> response to warming | 83 |
| 3.4.5. | Conclusions | 84 |
| 3.5. | SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES | 86 |
| Chapter 4: Genetic structure of a habitat-forming macroalga at multiple spatial scales. | | |
| | | 90 |
| 4. | ABSTRACT | 91 |
| 4.1. | INTRODUCTION | 92 |
| 4.2. | METHODS | 96 |
| 4.2.1. | Study species | 96 |
| 4.2.2. | Collection of samples and microsatellite amplification | 97 |
| 4.2.3. | Estimates of genetic diversity and structure | 99 |
| 4.3. | RESULTS | 102 |
| 4.3.1. | Genetic diversity and structure | 102 |
| 4.4. | DISCUSSION | 109 |
| 4.4.1. | Conclusions | 114 |
| 4.5. | SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE | 116 |
| Chapter 5: Is bigger better? Reproductive investment and maternal effects on the performance of early life stages of a habitat-forming macroalga | | |
| | | 117 |
| 5. | ABSTRACT | 118 |
| 5.1. | INTRODUCTION | 119 |
| 5.2. | METHODS | 121 |

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| 5.2.1. | Sample collection and variation in reproductive investment | 121 |
| 5.2.2. | Temperature effects on egg fertilisation and embryo growth | 122 |
| 5.2.3. | Statistical analysis | 127 |
| 5.3. | RESULTS | 127 |
| 5.3.1. | Variation in reproduction investment | 127 |
| 5.3.2. | Temperature effects on embryo growth | 130 |
| 5.4. | DISCUSSION | 135 |
| 5.4.1. | Reproductive investment | 135 |
| 5.4.2. | Relationship of egg size with embryo development..... | 136 |
| 5.4.3. | Effect of egg size on embryo metrics at variable temperatures..... | 138 |
| 5.4.4. | Conclusions | 139 |
| Chapter 6 General Discussion and Conclusions | | 140 |
| 6. | Overview..... | 141 |
| 6.1.1. | What scale of exposure is more physiologically important in populations of <i>H. banksii</i> ? | 141 |
| 6.1.2. | What are the physiological tolerances of <i>H. banksii</i> at different spatial scales?.. | 144 |
| 6.1.3. | Does the life history of <i>H. banksii</i> make it more vulnerable to climate warming? | 148 |
| 6.1.4. | What is the adaptive capacity of <i>H. banksii</i> to global warming? | 151 |
| 6.2. | Implications of this study | 154 |
| 6.2.1. | Suggestions for management of <i>H. banksii</i> in Australia and future directions | 155 |
| APPENDIX A..... | | 158 |
| | List of Supplementary of Figure and Table Captions..... | 158 |
| APPENDIX B | | 160 |
| REFERENCES..... | | 163 |

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: General framework to assess the vulnerability of species to global climate change. Framework adapted from Williams and others (2008). The parts of the framework addressed in each chapter are indicated by the dashed lines.....5

Figure 1.2: Conceptual diagram of *Hormosira banksii* life cycle of and processes which climate change may act upon.....14

Figure 2.1: Example of *H. banksii* phenotypes found across different locations, Angourie, Minnie Water, Pearl Beach and Bilgola Beach. Orange box (top) shows phenotypes from edge populations, while blue box (bottom) shows central populations.....29

Figure 2.2: Principle coordinates ordination of thalli from 4 locations (Angourie ANG, Minnie Water MW, Pearl Beach PB and Bilgola Beach BB) and across two tidal heights (low and high on the shore) based on all morphometric traits. In a) thalli are labelled by height on the shore and in b) thalli are labelled by location and region (filled symbols = marginal, open symbols= centre). The percentage of variation is represented by the first two principal coordinate axes which attributes 66.8% of variation amongst all morphometrics.....30

Figure 2.3: Changes in mean (\pm SE) relative water content (%) with time for high and low shore thalli under two temperature treatments 20 °C (filled) and 30 °C (unfilled) at 4 populations Angourie (ANG), Minnie Waters (MW), Pearl Beach (PB), and Bilgola Beach (BB). Bars above graph represent desiccation periods (white bars) where lights (400 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and heat treatments were on and re-immersion periods (black bars) where thalli were re-immersed, lights and heat turned off. Control treatments: low shore control (filled inverted triangle) and high shore control (open inverted triangle).....34

Figure 2.4: Mean (\pm SD) relative water content (%) of thalli collected from a) two heights on the shore and b) four locations at two time points: the end of the desiccation (4 h) and the initial measurement of recovery 30 minutes after thalli were resubmerged.....35

Figure 2.5: Mean (\pm SE) of photosynthetic efficiency of PSII (%) over time of thalli from high and low on the shore under two temperature treatments 20 °C (filled) and 30 °C (unfilled) at 4 populations Angourie (ANG), Minnie Waters (MW), Pearl Beach (PB), and Bilgola Beach (BB). Bars above graph represent desiccation periods (white bars) where lights (400 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and heat treatments were on and re-immersion periods (black bars) where thalli were re-immersed, lights and heat turned off. Control treatments: low shore control (filled inverted triangle) and high shore control (open inverted triangle).....38

Figure 2.6: Mean (\pm SD) of photosynthetic yield of thalli from a) two heights on the shore and b) four locations. Photosynthetic yield was measured at three time points: 1) initial, 2) the end of the desiccation period (4 h), and 3) 30 minutes after thalli were resubmerged. The controls remained submerged while the 20 °C and 30 °C temperature treatments were emergent during the desiccation period.....39

Figure 2.7: Mean (\pm SD) of percent loss of maximum quantum yield (F_v/F_M) between initial and final time point at a) 20 °C and b) 30 °C for thalli collected from two heights on the shore and four locations.....41

Figure 3.1: Mean maximum (■) and minimum (□) air temperature recorded by the Bureau of Meteorology between 1877 and 2013, and mean (\pm SD) offshore sea surface temperature (as detected by MODIS-Aqua satellite in 2013; ▲) for Minnie Water (a) and Pearl Beach (b). Grey columns represent the number of days over 35 °C. Lower plots show local intertidal temperature within the adult canopy (recorded using HOBO® pendent sensors that logged temperature every 10 min during a deployment in austral autumn) at low on the shore (c, d) and high shore height (e, f) at Minnie Water (marginal) and Pearl Beach (central), respectively.....65

Figure 3.2: Mean (\pm SD) embryo length after 5 days at 6 different temperatures (22, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 32 ° C) for Minnie Water (a) and Pearl Beach (b) population. Closed circles (●) represent embryos from low shore adults whereas open circles (○) represent embryos from high shore adults. Data from all crosses are pooled. (n = 54)67

Figure 3.3: Thermal reaction norms showing *H. banksii* embryo length at 120 h across 26, 28 and 30°C at Minnie Water (a) and Pearl Beach (b). Lines represent mean embryo length of each male and female cross (n = 6 embryos per cross). Black lines represent embryos from low on the shore and grey lines are embryos high on the shore.....69

Figure 3.4: Percentage (\pm SD) of *H. banksii* embryos reaching stage 3 and 4 or remaining in stage 0 after incubation at 6 different temperatures (22, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 32 ° C) for 5 days following fertilisation. Embryos are from marginal Minnie Water (a, b) and central Pearl Beach (c, d). Grey columns represent ontogenic stage 0 (fertilisation to condensation of the chloroplasts) amongst low shore (a, c) and high shore (b, d). Black columns represent stages 3 and 4 (elongation of the rhizoid coupled with secondary and tertiary rhizoid development and paraphysis development on top of the germinating cell) amongst low shore (a, c) and high shore (b, d). Data represent pooled crosses (n = 40)71

Figure 3.5: Mean proportion of energy dissipated amongst three complementary pathways photochemistry Y(II), unregulated nonphotochemical quenching Y(NO) and regulated nonphotochemical quenching Y(NPQ) in embryos from Minnie Water (a-d) and Pearl Beach (e-h) from low on the shore (a, b, e, f) and high on the shore (c, d, g, h) after incubation for 5 days at three temperatures: 24, 28 and 32 °C. Photophysiological measurements were made under two light irradiances: 32 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (a, c, e, g) and 113 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (b, d, f, h).....74

Figure 3.6: Mean (\pm SD) ratio of regulated nonphotochemical quenching (Y(NPQ)) amongst high and ambient light intensities (113 and 32 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, respectively) for embryos from low and high on the shore from two locations a) Minnie Water and b) Pearl Beach.....75

Figure 4.1: Map of samples collected from New South Wales, Australia. Sea surface Temperature (SST) data reflect average SST taken from 2003 - 2015 from the Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS).....97

Figure 4.2: Patterns of isolation by distance (pairwise genetic differentiation F_{ST} estimates relative to geographic distances) at multiple spatial scales: a) over all locations and shoreheights as well as b) between locations within a region.....106

Figure 4.3: a) Plot of Delta (K) where the modal value of the distribution is considered to be the highest level of structuring which in this case = 2. b) Genetic subdivision of *H. banksii* based on STRUCTURE. The proportions of individual multilocus genotypes assigned to K=2 virtual clusters are indicated by the grayscale. Bilgola Beach represents central populations while Angourie represents marginal populations (north).....107

Figure 5.1: Example of embryo development at 22 °C over 7 days post-fertilisation. Images were captured using a high content analyser (Incell Analyzer 2200, GE Healthcare)123

Figure 5.2: An example of the development of embryo over 7 days in terms of measured traits. Lines represent embryo width (green) and length (red) of the germinating cell, where red and blue spline represents length of rhizoids.....124

Figure 5.3: Mean (\pm SD) of reproductive investment measures of a) percent of reproductive biomass b) fecundity measured as total eggs g⁻¹ dry biomass c) percent of fertilised embryos and d) embryo length at day 7 amongst different temperatures 22, 26, 30 °C at low and high on the shore. Letters signify significant differences at $P < 0.05$ 127

Figure 5.4: Boxplots of mean (\pm SD) of total egg volume of female *H. banksii* thalli from different heights on the shore. Grey boxes represent eggs collected from females from a) low and b) high on the shore and c) pooled on different heights on the shore. Letters signify differences.....128

Figure 5.5: Relationship between egg volume and fecundity expressed as total eggs g^{-1} dry reproductive biomass amongst females low and high on the shore129

Figure 5.6: Growth of individual embryos in 3 temperature treatments a) 22 °C b) 26 °C and c) 30 °C over 7 days post-fertilisation. Lines represent growth over time of the germinating cell, rhizoid 1, 2, 3 and 4 (n=30).....130

Figure 5.7: The relationship of embryo metrics and initial embryo width and time (days) when differentiation occurs of a) width and b) length of germinating cell and c) appearance of first rhizoid. Rate of growth of d) width and e) length of germinating cell and f) first rhizoid of two temperatures, 22 °C and 26 °C contrasted with initial embryo width (n=30). Embryo metrics are not presented for 30 °C as embryos did grow at this temperature). Lines of best fit present significant effects of the ANCOVA.....132

Figure 6.1: Conceptual diagram summarising the effects of increased temperatures on life history processes and thermal niche as revealed in this thesis. Question marks are life history processes that were not assessed in this thesis, whereas +/- symbol is context specific. Diagram was adapted from Harley *et al.* (2012).....147

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 2.1: Results of mixed model ANOVA of <i>H. banksii</i> thallus morphometrics with planned regional contrasts. Location is random and has 4 levels (ANG, MW, PB, BB). Height on the shore is fixed and has two levels (low and high shore; n = 10) | 31 |
| Table 2.2: Results of mixed model ANOVA of <i>H. banksii</i> vesicle morphometrics. Location is random and represents 4 locations sampled (ANG, MW, PB and BB) with regional contrasts, shore height is fixed (low shore and high shore) and individual which is nested within location and shore height is random (n = 10) | 32 |
| Table 2.3: Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for percent relative water content of <i>H. banksii</i> for three treatments, 20 °C, 30 °C at 5 times points: after 1 hour of desiccation, at the end of the desiccation period (4 h), initial recovery after thalli were submersed (30 min), recovery after 2 h, and at the end of the recovery period (after 14 h submersed). Location factor had planned regional contrasts between marginal (ANG, MW) and central populations (PB, BB). <i>P</i> -values were calculated from 999 permutations under a reduced model with a significance level of 0.05. (n = 6)..... | 36 |
| Table 2.4: Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for photosynthetic yield of <i>H. banksii</i> for three treatments, 20 °C, 30 °C and control at 6 times points: before the treatment commenced, after 1 hour of desiccation, at the end of the desiccation period (4 h), initial recovery after thalli were submersed (30 min), recovery after 2 h, and at the end of the recovery period (after 14 h submersed). Location factor had planned regional contrasts between marginal (ANG, MW) and central populations (PB, BB). <i>P</i> -values were calculated from 999 permutations under a reduced model with a significance level of 0.05. (n = 6) | 40 |
| Table 3.1: Results of analysis of variance of <i>Hormosira banksii</i> embryo length 120 h post fertilisation (defined as embryo growth in this study). Embryos from Pearl Beach and Minnie Waters at low and high shore heights in 9 different crosses were grown at 6 temperatures (22, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 32 °C). Location (Lo), height on the shore (He), and temperature (Te) are fixed factors, with male (Ma) and female (Fe) nested in shore height and location as a random factor. Results were achieved using 999 permutations and tested at a significance level of 0.05..... | 68 |
| Table 3.2: Results of analysis of variance of the percent of <i>Hormosira. banksii</i> embryos in each developmental stages, stage 0 and stage 3 & 4 at 120 h after fertilisation. Embryos from Pearl Beach and Minnie Waters from low and high on the shore in 9 different crosses were grown at 6 temperatures (22, 24, 26, 28, 30 and 32 °C). Location (Lo), height on the shore (He), and temperature (Te) are fixed factors, with male (Ma) and female (Fe) nested in shore height and location as a random factor. Probabilities were calculated using 999 permutations and tested at a significance level of 0.05..... | 72 |

Table 3.3: Results of analysis of variance of the temperature effects on F_v , maximum quantum yield (F_v/F_m), complementary photosynthetic pathways of photosynthesis $Y(II)$, nonregulated nonphotochemical quenching $Y(NO)$ and regulated nonphotochemical quenching $Y(NPQ)$. Location (Lo) height on the shore (He) and temperature (Te) are fixed factors. Probabilities were calculated using 9999 permutations and tested at a significance level of 0.05.....76

Table 4.1: Descriptive statistics for *Hormosira banksii* among 4 locations, and 2 shore heights within each location. Number of individuals sampled (n), Total number of alleles (a), mean number of alleles, unique alleles, observed heterozygosity (H_o) and expected heterozygosity (H_E) for each height on the shore within each location102

Table 4.2: F_{IS} estimates for each locus for each shore height and location as well as across all locations of *H. banksii*. Overall F_{ST} overall is also shown.....103

Table 4.3: Pairwise F_{ST} estimates between all pairs of shore heights (L and H) and locations Bilgola Beach (BB), Pearl Beach (PB), Minnie Water (MW), and Angourie (ANG). Significant values are highlighted in bold and the adjusted p-value using Bonferroni Correction ($P < 0.002$). Grey shading shows comparisons between central and marginal regions.....104

Table 4.4: Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) among regions: central (BB, PB) and marginal (MW, ANG) among locations within regions and within locations. A second AMOVA tested variance among and within locations (BB, PB, MW, ANG) with shore heights pooled. Bold denotes significance at $P < 0.05$105

Table 5.1: Result of analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) of rate of growth and time of differentiation of the width and length of the germinating cell as well as the first rhizoid ($n=30$). Temperature is the categorical variable and initial egg size is the covariate for each of the traits tested. The probabilities of F values from ANCOVAs were calculated using 9999 permutations.....133

ABSTRACT

Anthropogenically mediated climate change is having profound impacts on the distribution, abundance and functioning of species worldwide. Marine macroalgae are important foundation species due to their role in facilitating biodiversity through provision of resources and moderating stress. Accurate predictions of how macroalgae will respond to global warming require a better understanding of factors that lead to the vulnerability of species. This thesis aimed to examine the exposure and underlying biological traits that explain the sensitivity and resilience to warming in a dominant and endemic intertidal macroalga, *Hormosira banksii*, with the ultimate goal of assessing its vulnerability to changes in climate regime.

H. banksii populations inhabiting two spatial scales, regional (central (cooler) and marginal (warmer)) and local (between tidal heights) were sampled. At each spatial scale, the performance of *H. banksii* was assessed to determine whether morphology influences function (relative water content and photosynthetic efficiency of PSII) in adults while the traits (growth and photosynthetic efficiency) of early life history stages (< 5 days old) were assessed to determine thermal niche. Adults in marginal populations had smaller thallus and vesicle size, which affected the ability of *H. banksii* to recover photosynthetically from thermal and desiccation stress. Distinct thermal performance curves of growth and photosynthetic efficiency of early life history stages revealed the marginal population had lower thermal safety margins and lower thermal optima compared to the central population that has broader thermal safety margins and higher thermal optima. The genetic structure was characterised among regions, locations and tidal heights to test the hypothesis that genetic diversity would decrease towards distribution limits and differ between tidal heights. Marginal populations had lower estimates of genetic diversity than central populations, and

there was evidence of isolation by distance – i.e., limited gene flow over long distances (~500 km). Genetic differentiation was not found between tidal heights, suggesting gene flow is not restricted by reproductive strategies of *H. banksii*. Furthermore, maternal provisioning of eggs did not indicate advantages in performance such as faster growth rate of early life stages, which would aid in recruitment. Physiological tolerances of adults and embryos, population genetic structure, inbreeding and limited gene flow all suggest that the warm marginal populations of *H. banksii* are vulnerable to changes in temperature regime. Local habitat effects such as topography and tidal cycles, however, are potentially more important in governing the physiology of *H. banksii* and can buffer the full extent of climate change occurring at the regional scale. In view of this, changes in the distribution and abundance of some populations of *H. banksii* with global warming, along with changes in the functioning of ecosystems which *H. banksii* support may be observed in the near future.