

1 **Running title: Hydraulic strategies of root xylem**

2
3
4 **ROOT XYLEM CHARACTERISTICS AND HYDRAULIC STRATEGIES OF SPECIES CO-**
5 **OCCURRING IN SEMI-ARID AUSTRALIA**

6
7 Nadia S. Santini^{1,2}, James Cleverly¹, Rolf Faux¹, Katie McBean³, Rachael Nolan¹, Derek Eamus¹

8
9 ¹ Terrestrial Ecohydrology Research Group,

10 School of Life Sciences

11 University of Technology Sydney,

12 PO Box 123,

13 Sydney, NSW, 2007,

14 Australia

15
16 ² Centre for Marine Bio-Innovation

17 School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences,

18 University of New South Wales,

19 Sydney, NSW, 2052

20 Australia

21
22 ³ Centre of Expertise Microstructural Analysis,

23 University of Technology Sydney,

24 PO Box 123,

25 Sydney, NSW, 2007,

26 Australia

27
28
29
30 Communicating author: nadiasilvanasantini@gmail.com

31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52 **Key words:** Groundwater, deciduous, evergreen, phreatophytes, *Acacia*, *Eucalyptus*

55
1 56
2 57
3 58
4 59
5 60
6 61
7 61
8 62
9 63
10 63
11 64
12 64
13 65
14 65
15 66
16 66
17 67
18 68
19 68
20 69
21 69
22 70
23 70
24 71
25 71
26 72
27 72
28 73
29 73
30 74
31 74
32 75
33 75
34 76
35 76
36 77
37 77
38 78
39 78
40 79
41 79
42 80
43 80
44 81
45 81
46 82
47 82
48 83
49 83
50 84
51 84
52 85
53 85
54 85
55 86
56 86
57 86
58 87
59 87
60 87
61 87
62 87
63 87
64 87
65 87

ABSTRACT

Xylem traits such as xylem vessel size can influence the efficiency and safety of water transport and thus plant growth and survival. Root xylem traits are much less frequently examined than those of branches despite such studies being critical to our understanding of plant hydraulics. In this study, we investigated primary lateral and sinker roots of six co-occurring species of semi-arid Australia. Two species are restricted to a floodplain, two were sampled only from the adjacent sand plain, and two species co-occur in both habitats. We assessed root wood density, xylem traits (i.e., vessel diameter, fibre and vessel wall thickness), outer pit aperture diameter and calculated theoretical hydraulic conductivity and vessel implosion resistance. We hypothesized that (1) roots have larger xylem vessel diameters and lower wood density than branches of the same species and that (2) there is an inverse correlation between theoretical sapwood hydraulic conductivity and vessel implosion resistance for roots.

Variation in root wood density was explained by variations in xylem vessel lumen area across the different species ($r^2=0.73$, $p=0.03$), as hypothesized. We rejected our second hypothesis, finding instead that the relationship between theoretical hydraulic conductivity and vessel implosion resistance was not maintained in roots of all of our studied species, in contrast to our previous study of branches from the same species. Xylem traits were found to depend upon habitat and eco-hydrological niche, with the groupings including (i) arid-adapted shrubs and trees with shallow lateral roots (*Acacia aneura* and *Psyrax latifolia*), (ii) trees restricted to the floodplain habitat, both evergreen (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) and deciduous (*Erythrina vespertilio*) and (iii) evergreen trees co-occurring in both floodplain and adjacent sand plain habitats (*Corymbia opaca* and *Hakea* sp.).

INTRODUCTION

92 Xylem traits play an essential role in the efficiency and safety of water transport through the
93 plant, influencing plant growth and survival across a range of environments (Smith & Sperry
94 2014). Xylem vessels of large diameter (e.g., > 500 μm) can transport water more efficiently and
95 with lower resistance to flow than small xylem vessels (e.g., <20 μm) as a result of the fourth-
96 power relationship between radius and flow through a capillary tube (i.e. Hagen-Poiseuille law;
97 Tyree & Zimmerman 2002). However, the architecture of the pits between large xylem vessels
98 can also increase the risk of xylem cavitation events (e.g. Hargrave *et al.* 1994; Lo Gullo *et al.*
99 1995; Cai *et al.* 2010; Christman *et al.* 2012). Pits are the structures that interconnect vessels
100 and can influence the efficiency and safety of plant water transport, determining as much as
101 50% of the xylem hydraulic resistance in species with small pit apertures (Sperry *et al.* 2005;
102 Wheeler *et al.* 2005; Hacke *et al.* 2006). Recent studies also suggest that bubbles known as
103 nanobubbles can move through pit membranes due to their smaller size than that of pit
104 membrane pores (2 to 200 nm) (Schenk *et al.* 2015, Schenk *et al.* 2016). Nanobubbles, different
105 from larger bubbles, are safe from expanding and can dissolve or remain in the pit border (a
106 confined space) until temperatures decrease (at night), thereby increasing gas solubility.
107 Additionally, once formed, nano-bubbles can be stabilized by amphiphilic surfactants present in
108 the xylem sap which can transform surfaces that are hydrophobic into hydrophilic ones (Schenk
109 *et al.* 2015).

110 Xylem traits of roots are much less frequently studied than those of branches or stems, in part
111 due to difficulty in accessing this plant organ. However, roots are the primary path for water
112 uptake by plants; therefore, knowledge of xylem structure in roots can provide critical
113 information on overall plant water supply (Canadell *et al.* 1996; Martínez-Vilalta *et al.* 2002;
114 McElrone *et al.* 2004; Johnson *et al.* 2014) and are likely to define key hydraulic traits of the
115 entire plant. Previous studies have found that at a species level, root xylem has a larger risk of
116 cavitation (but higher efficiency of water transport) than branch, stem and leaf xylem because
117 of larger vessel diameters in roots (Sperry & Saliendra 1994; Martínez-Vilalta *et al.* 2002;
118 Anfodillo *et al.* 2006).

119 Our previous work with species from the Ti Tree Basin arid zone, in central Australia (Santini *et al.*
120 2016) indicates that branch xylem traits can contribute to co-occurrence within close
121 proximity of species in this arid habitat, where water resources are heterogeneously distributed

122 in space and time (O'Grady *et al.* 2009). For example, species with shallow lateral roots such as
123 *Acacia* spp. that rely on intermittent water resources such as rainwater (Cleverly *et al.* 2016a)
124 had smaller xylem vessels in their stems than that of phreatophytic species with deep tap or
125 sinker roots and thus access to groundwater (e.g. *Eucalyptus* and *Corymbia*). We also found in
126 stem xylem that there was a significant linear regression between lower theoretical hydraulic
127 conductivities (K_s , $\text{kg mm}^{-1} \text{MPa}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$) and higher xylem vessel implosion resistance ($[(t/b)^2]$, the
128 ratio of vessel double-wall thickness and vessel lumen diameter), concluding that xylem vessels
129 of species with higher theoretical hydraulic conductivities (i.e. *Erythrina vespertilio*, *Corymbia*
130 *opaca* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) were less protected from implosion than xylem vessels of
131 species like *Acacia* spp., *Hakea* sp. and *Psydrax latifolia* which have lower theoretical hydraulic
132 conductivities (Santini *et al.* 2016). Vessel implosion resistance may be an indicative of vessel
133 wall reinforcement, but in roots it might not be indicative of safety as tensile forces in the water
134 column are low (Hacke *et al.* 2001; Pratt *et al.* 2007). We retain the nomenclature of “vessel
135 implosion resistance” merely because it has a history within the literature, whilst recognizing
136 that it may not be a relevant index to assess root xylem vessel safety (Pratt *et al.* 2007).

137
138 In the present work, we studied six co-occurring species in close proximity within the Ti Tree
139 Basin. These species can be classified into three functional groups with respect to their leaf
140 longevities and root deployment strategies: 1) deciduous trees (*Erythrina vespertilio*), 2)
141 evergreen shrubs and trees (*Acacia aneura*, *Hakea* sp. and *Psydrax latifolia*) and 3) evergreen
142 phreatophytes (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Corymbia opaca*). There are *ca.* 150 species across
143 Australia in the genus *Hakea*. Although a positive identification could not be made in this case,
144 *Hakea* sp. in this study is likely to be *Hakea arborescens* or *Hakea macrocarpa*. In general,
145 drought-deciduous trees avoid transpiration during the dry season by dropping their leaves for
146 at least one and as many as seven months of the year. Alternatively, evergreen trees retain *ca.*
147 90 % of their full canopy throughout the year (Eamus 1999). *Psydrax latifolia* forms an
148 association with *Acacia aneura*, which has a relatively shallow root system and does not access
149 the groundwater of the site (Cleverly *et al.* 2016a; Cleverly *et al.* 2016b). Phreatophytes like
150 those in the Ti Tree basin do access groundwater by having a taproot and deep sinker roots,
151 thereby allowing retention of their canopy through the dry season (Dawson & Pate 1996;
152 Roberts *et al.* 2016).

153 We measured wood density, xylem traits (i.e., vessel diameter, fibre and vessel wall thickness)
154 and outer pit aperture diameter, and then we calculated theoretical hydraulic conductivity and
155 vessel implosion resistance in a primary sinker root (in the evergreen phreatophytes) or
156 shallow lateral roots (in the remainder of the species). Vessel implosion resistance has only

157 been observed in abnormal wood, but it is a measure of vessel wall reinforcement (Baas &
158 Wheeler 2015). We hypothesized that: (1) roots have larger xylem vessel diameters and lower
159 wood density than branches of the same species, placing roots at more risk of cavitation events
160 than stems and (2) there is an inverse correlation between theoretical sapwood hydraulic
161 conductivity and vessel implosion resistance for roots, similar to what we have observed in
162 branches (Santini *et al.* 2016).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site description and sample collection

167 Our study site is located in central Australia in the Ti Tree Basin (22° 7' 48. 56" S, 133° 24' 57.
168 67" E), approximately 180 km north of Alice Springs, Northern Territory, Australia. The Ti Tree
169 Basin is an arid catchment that covers *ca.* 5500 km² and contains the ephemeral Woodforde
170 River and Allungra Creek. Mean annual rainfall at the nearest meteorological station is 320 mm
171 year⁻¹ (Australian Bureau of Meteorology 2014, BoM station 15643, Territory Grape Farm), and
172 most of this rainfall occurs in monsoonal summer (Dec – Feb) storms (Cleverly *et al.* 2016b).
173 The mean minimum and maximum daily temperature is 15 and 31 °C, respectively, while the
174 maximum air temperature at the site reaches 46 °C in the summer months (December to
175 February) (Harrington *et al.* 2002).

176 Woody plants were sampled along a 6.5-km transect from the banks of the Woodforde River
177 and its floodplain (*Erythrina vespertilio* *n*=5 and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* *n*=5) into the adjacent
178 sand plain (*Acacia aneura* *n*=5, and *Psyrdrax latifolia* *n*=5), and two tree species were sampled
179 from both habitats (*Corymbia opaca* *n*=3 and *Hakea* sp. *n*=5). All of these woody species can be
180 found to co-occur within tens of metres of each other in this semi-arid climate, but they inhabit
181 different eco-hydrological niches (Nolan *et al.* *In review*). Two trees are groundwater dependent
182 in the Ti Tree Basin: one is restricted to riparian corridors and paleochannels (*Eucalyptus*
183 *camaldulensis*); and the other (*Corymbia opaca*) is widespread across the basin (O'Grady *et al.*
184 2009). *Acacia aneura* is also found in both floodplain and sand plain habitats of the Ti Tree
185 Basin (although not on this transect), but these arid-adapted trees are found on siliceous
186 hardpan which provides a near-surface reservoir of soil water storage within their shallow root
187 zone (Cleverly *et al.* 2016a). Plants grow as trees, except for *Psyrdrax latifolia*, which grows as a
188 shrub (up to 3 m tall).

189 Root material was collected from three to five roots in each of six woody species (one root per

190 individual plant) of the Ti Tree Basin. Samples were obtained during the austral winter and dry
191 season, June – August 2015 (Figure 1, 2, Table 1). For each species, trees and shrubs were
192 chosen which had roots of a given diameter before sinking below a practical collection depth in
193 the hardpan soil. Roots were sought in a 360° circle around the main trunk and were collected
194 from whichever direction they were found. We collected roots by digging from the main stem to
195 a point where the target primary lateral or sinker root had a diameter of *ca.* 15 mm, at a
196 distance of *ca.* 0.5–6 m from the main trunk (Table 1).

197 **Wood density**

198 Root material collected for assessment of wood density was stored in paper bags and kept cool
199 for laboratory analysis. Wood density of roots was measured in 4 cm lengths of sapwood.
200 Sample numbers of individual roots (i.e. one root sample per plant) for assessment of wood
201 density were as follows: *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5), *Acacia aneura* (n=5), *Hakea* sp. (n=5),
202 *Psyrdrax latifolia* (n=5), *Corymbia opaca* (n=3) and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (n=5). Root
203 sapwood segments were weighed after drying to a constant mass. Wood density was calculated
204 as the ratio of dry weight (5 days at 60 °C) to volume of water displaced by the fully hydrated
205 sapwood segments (i.e., after they were submerged in water for two days; see Santini *et al.*
206 2016).

207 **Assessment of xylem traits**

208 Preparation of tissues and measurements of xylem traits were performed following Santini *et al.*
209 (2016). Root samples were cut into segments of two centimetres in length for analysis of cross
210 sections. Each root segment was fixed in formalin-acetic acid-alcohol (FAA) for ~10 days and
211 then placed in 70% ethanol for 2 days. After this washing process, samples were dehydrated for
212 one day each in increasing concentrations of ethanol: 70%, 96% and 100%. From the ~15 mm-
213 diameter samples, we prepared 10–20 µm-thick cross sections using a sledge Leica SM2010R
214 microtome. Number of samples (each representing one individual tree or shrub) for measuring
215 xylem traits were as follows: *Erythrina vespertilio* n=5, *Acacia aneura* n=3, *Hakea* sp. n=4,
216 *Psyrdrax latifolia* n=5, *Corymbia opaca* n=3 and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* n=5. Next, *Erythrina*
217 *vespertilio*, *Acacia aneura*, *Hakea* sp. and *Psyrdrax latifolia* were double-stained with safranin and
218 alcian blue (1:2). *Corymbia opaca* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* were assessed with no-staining
219 due to the presence of resins that complicated the assessment of wood xylem traits after
220 staining. Sections were photographed for measurements using a Leica DM750 microscope.
221 Vessel diameters (minor and major axes), fibre wall thickness and vessel wall thickness were
222 measured in ImageJ v1.48 (National Institutes of Health, USA). The cross-sectional vessel lumen

223 area (VA) was determined as an ellipse (i.e. as a product of π and the semi-minor and semi-
224 major radii), which was then multiplied by vessel density (VD, number of vessels per unit cross-
225 sectional area) to determine conductive lumen fraction (F, named CA in Santini *et al.* 2016). We
226 determined fibre wall thickness in 30 - 50 adjoining fibres (Santini *et al.* 2013). Additionally,
227 xylem vessel wall thickness was measured at three positions (i.e. pie-shaped sectors of 20 - 50
228 vessels) in the cross section (Santini *et al.* 2016).

229 ***Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) preparation and imaging***

230 We measured the diameter of pit apertures in three individual roots per species (one sample
231 per individual plant) through the analysis of SEM imagery. Samples of root tissue (which
232 corresponded to similar positions in which we assessed the xylem traits) were hand-sectioned
233 longitudinally to a thickness of 2 - 4 mm. The sections were treated with a series of ethanol and
234 hexamethydisilizane as detailed in Johnson *et al.* (2014). After treatment, samples were placed
235 into the stage of an EVO LS15 SEM (Zeiss, Germany) and were visualized in variable pressure
236 mode. We used an accelerated voltage of 15 kV and took images with magnifications of 250X,
237 500X and 2kX for all our samples. Diameters of pit apertures were measured as recommended
238 by Scholz *et al.* (2013) by assessing the widest part of the opening of a minimum of 50 pits per
239 species with the software Image J 1.48v (National Institutes of Health, USA).

240 ***Theoretical hydraulic conductivity and resistance to vessel implosion***

241 Theoretical hydraulic conductivity (K_s) was determined as a function of (i) conductive lumen
242 fraction (F, equal to VA·VD) and (ii) the ratio of vessel size to vessel density (S, VA/VD; Zanne *et al.*
243 *et al.* 2010) (Equation 1).

$$244 K_s \propto F^{1.5} S^{0.5} \quad \text{(Equation 1)}$$

245 Vessel implosion resistance was estimated as the ratio of the double-wall thickness (t, the total
246 vessel wall thickness between adjacent cells) to the mean vessel diameter (Hacke *et al.* 2001;
247 Zanne *et al.* 2010). Mean hydraulic diameter was defined as $DH=(\sum D^4/N)^{1/4}$ and represents the
248 mean diameter that all of the vessels in a root would have in order to correspond to the same
249 overall conductivity for the numbers of conduits (Tyree & Zimmerman 2002).

250 ***Data analyses***

251 We used linear regression analyses to test relationships between univariate pairs: wood density
252 and vessel lumen area, log (vessel density) and vessel lumen area, outer pit aperture and log
253 (vessel diameter), theoretical hydraulic conductivity (K_s) and log (xylem vessel implosion

254 resistance), and vessel wall thickness and vessel diameter. Significant differences among species
255 in fibre wall thickness, vessel wall thickness, vessel diameter, outer pit aperture, the conductive
256 lumen fraction and wood density were assessed with a one-way ANOVA. Statistical analyses
257 were performed with the software Prism version 7.0c (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

258 We also analyzed data obtained from the same population of trees or shrubs used in this study
259 (Santini *et al.* 2016). Santini *et al.* (2016) sampled three replicate branches in three individual
260 trees/shrubs per species (n= 3 x 3 per species); in contrast, we sampled one root from each of
261 three to five individuals per species (n = 3 to 5 per species). We tested differences in means (\pm
262 standard errors) between roots and branches in wood density, xylem traits (i.e. vessel diameter,
263 fibre and vessel wall thickness and conductive lumen fraction) and theoretical hydraulic
264 conductivity and vessel implosion resistance by using a t-test with the Holm-Sidak approach.
265 This method assumes that means are sampled from populations with the same standard
266 deviations.

267 RESULTS

268 In the root samples, wood density values ranged from 0.21 ± 0.009 g cm⁻³ in *Erythrina*
269 *vespertilio* to 0.82 ± 0.023 g cm⁻³ in *Hakea* sp. (Table 2). Vessel diameter values were different
270 across species and varied from 24.4 ± 0.29 μ m in *Psydrax latifolia* to 188 ± 6.15 μ m in *Erythrina*
271 *vespertilio*. Fibre walls were thinnest in *Acacia aneura* (3.9 ± 0.10 μ m) and thickest in *Corymbia*
272 *opaca*, *Hakea* sp. and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (5.7 ± 0.15 μ m, 5.4 ± 0.12 μ m, 5.3 ± 0.08 μ m,
273 respectively). Vessel walls were thinnest in *Psydrax latifolia* (4.12 ± 0.05 μ m) and thickest in
274 *Corymbia opaca* (11.5 ± 0.29 μ m). Outer pit apertures were smallest in *Psydrax latifolia* ($3.73 \pm$
275 0.05 μ m) and largest in *Erythrina vespertilio* (7.13 ± 0.11 μ m) (Table 2). Conductive lumen
276 fraction ranged from 0.05 ± 0.003 in *Erythrina vespertilio* to 0.37 ± 0.008 in *Psydrax latifolia*
277 (Table 2).

278
279 Root wood density was negatively correlated with root xylem vessel lumen area (i.e. wood
280 density was smallest in roots with large xylem vessels; $r^2=0.73$, $p=0.03$, Figure 3). Roots of
281 *Hakea* sp. fell outside of this relationship with a much higher root wood density for its root
282 vessel lumen area than in the other five species. We also found strong linear regressions
283 between log (root vessel density) versus root vessel lumen area ($r^2=0.72$, $p=0.03$, Figure 4) and
284 outer pit aperture in root xylem versus log (root vessel diameter) ($r^2=0.92$, $p=0.002$, Figure 5).
285 Outer pit apertures and vessel diameters were smallest in *Psydrax latifolia* (3.73 ± 0.05 μ m and
286 24.4 ± 0.29 μ m) and largest in *Erythrina vespertilio* (7.13 ± 0.11 μ m and 188 ± 6.15 μ m) (Table

2, Figure 5). The two species which were collected from only the sand plain (*Psydrax latifolia* and *Acacia aneura*) had the highest vessel density and lowest vessel lumen area, vessel diameter and outer pit aperture (Figures 3 - 5). By contrast, the two riparian trees (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Erythrina vespertilio*) had root xylem with the largest vessel lumen area, vessel diameter and theoretical hydraulic conductivity, and the smallest theoretical vessel implosion resistance, among the six-woody species (Figures 3, 5 and 6).

In root xylem, we found a strong effect of habitat (i.e., obligate riparian versus widespread or arid-adapted species) on the relationship between theoretical hydraulic conductivity and log xylem vessel implosion resistance (Figure 6). Theoretical hydraulic conductivity was smallest, and theoretical implosion resistance largest, in the group of species which are not restricted to riparian corridors (*Acacia aneura*, *Psydrax latifolia*, *Corymbia opaca* and *Hakea* sp.). The largest theoretical hydraulic conductivity was found in *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and was lowest in *Psydrax latifolia*, whereas vessel implosion resistance followed a gradient across species: *Acacia aneura* > *Psydrax latifolia* > *Hakea* sp. > *Corymbia opaca* > *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* > *Erythrina vespertilio* (Table 3, Figure 6).

We also found a significant regression between vessel diameter and vessel wall thickness for root xylem in the four species which are not restricted to riparian habitats ($r^2=0.99$, $p=0.004$, Figure 7). The small number of points in the regression reduces statistical power, but the regression was not significant when *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Erythrina vespertilio* were included in the analysis ($r^2=0.02$, $p=0.70$). It was furthermore exceptional that these four-species fit so tightly to a single regression line, suggesting that those species which are not restricted to riparian habitats have tight co-constraints of root vessel diameter and wall thickness. Clear species pair-groupings by habitat and eco-hydrological niche were found in vessel diameter and wall thickness: arid-adapted *Acacia aneura* and *Psydrax latifolia*, obligate riparian *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Erythrina vespertilio*, and *Corymbia opaca* and *Hakea* sp. which occurred in both habitats (Figure 7).

Wood density was significantly larger in branches than in roots (Table 2). Our t-test comparisons of fibre wall thickness between roots and branches indicated that *Hakea* sp., *Corymbia opaca* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* exhibited thicker fibre walls in roots than in branches. Fibre wall thickness in *Acacia aneura* was similar for roots and branches, whereas *Psydrax latifolia* had thinner fibre walls in roots than in branches. Vessel wall thickness was larger in roots than in branches of *Erythrina vespertilio*, *Acacia aneura*, *Hakea* sp., *Corymbia opaca* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, but was similar in roots and branches of *Psydrax latifolia*. Vessel diameter was larger in roots than in branches for *Erythrina vespertilio*, *Hakea* sp., *Psydrax*

321 *latifolia*, *Corymbia opaca*, and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* but was similar in roots and branches of
322 *Acacia aneura*. Conductive lumen fraction in *Erythrina vespertilio* and *Corymbia opaca* was
323 smaller in roots than in branches, whereas it was larger in *Psyrdrax latifolia* roots compared to
324 branches. Conductive lumen fraction was similar in roots and branches of *Acacia aneura*, *Hakea*
325 sp. and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (Table 2). Theoretical hydraulic conductivity was consistently
326 larger in roots than in branches; but differences were not significant for all species (Table 3).
327 Vessel implosion resistance was larger in roots than in branches of *Acacia aneura*, *Hakea* sp. and
328 *Corymbia opaca* but was lower in roots of *Psyrdrax latifolia* than in branches. Vessel implosion
329 resistance was similar in roots and branches of *Erythrina vespertilio* and *Eucalyptus*
330 *camaldulensis* (Table 3). Finally, we also observed tyloses or vascular occlusion by parenchyma
331 cells in some of the vessels of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Corymbia opaca*.

DISCUSSION

334 In this study, wood density of roots was significantly smaller than that of branches of the same
335 species (cf. Table 2, O' Grady *et al.* 2009; Santini *et al.* 2016). Our linear regression analysis
336 indicated that variation in root wood density was explained by variations in xylem vessel lumen
337 area across the different species ($r^2=0.73$, $p=0.03$, Figure 3). Thus, our first hypothesis, that
338 roots within a given species have larger xylem vessel diameters (and consequently larger vessel
339 lumen areas) and lower wood density than branches was supported. Numerous studies have
340 found that xylem vessel size is larger in roots than in branches (and that wood density is lower
341 in roots than in branches of the same species), and these studies also suggest that roots exhibit
342 larger hydraulic conductivities and are at more risk of cavitation events (Pate *et al.* 1998;
343 Martínez-Vilalta *et al.* 2002). The larger vessel size observed in roots compared to branches has
344 been suggested to be a continuation of the hydraulic tapering observed in aboveground xylem
345 with increasing tree height (Petit *et al.* 2008; Petit *et al.* 2010; Anfodillo *et al.* 2013). Whereas
346 differences in vessel diameter among the tallest trees (10 – 15 m; *Corymbia opaca*, *Eucalyptus*
347 *camaldulensis* and *Erythrina vespertilio*) were not determined by tree height, which was similar
348 across these species, tapering cannot be discarded as a possible explanation to smaller vessel
349 diameter in the shorter trees and shrubs.

350 We can confirm that for our studied species, theoretical hydraulic conductivity (K_s) was larger
351 in roots than in branches, but that differences were not significant for all species. Lower wood
352 densities in roots compared to branches can allow for shrinkage adjustments at high negative
353 water potentials and reduce mechanical strength of this plant organ (Jacobsen *et al.* 2005;
354 Santini *et al.* 2013). Roots are contained in a soil matrix that provides mechanical protection,
355 indicating that safety demands may be decoupled from mechanical demands in plant roots

356 compared to branches. The arrangement of xylem traits and the total fraction of tissues (i.e.
357 fibre wall fraction but also fibre lumen fraction, fraction of parenchyma) also influence wood
358 density (e.g. Zieminska *et al.* 2013, Lachenbruch and McCulloh 2014), but this was not
359 considered in the present study.

360 Our previous work (Santini *et al.* 2016) found a strong negative relationship between K_s and
361 vessel implosion resistance in stems of seven species, including all of the species from this
362 study: i.e. *Erythrina vespertilio*, *Acacia aneura*, *Hakea sp.*, *Psydrax latifolia*, *Corymbia opaca* and
363 *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. A meaningful relationship between K_s and vessel implosion resistance
364 was not found in the current study. Instead, the arid-adapted species clustered with the species
365 sampled in both habitats and exhibited the lowest K_s and highest vessel implosion resistance,
366 whereas K_s and vessel implosion resistance was decoupled in the obligate riparian species (one
367 deciduous, one evergreen). Thus, we rejected our second hypothesis, finding instead that the
368 relationship between K_s and vessel implosion resistance was not maintained in roots of all of
369 our studied species as it was for branches. Vessel implosion resistance is a vessel wall
370 reinforcement metric, but in roots it might not be a relevant indicator of safety as in roots
371 tensile forces in the water column are minimal and low wood densities in roots compared to
372 branches may allow for adjustments at high negative water potentials (Jacobsen *et al.* 2005;
373 Pratt *et al.* 2007). In the present root study, we found the sinker roots of *Eucalyptus*
374 *camaldulensis* to have an extraordinarily high K_s , which is characteristic of this species (Roberts
375 *et al.* 2016). The high K_s in *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* roots was the result of larger vessel lumen
376 area and vessel density than in *Erythrina vespertilio* and *Corymbia opaca*, which have similarly
377 large branch K_s to that of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* branches (Santini *et al.* 2016). Tyloses (or
378 vascular occlusion by parenchyma cells) are expected to reduce K_s , thus the large values of K_s in
379 *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and in *Corymbia opaca* suggests that flow restriction in root vessels
380 with tyloses is compensated by large K_s in root vessels without tyloses. Tylose formation has
381 been observed to occur in response to wounding and pathogen attack as to compartmentalize
382 infection (Saitoh *et al.* 1993; Sun *et al.* 2008). Tylose development was observed infrequently in
383 roots, and therefore had only minimal impact on our understanding of K_s for *Eucalyptus*
384 *camaldulensis* and *Corymbia opaca*, particularly in light of the fact that the difference in K_s
385 between these two species was more than ten-fold.

386
387 We found a strong relationship between log (vessel density) and vessel lumen area in roots
388 ($r^2=0.72$, $p=0.03$, Figure 4). Thus, *Erythrina vespertilio*, *Corymbia opaca* and *Eucalyptus*
389 *camaldulensis* had the largest xylem vessels but also had low density of xylem vessels per
390 sapwood area. This relationship between vessel density and vessel lumen area has been widely

391 observed across plant species (Preston *et al.* 2006). In addition, there was a strong relationship
392 between outer pit aperture and log (vessel diameter) ($r^2=0.92$, $p=0.002$, Figure 5), which is
393 consistent with previous findings that pit anatomy scales with lumen diameter (Lazzarin *et al.*
394 2016). Li *et al.* (2016) found that samples stored in ethanol (such as samples from the current
395 study) exhibited shrinkage of pit membranes. We cannot confirm shrinking in our study,
396 nonetheless our results are comparable with our previous study (Santini *et al.* 2016). Further
397 measurements are required to assess relationships among pit membrane pores, nanobubble
398 size, outer pit aperture size, vessel size and vulnerability to cavitation events. However,
399 cavitation events can occur when the pressure difference between adjacent air and water filled
400 vessels becomes large enough to pull the air-water interface through the nanopores of the pit
401 membranes (Hacke *et al.* 2001; Martínez-Vilalta *et al.* 2002; McElrone *et al.* 2004; Schenk *et al.*
402 2015).

403 This study advances our understanding of root anatomy and hydraulic strategies of Australian
404 semi-arid species and confirms that roots exhibit larger xylem vessel diameters and lower wood
405 density than branches of the same species. In terms of functional grouping, *Erythrina vespertilio*,
406 the deciduous species exhibited high K_s (and thus a relatively efficient water transport system)
407 and low xylem vessel implosion resistance relative to *Corymbia opaca* and the evergreen
408 species. Thus, theoretically, *Erythrina vespertilio* can maintain relatively high transpiration rates
409 and in turn metabolic carbon uptake during the wet season (Enquist *et al.* 1999). At the same
410 time, *Erythrina vespertilio* can survive the dry season by reducing its canopy area to zero.
411 *Erythrina vespertilio* can also store large amounts of water and sugars in its parenchyma tissue
412 in order to support root and shoot respiration during the dry season when the canopy is absent
413 and carbon capture does not occur (Carlquist 2001). The phreatophytic riparian species
414 *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* exhibited the largest values of K_s and the lowest values of xylem vessel
415 implosion resistance. By having deep sinker roots and sustained access to the shallow
416 groundwater reservoir at *ca.* 3 m depth all year, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* is at low risk of
417 cavitation during the dry season. *Corymbia opaca*, the second phreatophyte, exhibited much
418 smaller K_s values than *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. In turn, *Corymbia opaca* roots exhibited similar
419 K_s and vessel implosion resistance values to the evergreen species with lateral shallow roots.
420 Relatively low K_s in *Corymbia opaca* can be explained as this species deploys deeper sinker roots
421 than *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (up to 10 m depth) and because groundwater use in *Corymbia*
422 *opaca* is limited by high temperature and vapour pressure deficit (Cleverly *et al.* 2016b).
423 Alternatively, low K_s in the evergreen species: *Acacia aneura*, *Hakea* sp. and *Psydrax latifolia* that
424 deploy lateral shallow roots and rely on intermittent water resources such as rainwater can be
425 explained as a strategy to survive or tolerate drought.

426

CONCLUSIONS

1
2
3 427 Deep sinker roots of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* exhibited a highly efficient water transport
4 428 system with the largest theoretical hydraulic conductivity (K_s) of all species as a result of its
5
6 429 riparian habit and continuous access to shallow groundwater at *ca.* 3 m depth. Despite also
7
8 430 exhibiting deep sinker roots (to 8 m) and groundwater use, *Corymbia opaca* had root xylem
9
10 431 traits similar to those of the other evergreen trees found in both floodplain and sand plain
11 432 habitats: *Hakea* sp. Root xylem anatomy in the six-woody species of this study tended to cluster
12
13 433 by habitat or eco-hydrological niche. At one extreme are species with shallow lateral roots
14
15 434 which occupy the extraordinarily dry hardpans of the Ti Tree Basin and which access
16 435 intermittent water resources (i.e. rainwater) (i.e. arid-adapted *Acacia aneura* and *Psyrdrax*
17
18 436 *latifolia*). Roots of these arid-adapted species have the smallest vessel diameter, vessel lumen
19
20 437 area (and thus the largest vessel density) and outer pit aperture. At the other extreme are the
21
22 438 species which are restricted to riparian corridors, paleochannels and topographic depressions
23 439 in the floodplain habitat (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Erythrina vespertilio*), which exhibited
24
25 440 the largest root K_s , vessel diameter and vessel lumen area, with the smallest root wood density
26
27 441 and theoretical implosion resistance, indicating low overall investment in root xylem. Vessel
28 442 implosion resistance as a concept can and has been used as an indicator of vessel wall
29
30 443 reinforcement. Whilst we do not suggest that vessels do implode within roots (because tensile
31
32 444 forces in the water column are low) the metric can be better viewed as a measure of investment
33 445 in cell walls. The very low density of xylem vessels in roots of *Erythrina vespertilio* was due to a
34
35 446 large fraction of parenchyma that is likely to store large amounts of water. Thus, *Erythrina*
36
37 447 *vespertilio* may be able to support root and shoot respiration during the dry season when the
38
39 448 canopy is absent and carbon capture does not occur (Carlquist 2001). Xylem traits were found
40 449 to depend upon habitat and eco-hydrological niche, with the groupings including (i) arid-
41
42 450 adapted shrubs and trees with shallow roots (*Acacia aneura* and *Psyrdrax latifolia*), (ii) trees
43
44 451 restricted to the floodplain habitat, both evergreen (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) and deciduous
45 452 (*Erythrina vespertilio*) and (iii) evergreen trees co-occurring in both floodplain and adjacent
46
47 453 sand plain habitats (*Corymbia opaca* and *Hakea* sp.).
48

49
50 454

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

51
52 455 Funding for this project was provided by the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Woman
53 456 in Research Fellowship (2015), the National Council for Science and Technology (CONACYT,
54 457 Mexico Fellowship 263728) awarded to NSS and the Australian Research Council
55 458 (DP140101150) awarded to DE. We would like to thank Mrs Liz Kabanoff from the University of
56 459 Western Sydney and to Miss Cassandra Oaten from MRIT University for technical support. NS
57 460 thanks Dr. Adriana Vergés for providing work and thinking space at her UNSW Laboratory. We
58 461 would also like to thank two anonymous Reviewers and the Editor-in-Chief for constructive
59 462 comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.
60
61
62
63
64
65

REFERENCES

466 Anfodillo T, Carraro V, Carrer M, Fior C & Rossi S. 2006. Convergent tapering of xylem conduits
467 in different woody species. *New Phytol* 169:279-290. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-
468 8137.2005.01587.x

469 Anfodillo T, Petit G & Crivellaro A. 2013. Axial conduit widening in woody species: A still
470 neglected anatomical pattern. *IAWA Journal* 34: 352-364. doi: 10.1163/22941932-
471 00000030

472 Baas P & Wheeler E. 2015. Letter from the Editors: we want opinions and debate! *IAWA Journal*
473 36: 1 – 2. Doi:10.1163/22941932-00000080

474 Australian Bureau of Meteorology. 2014. Australian Bureau of Meteorology home page.
475 Commonwealth of Australia: Canberra. <http://www.bom.gov.au>. Accessed 1 Nov 2014

476 Cai J, Hacke U, Zhang S, Tyree MT. 2010. What happens when stems are embolized in a
477 centrifuge? Testing the cavitron theory. *Physiol Plant* 140:311-320. doi:10.1111/j.1399-
478 3054.2010.01402.x.

479 Canadell J, Jackson RB, Ehleringer JR, Mooney HA, Sala OE & Schulze ED. 1996. Maximum
480 rooting depth of vegetation types at the global scale. *Oecologia* 108: 583-595.
481 doi:10.1007/BF00329030

482 Carlquist S. 2001. *Comparative wood anatomy*. Springer, Berlin

483 Christman MA, Sperry JS, Smith DD. 2012. Rare pits, large vessels and extreme vulnerability to
484 cavitation in a ring-porous tree species. *New Phytol* 193:713-720. doi:10.1111/j.1469-
485 8137.2011.03984.x

486 Cleverly J, Eamus D, Restrepo Coupe N, Chen C, Maes W, Li L, Faux R, Santini NS, Rumman R, Yu
487 Q & Huete A. 2016a. Soil moisture controls on phenology and productivity in a semi-arid
488 critical zone. *Sci Total Environ* doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.142

489
490 Cleverly J, Eamus D, Van Gorsel E, Chen C, Rumman R, Luo Q, Restrepo Coupe N, Li L, Kljun N,
491 Faux R, Yu Q & Huete A. 2016b. Productivity and evapotranspiration of two contrasting
492 semiarid ecosystems following the 2011 global carbon land sink anomaly. *Agric For
493 Meteorol* 220:151-159. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.01.086.

494
495 Dawson TE & Pate JS. 1996. Seasonal water uptake and movement in root systems of Australian
496 phraetophytic plants of dimorphic root morphology: a stable isotope investigation.
497 *Oecologia*. 107: 13 – 20.

498
499 Eamus D. 1999. Ecophysiological traits of deciduous and evergreen woody species in the
500 seasonally dry tropics. *TREE* 14:11 – 16. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01532-8

501
502 Enquist B, West G, Charnov E & Brown J. 1999. Allometric scaling of production and life-history
503 variation in vascular plants. *Nature* 401:909–911. doi:10.1038/44819

504 Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Pockman WT, Davis SD & McCulloh KA. 2001. Trends in wood density and

- 505 structure are linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative pressure. *Oecologia*
1 506 126:457–461. doi:10.1007/s004420100628
2
- 3 507 Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Wheeler JK & Castro L. 2006. Scaling of angiosperm xylem structure with
4 508 safety and efficiency. *Tree physiol* 26:689-701.
5 509 <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/26.689>
6
- 7 510 Hargrave KR, Kolb KJ, Ewers FW & Davis SD. 1994. Conduit diameter and drought-induced
8 511 embolism in *Salvia mellifera* Greene (Labiatae). *New Phytol* 126: 695–705. doi:
9 512 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb02964.x
10
- 11 513 Harrington GA, Cook PG & Herczeg AL. 2002. Spatial and temporal variability of groundwater
12 514 recharge in Central Australia: a tracer approach. *Groundwater* 40:518–528.
13 515 doi:10.1111/j.1745-6584.2002.tb02536.x
14
- 15 516 Lachenbruch B & McCulloh KA. 2014. Traits, properties, and performance: how woody plants
16 517 combine hydraulic and mechanical functions in a cell, tissue, or whole plant. *New Phytol*
17 518 204: 747 – 764. doi:10.1111/nph.13035
18
- 19 519 Li S, Lens F, Espino S, Karimi Z, Klepsch M, Schenk HJ, Schmitt M, Schuldt B, Jansen S. 2016.
20 520 Intervessel pit membrane thickness as a key determinant of embolism resistance in
21 521 angiosperm xylem. *IAWA J* 37:152-171. doi:10.1163/22941932-20160128
22
- 23 522 Jacobsen AL, Ewers FW, Pratt B, Paddock WA & Davis SD. 2005. Do xylem fibres affect vessel
24 523 cavitation resistance? *Plant Physiol* 139:546–556. doi:10.1104/pp.104
25
- 26 524 Johnson DM, Brodersen CR, Reed M, Domec JC & Jackson RB. 2014. Contrasting hydraulic
27 525 architecture and function in deep and shallow roots of tree species. *Annals of Botany*
28 526 113: 617-627. doi:10.1093/aob/mct294
29
- 30 527 Lazzarin M, Crivellaro A, Williams CB, Dawson TE, Mozzi G, Anfodillo T. 2016. Tracheid and pit
31 528 anatomy vary in tandem in a tall *Sequoiadendron giganteum* tree. *IAWA Journal* 37:172–
32 529 185. doi:10.1163/22941932-20160129.
33
- 34 530 Lo Gullo MA, Salleo S, Piaceri E & Rosso R. 1995. Relations between vulnerability to xylem
35 531 embolism and xylem conduit dimensions in young trees of *Quercus cerris*. *Plant Cell*
36 532 *Environ* 18: 661–669. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00567.x
37
- 38 533 Martínez-Vilalta J, Prat E, Oliveras I & Pinol J. 2002. Xylem hydraulic properties of roots and
39 534 stems of nine Mediterranean woody species. *Oecologia* 133:19-29. doi:
40 535 10.1007/s00442-002-1009-2.
41
- 42 536 McElrone AJ, Pockman WT, Martinez-Vilalta J & Jackson RB. 2004. Variation in xylem structure
43 537 and function in stems and roots of trees to 20 m depth. *New Phytol* 163: 507–517. doi:
44 538 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01127.x
45
- 46 539 Nolan R, Fairweather KA, Tarin T, Santini NS, Cleverly J, Faux R, Eamus D. *In Review*. Hydraulic
47 540 traits underlying ecohydrological niche separation in semi-arid woody species.
48
- 49 541 Pate JS, Jeschke W, Dawson T, Raphael C, Hartung W & Bowen B. 1998. Growth and seasonal
50 542 utilisation of water and nutrients by *Banksia prionotes*. *Austral J Bot* 45:511-532. doi:
51 543 10.1071/BT97045
52
- 53 544 Petit G, Anfodillo T & Mencuccini M. 2008. Tapering of xylem conduits and hydraulic
54 545 limitations in sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*) trees. *New Phytol* 177: 653-664. doi:
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 546 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02291.x
- 1
2 547 Petit G, Pfautsch S, Anfondillo T & Adams MA. 2010. The challenge of tree height in *Eucalyptus*
3 548 *regnans*: when xylem tapering overcomes hydraulic resistance. *New Phytol* 187: 1146-
4 549 1153. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03304.x
- 5
6 550 Pratt RB, Jacobsen AL, Ewers FW, Davis SD. 2007. Relationships among xylem transport,
7 551 biomechanics and storage in stems and roots of nine Rhamnaceae species of California
8 552 chaparral. *New Phytol*. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02061.x
- 9
10
11 553 Preston KA, Cornwell WK, DeNoyer JL. 2006. Wood density and vessel traits as distinct
12 554 correlates of ecological strategy in 51 California coast range angiosperms. *New Phytol*
13 555 170: 807 – 818. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01712.x
- 14
15 556 O' Grady AP, Cook PG, Eamus D, Duguid A, Wischunsen JDH, Fass T & Worldege D. 2009.
16 557 Convergence of tree water use within an arid-zone woodland. *Oecologia* 160:643–655.
17 558 doi:10.1007/ s00442-009-1332-y
- 18
19
20 559 Olson ME, Rosell JA. 2013. Vessel diameter-stem diameter scaling across woody angiosperms
21 560 and the ecological causes of xylem vessel diameter variation. *New Phytol*
22 561 doi:10.1111/nph.12097
- 23
24 562 Roberts J, Colloff M & Doody T. 2016. Riverine vegetation of inland south-eastern Australia.
25 563 pages 177–200 in Capon S, James C and Reid M (eds) *Vegetation of Australian Riverine*
26 564 *Landscapes*. CSIRO Publishing, Clayton VIC Australia.
- 27
28
29 565 Saitoh T, Ohtani J & Fukazawa K. 1993. The occurrence and morphology of tyloses and gums in
30 566 the vessels of Japanese hardwoods. *IAWA Journal*. 14: 359 –
31 567 371. doi:10.1163/22941932-90000590
- 32
33 568 Santini NS, Schmitz N, Bennion V & Lovelock CE. 2013. The anatomical basis of the link between
34 569 density and mechanical strength in mangrove branches. *Funct Plant Biol* 40:400–408.
35 570 doi:10.1071/FP12204
- 36
37
38 571 Santini NS, Cleverly J, Faux R, Lestrange C, Rumman R & Eamus D. 2016. Xylem traits and water-
39 572 use efficiency of woody species co-occurring in the Ti Tree Basin arid zone. *Trees*
40 573 30:295-303. doi: 10.1007/s00468-015-1301-5.
- 41
42 574 Schenk HJ, Steppe K & Jansen S. 2015. Nanobubbles: a new paradigm for air-seeding in xylem.
43 575 *Trends in Plant Science*. 20:199-205. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2015.01.008>
- 44
45 576 Schenk HJ, Espino S, Romo DM, Nima N, Do AYT, Michaud JM, Papahadjopolos-Sternberg B, Yang
46 577 J, Zuo YY, Steppe K & Jansen S. 2016. Xylem surfactants introduce a new element to the
47 578 cohesion-tension theory. *Plant Physiol*. doi:10.1104/pp.16.01039
- 48
49
50 579 Smith DD & Sperry JS. 2014. Coordination between water transport capacity, biomass growth,
51 580 metabolic scaling and species stature in co-occurring shrub and tree species. *Plant Cell*
52 581 *Environ* 37: 2679-2690. doi: 10.1111/pce.12408
- 53
54 582 Sperry JS & Saliendra NZ. 1994. Intra-and inter-plant variation in xylem cavitation in *Betula*
55 583 *occidentalis*. *Plant Cell Environ* 17: 1233-1241. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
56 584 3040.1994.tb02021.x
- 57
58
59 585 Sperry JS, Hacke UG, Wheeler JK. 2005. Comparative analysis of end wall resistivity in xylem
60 586 conduits. *Plant, Cell & Environ* 28:456-465. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01287.x
- 61
62
63
64
65

587 Scholz A, Klepsch M, Karimi Z & Jansen S. 2013. How to quantify conduits in wood *Front Plant*
1 588 *Sci* 4:1-11. doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00056
2

3 589 Sun Q, Rost TL & Matthews MA. 2008. Wound-induced vascular occlusions in *Vitis vinifera*
4 590 (Vitaceae): Tyloses in summer and gels in winter. *Am J Bot.* 95:1498 – 1505.
5 591 doi:10.3732/ajb.0800061
6

7 592 Tyree MT & Zimmerman MH. 2002. Xylem structure and the ascent of sap. Springer-Verlag,
8 593 Berlin.
9

10
11 594 Wheeler JK, Sperry JS, Hacke UG, Hoang N. 2005. Inter-vessel pitting and cavitation in woody
12 595 Rosaceae and other vesselled plants: a basis for a safety versus efficiency trade-off in
13 596 xylem transport. *Plant, Cell & Environ* 28:800-812. Doi:10.1111/j.1365-
14 597 3040.2005.01330.x
15

16 598 Zanne A, Westoby M, Falster DS, Ackerly DD, Loarie SR, Arnold SEJ & Coomes DA. 2010.
17 599 Angiosperm wood structure: global patterns in vessel anatomy and their relation to
18 600 wood density and potential conductivity. *Am J Bot* 97:207–215. doi:10.3732/ajb.
19 601 0900178
20
21

22 602 Zieminska K, Butler DW, Gleason SM, Wright IJ, Westoby M. 2013. Fibre wall and lumen
23 603 fractions drive wood density variation across 24 Australian angiosperms. *AoBPLANTS*
24 604 5:plt046. Doi: 10.1093/aobpla/plt046
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

605

606

1 607 Figures

2 608

3 609

4 610

5 611

6 612

7 613

8 614

9 615

10 616

11 617

12 618

13 619

14 620

15 621

16 622

17 623

18 624

19 625

20 626

21 627

22 628

23 629

24 630

25 631

26 632

27 633

28 634

29 635

30 636

31 637

32 638

33 639

34 640

35 641

36 642

37 643

38 644

39 645

40 646

41 647

42 648

43 649

44 650

45 651

46 652

47 653

48 654

49 655

50 656

51 657

52 658

53 659

54 660

55 661

56 662

57 663

58 664

59 665

Figure 1. (A – D) Photographs of roots of four of our studied species, *Erythrina vespertilio*, *Acacia aneura* and *Psydrax latifolia* exhibit shallow lateral roots while *Corymbia opaca* exhibits deep sinker roots.

Figure 2. (A – F) Photographs of root wood micro-sections of the studied species from the Ti Tree Basin, Northern Territory. Photographs illustrate xylem vessels (V), vessel walls (VW) and fibre walls (FW). **(A – D)** Species were double-stained with safranin and alcian blue (1:2). **(F - E)** *Corymbia opaca* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* were not stained due to the presence of resins. Tyloses (Ty) were observed in some of the vessels.

Figure 3. Relationship between root wood density and root vessel lumen area, the regression was: $Y = -14.78 X + 0.67$ ($r^2=0.73$, $p=0.03$). Sample numbers of individual primary roots (one root per individual plant) for assessment of wood density were as follows: *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5), *Acacia aneura* (n = 5), *Hakea* sp. (n=5), *Psydrax latifolia* (n=5), *Corymbia opaca* (n=3), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (n=5). Sample numbers of individual primary roots (one root per individual plant) for assessment of root vessel lumen area were as follows: *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5), *Acacia aneura* (n = 3), *Hakea* sp. (n=4), *Psydrax latifolia* (n=5), *Corymbia opaca* (n=3), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (n=5). Symbols represent mean values \pm standard errors for different species: *Erythrina vespertilio* (crossed-circle), *Acacia aneura* (triangle), *Hakea* sp. (square), *Psydrax latifolia* (diamond), *Corymbia opaca* (upside-down triangle), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (circle). Different coloured symbols indicate functional groups (Yellow: deciduous, green: evergreen and blue: evergreen phreatophytes).

Figure 4. Relationship between log (root vessel density) and root vessel lumen area, the regression was: $\text{Log}(Y) = -67.69 X + 2.17$ ($r^2=0.72$, $p=0.03$). Sample numbers of individual primary roots (one root per individual plant) for assessment of root vessel density and root vessel lumen area were as follows: *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5), *Acacia aneura* (n = 3), *Hakea* sp. (n=4), *Psydrax latifolia* (n=5), *Corymbia opaca* (n=3), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (n=5). Symbols represent mean values \pm standard errors for different species: *Erythrina vespertilio* (crossed-circle), *Acacia aneura* (triangle), *Hakea* sp. (square), *Psydrax latifolia* (diamond), *Corymbia opaca* (upside-down triangle), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (circle). Different coloured symbols indicate functional groups (Yellow: deciduous, green: evergreen and blue: evergreen phreatophytes).

Figure 5. Relationship between root outer pit aperture and the logarithm (Log) of root vessel diameter, the regression was: $Y = 1.56 X - 0.93$ ($r^2=0.92$, $p=0.002$). Sample numbers of individual primary roots (one root per individual plant) for assessment of pit apertures were n = 3 per species. Sample numbers of individual primary roots (one root per individual plant) for assessment of root vessel diameter were as follows: *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5), *Acacia aneura* (n = 3), *Hakea* sp. (n=4), *Psydrax latifolia* (n=5), *Corymbia opaca* (n=3), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (n=5). Symbols represent mean values \pm standard errors for different species: *Erythrina vespertilio* (crossed-circle), *Acacia aneura* (triangle), *Hakea* sp. (square), *Psydrax latifolia* (diamond), *Corymbia opaca* (upside-down triangle), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (circle). Different coloured symbols indicate functional groups (Yellow: deciduous, green: evergreen and blue: evergreen phreatophytes).

Figure 6. Relationship between root theoretical hydraulic conductivity (K_s) and log root xylem vessel implosion resistance $(t/b)^2$. Sample numbers of individual primary roots (one root per

656 individual plant) for assessment of root K_s and log root $(t/b)^2$ were as follows: *Acacia aneura* (n
657 = 3), *Hakea* sp. (n=4), *Psydrax latifolia* (n=5), *Corymbia opaca* (n=3), *Eucalyptus*
658 *camaldulensis* (n=5) and *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5). Symbols represent mean values \pm
659 standard errors for different species: *Erythrina vespertilio* (crossed-circle), *Acacia aneura*
660 (triangle), *Hakea* sp. (square), *Psydrax latifolia* (diamond), *Corymbia opaca* (upside-down
661 triangle), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (circle). Different coloured symbols indicate functional
662 groups (Yellow: deciduous, green: evergreen and blue: evergreen phreatophytes).

663
664 **Figure 7.** Relationship between root vessel diameter and root vessel wall thickness, the
665 regression was: $Y = 0.13 X + 1.18$ ($r^2=0.99$, $p=0.004$). Sample numbers of individual primary
666 roots (one root per individual plant) for assessment of root vessel diameter and root vessel wall
667 thickness were as follows: *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5), *Acacia aneura* (n = 3), *Hakea* sp. (n=4),
668 *Psydrax latifolia* (n=5), *Corymbia opaca* (n=3). *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5) and *Eucalyptus*
669 *camaldulensis* (n=5) were not included in the regression. Symbols represent mean values \pm
670 standard errors for different species: *Erythrina vespertilio* (crossed-circle), *Acacia aneura*
671 (triangle), *Hakea* sp. (square), *Psydrax latifolia* (diamond), *Corymbia opaca* (upside-down
672 triangle), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (circle). Different coloured symbols indicate functional
673 groups (Yellow: deciduous, green: evergreen and blue: evergreen phreatophytes).

674
675
676
677

Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of the studied species and sampling areas at the Ti Tree Basin, Northern Territory. Xylem traits were vessel diameter, fibre and vessel wall thickness.

Functional group	Species	Growth form	Area utilized for assessment of xylem traits (mm ²)*	Number of assessed xylem vessels (range)	Type of collected primary roots	Distance of collected primary lateral/sinker root from the main stem, range (m)	Tree height (m)
Deciduous	<i>Erythrina vespertilio</i>	Tree	~ 8	7 - 12	Lateral / Shallow	~ 2 - 6	10 - 15
Evergreen	<i>Acacia aneura</i>	Tree	~ 0.3	37 - 55	Lateral / Shallow	~ 0.5 - 1	5 - 10
	<i>Hakea</i> sp.	Tree	~ 1.3	39 - 41	Lateral / Shallow	~ 1 - 2	5 - 10
	<i>Psyrax latifolia</i>	Shrub	~ 0.08	60 - 85	Lateral / Shallow	~ 0.5 - 2	2 - 3
Evergreen	<i>Corymbia opaca</i>	Tree	~ 1.3	16 - 24	Sinker / Deep	~ 1 - 6	10 - 15
phreatophytes	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	Tree	~ 1.3	15 - 35	Sinker / Deep	~ 2 - 3	10 - 15

* Areas are approximate values per image.

Table 2. Xylem characteristics and wood density of tap roots of our collected species from the Ti Tree Basin, Northern Territory. NA indicates not assessed. Data from branches were provided by Santini *et al.* (2016). Values are means and standard errors. Values with the same letter within a column were not significantly different ($p > 0.05$) as tested with a one-way Analysis of Variance. A paired t-test was used to compare mean and standard errors of xylem characteristics and wood density between roots and branches, (*) indicates $p < 0.05$ and ns indicates non-significant differences. Sample number of individual tap roots for assessment of xylem traits (i.e. vessel diameter, fibre and vessel wall thickness) were as follows: *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5), *Acacia aneura* (n = 3), *Hakea* sp. (n=4), *Psyrax latifolia* (n=5), *Corymbia opaca* (n=3), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (n=5). Samples number of individual tap roots for assessment of pit apertures were n = 3 per species. Sample number of individual tap roots for assessment of wood density were as follows: *Erythrina vespertilio* (n=5), *Acacia aneura* (n = 5), *Hakea* sp. (n=5), *Psyrax latifolia* (n=5), *Corymbia opaca* (n=3), *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (n=5).

Species	Fibre wall thickness (μm)	Vessel wall thickness (μm)	Vessel diameter (μm)	Outer pit aperture (μm)	Conductive lumen fraction ($\text{mm}^2 \text{mm}^{-2}$)	Wood density (g cm^{-3})
<i>Erythrina vespertilio</i> Roots	NA	$6.97 \pm 0.21^{\text{AD}}$	$188 \pm 6.15^{\text{A}}$	$7.13 \pm 0.11^{\text{A}}$	$0.05 \pm 0.003^{\text{A}}$	$0.21 \pm 0.009^{\text{A}}$
Branches	2.80 ± 0.11	1.98 ± 0.09	40.44 ± 1.634	NA	0.249 ± 0.020	0.40 ± 0.016
Paired <i>t</i> test (t;df)	NA	(21.59; 72)*	(29.75; 12)*		(4.97; 72)*	(3.73; 72)*
<i>Acacia aneura</i> Roots	$3.9 \pm 0.10^{\text{C}}$	$6.07 \pm 0.19^{\text{D}}$	$34.8 \pm 1.08^{\text{E}}$	$4.62 \pm 0.05^{\text{D}}$	$0.17 \pm 0.014^{\text{D}}$	$0.61 \pm 0.021^{\text{D}}$
Branches	4.01 ± 0.18	4.27 ± 0.19	35.14 ± 1.61	NA	0.098 ± 0.008	0.95 ± 0.07
Paired <i>t</i> test (t;df)	ns	(7.78; 72)*	ns		ns	(6.69; 72)*
<i>Hakea sp.</i> Roots	$5.4 \pm 0.12^{\text{A}}$	$9.48 \pm 0.15^{\text{E}}$	$60.3 \pm 1.91^{\text{F}}$	$6.16 \pm 0.15^{\text{B}}$	$0.12 \pm 0.009^{\text{DE}}$	$0.82 \pm 0.023^{\text{E}}$
Branches	4.26 ± 0.15	2.71 ± 0.13	27.01 ± 2.18	NA	0.123 ± 0.023	0.712 ± 0.03
Paired <i>t</i> test (t;df)	(3.47; 60)*	(29.29; 72)*	(10.14; 12)*		ns	(2.12; 72)*
<i>Psyrax latifolia</i> Roots	$4.5 \pm 0.07^{\text{B}}$	$4.12 \pm 0.05^{\text{C}}$	$24.4 \pm 0.29^{\text{D}}$	$3.73 \pm 0.05^{\text{C}}$	$0.37 \pm 0.008^{\text{C}}$	$0.58 \pm 0.01^{\text{CD}}$
Branches	6.79 ± 0.42	3.78 ± 0.13	20.19 ± 0.58	NA	0.133 ± 0.013	0.73 ± 0.017
Paired <i>t</i> test (t;df)	(6.99; 60)*	ns	(5.13; 12)*		(5.92; 72)*	(2.95; 72)*
<i>Corymbia opaca</i> Roots	$5.7 \pm 0.15^{\text{A}}$	$11.5 \pm 0.29^{\text{B}}$	$79.4 \pm 3.12^{\text{C}}$	$5.88 \pm 0.16^{\text{B}}$	$0.08 \pm 0.006^{\text{AE}}$	$0.49 \pm 0.002^{\text{BC}}$
Branches	3.36 ± 0.16	2.88 ± 0.17	39.41 ± 1.57	NA	0.258 ± 0.032	0.68 ± 0.022
Paired <i>t</i> test (t;df)	(7.14; 60)*	(37.30; 72)*	(12.87; 12)*		(4.45; 72)*	(3.73; 72)*
<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> Roots	$5.3 \pm 0.08^{\text{A}}$	$7.25 \pm 0.12^{\text{A}}$	$131 \pm 3.42^{\text{B}}$	$6.49 \pm 0.14^{\text{B}}$	$0.25 \pm 0.011^{\text{B}}$	$0.44 \pm 0.03^{\text{B}}$
Branches	3.31 ± 0.12	2.61 ± 0.09	36.67 ± 1.38	NA	0.258 ± 0.053	0.65 ± 0.03
Paired <i>t</i> test (t;df)	(6.07; 60)*	(20; 72)*	(30.41; 12)*		ns	(4.13; 72)*

Table 3. Calculated means and standard errors of theoretical hydraulic conductivity (K_s) and vessel implosion resistance (t/b)², where t is the double-wall thickness (in μm) and b is the hydraulic mean vessel diameter (in μm). Different letters among columns indicate means were significantly different $p < 0.05$ as tested with a one-way Analysis of Variance.

Species	K_s ($\text{kg mm}^{-1} \text{MPa}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)	n	$(t/b)^2$	n
<i>Erythrina vespertilio</i> Roots	1.86 ± 0.1984	5	0.0068 ± 0.00037	5
Branches	0.383 ± 0.047	9	0.0096 ± 0.00006	3
Paired t test (t;df)	(6.48; 72)*		ns	
<i>Acacia aneura</i> Roots	0.4192 ± 0.1166	3	0.1179 ± 0.01017	3
Branches	0.109 ± 0.017	9	0.059 ± 0.00009	3
Paired t test (t;df)	ns		(10.86; 72)*	
<i>Hakea sp.</i> Roots	0.8140 ± 0.1511	4	0.0995 ± 0.0040	4
Branches	0.08 ± 0.019	9	0.0404 ± 0.00154	3
Paired t test (t;df)	(3.22; 72)*		(10.90; 72)*	
<i>Psydrax latifolia</i> Roots	0.2103 ± 0.0090	5	0.1094 ± 0.012	5
Branches	0.047 ± 0.006	9	0.14 ± 0.00092	3
Paired t test (t;df)	ns		(5.64; 72)*	
<i>Corymbia opaca</i> Roots	0.6654 ± 0.14	3	0.08536 ± 0.0079	3
Branches	0.356 ± 0.058	9	0.0213 ± 0.00047	3
Paired t test (t;df)	ns		(11.81; 72)*	
<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> Roots	6.837 ± 0.677	5	0.01617 ± 0.0013	5
Branches	0.328 ± 0.082	9	0.0237 ± 0.00001	3
Paired t test (t;df)	(28.57; 72)*		ns	













