

Detoxification of heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions using a novel lignocellulosic multi-metal binding biosorbent

BY

Atefeh Abdolali

A Dissertation

**Submitted in fulfilment for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

In

Environmental Engineering



**University of Technology, Sydney
New South Wales, Australia**

July 2017

Certificate of original authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Atefeh Abdolali

Date:

This research was supported by an “Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship”.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Professor Huu Hao Ngo for the continuous support of my PhD study and related research, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my PhD study. Besides my advisor, I would like to thank my co-supervisor Dr Wenshan Guo for her mentor supports and useful comments on this dissertation. I appreciate Md Johir, UTS Environmental Engineering Laboratories Manager, for his patience guidance on the use of the laboratory equipment and for his supports in the lab.

This project would not have been possible without substantial help of Savo Grce and Brad Grief from Sydney Water providing me with municipal wastewater used in my experiments.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding sources that made my PhD work possible. I was funded by the Australian Government, under the Department of Innovation, Industry, Science and Research (DIISRTE) for Australian Postgraduate Award (APA) Scholarship. This research was also supported through an “Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship”. The financial support from Centre for Technology in Water and Wastewater (CTWW), UTS is highly appreciated as well.

Last but not the least, my most profound thanks, my most heartfelt appreciation, my deepest gratitude goes to my loving, supportive and encouraging family. I would like to thank my parents who raised me with a love of science and supported me in all my pursuits and to my brothers (Hamed and Ali) and my little sister (Mahshad) for supporting me spiritually throughout writing this thesis and my life in general. I would like to thank my husband, Ali, whose faithful support during my PhD study is so appreciated. Particularly in the last year by helping me to take care our beloved baby boy, Darian.

Thank you

Atefeh Abdolali | July 2017

DEDICATION

To my loveliest love, my most favourite boy in the world;

*My dearest **Darian***

Who spent whole days and nights of this project beside me!

JOURNAL PAPERS PUBLISHED

1. **Abdolali, A.**, Ngo, H.H., Guo, W.S., Zhou, J.L., Zhang, J., Liang, S., Chang, S.W., Nguyen, D.D., 2017. Application of a breakthrough biosorbent for removing heavy metals from synthetic and real wastewaters in a lab-scale continuous fixed-bed column. *Bioresource Technology* 229, 78–87.
2. **Abdolali, A.**, Ngo, H.H., Guo, W.S., Lu, S., Chen, S.S., Nguyen, N.C., Zhang, X., Wang, J., Wu, Y., 2016. A breakthrough biosorbent in removing heavy metals: equilibrium, kinetic, thermodynamic and mechanism analyses in a lab-scale study. *Science of the Total Environment* 542, 603–611.
3. **Abdolali, A.**, Ngo, H.H., Guo, W.S., Zhou, J.L., Du, B., Wei, Q., Wang, X.C., Nguyen, P.D., 2015. Characterization of a multi-metal binding biosorbent: chemical modification and desorption studies. *Bioresource Technology* 193, 477–487.
4. **Abdolali, A.**, Ngo, H.H., Guo, W.S., Lee, D.J., Tung, K.L., Wang, X.C., 2014. Development and evaluation of a new multi-metal binding biosorbent. *Bioresource Technology* 160, 98–106.
5. **Abdolali, A.**, Guo, W.S., Ngo, H.H., Chen, S.S., Nguyen, N.C., Tung, K.L., 2014. Typical lignocellulosic wastes and by-products for biosorption process in water and wastewater treatment: A critical review. *Bioresource Technology* 160, 57–66.

CONFERENCE PRESENTATION

1. **Abdolali, A.**, Ngo, H.H., Guo, W.S., (2014). Standardized Preparation Method for a New Multi-Metal Binding Biosorbent for Cadmium, Copper, Lead and Zinc Biosorption. Presented poster at ESBES-IFIBiop Conference, Lille, France, 7th-10th September 2014.
2. **Abdolali, A.**, Ngo, H.H., Guo, W.S., (2013). Detoxification of heavy metal-bearing effluents on a novel multi-metal binding biosorbent, Accepted article in the 6th International Conference on the "Challenges in Environmental Science and Engineering" (CESE-2013), Daegu, Korea, 29th October-2nd November 2013.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title page	
Certificate of original authorship, i	
Acknowledgements, iii	
Dedication, iv	
Journal papers published, vi	
Conference presentation, vii	
Table of contents, viii	
List of tables, xiii	
List of illustrations, xvi	
Nomenclatures, xxi	
Abbreviations, xxv	
Greek symbols, xxvii	
Abstract, xxviii	
1 Chapter 1 Introduction 2	
1.1 Background of research 2	
1.1.1 Adverse effects of heavy metal ions and related environmental concerns 2	
1.1.2 Removal technologies for heavy metal removal 2	
1.1.3 Biosorption 3	
1.2 Research gaps and needs 4	
1.3 Research hypotheses 5	
1.4 Objectives of the research 5	
1.5 Research significance 6	

1.6	Scopes of the research	6
1.7	Outline and structure of this thesis	8
2	Chapter 2 Literature review	11
2.1	Objectives	11
2.2	Characterization of lignocellulosic materials	12
2.3	Application of lignocellulosic wastes and by-products as biosorbent in water and wastewater treatment	17
2.3.1	Lignocellulosic wastes and by-products for heavy metal ion removal	17
2.3.2	Lignocellulosic wastes and by-products for dye removal	24
2.3.3	Lignocellulosic wastes and by-products for organic and nutrient removal	31
2.4	Mechanism of biosorption and desorption process	33
2.5	Reusability of heavy metal- loaded wastes and by-products	38
2.5.1	Desorptive properties of different desorbing agents	39
2.5.2	Regeneration of biosorbent	42
2.6	Process costs and overall scheme of biosorption	44
2.7	Conclusion, future perspectives and research gaps	45
2.7.1	Major findings and conclusions	45
2.7.2	Future perspectives and research gaps	46
3	Chapter 3 Experimental investigations	51
3.1	Materials	51
3.1.1	Preparation of heavy-metal-containing effluent	51
3.1.2	The raw municipal wastewater	51
3.1.3	Preparation of adsorbents	51
3.1.4	Preparation of modified adsorbents	52
3.1.5	Chemical reagents	52
3.2	Methods	52
3.2.1	Biosorption studies in batch system	52
3.2.2	Biosorption kinetic studies	55
3.2.3	Biosorption isotherm studies	55
3.2.4	Biosorption thermodynamic studies	56
3.2.5	Desorption studies in batch system	56
3.2.6	Biosorption studies in continuous fixed-bed column	57

- 3.2.7 Continuous desorption experiments 60
- 3.3 Calculations 61
 - 3.3.1 Batch biosorption process analysis and modeling 61
 - 3.3.2 Adsorption isotherm in batch system 62
 - 3.3.3 Fixed-bed biosorption process analysis and modeling 64
- 3.4 Analytical methods and instruments 66
- 3.5 Statistical analysis 66

Chapter 4 Feasibility studies and development of a multi-metal binding biosorbent (MMBB) 68

- 4.1 Introduction 68
 - 4.1.1 Research background 68
 - 4.1.2 Objectives 69
- 4.2 Selection of adsorbents 70
- 4.3 Characterization of adsorbents by FTIR 72
- 4.4 SEM analysis 73
- 4.5 Effect of different physico-chemical parameters 73
 - 4.5.1 Influence of pH 73
 - 4.5.2 Influence of contact time 76
 - 4.5.3 Influence of adsorbent dose 77
- 4.6 Adsorption kinetics 78
- 4.7 Adsorption isotherm 80
- 4.8 Desorption studies 88
- 4.9 Conclusion 93

Chapter 5 Heavy metal biosorption from synthetic wastewater by modified MMBB, characterization and optimization: batch study 95

- 5.1 Introduction 95
 - 5.1.1 Research background 95
 - 5.1.2 Objectives 95
- 5.2 Biosorption process optimization 96
 - 5.2.1 Influence of biosorbent ratio 96
 - 5.2.2 Influence of biosorbent particle size 96
 - 5.2.3 Influence of drying temperature 99
 - 5.2.4 Influence of chemical pretreatment 100
 - 5.2.5 Influence of ion strength 103

- 5.3 Characterization of adsorbents by FTIR 104
- 5.4 Effect of contact time and kinetic study 106
- 5.5 Adsorption isotherm 109
- 5.6 Adsorption thermodynamics 109
- 5.7 Desorption studies 113
- 5.8 SEM/EDS analysis 121
- 5.9 Biosorption mechanism 121
- 5.10 Conclusions 124

Chapter 6 Heavy metal biosorption from synthetic wastewater by modified MMBB: column study 127

- 6.1 Research background 127
- 6.2 Objectives 127
- 6.3 Continuous biosorption experiments 128
 - 6.3.1 Influence of flow rate 129
 - 6.3.2 Influence of bed depth 130
 - 6.3.3 Influence of inlet metal concentration 132
 - 6.3.4 Influence of biosorbent particle size 134
 - 6.3.5 Influence of pH 137
- 6.4 Breakthrough curve modeling 138
- 6.5 Comparative study 140
- 6.6 Scale-up study 145
 - 6.6.1 Column Scale-up calculation 146
- 6.7 Conclusions 150

Chapter 7 Application of modified MMBB for real wastewater and desorption study 152

- 7.1 Objectives 152
- 7.2 Applicability of modified MMBB packed-bed column in treating a real wastewater 152
- 7.3 Continuous sorption and desorption experiments 154
- 7.4 Conclusions 161

Chapter 8 Conclusions and recommendations 163

- 8.1 Conclusion remarks 163
- 8.2 Future outlook 165

References 168

Curriculum Vitae 187

LIST OF TABLES

No	Table Title	Page
Chapter 2		
Table 2.1	Chemical composition of some common lignocellulosic materials	14
Table 2.2	The performance of different types of agro-industrial wastes for heavy metal ion removal from aqueous solutions	21
Table 2.3	The performance of different types of agro-industrial wastes for dye removal from aqueous solutions	25
Table 2.4	The performance of different types of agro-industrial wastes for organic and nutrient removal from aqueous solutions	32
Table 2.5	Desorption efficiencies of different biosorbents at first and last sorption and desorption cycles	47
Chapter 4		
Table 4.1	FTIR spectra and SEM images of unloaded and metal-loaded MMBB1	74
Table 4.2	FTIR spectra and SEM images of unloaded and metal-loaded MMBB2	75
Table 4.3	Comparison between adsorption rate constants, the estimated q_e and the coefficients of determination associated with the Lagergren pseudo-first-order, the pseudo-second order and intra-particle diffusion kinetic models (pH 5.5 ± 0.1 ; room temperature, 22 ± 1 °C; initial metal conc.: 50 mg/L; biosorbent dose: 5g/L; rotary speed: 150 rpm, particle size: 75–150 μ m)	79
Table 4.4	Isotherm constants of two- and three-parameter models for Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption onto MMBB2 (initial pH 5.5 ± 0.1 , initial metal Conc.: 1–500 mg/L, contact time: 3 hr, rotary speed: 150 rpm, biosorbent dose: 5 g/L, particle size: 75–150 μ m)	82

No	Table Title	Page
Table 4.5	Isotherm constants of two- and three-parameter models for Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption onto MMBB2 (initial pH 5.5±0.1, initial metal Conc.: 1–500 mg/L, contact time: 3 hr, rotary speed: 150 rpm, biosorbent dose: 5 g/L, particle size: 75–150µm)	84
Table 4.6	Biosorption capacities of various biosorbent	87
Table 4.7	ANOVA and One sample t-test data for sorption and desorption experiments of Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) biosorption onto MMBB1 (optimum pH 5.5±0.1; room temperature: 22±1 °C; sorption time: 3 hr ; desorption time: 3 hr; 5 cycles; initial metal conc.: 50 mg/L)	91
Table 4.8	ANOVA and One sample t-test data for sorption and desorption experiments of Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) biosorption onto MMBB2 (optimum pH 5.5±0.1; room temperature: 22±1 °C; sorption time: 3 hr ; desorption time: 3 hr; 5 cycles; initial metal conc.: 50 mg/L)	92
Chapter 5		
Table 5.1	Comparison between adsorption rate constants, the estimated q_e and the coefficients of correlation associated with the Lagergren pseudo-first-order and the pseudo-second order kinetic models (initial metal Conc.: 50 ppm)	110
Table 5.2	Isotherm constants of non-linear Langmuir and Freundlich models for Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption on unmodified and modified MMBB	111
Table 5.3	Maximum biosorption capacities of various adsorbents	112
Table 5.4	Thermodynamic parameters, ΔG° (kJ/mol), ΔH° (kJ/mol) and ΔS° (kJ/mol K), for adsorption of Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption (initial metal Conc.: 1–50 mg/L)	115

No	Table Title	Page
Chapter 6		
Table 6.1	Thomas, Yoon–Nelson and Dose Response model constants for Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn adsorption onto modified MMBB column (pH 5.5±0.1, particle size = 425–600 µm, room temperature)	141
Table 6.2	Dynamic adsorption capacity of cadmium, copper, lead and zinc onto different adsorbents	144
Table 6.3	Parameters predicted from the BDST model for biosorption of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn on MMBB (5, 10 and 15 g or 9.5, 21 and 31 cm) in a fixed–bed column	145
Table 6.4	Proposed pilot–scale column parameters	149
Chapter 7		
Table 7.1	Desorption parameters for three cycles of biosorption and desorption cycles with municipal wastewater	160

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

No	Figure Caption	Page
Chapter 1		
Figure 1.1	The main tasks and scope of this study	7
Chapter 2		
Figure 2.1	Lignocellulosic material wall and molecular structure (adapted from Xu et al., 2014)	13
Figure 2.2	Optimum pH of some typical lignocellulosic agro-industrial wastes and by-product for (a) heavy metal and (b) dye removal	16
Figure 2.3	Common sorption/desorption mechanisms	37
Figure 2.4	Batch experiment schematic diagram for sorption/desorption/ regeneration cycles	41
Chapter 3		
Figure 3.1	Schematic diagram of batch desorption study	57
Figure 3.2	Schematic diagram of the experimental set up for a continuous process	59
Chapter 4		
Figure 4.1	Comparison between different agro-industrial wastes and by-products for Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption (initial pH 5.5±0.1; room temperature, 22±1 °C; contact time: 24 hr; initial metal conc.: 50 mg/L; biosorbent dose: 5g/L; rotary speed: 150 rpm, particle size: 75–150µm)	71
Figure 4.2	Effect of initial pH of solution on Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption (room temperature, 22±1 °C; contact time: 24 hr; initial metal conc.: 50 mg/L; biosorbent dose: 5g/L; rotary speed: 150 rpm, particle size: 75–150µm)	76
Figure 4.3	Effect of contact time on Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption (pH 5.5±0.1; room temperature, 22±1 °C; initial metal conc.: 50 mg/L; biosorbent dose: 5g/L; rotary speed:	77

No	Figure Caption	Page
	150 rpm, particle size: 75–150 μ m)	
Figure 4.4	Effect of biosorbent dose on Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption (pH 5.5 \pm 0.1; room temperature, 22 \pm 1 $^{\circ}$ C; contact time: 3 hr; initial metal conc.: 50 mg/L; rotary speed: 150 rpm, particle size: 75–150 μ m)	78
Figure 4.5	Biosorption capacity of Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) onto MMBB1 and MMBB2 washed by eluting agents (optimum pH 5.5 \pm 0.1; room temperature: 22 \pm 1 $^{\circ}$ C; sorption time: 3 hr ; desorption time: 3 hr; 5 cycles; initial metal conc.: 50 mg/L)	90
Chapter 5		
Figure 5.1	Effect of ratio of tea waste: maple leaves: mandarin peel on Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption(initial pH 5.0–5.5 \pm 0.1; room temperature, 22 \pm 1 $^{\circ}$ C; contact time: 24 h r; initial metal conc.: 50 mg/L; biosorbent dose: 5g/L; rotary speed: 150 rpm; particle size: 75–150 μ m)	97
Figure 5.2	Particle size distribution of MMBB (<75 μ m, 75–150 μ m, 150–300 μ m and 300–425 μ m 425–600 μ m, 600–1000 μ m and 1000–2000 μ m)	98
Figure 5.3	Effect of biosorbent particle size on Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption (initial pH 5.0–5.5 \pm 0.1; room temperature, 22 \pm 1 $^{\circ}$ C; initial metal Conc.: 50 mg/L; biosorbent dose: 5g/L; rotary speed: 150 rpm; the ratio of 3:2:1 for TW:ML:MP)	98
Figure 5.4	Drying rate of (a) tea leaves, (b) maple leaves and (c) mandarin peels at different drying temperature	100
Figure 5.5	Effect of drying temperature on Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption (initial pH 5.0–5.5 \pm 0.1; room temperature, 22 \pm 1 $^{\circ}$ C; initial metal Conc.: 50 mg/L; biosorbent dose: 5g/L; rotary speed: 150 rpm)	101
Figure 5.6	Biosorption capacity of modified MMBB by different chemical and physical methods (initial pH 5.0–5.5 \pm 0.1; room temperature, 22 \pm 1 $^{\circ}$ C; initial metal Conc.: 50 mg/L;	102

No	Figure Caption	Page
	biosorbent dose: 5g/L; rotary speed: 150 rpm)	
Figure 5.7	Experimental data for cadmium, copper, lead and zinc biosorption on modified MMBB at different ionic strength levels	105
Figure 5.8	FTIR spectra of unmodified and modified MMBB before and after metal biosorption	107
Figure 5.9	Effect of contact time on Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption	108
Figure 5.10	Van't Hoff plots for Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II) adsorption(initial pH 5.5±0.1; initial metal Conc.: 1–50 mg/L; contact time: 3 h; biosorbent dose: 5 g/L	114
Figure 5.11	Comparison between Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn elution from metal-loaded modified MMBB using different desorbing agents (Ci: 50 ppm)	116
Figure 5.12	Elution of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn from metal-loaded modified MMBB using different mineral acids: 0.1M HCl, H ₂ SO ₄ and HNO ₃	117
Figure 5.13	Effect of HCl concentration on desorption efficiency of metal-loaded modified MMBB	118
Figure 5.14	Desorption efficiency of modified MMBB after each sorption/ desorption step without and with regeneration by CaCl ₂	119
Figure 5.15	Biosorption capacity of modified MMBB after each sorption/ desorption step without and with regeneration step by CaCl ₂	120
Figure 5.16	SEM images of (a) unmodified MMBB before metal adsorption (b) modified MMBB before metal adsorption, (c) modified MMBB after metal adsorption, (d) modified MMBB after 5 cycles of cycles of sorption/desorption and (e) and (f) modified MMBB after five cycles of sorption/desorption/regeneration by CaCl ₂	122
Figure 5.17	EDS spectra of (a) unmodified MMBB, (b) modified MMBB	123

No	Figure Caption	Page
	before biosorption and (c) modified MMBB after biosorption	
Figure 5.18	Comparison of (a) individual and (b) total metal ions adsorbed and released in biosorption process (initial heavy metal conc.: 50 mg/L)	124
Chapter 6		
Figure 6.1	Effect of influent flow rate on the breakthrough curve of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn adsorption onto modified MMBB (pH 5.5±0.1, bed height = 21 cm, influent metal concentration = 20 mg/L, particle size = 425–600 µm, room temperature)	131
Figure 6.2	Effect of bed height (MMBB weight = 5, 10 and 15 gr) on the breakthrough curve of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn adsorption onto modified MMBB (pH 5.5±0.1, influent flow rate = 10 mL/min or HLR = 1.578 m ³ /m ² hr, influent metal concentration = 20 mg/L, particle size = 425–600 µm, room temperature)	133
Figure 6.3	Effect of influent metal concentration on the breakthrough curve of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn adsorption onto modified MBB (pH 5.5±0.1, bed height = 21 cm, influent flow rate = 10 L/min or HLR = 1.578 m ³ /m ² hr, particle size = 425–600 µm, room temperature)	135
Figure 6.4	Effect of particle size on the breakthrough curve of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn adsorption onto modified MMBB (pH 5.5±0.1, bed heights = 17, 19.5 cm and 21 cm, influent flow rate = 10 mL/min or HLR = 1.578 m ³ /m ² hr, influent metal concentration = 20 mg/L, room temperature)	136
Figure 6.5	Effect of influent pH on the breakthrough curve of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn adsorption onto modified MMBB (bed height = 21 cm, influent flow rate = 10 mL/min or HLR = 1.578 m ³ /m ² hr, influent metal concentration = 20 mg/L, particle size = 425–600 µm, room temperature)	139
Figure 6.6	BDST model of different MMBB weight = 5, 10 and 15 g (9.5, 21 and 31 cm) (pH 5.5±0.1, influent flow rate = 10 mL/min,	143

No	Figure Caption	Page
	influent metal concentration = 20 mg/L, particle size = 425–600 μm , room temperature)	
Chapter 7		
Figure 7.1	Breakthrough curves of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn adsorption onto modified MMBB from synthetic and real municipal wastewater (bed height = 21 cm, influent flow rate = 10 mL/min or HLR = 1.578 m^3/m^2 hr, influent each metal concentration = 20 mg/L, particle size = 425–600 μm , room temperature)	155
Figure 7.2	Breakthrough curves for Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn adsorption from municipal wastewater by modified MMBB in three cycles of sorption/ desorption/ regeneration (pH 5.5 \pm 0.1, bed height = 21 cm, flow rate = 10 mL/min or HLR = 1.578 m^3/m^2 hr, influent metal concentration = 20 mg/L, particle size = 425–600 μm , room temperature)	156
Figure 7.3	Performance of modified–MMBB packed–bed column in three successive cycles of sorption, desorption and regeneration (sample size N = 2)	158
Figure 7.4	Desorption kinetic of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn adsorbed on modified MMBB (10 g, desorption solution = 0.1 M HCl, flow rate = 10 mL/min)	159

NOMENCLATURES

Symbol	Description	Unit
a	Dose Response model exponent	
A	Column area	cm ²
Å	Angstrom	
a _s	Sips model constants	L/mg
b _L	Langmuir constant	L/mg
C=C-C	Asymmetric stretching aromatic rings	
C ₂ H ₄ O ₂ S	Mercapto-acetic acid	
C ₂ H ₄ O ₂ S	Thioglycolic acid	
C ₂ H ₆ O	Ethanol	
C ₃ H ₆ O	Acetone	
C ₆ H ₈ O ₇	Citric acid	
Ca(OH) ₂	Calcium hydroxide	
CaCl ₂	Calcium chloride	
CaO	Calcium oxide	
C _{ads}	Adsorbed metal concentration	mg/L
C _b	Breakthrough concentration,	mg/L
Cd(NO ₃) ₂ ·4H ₂ O	Cadmium nitrate tetrahydrate	
C _e	Effluent metal ion concentration	mg/L
C _{eq}	Equilibrium metal concentration	mg/L
C _f	Equilibrium metal concentrations	mg/L
CF _p	Overall sorption process concentration factor	
CH ₂ O	Formaldehyde	
CH ₂ O ₂	Formic Acid	
CH ₃ COOH	Acetic Acid	
CH ₃ OH	Methanol	
C _i	Initial/ Influent metal concentrations	mg/L
-C-O-C=O	Symmetric stretching of ester groups	
-COOH	Carboxyl groups	
C _p	Eluted metal concentration at t _p	mg/L

Symbol	Description	Unit
CS ₂	Carbon disulfide	
Cu ₃ (NO) ₂ ·3H ₂ O	Copper nitrate trihydrate	
D _i	Inner diameter	cm
E	Mean free energy of adsorption calculated by Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm	kJ/mol
g	gram	
g/L	gram per litre	
H ₂ O ₂	Hydrogen peroxide	
H ₂ SO ₄	Sulphuric acid	
H ₃ PO ₄	Phosphoric acid	
H–C–H	Asymmetric and symmetric stretch	
HCl	Hydrochloric acid	
HFO	Iron(III) oxy-hydroxide	
HNO ₃	Nitric acid	
hr	hour(s)	
K	Kelvin	
K ₁	The first-order reaction rate equilibrium constant	min ⁻¹
K ₂	The second-order reaction rate equilibrium constant	g mg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹
K ₂ MnO ₄	Potassium manganate	
k _{BDST}	BDST adsorption rate constant that describes the mass transfer from the liquid to the solid phase	L/mg h
K _F	Freundlich constant	L/g
K _p	Intra-particle diffusion kinetic model constant	mg g ⁻¹ min ^{-0.5}
K _{RP}	Redlich–Peterson model constants	L/g
K _S	Sips model constants	L/g
k _{Th}	Thomas rate constant	mL/ mg min
k _{Y-N}	Yoon–Nelson proportionality constant	1/min

Symbol	Description	Unit
L	litre	
L	Bed height	cm
$L_{critical}$	Critical bed depth	cm
M	Molarity	mol/L
m	Mass of biosorbent in batch system	g
M	Total mass of the biosorbent in the column	g
m_{total}	Amount of metal ions sent to the column at different time	mg
mg/g	milligram of adsorbate per gram of adsorbent	
$MgCl_2$	Magnesium chloride	
$MgSO_4$	Magnesium sulphate	
min	minute(s)	
mol/g	mol per gram	
n	Freundlich exponent	
Na_2CO_3	Sodium carbonate	
NaCl	Sodium chloride	
$NaHCO_3$	Sodium bicarbonate	
$NaNO_3$	Sodium nitrate	
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide	
N_{BDST}	BDST biosorption capacity	mg/L
NH_4^+	Ammonium	
NH_4OH	Ammonium hydroxide	
$Pb(NO_3)_2$	Lead nitrate	
Q	Volumetric flow rate	mL/min
q_c	Column capacity (mg)	
q_{D-R}	Maximum adsorption capacity for heavy metal ions calculated by Dose Response model	mg/g
q_e	Metal adsorbed at equilibrium	mg/g
$q_{e,d}$	gram of desorbed metal per gram of adsorbent in column	mg/g

Symbol	Description	Unit
$q_{m,L}$	Langmuir maximum metal biosorption capacity	mg/g
q_t	Metal adsorbed at time t	mg/g
q_{Th}	Thomas maximum adsorption capacity for heavy metal ions	mg/g
rpm	round(s) per minute	
t	time	min
t_b	Breakthrough time ($C_e/C_i = 10\%$)	min
t_p	The time when the elution rate reaches the peak	min
t_{sat}	Saturation or exhaustion time ($C_e/C_i = 90\%$)	min
t_{total}	Total flow time	min
v	Solution volume in batch mode	L
v	Superficial velocity or the linear flow velocity of metal solution through the bed	cm/min
$V_{W,b}$	Treated water volume	L
$Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	Zinc nitrate hexahydrate	
$ZnCl_2$	Zinc chloride	
ΔG°	Gibbs free energy change	kJ/mol
ΔH°	Enthalpy change	kJ/mol
ΔS°	Entropy change	kJ/mol K
%E	Elution efficiency	%
%R	Metal removal (%)	%
$[H_3O]^+$	Hydronium	
$^\circ C$	Degree Celsius	

ABBREVIATIONS

Symbol	Description
BDST	Bed Depth Service Time
CSTR	Continuous Stirred–Tank Reactor
AER	Adsorbent exhaustion rate in column
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AP	Apple peel
AV	Avocado peel
BET	Brunauer Emmett Teller
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
CC	Corn cob
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CP	Coir peat
CW	Coffee waste
EBCT	Empty Bed Contact Time (min)
EDTA	Ethylene diamine triacetic acid
EDTAD	Ethylene diamine tetraacetic dianhydride
EDX	Energy Dispersive X–Ray
ES	Egg shell
EU	Eucalyptus leave
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
GG	Garden grass
GS	Grape stalk
HLR	Hydraulic Loading Rate ($\text{m}^3/\text{m}^2 \text{ hr}$)
LC	Lychee rind
MG	Mango skin
ML	Maple leave
MMBB	Multi–Metal Binding Biosorbent
MP	Mandarin peel
MP–AES	Microwave Plasma–Atomic Emission Spectrometer
MTZ	Mass Transfer Zone (cm)

Symbol	Description
OP	Orange peel
pH	potential Hydrogen
ppm	Part per million
PS	passion fruit skin
R ²	Coefficient of determination
RMSE	Residual Root Mean Square Error
RO	Reverse Osmosis
SC	Sugarcane bagasse
SD	Sawdust
SEM/EDS	Scanning electron microscopy with X-ray microanalysis
SSE	Error Sum of Square
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscopy
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
TW	Tea waste
WWTP	Water and Wastewater Treatment Plant
XPS	X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

GREEK SYMBOLS

Symbol	Description	Unit
β_{RP}	Redlich–Peterson model exponent	
β_S	Sips model exponent	
μ	micro	
τ	the time required for retaining 50% of the initial adsorbate	min

PHD DISSERTATION ABSTRACT

- Author:** ATEFEH ABDOLALI
- Date:** July 2017
- Thesis title:** Detoxification of heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions using a novel lignocellulosic multi-metal binding biosorbent
- Statistical data:** 188 pages, 22 tables, 39 figures, and 188 references
- School:** Civil and Environmental Engineering
- Supervisors:** Prof. Dr Huu Hao Ngo (Principal supervisor)
Dr Wenshan Guo (Co-supervisor)
- Keywords:** Agro-industrial waste; Biosorption; Breakthrough curve; Chemical Modification; Fixed-bed column; Heavy metal; Kinetics; Modeling

Abstract

Since, the availability of a biomass at a low cost is a key factor dictating its selection for a biosorption, thus agro-industrial wastes and by-products are considered as alternatives for heavy metal biosorption development. Utilizing potentials of combination of common agro-industrial wastes and by-products let us have different kinds of active binding sites at same time in wastewater treatment. In order to make the biosorption process more suitable for heavy metal removal, both batch and continuous systems have been studied. Two breakthrough multi-metal binding biosorbent made from a combination of tea wastes, maple leaves and mandarin peel (MMBB1) and a mixture of tea waste, sawdust and corncob (MMBB2) were applied to evaluate their biosorptive potential of heavy metal removal from synthetic multi-metal solutions. FTIR and SEM were conducted, before and after biosorption, to explore the intensity and position of the available functional groups and changes in adsorbent surface morphology. Carboxylic and hydroxyl groups were found to be the principal

functional groups for the sorption of metals. MMBB1 exhibited better performance at pH 5.5 with maximum sorption capacities of 41.48, 39.48, 94.0 and 27.23 mg/g for Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II), respectively. In batch system, MMBB1 was selected for further process optimization, modification, characterization and thermodynamic studies. The data indicated that Langmuir isotherm and pseudo-second order kinetics model describe the experimental data very well. The maximum amounts of biosorption capacity of modified MMBB increased to 69.56, 127.70, 345.20 and 70.55 mg/g for Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn(II), respectively. Then a continuous fixed-bed study was carried out by utilizing the modified MMBB for cadmium, copper, lead and zinc removal from synthetic solution and real wastewater. The effect of operating conditions i.e. influent flow rate, metal concentration and bed depth was investigated at optimal pH (5.5 ± 0.1) for a synthetic wastewater. Results confirmed that the total amount of metal adsorption decreased with increasing influent flow rate and also increased with increasing each metal concentration. The maximum biosorption capacity of 38.25, 63.37, 108.12 and 35.23 mg/g for Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn, respectively, were attained at 31 cm bed height, 10 mL/min flow rate and 20 mg/L initial concentration. The Thomas model found better describing the whole dynamic behaviour of the column. Finally, desorption studies indicated that metal-loaded biosorbent could be used after three consecutive sorption, desorption and regeneration cycles by applying a semi-simulated real wastewater.

Graphical abstract:

