

**The development of water-based consolidants for Sydney sandstone in heritage
buildings**

A thesis submitted to the University of Technology, Sydney as a requirement for

Doctor of Philosophy

By

Tamae Fukumoto

2017

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as part of the collaborative doctoral degree and/or fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Date:

23/06/2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to gratefully acknowledge the valuable assistance and information provided for this dissertation; I owe my gratitude to all the people who have helped me and contributed to the completion of this dissertation. I would like to thank University of Technology Sydney for giving me the opportunity to participate in the significant sandstone conservation research. It has been an incredible experience to be a part of this research at University of Technology Sydney. The postgraduate research scholarship and financial support provided by University of Technology Sydney are also gratefully acknowledged.

First, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Dr Paul Thomas and Associate Professor Barbra Stuart, for their advice, guidance, encouragements, patience and useful critiques of this research. I thank them for being available to help me. Their support, direction and understanding helped me overcome many challenging situations and finish this dissertation. I am also sincerely grateful to Professor Abhi Ray and Mrs Helen Ray. I appreciate that they generously gave their time to offer me valuable information, help, advice, encouragements and comments toward improving my work. I am grateful to Professor Abhi Ray for his advice and discussions that helped me sort out characterisation of montmorillonite and the composite samples. I am also much obliged to Mrs Helen Ray for her discussions and the useful information she has provided about structure, geology and conservation of sandstone.

I would like to express my appreciation to all of the faculty and technical staff members who assisted me in my laboratory work and helped me in handling the instruments. My sincere gratitude must go to Dr Barry Liu for his help in performing characterisation and analysis of consolidated Sydney sandstones. Without his support, advice and patience, the consolidation work in this thesis would not have been successful. Appreciation is extended to Mr Jean-Pierre Guerbois for his experienced and valuable technical assistance and advice with thermal analysis of my samples and for his help in the laboratory. I am also very thankful to Dr Ronald Shimmon and Dr Linda Xiao for their help with the humidity cabinets as well as the other equipment and instruments. I would

like to thank all the technical staff members for offering their support and lending me apparatuses/equipment crucial for this project, especially Ms Anthea Harris and Mr Nishath Geekiyanage. I am thankful to Mr Name Rami Haddad and Mr David Dicker for their help in cutting sandstone blocks. I would like to thank NSW public work, Arumpo Bentonite Pty Ltd. and IMCD Australia Ltd. for the supply of sandstones, montmorillonite and Silres product as well as the information provided for their products, which was valuable for the collection of my data. My special thanks must go to all the architects and stonemasons of NSW public work as well as Ms Joy Singh for their discussions and information regarding Sydney sandstone and the conservation practice of Sydney buildings.

I also owe many thanks to the postgraduate students who helped me by exchanging any ideas and information and who shared their experience and knowledge with me. I met some nice people who made my life at University of Technology Sydney a memorable experience. Without all of their support and consideration, this thesis would not have reached its completion; I am thankful to the staff and students for their help throughout my stay at University of Technology Sydney. Finally, I would like to thank my family for their encouragements, patience and understanding. This thesis is dedicated to my family members who believed in my potential to overcome all obstacles and pushed me try my best. Without their assistance and sacrifice, I could not have completed my PhD, and I am deeply indebted to them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
ABSTRACT	xxvi
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Heritage stone conservation	1
1.2. Conservation of historic sites in Australia	4
1.3. Sandstone	6
1.4. Sandstone weathering and deterioration mechanisms	12
1.5. Conservation of Sydney sandstone heritage buildings	13
1.6. Consolidation treatment of Sydney sandstone	14
1.7. Objectives and scope of thesis	15
CHAPTER 2. WEATHERING OF SANDSTONES	19
2.1. Introduction	19
2.2. Stone weathering	19
2.3. Physical weathering	21
2.3.1. Salt initiated deterioration	21
2.4. Chemical weathering	24
2.5. Biological weathering	24
2.6. Role of moisture and water	25
2.7. Anthropogenic influences on weathering	26
2.8. Weathering of historic building Sydney sandstones	28

CHAPTER 3. STONE CONSOLIDATING MATERIALS	35
3.1. Introduction	35
3.2. Stone consolidation	35
3.2.1. Traditional consolidation treatments	35
3.2.2. Inorganic consolidants	36
3.2.3. Organic-based treatments	38
3.2.3.1. Epoxies	39
3.2.3.2. Acrylic polymers	40
3.2.4. Organosilicon compounds	41
3.2.5. Combined products	44
3.2.6. Bio-consolidation	45
3.3. Performance criteria: Ideal stone-consolidating materials	46
3.4. Consolidation treatment of Sydney's historic sandstones	48
CHAPTER 4. CONSOLIDATING MATERIALS FOR SYDNEY SANDSTONES ...	52
4.1. Introduction	52
4.2. Clay science	53
4.2.1. Clay mineralogy	53
4.2.2. Structural characteristics of phyllosilicates	56
4.2.3. Physicochemical characteristics	59
4.2.4. 1:1 silicate layers	62
4.2.5. Kaolinite	65
4.2.6. 2:1 silicate layers	68
4.2.7. Expandability of 2:1 phyllosilicates	70
4.2.8. Montmorillonite	72
4.3. Polymer MMT composite systems	76
4.3.1. General characteristics of polymer MMT composite systems	76
4.3.2. Structure of polymer-clay nanocomposites	78
4.3.3. Preparation methods of polymer-clay composites	82
4.4. Intercalation of MMT	87
4.4.1. Intercalation of MMT by hydrophilic polymers	87
4.4.2. Intercalation of MMT by PAA	90
4.4.3. Intercalation of MMT by PAm	91

4.4.4. Intercalation of MMT by PEG	93
CHAPTER 5. MATERIALS AND METHODS	97
5.1. Introduction	97
5.2. Materials	97
5.2.1. Materials for synthesis of sandstone consolidants	97
5.2.1.1. Hydrophilic polymer/monomer-MMT systems	97
5.2.1.2. Commercial stone consolidants	98
5.2.2. Sandstones for consolidation treatment	99
5.3. Preparation of stone consolidants	100
5.3.1. Overview of experimental work for preparation of sandstone consolidants	100
5.3.2. Acid-treatment of MMT	101
5.3.2.1. Acid-modification of MMT	101
5.3.2.2. Acidification of MMT	102
5.3.3. Solution intercalation method	103
5.3.4. In situ intercalative polymerisation	105
5.4. Characterisation of polymer-MMT composites	106
5.4.1. FTIR spectroscopy	106
5.4.1.1. Background	106
5.4.1.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	112
5.4.2. Thermal Analysis	113
5.4.2.1. Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	113
5.4.2.1.1. Background	113
5.4.2.1.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	120
5.4.2.2. Evolved gas analysis using Thermogravimetric Analysis (TG-MS)	121
5.4.2.2.1. Background	121
5.4.2.2.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	123
5.4.3. X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	124
5.4.3.1. Background	124
5.4.3.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	128
5.4.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	130

5.4.4.1. Background	130
5.4.4.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	134
5.5. Consolidation treatment of Sydney sandstone	135
5.5.1. Application of consolidants	135
5.5.1.1. Background	135
5.5.1.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	138
5.5.2. Color analysis	143
5.5.2.1. Background	143
5.5.2.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	145
5.5.3. Permeability to water vapor	148
5.5.3.1. Background	148
5.5.3.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	150
5.5.4. Mechanical strength	153
5.5.4.1. Background	153
5.5.4.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	155

CHAPTER 6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: CHARACTERIZATION OF
SANDSTONE CONSOLIDANTS

5.5.4.2. Experimental methods and analysis conditions	155
CHAPTER 6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: CHARACTERIZATION OF SANDSTONE CONSOLIDANTS	157
6.1. Introduction	157
6.2. PAA-MMT composite system	157
6.2.1. Microscopic evaluation of PAA-MMT composite films	157
6.2.2. XRD analysis of PAA-MMT	159
6.2.3. SEM study of PAA-MMT	162
6.2.4. FTIR analysis of PAA-MMT	165
6.2.5. Thermal analysis of PAA-MMT	171
6.2.6. Summary	178
6.3. PAm-MMT composite system	180
6.3.1. Microscopic evaluation of PAm-MMT films	180
6.3.2. XRD analysis of PAm-MMT	181
6.3.3. SEM study of PAm-MMT	187
6.3.4. FTIR analysis of PAm-MMT	190
6.3.5. Thermal analysis of PAm-MMT	198
6.3.6. Summary	206

7.2.1. Application of PAA and PAA-MMT	265
7.2.2. Variations in appearance and colour	267
7.2.3. Water vapor permeability of consolidated sandstones	269
7.2.4. Bending tests	272
7.2.5. Summary of consolidation treatment with PAA and PAA-MMT ...	274
7.3. PAm and PAm-MMT composite system	276
7.3.1. Application of PAm and PAm-MMT	276
7.3.2. Variations in appearance and colour	278
7.3.3. Water vapor permeability of consolidated sandstones	280
7.3.4. Bending tests	282
7.3.5. Summary of consolidation treatment with PAm and PAm-MMT ...	284
7.4. PEG and PEG-MMT composite system	286
7.4.1. Application of PEG and PEG-MMT	286
7.4.2. Variations in appearance and colour	288
7.4.3. Water vapor permeability of consolidated sandstones	290
7.4.4. Bending tests	293
7.4.5. Summary of consolidation treatment with PEG and PEG-MMT ..	294
7.5. In situ polymerisation of AA	297
7.5.1. Application of AA solutions with or without KPS	297
7.5.2. Variations in appearance and colour	299
7.5.3. Water vapor permeability of consolidated sandstones	302
7.5.4. Bending tests	304
7.5.5. Summary of consolidation treatment with in situ polymerisation of AA	305
7.6. In situ polymerisation of Am	307
7.6.1. Application of Am solutions with or without KPS	307
7.6.2. Variations in appearance and colour	309
7.6.3. Water vapor permeability of consolidated sandstones	311
7.6.4. Bending tests	313
7.6.5. Summary of consolidation treatment with in situ polymerisation of Am	314
7.7. Commercial consolidants	317
7.7.1. Application of commercial consolidants	317

7.7.2. Variations in appearance and colour	319
7.7.3. Water vapor permeability of consolidated sandstones	321
7.7.4. Summary of consolidation treatment with commercial consolidants	324
7.8. Comparison of consolidation performance	327
7.8.1. Application of consolidants	327
7.8.2. Variations in appearance and colour	331
7.8.3. Water vapor permeability of consolidated sandstones	335
7.8.4. Bending tests	339
7.8.5. Incompatibility of consolidation treatment	341
7.9. Conclusions on consolidation of Sydney sandstone	343
CHAPTER 8. CONCLUSIONS	347
8.1. Introduction	347
8.2. Hydrophilic polymer-MMT composites	348
8.3. Consolidation treatment of Sydney sandstone	350
8.4. Concluding remarks	352
REFERENCES	354

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA	Acrylic acid
Am	Acrylamide
B67	Paraloid B67
B72	Paraloid B72
BMA	Butyl methacrylate
BSE	Backscattered electrons
CR	Capillary rise absorption
DSC	Differential Scanning Calorimetry
DW	Distilled water
EA	Ethylacrylate
EMA	Ethyl methacrylate
FTIR spectroscopy	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
KPS	Potassium persulfate
Lascaux	Lascaux 498 HV
PAA	Polyacrylic acid
PAA100	Polyacrylic acid (100,000 g/mol)
PAA250	Polyacrylic acid (250,000 g/mol)
PAm	Polyacrylamide
PEG	Polyethylene glycol
PEG25	Polyethylene glycol (25,000 g/mol)
PEG35	Polyethylene glycol (35,000 g/mol)

PEO	Polyethylene oxide
MA	Methylacrylate
MMA	Methyl methacrylate
MMT	Montmorillonite
MMTa	Acidified MMT
RT	Room temperature
SE	Secondary electrons
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
Silres	SILRES® BS OH 100
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TGA	Thermogravimetric Analysis
TG-MS Analysis	Evolved gas analysis using Thermogravimetric
TI	Total immersion
WVT	Water vapour transmission
XRD	X-ray Diffraction

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1.	The classification of the phyllosilicate clay minerals	58
Table 5.1.	Physicochemical characteristics of MMT	98
Table 5.2.	Commercial stone consolidants used in this work	99
Table 5.3.	Summary of the stone consolidants prepared using direct intercalation method	103
Table 5.4.	Summary of the stone consolidants prepared using intercalative polymerization method	105
Table 5.5.	Collected information for infrared absorptions of OH and CO stretch of PAA and their peak assignments	108
Table 5.6.	Reported data for infrared absorptions of N-H and C=O stretching bands of PAm and their peak assignments	110
Table 5.7.	Consolidants tested in this work	139
Table 6.2.1.	Infrared Bands of PAA and MMT and their peak assignments	167
Table 6.2.2.	Mass losses of PAA and MMT	172
Table 6.3.1.	Infrared absorption bands of PAm and MMT and their peak assignments	191
Table 6.3.2.	Mass losses of PAm heated at 10.0 °C/min	199
Table 6.4.1.	Observed frequencies for PEG and MMT	220
Table 6.4.2.	Mass losses of PEG35 measured at a heating rate of 10°C/min	223
Table 6.4.3.	Decomposition temperatures and mass losses with respect to PEG mass for the ultrasonicated PEG-MMT samples	226
Table 6.5.1.	Infrared absorptions of Am and MMT and their peak assignments	241
Table 6.5.2.	Mass losses of Am heated at 10.0 °C/min	249

Table 6.5.3. Decomposition onset temperatures and mass losses for the AmM and AmMa samples	251
--	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Location of Sydney Basin and Triassic rock units	9
Figure 1.2.	SEM and X-ray mapping images of Pymont sandstone	10
Figure 1.3.	Unweathered and discoloured Sydney yellow block sandstone	11
Figure 2.1.	Influences of stone weathering	20
Figure 2.2.	Image showing salt attack as found from disaggregated sandstones buildings on Sydney Harbour’s Spectacle Island	22
Figure 2.3.	Photo illustrating subflorescence as a result of accumulated salts below the sandstone surface	23
Figure 2.4.	Salt and water damage resulted from a sandstone building	26
Figure 2.5.	Deterioration of heritage sandstones taken from St Mary Cathedral in Sydney showing cracking, discoloration, detachment, biological colonization and granular disintegration	29
Figure 2.6.	Electron micrograph presenting structural changes in weathered natural cementing clay from St Mary’s Cathedral such as smaller and more irregular shapes of platelets and fused parties in circled areas	31
Figure 3.1.	Diglycidyl ethers of bisphenol A	39
Figure 3.2.	Addition polymerisation of acrylate monomers	40
Figure 3.3.	Hydrolysis and condensation of alkoxy silanes	42
Figure 3.4.	Schematic diagram of silicon polymer on the monument surface	43
Figure 3.5.	Photo image demonstrating the color changes in the sandstone block as a result of weathering action on the uncovered surface to external environment	49
Figure 4.1.	Schematic illustration of layered structure of clay minerals	54
Figure 4.2.	Structures of (a) tetrahedral sheet and (b) octahedral sheet	56
Figure 4.3.	Schematic diagrams of (a) ideal hexagonal array structure, (b) deformed ditrigonal structure, (c) trioctahedral sheet and (d) dioctahedral sheet ..	57
Figure 4.4.	Schematic diagram illustrating clay mineral and its interaction with surroundings	60
Figure 4.5.	Schematic illustration showing interaction sites of clay mineral	61
Figure 4.6.	Electron microscopic image of cementing clay in Sydney sandstone ..	63
Figure 4.7.	Schematic diagram of the structure of kaolinite	66

Figure 4.8.	Schematic representation of MMT structure	73
Figure 4.9.	Different types of composite: (a) conventional composite, (b) intercalated nanocomposite and (c) exfoliated nanocomposite	78
Figure 4.10.	Schematic of (a) intercalated and (b) flocculated composite structure ...	79
Figure 4.11.	Schematic representation of (a) ordered, (b) disordered and (c) semi-exfoliated nanocomposites	80
Figure 4.12.	Schematic drawing of structural model designed for consolidation work of Sydney sandstone	81
Figure 4.13.	Schematic drawing of preparative techniques for polymer-MMT composite systems used in consolidation work of Sydney sandstone ..	83
Figure 4.14.	Solution intercalation of polymer	84
Figure 4.15.	Composite preparation of in situ polymerisation	86
Figure 5.1.	Flow diagram shows the experimental procedure for stone consolidants produced from hydrophilic polymer/monomer and MMT prepared for Sydney sandstone	100
Figure 5.2.	Schematic diagrams of hydrogen bonds formed by intra and intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions of carboxyl group of PAA due to (a) cyclic dimer, (b) face to face hydrogen bonds and (c) lateral hydrogen bonds	107
Figure 5.3.	Proposed dehydration reactions of PAA	114
Figure 5.4.	Proposed decarboxylation reactions	115
Figure 5.5.	Intra and intermolecular imidization reactions of PAm	116
Figure 5.6.	Random scission of C-O and C-C bonds of PEG chains	118
Figure 5.7.	Tortuous path effect of clay minerals on thermal decomposition of polymer-clay minerals composite systems	119
Figure 5.8.	Schematic representation of TG apparatus	120
Figure 5.9.	Schematic diagram of atmospheric TG-MS apparatus	123
Figure 5.10.	Schematic diagram for X-ray reflection from regularly spaced planes of atoms in a crystal structure	124
Figure 5.11.	Schematic diagram illustrating orientations of clay particles	126
Figure 5.12.	Schematic diagram of bragg-brentano geometry using a flat sample ...	129
Figure 5.13.	Schematic diagram of conventional SEM	131
Figure 5.14.	(a) capillary rise saturation and (b) total immersion of sandstone via the	

	whole cross-section of a sample	141
Figure 5.15.	Diagram of three dimensional colourimetric coordinates according to Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage	144
Figure 5.16.	Polaroid MP-4 Multipurpose Camera Systems lighting stage with four 150W tungsten light bulbs angled at 45° and order of colour sampling of sandstone specimens as processed in Photoshop CS5	146
Figure 5.17.	Illustration of the set-up for the water vapour permeability test	151
Figure 5.18.	Schematic diagram of three point bend testing	156
Figure 6.2.1.	PAA100M composite films dried after the ultrasonication treatment at (a)10% MMT, (b) 20% MMT, (c) 30% MMT, (d) 40% MMT, (e) 50% MMT, (f) 60% MMT, (g) 70% MMT, (h) 80% MMT and (i) 90% MMT	158
Figure 6.2.2.	XRD patterns of (a) PAA100M1, (b) PAA100M2, (c) PAA100M3, (d) PAA100M4, (e) PAA100M5, (f) PAA100M6, (g) PAA100M7, (h) PAA100M8 and (i) PAA100M9 and (j) MMT	159
Figure 6.2.3.	Basal (001) reflection of the ultrasonicated composites formed from (A) PAA100 and (B) PAA250 at (a)10% MMT, (b) 20% MMT, (c) 30% MMT, (d) 40% MMT, (e) 50% MMT, (f) 60% MMT, (g) 70% MMT, (h) 80% MMT, (i) 90% MMT and (j) 100% MMT	160
Figure 6.2.4.	Changes in d001 spacing plotted as a function of the MMT loadings ..	161
Figure 6.2.5.	Backscattered images of (a) PAA100M1, (b) PAA100M4 and (c) PAA100M7 at magnification of 200	162
Figure 6.2.6.	SEM micrographs of gold-coated MMT particles (a) dispersed by ultrasonication and (b) prepared by sedimentation technique, and (c) uncoated MMT particles dispersed by ultrasonication of 2.5 wv% MMT suspension	163
Figure 6.2.7.	Carbon coated surface images of (a) PAA100M1, (b) PAA100M2, (c) PAA100M4, (d) PAA100M5, (e) PAA100M7 and (f) PAA100M9 ...	164
Figure 6.2.8.	Carbon-coated cross-sectional images of (a) PAA100M1, (b) PAA100M2, (c) PAA100M3, (d) PAA100M5, (e) PAA100M7 and (f) PAA100M9	164
Figure 6.2.9.	Non-coated surface images of (a) PAA100M1, (b) PAA100M3, (c) PAA100M4, (d) PAA100M5, (e) PAA100M6 and (f) PAA100M7 ...	165

Figure 6.2.10.	FTIR spectra of (a) PAA100, ultrasonicated PAA100-MMT composites at (b) 10% MMT, (c) 20% MMT, (d) 30% MMT, (e) 40% MMT, (f) 50% MMT, (g) 60% MMT, (h) 70% MMT, (i) 80% MMT and (j) 90% MMT and (k) MMT	166
Figure 6.2.11.	Carbonyl FTIR stretching vibrations of (a) PAA, ultrasonicated PAA-MMT composites at (b)10% MMT, (c) 20% MMT, (d) 30% MMT, (e) 40% MMT, (f) 50% MMT, (g) 60% MMT, (h) 70% MMT, (i) 80% MMT, (j) 90% MMT and (k) 100% MMT	168
Figure 6.2.12.	Plot of carbonyl stretching absorption band positions against MMT loadings	169
Figure 6.2.13.	Changes in pH values plotted as a function of the PAA concentrations. The PAA solutions contained the same amount of PAA used for the corresponding composite solutions having a total solid concentration of 2.5 w/v%	169
Figure 6.2.14.	Schematic presentation of formation of PAA-MMT composites having intercalated structures	170
Figure 6.2.15.	TG, DTG and DTA curves of PAA100 heated at 10°C/min	172
Figure 6.2.16.	DTG curves of (a) MMT, the ultrasonicated PAA100M composites at (b) 90% MMT, (c) 80% MMT, (d) 70% MMT, (e) 60% MMT, (f) 50% MMT, (g) 40% MMT, (h) 30% MMT, (i) 20% MMT, (j) 10% MMT and (k) PAA100	173
Figure 6.2.17.	Intra- and intermolecular dehydration and decarboxylation of PAA ...	173
Figure 6.2.18.	DTG curves of (a) MMT, the ultrasonicated PAA100-MMT composites at (b) 90% MMT, (c) 80% MMT, (d) 70% MMT, (e) 60% MMT, (f) 50% MMT, (g) 40% MMT, (h) 30% MMT, (i) 20% MMT and (j) 10% MMT and (k) PAA100, showing the initial thermal decomposition temperatures of PAA	174
Figure 6.2.19.	TGMS curves for dehydration reaction of (a) PAA100, the ultrasonicated PAA100-MMT composites at (b) 10% MMT, (c) 30% MMT, (d) 40% MMT, (e) 50% MMT, (f) 60% MMT, (g) 80% MMT and (h) 90% MMT at a heating rate of 2°C/min	175
Figure 6.2.20.	TGMS curves for decarboxylation of (a) MMT, the PAA100-MMT ultrasonicated composites at (b) 90% MMT, (c) 80% MMT, (d) 70%	

	MMT, (e) 60% MMT, (f) 50% MMT, (g) 40% MMT, (h) 30% MMT, (i) 20% MMT, (j) 10% MMT and (k) PAA100	176
Figure 6.3.1.	Photographs of the ultrasonicated PAm-MMT films at (a) 2.5% MMT, (b) 5% MMT, (c) 10% MMT, (d) 20% MMT, (e) 40% MMT, (f) 50% MMT, (g) 50% acidified MMT, (h) 60% MMT, (i) 70% MMT, (j) 70% acidified MMT and (k) 80% MMT	181
Figure 6.3.2.	XRD patterns of (a) PAmM2.5, (b) PAmM5, (c) PAmM10, (d) PAmM20, (e) PAmM30, (f) PAmM40, (g) PAmM50, (h) PAmM60, (i) PAmM70, (j) PAmM80 and (k) PAmM90 and (l) unmodified MMT	182
Figure 6.3.3.	Basal (001) reflection of ultrasonicated samples produced from unmodified MMT ((A) and (C)) and acidified MMT ((B) and (D)) at (a) 2.5% MMT, (b) 5% MMT, (c) 10% MMT, (d) 20% MMT, (e) 30% MMT, (f) 40% MMT, (g) 50% MMT, (h) 60% MMT, (i) 70% MMT, (j) 80% MMT and (k) 90% MMT and (l) MMT	183
Figure 6.3.4.	Comparison of d001 spacing plotted against the MMT loadings	184
Figure 6.3.5.	Measured pH values of the ultrasonicated PAm-MMT samples prepared using the unmodified MMT (PAmM) and the acidified MMT (PAmM-a) plotted against the PAm concentration	185
Figure 6.3.6.	Backscattered images of the ultrasonicated PAm-MMT samples loaded at (a) 20% MMT, (b) 50% MMT, (c) 70% MMT, (d) 2.5% acidified MMT, (e) 5% acidified MMT and (f) 60% acidified MMT	188
Figure 6.3.7.	Carbon coated surface images of (a) PAmM2.5, (b) PAmM5, (c) PAmM60 and (d) PAmM70 and carbon coated cross-sectional images of (e) PAmM5 and (f) PAmM10	189
Figure 6.3.8.	FTIR spectra of (a) PAm, (b) PAmM2.5, (c) PAmM5, (d) PAmM10, (e) PAmM20, (f) PAmM30, (g) PAmM40, (h) PAmM50, (i) PAmM60, (j) PAmM70, (k) PAmM80 and (l) PAmM90 and (m) unmodified MMT	190
Figure 6.3.9.	Carbonyl stretching region of (a) PAm, (A) PAmM, (B) PAmM-RT, (C) PAmM-60°C, (D) PAmMa, (E) PAmM-RTa, (F) PAmM-60°Ca at (b) 2.5% MMT, (c) 5% MMT, (d) 10% MMT, (e) 20% MMT, (f) 30% MMT, (g) 40% MMT, (h) 50% MMT, (i) 60% MMT, (j) 70% MMT, (k) 80% MMT and (l) 90% MMT, and (m) 100% MMT	192

Figure 6.3.10.	Variations in the carbonyl stretching bands with MMT loadings	194
Figure 6.3.11.	Schematic representation of PAm-MMT systems	195
Figure 6.3.12.	Schematic drawing of bonding interactions of PAm in the composite structure showing in Figure 6.3.11 (B) and (C)	196
Figure 6.3.13.	DTG and DTA curves of PAm measured at a heating rate of 10°C/min	199
Figure 6.3.14.	TG and DTG measurements made on unmodified MMT and acidified MMT	200
Figure 6.3.15.	DTG measurements made on (a) PAm and PAm-MMT at (b) 2.5% MMT, (c) 5% MMT, (d) 10% MMT, (e) 20% MMT, (f) 30% MMT, (g) 40% MMT, (h) 50% MMT, (i) 60% MMT, (j) 70% MMT, (k) 80% MMT and (l) 90% MMT and (m) unmodified MMT	201
Figure 6.3.16.	Onset temperatures of first and second decomposition steps of (a) PAm, (b) PAmM2.5, (c) PAmM5, (d) PAmM10, (e) PAmM20, (f) PAmM30, (g) PAmM40, (h) PAmM50, (i) PAmM60, (j) PAmM70, (k) PAmM80, (l) PAmM90 and (m) MMT	202
Figure 6.3.17.	Onset decomposition temperatures of (a) PAm, (b) PAmM2.5a, (c) PAmM5a, (d) PAmM10a, (e) PAmM20a, (f) PAmM30a, (g) PAmM40a, (h) PAmM50a, (i) PAmM60a, (j) PAmM70a, (k) PAmM80a, (l) PAmM90a and (m) acidified MMT	203
Figure 6.3.18.	Plot showing first and second onset temperatures of PAm and PAm-MMT samples	204
Figure 6.4.1.	PEG35-MMT composite films dried after ultrasonication treatment at (a) 10% MMT, (b) 30% MMT, (c) 50% MMT, (d) 50% acidified MMT, (e) 70% MMT and (f) 70% acidified MMT	208
Figure 6.4.2.	XRD patterns of (a) PEG35, (b) PEG35M1, (c) PEG35M2, (d) PEG35M3, (e) PEG35M4, (f) PEG35M5, (g) PEG35M6, (h) PEG35M7, (i) PEG35M8, and (j) PEG35M9 and (k) MMT	210
Figure 6.4.3.	Plotting the changes in d-spacing against their corresponding MMT concentrations	211
Figure 6.4.4.	Basal (001) reflection of the ultrasonicated samples produced from (A) MMT and (B) acidified MMT at (a) 10% MMT, (b) 20% MMT, (c) 30% MMT, (d) 40% MMT, (e) 50% MMT, (f) 60% MMT, (g) 70% MMT, (h)	

	80% MMT and (i) 90% MMT and (j) MMT	213
Figure 6.4.5.	Backscattered electron images of the top surface of PEG35M composites at (a) 20% MMT, (b) 50% MMT and (c) 70% MMT	216
Figure 6.4.6.	Representative SEM images of ultrasonicated PEG35-MMT composites at (a) and (b) 10% MMT, (c) 20% MMT, (d) 40% MMT (surface), (e) 40% MMT (cross section), (f) 60% MMT, (g) 70% MMT, (h) 80% MMT and (i) 90% MMT	217
Figure 6.4.7.	FTIR spectra of (a) PEG35, (b) PEG35M1, (c) PEG35M2, (d) PEG35M3, (e) PEG35M4, (f) PEG35M5, (g) PEG35M6, (h) PEG35M7, (i) PEG35M8, (j) PEG35M9 and (k) MMT	219
Figure 6.4.8.	DTG and TG curves of PEG35 measured at a heating rate of 10°C/min	224
Figure 6.4.9.	Thermograms of PEG35, MMT and the ultrasonicated PEG35-MMT composite systems measured at a heating rate of 10°C/min	225
Figure 6.5.1.	Photographic images taken for the in situ polymerisation of Am in the presence of 10 w/t% MMT using (a) 2w/w% Am and 0.1wt% KPS and (b) 2w/w% Am and 1wt% KPS	230
Figure 6.5.2.	Basal (001) reflection of the samples prepared from 1 wt% KPS using (A) 10 w/w% non-acidified MMT or (B) 10 w/w% acidified MMT at various AM concentrations	232
Figure 6.5.3.	Basal (001) reflection of the samples prepared under Method I from 4 w/w% Am using (A) 10 w/w% non-acidified MMT or (B) 10 w/w% acidified MMT at various wt% KPS	234
Figure 6.5.4.	XRD profiles of the Am-MMT samples produced using 0.8 wt% KPS and 4 ww% Am at various MMT concentrations	235
Figure 6.5.5.	Basal (001) reflection of the samples prepared under Method I from 0.8 wt% KPS and 4 ww% Am using (A) non-acidified MMT or (B) acidified MMT at various concentrations of MMT	236
Figure 6.5.6.	Interlayer distance of MMT plotted versus MMT loading	238
Figure 6.5.7.	Am-MMT films at (a) 50% MMT, (b) 40% MMT, (c) 30% MMT, (d) 20% MMT, (e) 10% MMT, (f) 9% MMT, (g) 4% MMT, (h) 3% MMT and (i) 2% MMT	239
Figure 6.5.8.	FTIR spectra of (a) Am and Am-MMT samples prepared using the first	

	method at (b) 2% MMT, (c) 3% MMT, (d) 4% MMT, (e) 9% MMT, (f) 10% MMT, (g) 20% MMT, (h) 30% MMT, (i) 40% MMT, (j) 50% MMT and (k) 60% MMT and (l) MMT	240
Figure 6.5.9.	Carbonyl stretching bands of (A) AmM, (B) AmM-3H, (C) AmMa and (D) AmM-3Ha at (a) PAm, (b) 2% MMT, (c) 3% MMT, (d) 4% MMT, (e) 9% MMT, (f) 10% MMT, (g) 20% MMT, (h) 30% MMT, (i) 40% MMT, (j) 50% MMT and (k) 60% MMT and (l) MMT	243
Figure 6.5.10.	Maximum absorption band position plotted versus the concentration of MMT	244
Figure 6.5.11.	Schematic illustration of structural changes as a function of the amount of MMT	246
Figure 6.5.12.	TGA thermogram of Am	248
Figure 6.5.13.	DTG curves of AmM samples at various MMT loadings	250
Figure 6.6.1.	Comparison of d001 spacings of the ultrasonicated polymer-MMT composite samples and in situ intercalative polymerisation of Am ...	258
Figure 6.6.2.	Comparison of SEM images of (a) PAAM10, (b) PAmM10 and (c) PEG35M10	259
Figure 7.2.1.	Absorption curves of DW, PAA, MMT and PAA100-MMT composites solutions versus time	265
Figure 7.2.2.	Comparison of uptake of PAA, MMT and PAA100-MMT composites.....	266
Figure 7.2.3.	Photographic images taken from (A) immersed, (B) middle and (C) top parts of sandstones treated with (a) DW, (b) PAA100, (c) PAA100M2, (d) PAA100M4, (e) PAA100M6, (f) PAA100M8 and (g) MMT	268
Figure 7.2.4.	Variations in (A) Red-green component (a*), (B) yellow-green component (b*), (C) Luminosity (L*) and (D) total colour caused by PAA, MMT and PAA100-MMT composites from the images taken from the immersed sections of the treated sandstones	268
Figure 7.2.5.	Plot of moisture absorption by desiccant against time observed by the untreated sandstone and the immersed sandstones consolidated with PAA and PAA100-MMT composites	270
Figure 7.2.6.	Averaged WVT values measured from the sandstones consolidated with PAA, MMT and PAA100-MMT composites	271

Figure 7.2.7.	The WVT values averaged from the penetrated sections of the sandstones treated with PAA100, PAA250, MMT and PAA100-MMT composites	272
Figure 7.2.8.	Average flexural stress of the sandstones after the treatments with PAA100, PAA250 and PAA100M2 prepared at 2.5 w/w%	273
Figure 7.3.1.	Capillary rise absorption profiles of DW, PAm, MMT and PAm-MMT	276
Figure 7.3.2.	Uptake of PAm, MMT and PAm-MMT	277
Figure 7.3.3.	Digital pictures obtained from (A) immersed, (B) middle and (C) top sections of sandstones treated with PAm, MMT and PAm-MMT composites	278
Figure 7.3.4.	Comparison of (A) red-green component (a^*), (B) yellow-green component (b^*), (C) Luminosity (L^*) and (D) total colour change (ΔE^*) resulted from the sandstones consolidated with PAm, MMT and PAm-MMT composite.....	279
Figure 7.3.5.	Moisture absorption by desiccant plotted against time after the treatments with PAm and PAm-MMT composites. The middle and top parts of the consolidated sandstones are denoted by A and B	280
Figure 7.3.6.	WVT values averaged from the sandstones consolidated with PAm and PAm-MMT composites	281
Figure 7.3.7.	Mean WVT values obtained by the penetrated sections of the sandstones treated with PAm and PAm-MMT composites	282
Figure 7.3.8.	Mean flexural stress of the sandstones treated with 2.5% PAm, 2.5% PAmM5 and 2.5% PAmM5a	283
Figure 7.4.1.	Capillary rise absorption curves of DW, PEG25, PEG35, MMT and PEG35M	286
Figure 7.4.2.	Uptake of PEG25, PEG35, MMT and PEG-MMT	287
Figure 7.4.3.	Photographic images of sandstones treated with PEG25, MMT and PEG25M composites taken from (A) immersed, (B) middle and (C) top sections treated with (a) DW, (b) PEG25, (c) PEG25M2, (d) PEG25M4, (e) PEG25M6, (f) PEG25M8 and (g) MMT	289
Figure 7.4.4.	Differences in (A) red-green component (a^*), (B) yellow-green component (b^*), (C) luminosity (L^*) and (D) total colour alterations	

	(ΔE^*) due to PEG25, PEG35, MMT and PEG-MMT composites	290
Figure 7.4.5.	Increases in moisture absorption of desiccant plotted against time measured from the consolidated sandstones immersed in PEG and PEG-MMT composites and from the middle (A) and top (B) sections of the sandstones penetrated by 10% PEG35	291
Figure 7.4.6.	Average values of WVT measured from the sandstones immersed in PEG and PEG-MMT composites and from the lower (A) and top (B) sections of the sandstones treated with 10% PEG	292
Figure 7.4.7.	Average WVT values resulted from the penetrated sections of the sandstones treated with PEG and PEG-MMT composites	293
Figure 7.4.8.	Averaged flexural stresses of the untreated sandstones and the sandstones consolidated with 2.5% solutions of PEG25, PEG25M2, PEG25M2a, PEG35, PEG35M2 and PEG35M2a.....	294
Figure 7.5.1.	Capillary rise absorption tests of DW and solutions of AA with or without KPS	297
Figure 7.5.2.	Uptake of AA solutions and in situ polymerisation of AA with 0.5 or 1.0 wt% KPS	298
Figure 7.5.3.	Images of sandstones treated with 10% AA without KPS or 2.5, 5.0, 10 and 20% AA with 1.0 wt% KPS obtained from (A) immersed, (B) middle and (C) topmost sections	300
Figure 7.5.4.	Differences in (A) red-green component (a^*), (B) yellow-green component (b^*), (C) luminosity (L^*) and (D) total colour alterations caused by AA10 and AA10-1	301
Figure 7.5.5.	Changes in water vapour absorption of desiccant plotted against time measured from consolidated sandstones immersed in 2.5, 5 or 10 w/w % AA with 0.5 or 1.0 wt% KPS	302
Figure 7.5.6.	WVT values averaged from the sandstones consolidated with the solutions containing 2.5, 5 or 10 w/w% AA in the presence of 0.5 or 1.0 wt% KPS	303
Figure 7.5.7.	Average values of flexural stress of the sandstones treated with 10% AA in the presence of 0.5 or 1.0 wt% KPS	304
Figure 7.6.1.	Capillary rise absorption curves vs. time for sandstone samples treated by DW and Am solutions	307

Figure 7.6.2.	Uptake of Am with or without KPS	308
Figure 7.6.3.	Images obtained from (A) immersed, (B) middle and (C) top sections of sandstones treated with Am in the presence or absence of KPS	310
Figure 7.6.4.	Differences in (A) red-green component (a*), (B) yellow-green component (b*), (C) luminosity (L*) and (D) total colour alterations caused by Am with or without KPS	311
Figure 7.6.5.	Plot of water vapour absorption of desiccant versus time found from consolidated sandstones immersed in 2.5, 5 or 10 w/w % Am with 0.5 or 1.0 wt% KPS	312
Figure 7.6.6.	Mean WVT values of the sandstones consolidated with the solutions containing 2.5, 5 or 10 w/w% Am in the presence of 0.5 or 1.0 wt% KPS	312
Figure 7.6.7.	Flexural stress values averaged for the untreated and treated sandstones with 10% Am in the presence of 0.5 or 1.0 wt% KPS	314
Figure 7.7.1.	Absorption curves of DW, B67, B72, Lascaux and Silres solutions versus time	317
Figure 7.7.2.	Comparison of uptake of B67, B72, Silres and Lascaux	318
Figure 7.7.3.	Comparison of the visual effects of B67, B72, Lascaux and Silres based on photographic images of consolidated sandstones obtained from (A) immersed, (B) middle and (C) top sections of the consolidated sandstone	320
Figure 7.7.4.	Comparison of (A) red-green component (a*), (B) yellow-green component (b*), (C) luminosity (L*), and (D) total colour caused by B67, B72, Lascaux and Silres from the images taken from the immersed sections of the treated sandstones	321
Figure 7.7.5.	Moisture absorption by desiccant plotted against time detected from the untreated sandstone and the immersed, lower (A) and top (B) sections of sandstones consolidated with B67, B72, Silres and Lascaux	322
Figure 7.7.6.	WVT values averaged from the immersed, middle (A) and top (B) sections of the sandstones treated with B67, B72, Lascaux and Silres	323
Figure 7.8.1.	Dry mass increases in sandstones treated with PAA, PAm, PEG, MMT, composites, B67, B72, Lascaux and Silres	328

Figure 7.8.2.	Capillary uptake of polymer-MMT composites by the sandstones	329
Figure 7.8.3.	Dry mass increases in sandstones consolidated with B72, B67, Lascaux, Silres and AA and Am solutions with or without KPS	330
Figure 7.8.4.	Images from immersed sections of sandstones treated with PAA100, PAA-MMT, PAm, PAm-MMT, PEG20, PEG20-MMT, B72, B67, Lascaux and Silres	332
Figure 7.8.5.	Variations in (A) red-green component (a*), (B) yellow-green component (b*), (C) luminosity (L*) and total colour alterations changed by MMT, PAA100, PAA250, PAm, PEG20, PEG35, B72, B67, Lascaux and Silres	333
Figure 7.8.6.	Comparison of WVT values measured after the immersion of 2.5 or 10% PAA, PAm, PEG, 2.5% composites comprising PAA, PAm or PEG with various MMT concentrations and commercial consolidants	336
Figure 7.8.7.	WVT values measured from the immersed, middle and topmost parts of the sandstones treated with 2.5, 5, 10% AA or Am in the presence of 0.5 or 1.0 wt% KPS	338
Figure 7.8.8.	Overview bending strength results on Sydney sandstone	340

ABSTRACT

The work presented in this Thesis assesses the suitability and capacity of the aqueous consolidants designated for more compatible consolidation treatment of Sydney's yellow block sandstone. The aqueous consolidant systems investigated included polymer consolidants, polymer-montmorillonite composite consolidants and in situ polymerisation consolidation. Polymer consolidants incorporating montmorillonite (MMT) were prepared by aqueous solution intercalation method and demonstrated the fast and efficient preparation of composites at the wide range of MMT concentrations from 2.5 to 90%, dispersed in the water soluble polyacrylic acid (PAA), polyacrylamide (PAm), or polyethylene glycol (PEG), or in situ polymerised PAm. While the intercalation behaviour, MMT dispersion, structural variations, intermolecular interactions between polymer and MMT and thermal stability were affected by the polymer-MMT ratios and the nature of polymers; the polymer-MMT ratios determined the trend in these changes, but the extent to which these occurred depended on the nature of polymers. PAA, PAm, PEG and in situ polymerised PAm produced the interlayer separation of MMT in the range of 13.1-19.6, 15.2-26.9, 14.2-19.7 and 20.3-28.1Å with the 50 to 60% MMT threshold incorporated into the polymer matrixes. The interlayer separation of MMT were similar for PAA and PEG, while PAm and the in situ polymerised PAm increased the interlayer expansion of MMT.

The consolidant performance of PAA, PAm, PEG, the polymer-MMT composites and in situ polymerised PAA or PAm was compared with the commercial consolidants, hydrophobic Paraloid B67 (B67) and B72 (B72), water soluble Lascaux and the ethyl silicate Silres by their penetration ability, uptake, water vapour permeability, colour change and bending strength. The polymer-MMT ratio of the composite and the concentrations of polymer or monomer were critical. Practically, the composites containing less than 20% MMT were suitable and the polymerisation at greater than 10% Am or AA was unusable. Increasing the polymer concentrations reduced penetration, induced colour changes and reduced water vapour permeability. PAm and polymerised PAm showed the best performance, whereas PEG reduced mechanical strength and AA and PAA caused colour changes. In comparison, the hydrophobic commercial consolidants caused increased darkening and significantly reduced permeability although

the water soluble Lascaux did provide some beneficial effect. The consolidants developed in this research represented mild consolidation action with minor changes in the characteristics of the treated sandstone. Encouraging results were observed for the performance of these water based polymer consolidants, in particular, PAm demonstrating the best consolidant of water based consolidation systems in the conservation of heritage sandstone.