

Advanced Control of Three-Phase Full-Bridge Converter in Microgrids

Xiaolong Shi

M.E. (Electrical Engineering), B.E. (Electrical Engineering)

School of Electrical, Mechanical and Mechatronic Systems University of Technology Sydney, Australia

A thesis submitted to the University of Technology Sydney for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2017

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

i

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to express sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Professor Jianguo Zhu, for providing tremendous levels of support over the years. I am so grateful for his mentoring in the discipline of power electronics and renewable energy systems with great encouragement and persistence. His enthusiasms on research and deep insight in multiple research areas benefited me a lot and broadened my thoughts, which will be most useful for my future study and life.

I am also thankful to Dr. Li Li, the co-supervisor, for his fruitful discussions, invaluable guidance, enthusiastic help, and consistent encouragement throughout the entire research project, which helped me a lot in research and in daily life.

Also, I would like to thank Associate Professor Dylan Dah-Chuan Lu, the co-supervisor, His rich experience and advices in power electronics area, which had a very precious direction on my work. His rigorous and professional attitudes towards research influenced me a lot.

I am also grateful for the valuable technical support, advices and kind helps from my laboratory colleagues and friends in the Centre for Electrical Machines and Power Electronics, University of Technology Sydney (UTS), in particular Associate Professor Youguang Guo, Dr. Jiefeng Hu, Dr. Gang Lei, and Dr. Jingyang Zheng.

I want to express my deepest gratitude to my parents for their support and encouragement in my whole student life. Their consideration and dedication stimulated me to challenge myself.

Finally, I want to express my deepest love to Jing Xu, for her accompany, sacrifice and tolerance throughout the most valuable years.

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF SYMBOLS	VII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	IX
LIST OF FIGURES	XI
LIST OF TABLES	XVI
ABSTRACT	XVII
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Challenges with High Penetration of Renewable Sources	2
1.3 Three-phase Full-bridge Converter for Renewable Energy Systems	3
1.4 Advanced Control Strategies	4
1.5 Research Objectives	9
1.6 Outline of the Thesis.	9
References	12
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	17
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Control of Power Converters for Distributed Generation	19
2.2.1 AC/DC converter in renewable energy systems	19
2.2.2 Control strategies of three-phase full-bridge AC/DC converter	23
2.2.3 Review of DC/DC converters	31
2.2.4 Control theory of IBDC converter	36
2.2.5 Application of IBDC in renewable energy systems.	40
2.3 The Microgrid and Topologies	42

	2.4 Summary of The Chapter	48
	REFERENCES	48
3.	APPLICATION OF THREE-PHASE FULL-BRIDGE CONVERTER IN	
	RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS	59
	3.1 Introduction	59
	3.2 Proposal of Microgrids Topology with Modular Design	61
	3.2.1 Topology of proposed microgrids	62
	3.2.2 Operation modes of proposed microgrids	65
	3.2.3 Control design of the converter module in proposed microgrids	70
	3.2.4 Control design of microgrid system	75
	3.3 Challenges of Current PEI in Renewable Energy Systems.	76
	3.3.1 Review of the smart inverter	79
	3.4 Proposal of Smart Converter	82
	3.4.1 Smart converter concept	82
	3.4.2 Auxiliary functions of the smart converter	85
	3.4.3Application of smart converter for various scenarios in microgrids	87
	3.4.4 Conclusion of discussion	88
	3.5 Summary of The Chapter	89
	REFERENCES	90
4.	MULTI-FUNCTIONAL MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL OF THREE-PHA	ASE
	FULL-BRIDGE AC/DC CONVERTER	94
	4.1 Introduction	94
	4.2. Three-Phase Full-Bridge AC/DC Converter Control	96
	4.2.1 Mathematical model of three-phase full-bridge converter.	96
	4.2.2 Switching table based direct power control.	98
	4.2.3 SVM based direct power control	100
	4.2.4 Model predictive based DPC	101
	4.3 Proposal of Multi-Functional MPC	103
	4.3.1 Steady state performance improvement	103
	4 3 2 Mutual influence elimination strategy	107

	4.4 Numerical Simulation and Experimental Verification	. 108
	4.4.1 Numerical Simulation	108
	4.4.2 Experimental prototype setup	. 114
	4.4.3 Experimental results	116
	4.5 Summary of The Chapter	120
	REFERENCES	. 123
5.	REVERSIBLE PREDICTIVE DUTY CYCLE CONTROL OF AC/DC FULL-BRIDGE CONVERTER	. 126
	5.1 Introduction	126
	5.2 Three Vectors based Predictive Duty Cycle Control Approach	. 128
	5.2.1 Mathematical model of conventional predictive duty cycle control	. 128
	5.2.2 Improved predictive duty cycle control with novel vector sequence	. 133
	5.2.3 Proposed reversible predictive duty cycle control	. 135
	5.3 Numerical Simulation and Experimental Verification	. 140
	5.3.1 Numerical simulation	140
	5.3.2 Experimental Verification	. 145
	5.4 Summary of The Chapter	150
	REFERENCES	. 151
6.		
	MUTUAL INFLUENCE ELIMINATION AND SIMPLIFIED CALCULATION	153
	6.1 Introduction	153
	6.2 Model Predictive based Duty Cycle Control – Two Vector Based Approach	154
	6.2.1 Conventional two vector based approach	154
	6.2.2 Simplified model predictive based duty cycle control	156
	6.2.3 Numerical simulation	159
	6.2.4 Experimental Verification	. 164
	6.2.5 Conclusion of discussions	168
	6.3 Improved SVM based DPC	169
	6.3 Model Predictive based Duty Cycle Control – Three Vector Based Approach	. 171
	631 MPC based PDCC	171

6.3.2 MPC based direct duty cycle control	174
6.3.3 Numerical simulation	177
6.3.4 Experimental verification	184
6.4 Conclusion of the Chapter	189
REFERENCES	191
7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS	193
7.1 Conclusions	193
7.2 Future Works	194
APPENDIX. PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THE THESIS PROJECT	196

LIST OF SYMBOLS

C Filter capacitance $[\mu F]$

 d_a', d_b' Duty ratio of voltage vector V_a', V_b'

dp, dq Digitized signals of tracking errors of active and reactive power

 e_a, e_b, e_c Three-phase AC source voltages [V]

 $e_{\alpha\beta}$ Input source voltage vector [V]

f Source voltage frequency

H₁ H_{2....} H₅ Three-phase full-bridge converter module

 I_a , I_b , I_c AC source three phase current [A]

 $I_{\alpha\beta}$ Line current vector [A]

*i*_L AC inductor current [A]

Line inductance [mH]

 P_i, Q_i Active and reactive power with i-th voltage space vector

 $P^* Q^*$ Active and reactive power reference value.

 Q_1, Q_4 Switches in IBDC converter

R Line resistance $[\Omega]$

 $R_{\rm L}$ Load resistance $[\Omega]$

 S_1, S_2 Switches of power converter.

 S_{ia} , S_{ib} , S_{ic} Switching states of three-phase full-bridge converter.

 S_n Sector number

 $T_{\rm c}$ Computing time of control strategy [µs]

 $T_{\rm s}$ Sampling period [μ s]

 t_a, t_b, t_c Duration time of space voltage vector [µs]

 V_a , V_b , V_c AC terminal voltages of the three-phase bridge [V]

 $V_{\alpha\beta}$ Three-phase converter input voltage vector [V]

 $V_{i\alpha}, V_{i\beta}$ Voltage space vector for each switching state [V]

 $V_0, V_1...V_7$ Voltage space vector

 V_{-a} , V_{-b} Reverse vector of V_a , V_b

V_{dc} DC terminal Voltage [V]

 V_1, V_2 Input and Output Voltage [V]

 V_{α} , V_{β} Voltage vectors in the stationary reference frame [V]

 $\Delta P \Delta Q$ Variation of active and reactive powers

 $\Delta I_{\alpha} \ \Delta I_{\beta}$ Variation of AC source current.

 δ_{pi} , δ_{qi} Active and reactive power slopes of i-th voltage space vector

 δ_{pa} , δ_{qa} Active power and reactive power slopes of the voltage vector

 λ , λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 Weighting factors

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC Alternating Current

BIL Basic Insulation Level

CDPC Conventional Direct Power Control

CEC California Energy Commission

CFPP Current Fed Push-pull

CMPC Conventional Model Predictive Control

CPUC California Public Utilities Commission

CPDCC Conventional Predictive Duty Cycle Control

CSVMDPC Conventional Space Vector Modulation based Direct Power Control

DAB Dual Active Bridge

DC Direct Current

DFIG Doubly-Fed Induction Generator

DER Distributed Energy Resource

DG Distributed Generation

DPS Dual-phase-shift

DSP Digital Signal Processor

DTC Direct Torque Control

ESS Energy Storage System

ESPS Extended Single-Phase-Shift

EPRI Electric Power Research Institute

FCS-MPC Finite Control Set MPC

IC Internal Combustion

IBDC Isolated Bidirectional Full-bridge DC-DC Converter

ISVMDPC Improved SVM based Direct Power Control

IPDCC Improved Predictive Duty Cycle Control

ISS International Space Station

MPC Model Predictive Control

MPCDDC Model Predictive Control based Direct Duty Control

MMPC Multi-functional Model Predictive Control

MPDCC Model Predictive Duty Cycle Control

MPDPC Model Predictive based Direct Power Control

MPCPDCC Model Predictive Control based Predict Duty Cycle Control

MPPT Maximum Power Tracking Point

MV Medium Voltage

PEI Power Electronic Interface

PDCC Predictive Duty Cycle Control

PV Photovoltaic

PWM Pulse Width Modulation

RTDX Real Time Data Exchange

STDPC Switching Table based Direct Power Control

SST Solid State Transformer

SIWG Smart Inverter Working Group

SVM Space Vector Modulation

SVMDPC Space Vector Modulation based Direct Power Control

RES Renewable Energy Sources

RPDCC Reversible Predictive Duty Cycle Control

RMPCPDCC Reversible Model Predictive Control based Predict Duty Cycle

Control

SPDDC Simplified Predictive Duty Cycle Control

SMPDDC Simplified Model Predictive Direct Duty Cycle

THD Total Harmonic Distortion

UPS Uninterruptible Power Supply

VOC Voltage-Oriented Control

VSC Voltage Source Converter

ZVS Zero Voltage Switching

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1	Three phase PWM AC/DC converter	. 3
Fig. 1.2	Topology of dual active bridge converter (three phase topology)	. 4
Fig. 1.3	Energy storage system based on IBDC in a microgrid	. 4
Fig. 1.4	Typical application of IBDC for power distribution in microgrid	. 5
Fig. 1.5	Block diagram of VOC	. 5
Fig. 1.6	Block diagram of conventional DPC-based power regulation	. 6
Fig.1.7	Block diagram of MPC-based power regulation	. 7
Fig.1.8	Block diagram of the SPDCC for the AC/DC converter	. 7
Fig. 1.9	Block diagram of SVMDPC-based power regulation	. 8
Fig.1.10	Block diagram of PDCC for the AC/DC converter	. 9
Fig. 2.1	Synchronous generator plus power electronics configuration	19
Fig. 2.2	The topology of three-phase full bridge power electronic converter for synchronous wind turbine generator	20
Fig. 2.3	Power electronic AC/DC/AC converter for doubly-fed wind turbine generator	20
Fig. 2.4	Multi-string PV topology with high-frequency transformer-based isolation	21
Fig. 2.5	Cascaded DC/DC and DC/AC converter topology	21
Fig. 2.6	Microturbine configurations, (a) Microturbine configurations with DC-link power converters, (b) Microturbine configurations with a high frequency AC-link power converter, (c) Power electronic converter topology	22
Fig. 2.7	Three phase PWM AC/DC converter	25
Fig. 2.8	Grid-connected AC/DC converter for bidirectional power flow	25
Fig. 2.9	Block diagram of conventional switching table based DPC	25
Fig. 2.10	Control block of MPDPC.	28
Fig. 2.11	Block diagram of SVMDPC-based power regulation (a) CSVMDPC (b) ISVMDPC	29
Fig. 2.12	Control block of PDCC	30
Fig. 2.13	Topology of buck-boost converter.	32
Fig. 2.14	Topology of current fed push-pull (CFPP) converter	32

Fig. 2.15 Topology of half bridge converter	33
Fig. 2.16 Topology of half bridge dual active converter	33
Fig. 2.17 Topology of dual active bridge converter (single phase topology)	34
Fig. 2.18 Topology of dual active bridge converter (three phase topology)	35
Fig. 2.19 Typical configuration of IBDC (DAB)	37
Fig. 2.20 Relevant waveforms of IBDC in CSPS control	37
Fig. 2.21 Waveforms of IBDC in ESPS control	39
Fig. 2.22 Energy storage system based on IBDC in microgrid.	40
Fig. 2.23 Three stage SST network with low voltage (LV) and medium voltage (MV) DC networks	41
Fig. 2.24 Application of IBDC for power distribution in microgrid	42
Fig. 2.25 A typical AC microgrid system	43
Fig. 2.26 A hierarchical microgrid with both AC and DC links	43
Fig. 2.27 A typical example of a hybrid microgrid configuration	44
Fig. 2.28 A residential microgrid with both AC and DC links	44
Fig.2.29 Typical DC bus based microgrid systems	46
Fig. 3.1 Block diagram of proposed multi-functional modular microgrid system	63
Fig. 3.2 Utility/microgrids power-supply mode, Grid-connected status	65
Fig. 3.3 DG& ESS power supply mode: Grid-connected status	66
Fig. 3.4 DG power supply mode. Island status	67
Fig. 3.5 DG power feed mode, Island status	67
Fig. 3.6 Parallel power supply mode.	68
Fig. 3.7 Power sharing with droop control method.	69
Fig. 3.8 MPC based DPC for bidirectional power flow between the ESS and the utility grid .	71
Fig. 3.9 Control performance with bidirectional power flows(a) Conventional DPC, (b) MPC-based DPC	72
Fig. 3.10 Typical configuration of DC/DC power transmission in proposed microgrids	73
Fig. 3.11 (a) Series connection for DC/DC/AC conversion (b) Power converter topology (c) Voltage and current of phase A (d) Three phase current (e) Output active power (f) Output reactive power (g) Voltage of DC bus (h) Phase shift ratio of IBDC convert	
	74

Fig.3.12	Control system design loop for proposed microgrid system	76
Fig 3.13	An Illustration of California's Current and Estimated Net Load Curve - often referred to as the 'duck curve'	
Fig. 3.14	Smart converter for distributed generations	82
Fig. 3.15	Smart converter hardware	83
Fig.3.16	Smart converter module for various DERs	88
Fig. 4.1	AC/DC three-phase converter structure	97
Fig. 4.2	Voltage space vectors	97
Fig. 4.3	Block diagram of conventional DPC-based power regulation	99
Fig. 4.4.	Block diagram of SVMDPC-based power regulation (a) CSVMDPC (b) ISVMDPC1	01
Fig. 4.5	Block diagram of MPC-based power regulation	02
Fig. 4.6	Advanced control design in comparison with CMPC	04
Fig. 4.7	Data processing in digital implementation	05
Fig. 4.8	Switching paths of vectors	06
Fig. 4.9	Steady-state performance comparison. From top to bottom. (a) CDPC three-phase currents, CDPC reactive powers, CMPC-I three-phase currents, CMPC-I reactive powers, (b) CMPC-II three-phase currents, CMPC-II reactive powers, MMPC-I three-phase currents, MMPC-I reactive powers; (c) MMPC-I three-phase currents, MMPC-II reactive powers, MMPC-II three-phase currents, MMPC-II reactive powers.	
Fig. 4.10	Dynamic performance. From top to bottom, AC voltage, three-phase currents, active power and reactive power, (a) CDPC, (b) CMPC-I, (c) CMPC-II, (d) MMPC-I, (e) MMPC-II	
Fig. 4.11	Laboratory experimental prototype	15
Fig. 4.12	Experimental performance when P =450 W, Q changes from 550 Var to 0 Var. Top: PWM signal, V_{ab} , i_a and i_b , Bottom: active power and reactive power, (a) CDPC, (CMPC-I, (c) CMPC-II, (d) MMPC-I, (e) MMPC-II.	
Fig. 4.13	Harmonic spectra of grid current when P=450 W and Q=0 Var. (a) CDPC. (b) CMPC-I. (c) CMPC-II (d) MMPC-I (e) MMPC-II	18
Fig. 4.14	Experimental performance when Q =200 Var, P changes from 200 W to 400 W. Top PWM signal, V_{ab} , i_a and i_b . Bottom: active power and reactive power. (a). CDPC. (b) CMPC-I. (c) CMPC-II (d) MMPC-I (e) MMPC-II	
Fig. 5.1 ((a) AC/DC three-phase converter structure, and (b) Voltage space vectors	29

Fig. 5.2	Block diagram of PDCC for the AC/DC converter	130
Fig. 5.3.	Active and reactive power variation rates by using each converter voltage vector throughout 12 different sectors. (a) $P = 450 \text{ W}$, $Q = 0 \text{ Var}$. (b) $P = -450 \text{ W}$, $Q = 0 \text{ Var}$.	
Fig. 5.4.	Active and reactive power variation rates by using each converter voltage vector throughout 12 different sectors. (a) P =-350 W, Q =200 Var. (b) P =-350 W, Q =-20 Var.	00
Fig. 5.5	Active and reactive power changes using 3 + 3 voltage-vectors' sequence	138
Fig. 5.6	Block diagram of the IPDCC for the AC/DC converter	140
Fig.5.7	Steady-state performance at P =450 W, Q =0 Var. Top to bottom: i_a , P and Q , V_a ,	
Fig.5.8 S	Steady-state performance at P =-350 W, Q =200 Var. Top to bottom: i_a , P and Q , V_a , V_b , sector, t_a , t_b , THD analyses of i_a , (a) PDPC, (b) IPDCC, and (c) RPDCC	
Fig. 5.9	Dynamic-state performance comparison, Top to bottom: i_a , P and Q , $V_a^{'}$, $V_b^{'}$, sect t_a , t_b , where (a) PDPC, (b) IPDCC, and (c) RPDCC	
Fig. 5.10	Steady-state performance at P =450 W, Q =0 Var; From top to bottom, experimental figure, i_b , P and Q , THD of i_b , where (a) PDPC, (b) IPDCC, and (c) RPDCC	
Fig.5.11	Steady-state performance at $P=200 \text{ W}$, $Q=350 \text{ Var}$; From top to bottom, experiment figure, i_b , P and Q , THD of i_b , where (a) PDPC, (b) IPDCC, and (c) RPDCC	
Fig.5.12	Dynamic-state performance when P changes from 250 W to 450 W, Q =300 Var; To Experimental figure; Bottom: i_b , P and Q ; where (a) PDPC, (b) IPDCC, and (c) RPDCC	
Fig. 5.13	Responses of active power and reactive power for proposed RPDDC when the actual inductance in control differs from the real value; (a) 10 mH, (b) 20 mH, (c) 30 mH, and (d) 40 mH	
Fig.6.1	Block diagram of the SMPDCC for the AC/DC converter	160
Fig.6.2	Steady-state performance at P =200 W, Q =400 Var. Top to bottom: i_c , P and Q , THI analyses of i_c , where (a) MPDPC, (b) MPDCC, (c) SMPDCC-1, and (d) SMPDCC-1.5	2
Fig. 6.3	Dynamic-state performance with bi-directional power flow, Top to bottom: V_a and three phase current, P and Q , where (a) MPDPC, (b) MPDCC, (c) SMPDCC-1, (d) SMPDCC-1, 5	i _a ,

Fig.6.4	Steady-state performance at P =200 W, Q =400 Var, Top to bottom: Experimental figure, corresponding P and Q , THD analyses of i_a , where (a) MPDPC-10kHz, (b) MPDPC-20kHz, (c) IPDCC, (d) MPDCC, (e) SMPDCC-1, and (f) SMPDDC-1.5 . 167
Fig.6.5	Dynamic-state performance when P from 200 W to 400 W, Q =200 Var, Top: Experimental figure. Bottom: i_a , P and Q , where (a) MPDPC-10kHz, (b) MPDPC-20kHz, (c) PDCC, (d) MPDCC, (e) SMPDCC-1, (f) SMPDCC-1.5
Fig.6.6	Responses of active power and reactive power for proposed SPDDC when the actual inductance in control differs from the real value, where (a) 10 mH , (b) 20 mH , (c) 30 mH , and (d) 40 mH
Fig. 6.7	Block diagram of SVMDPC-based power regulation, where (a) CSVMDPC, and (b) ISVMDPC
Fig.6.8	Block diagram of the MPCDDC for the AC/DC converter
Fig.6.9	Steady-state performance at P =450 W, Q =0 Var, Top to bottom: i_a , P and Q , V_a , V_b , sector, t_a , t_b , THD analyses of i_a , where (a) MPCDDC-I, (b) MPCDDC-II, (c) ISVMPDC, (d) MPCPDCC-I, (e) MPCPDCC-II, and (f) RMPCPDCC
Fig.6.10	Steady-state performance at P =-350 W, Q =200 Var, Top to bottom: i_a , P and Q , V_a , V_b , sector, t_a , t_b , and THD analyses of i_a , where (a) MPCDDC-I, (b) MPCDDC-II, (c) ISVMPDC, (d) MPCPDCC-I, (e) MPCPDCC-II, and (f) RMPCPDCC
Fig.6.11	Dynamic-state performance, Top to bottom: i_a , P and Q , V_a , V_b , sector, t_a , t_b , and THD analyses of i_a , where (a) MPCDDC-I, (b) MPCDDC-II, (c) ISVMPDC, (d) MPCPDCC-I, (e) MPCPDCC-II, and (f) RMPCPDCC
Fig.6.12	Steady state performance at P =200 W, Q =200 Var, Top to bottom: experimental figure corresponding P and Q , and THD analyses of i_a , where (a) MPDPC-10k, (b) MPDPC-20k, (c) PDCC, (d) MPCDDC-I, (e) MPCDDC-II, (f) ISVMDPC, (g) MPCPDCC-I, and (h) MPCPDCC-II
Fig. 6.13	Dynamic state performance when P from 200 W to 400 W, Q =200 Var, Top: experimental figure, Bottom: i_a , P and Q , where (a) MPDPC-10k, (b) MPDPC-20k, (c) PDCC, (d) MPCDDC-I, (e) MPCDDC-II, (f) ISVMDPC, (g) MPCPDCC-I, and (h) MPCPDCC-II
Fig. 6.14	Dynamic state performance when Q from 450 Var to 150 Var, P =300 W, Top: Experimental figure, Bottom: i_a , P and Q , where (a) MPDPC-10k, (b) MPDPC-20k, (c) PDCC, (d) MPCDDC-I, (e) MPCDDC-II, (f) ISVMDPC, (g) MPCPDCC-I, and (h) MPCPDCC-II

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2.1 Comparisons of different kinds of DC/DC converters	36
TABLE 4.1 Converter switching states and corresponding output voltage vectors	98
TABLE 4.2 Conventional switching table of STDPC	99
TABLE 4.3 Improved switching table of STDPC	99
TABLE 4.4 Improved switching table of STDPC	100
TABLE 4.5 Electrical parameter of simulation	109
TABLE 4.6 Quantitative comparison of simulation results	114
TABLE 4.7 Electrical parameter of experimental prototype	116
TABLE 5.1 Conventional voltage-vectors' sequences for predictive DPC	130
TABLE 5.2 Improved Voltage-vectors' sequences for predictive DPC 135	
TABLE 5.3 Voltage selection for proposed RPDCC	138
TABLE 5.4 Electrical Parameter of Power Circuit.	140
TABLE 5.5 Quantitative comparison of simulation results	145
TABLE 5.6 Electrical parameter of prototype	146
TABLE 5.7 Quantitative comparisons of experimental results	149
TABLE 6.1 Electrical parameter of power circuit	160
TABLE 6.2 Quantitative comparison of simulation results	164
TABLE 6.3 Electrical parameters of experimental prototype	165
TABLE 6.4 Quantitative comparison of experimental results	167
TABLE 6.5 Quantitative Comparison of Simulation Results	177
TABLE 6.6 Quantitative comparison of simulation results	183
TABLE 6.7 Electrical Parameter of Prototype	184
TABLE 6.8 Quantitative comparison of experimental results	191

ABSTRACT

This thesis focused on application and control of three-phase full-bridge converter in renewable energy system (RES). Current challenges and application of the converter are reviewed, novel concept of smart converter and novel microgrid topology with modular design are proposed. Various kinds of control strategies are comprehensively researched and proposed to improve the performance.

Through review of power electronic interface (PEI) and control strategies in RES, it is concluded that three-phase full-bridge converter is generally utilized for AC/DC bi-directional conversion and could realize DC/DC conversion with high frequency transformer. Novel topology of microgrid is proposed with modular structure and wireless communication ability by using three-phase full-bridge converter modules, which is extremely reliable, expandable and flexible. The control modes, the control strategies for each module and system control strategy are discussed. Meanwhile, the smart converter concept is proposed for RES to improve the utility power quality and system stability.

For ancillary services and advanced functions, control strategies of three-phase full-bridge AC/DC converter play an important role. Firstly, the conventional switching table based direct power control (STDPC) and model predictive based direct power control (MPDPC) are compared. Though MPDPC has advantages such as fast dynamic response and lack of modulator, the mutual influence of control objectives is obvious and switching frequency is high. Thus novel advanced multi-functional MPDPC for improving both the dynamic and steady state performances simultaneously is proposed. Not only the novel control can improve the steady-state performance while impeding switching frequency increment, but it can also eliminate mutual influence between active and reactive powers during dynamic instant.

Due to finite number of vectors, the single vector selection based method still bears with variable switching frequency, relatively higher power ripple and spread spectrum nature of harmonics. Therefore, the three-vector based conventional predictive duty

cycle control (PDCC) uses prediction value of power slope and square error minimization method to calculate duration time of adjacent two none-zero vectors, which are selected based on sector information. However, incorrect vector selection cannot be avoided and negative duration time exists. Improved PDCC is presented to solve this issue by reselection of none-zero vector and recalculation of duration time, while the complexity and computation burden increase significantly. Finally, reversible PDCC method is proposed, which does not recalculate the power slope and duration time, it reduces the control complexity significantly while achieving better dynamic and steady performance.

However, there are still some inherent disadvantages of above mentioned methods. Firstly, the mutual influence inherits. Secondly, the calculated negative duration time cannot be wholly eliminated. Thirdly, the computation burden is quite high. Lastly, it limits the method to three vectors based approach only. Therefore, model predictive based vector selection is proposed to avoid incorrect vector selection, simplified duration time calculation method without power slope calculation is proposed, the dynamic and steady-state performance are further improved. Two vector based simplified model predictive duty cycle control (SMPDCC) is proposed with comparison of conventional two vector based approach, improved space vector modulation based DPC (ISVMDPC) is presented. Three vector based approaches with mutual influence elimination and simplified calculation are proposed. Comprehensive comparisons of each methods with simulation and experimental results are conducted.