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Abstract

In this article, we aim to address the question of how to exploit the unlicensed spectrum to achieve ultra-reliable, low-latency communications (URLLC). Potential URLLC PHY mechanisms are reviewed and then compared via simulations to demonstrate their potential benefits to URLLC. Although a number of important PHY techniques help with URLLC, the PHY layer exhibits an intrinsic trade-off between latency and reliability, posed by limited and unstable wireless channels. We then explore MAC mechanisms and discuss multi-channel strategies for achieving low-latency LTE unlicensed-band access. We demonstrate, via simulations, that the periods without access to the unlicensed band can be substantially reduced by maintaining channel access processes on multiple unlicensed channels, choosing the channels intelligently, and implementing RTS/CTS.

I. Introduction

5th generation (5G) wireless communications is expected to bring disruptive technologies that support ultra-reliable, low-latency communications (URLLC). The unlicensed spectrum plays an important role in 5G as it offers significant capacity boost to licensed spectrum. Emerging 5G services can be categorized into two types: Machine-type communications (MTC)-based services and human-machine interaction services. The former aims to fulfill communications tasks between connected devices in applications requiring, for example, control and safety, such as industrial control systems or automation, or autonomous vehicles or robotics. Human-machine interaction services include virtual reality and augmented reality in which almost immediate interaction between eye, body and surrounding environment is required.

The various services have different latency and reliability requirements that can be as extreme as 0.5 ms for end-to-end latency and 99.999% for reliability. While delivering carrier grade services in unlicensed spectrum can be a challenge, due to the shared nature of unlicensed spectrum, the unlicensed band offers additional diversity and bandwidth that can be used to achieve URLLC.

Long-Term Evolution (LTE) in unlicensed spectrum (LTE-U) and license assisted access (LAA) have been recently proposed to exploit unlicensed band of Wi-Fi for cellular devices/applications. Unlike LTE-U/LAA that use LTE carrier as an anchor (aggregated with unlicensed carrier via either link- or carrier- aggregation approaches), Qualcomm has recently proposed to operate 5G on unlicensed band through a technology called MulteFire. As the success of 5G for MTC hinges on the ability to provide highly reliable connections, it is critical (and challenging) to improve reliability for unlicensed-band 5G communications (i.e., LTE-U/LAA/MulteFire and beyond) that share spectrum with Wi-Fi access points and different network operators.

The 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) defines general URLLC latency and reliability targets in [1]. User plane latency is the average time to transmit a packet between radio protocol layers 2/3, without discontinuous reception. Reliability is the success probability of delivering a small packet within a time constraint. [1] prescribes 0.5 ms latency per link and 99.999% reliability, for a 32-byte packet with 1 ms user plane latency. URLLC needs to support a variety of use cases that have a variety of latency and reliability constraints. In some cases, the 3GPP latency restriction can be relaxed to 5 ms or longer. In other cases, the reliability should be guaranteed at 99.9999% or even higher.

Reliability can be increased by controlling power levels and scheduling resources to subcarriers with favourable conditions. However, combining transmissions from multiple different paths provides greater protection against fading and interference. Different paths can be created by diversity in frequency, space or connection. Whichever way, the less correlated the different paths, the greater the reliability.

Latency is bound to the frame structure. The transmission time interval (TTI) and symbol duration impose lower bounds on transmission times. Device-to-device (D2D) links can reduce the latency between two nearby devices by replacing the uplink-downlink (UL-DL) pair with a single hop. Grant-free Non-Orthogonal Multiple Access (NOMA) [2] reduces latency by allowing immediate transmissions without scheduling.

The unlicensed spectrum has largely been studied as a tappable resource that will increase network-operator capacity. Instead, it could be considered another source of diversity by which to increase reliability. A flexible network structure could allow evolving multi-connectivity, where D2D links add another pathway when possible, and where unlicensed channels add another dimension as access is obtained. The unlicensed spectrum could also facilitate
decreased latency, by allowing a packet to be transmitted on either the unlicensed or the licensed spectrum, whichever becomes available first.

In this article, we consider how to utilize the unlicensed spectrum for URLLC. 5G networks will have a new design, referred to as new radio, but it is expected to be, in essence, an extension of WLAN and LTE. As such, we explore achieving URLLC with the mechanisms currently offered by WLAN and LTE. We start by reviewing physical (PHY) layer techniques pertaining to URLLC, particularly in the unlicensed spectrum. The relative merits of the PHY techniques are assessed in terms of their capacity to enable URLLC. Altering the frame structure and numerology has the potential to reduce latency. Simulations are presented that demonstrate that creating diversity, either in frequency, space or connection, increases reliability. After the PHY layer, the medium access control (MAC) layer is considered. We discuss the possibility of gaining quick access to multiple unlicensed channels to achieve our URLLC goal. We identify a number of components of a potential URLLC scheme, including, predicting traffic profiles, dynamically accessing multiple unlicensed channels, and implementing request-to-send/clear-to-send (RTS/CTS). The aim would be to reduce the periods without access between transmission opportunities on unlicensed channels, ideally achieving continuous access, so that the unlicensed spectrum could be used for URLLC in its own right, or as part of a broader URLLC solution. We demonstrated that the latency to access can be significantly reduced.

II. Use Cases

In the next decade, numerous URLLC applications will come into our daily life, predictably or unpredictably. However, due to the limitation of frequency bandwidth, some URLLC applications will be implemented on unlicensed bands. In which case, terminals operate in a coexistent and competitive way, continuously monitoring channels for opportunistic access. With strict reliability and latency constraints, many scenarios and particular environments [1]-[4] are emerging. Fig. 1 depicts the URLLC use cases we believe are the most promising for unlicensed band realization.

- **Augmented Reality**: The elements of the environment are augmented by computer-generated sensory information; the latency should be maintained in an ultra-low level to avoid giddiness.
- **Tactile internet**: An Internet network that enables operating as well as observing from a distance; high reliability with less than 1 ms round-trip latency should be supported.
- **Vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V)**: Includes communications between vehicles, to share safety messages containing location/speed/hazard information and facilitate accident avoidance and, in the long-term, support fully automated driving.
- **Intelligent Transport System (ITS)**: Infrastructure, vehicles and users are connected with information and communication systems to support efficient traffic; it is proposed to be deployed in the 5.9 GHz unlicensed band.
- **Underground mine pollution monitoring**: Atmospheric pollution and radiation monitoring in underground mines; reliable wireless sensor nodes need to be deployed at low frequency unlicensed bands (915 MHz or lower).

III. PHY Layer Advances and Intrinsic Limitation

One key PHY technique that enhances reliability is diversity in frequency and space domains. Diversity can be achieved through aggregation of multiple subcarriers, and antennas. To enhance spatial diversity, multiple antennas can be collocated on the same device, or the aggregation can be in the form of coordinated multipoint transmission. Frequency diversity gain can be leveraged through frequency hopping techniques, which enable transmitting in different channels with a scheduled hopping pattern, in a broadband rich-scattering environment. Such techniques make the links robust against deep fades and collisions. Generally, with many available channels, frequency hopping achieves diversity and power gains.

Adaptation of Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS) to channel conditions will play a key role in URLLC applications.
Low complexity MCS is desirable when there is a tight processing delay budget. Recently, automated rate adaptation with limited feedback has been utilized to guarantee ultra-reliability and achieve the minimized transmission delay. For example, in [5], a robust link adaptation is enabled to select suitable data rates for desired reliability (99.999%) and delay constraints. However, automated rate adaptation relies heavily on the accuracy of channel state information (CSI). CSI measurement is critically challenging in unlicensed URLLC because of the strictly limited delay budget. Recently, some typical codes are redesigned and optimized for short packet transmissions in MTC, such as low-density parity check, turbo, extended Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem, polar, convolutional, and analog fountain codes [2], [3].

Further, PHY waveform design provides the potential to substantially reduce the latency and unwanted emissions that cause interference. Out-of-band emission reduction techniques, such as filtering, windowing, cancellation carrier, and spectral precoding techniques [6], can be utilized at the transmitter to avoid interference to other users and systems. Other enabling technologies include designing a frame structure to meet 1 ms user plane latency [3]; wideband spectrum sensing techniques [7]; and allowing grant-free access [2]. Recent improvements in self-interference suppression (or in-band full-duplex) can be used to reduce the sensing delay for URLLC. Additionally, grant-free NOMA, which benefits from advanced receiver design, can remove the grant-request and scheduling processes, thereby reducing the user plane latency without remarkably decreasing reliability. However, such techniques need to be thoroughly evaluated for their ability to meet URLLC requirements.

Simulations are performed to demonstrate the reliability of different PHY techniques under given transmission latency and target received signal to noise ratio (SNR). It is assumed that a 32-byte packet is appropriately encoded by a dedicated channel coding scheme to be transmitted in a block Rayleigh fading channel with shadow fading, in which the block size of block Rayleigh fading is 20 MHz X 1 ms and the shadow fading obeys the log-normal distribution with 4 dB shadowing standard deviation. The transmission latency is defined as the total transmission time, equaling the sum of UL and DL transmission symbol durations. The target received SNR is defined as the long-run average received SNR over 1 s of transmission, which is much longer than the coherence time.

In each iteration of the simulation, the target received SNR and transmission latency are given as constraints, and a realization of the Rayleigh channel is generated independently. The selected PHY techniques are implemented in turn and assessed on reliability, i.e. the proportion of time the 32-byte packet is transmitted error-free through both UL and DL. Theoretical reliability probabilities are also calculated and compared to the simulation results.

In the “baseline” scenario, two antennas are equipped at each of the source and destination user equipment (UE) and two antennas are equipped at the base station. In the “diversity” scenario, four antennas are equipped at the source and destination UE respectively, while sixteen antennas are equipped at the base station, creating a 16-fold diversity gain over the baseline. For the “frequency hopping” scheme, each transmission hopped between five independent 20 MHz channels. We assume that, when ideal CSI is acquired at the transmitter side, perfect transmission beamforming is used, resulting in an M-fold power gain, where M is the number of antennas at the transmitter side. Otherwise, equal power transmission is used when no CSI is acquired.

Fig. 2 plots (1 - reliability) vs. target received SNR under 1 ms transmission latency constraint; (b) reliability vs. transmission latency under -10 dB target received SNR. Fig. 2(a) plots (1 - reliability) vs. target received SNR under 1 ms transmission latency. Diversity and frequency hopping provide noticeable gains due to frequency/space diversity. CSI measurement also brings remarkable improvement from their power gains when ideal CSI or precise CSI is obtained at the transmitter. While frequency hopping seems to be the most efficient way to increase reliability, diversity provides the largest reduction in the target received SNR required to achieve a given reliability, requiring approximately 16 dB less than the baseline for 1-10^{-5} reliability. Fig. 2(b) instead plots (1 - reliability) vs. transmission latency when the target received SNR is -10 dB. Diversity and frequency hopping with ideal CSI can achieve the 1-10^{-5} reliability target when transmission delay is very short. At the same time, frequency hopping without CSI could fulfil the 1 ms total latency requirement when propagation and processing delay are very short. Thus, it can be concluded from the figures that while reliability can be consistently enhanced by increasing the transmission power, under given transmission latency and bandwidth
constraints, from the perspective of avoiding severe interference, diversity and frequency hopping techniques are more energy efficient and can be co-existence friendly, so are suitable for deployment in unlicensed bands.

The relevance to various PHY techniques to URLLC are compared in Table 1 under three performance measures: latency, reliability and interference. The interference is assessed on a combination of the in-band emission which affects the co-existing system and out-of-band emission which affects the adjacent channels. Relevance refers to having an impact, either positively or negatively.

| Table 1 Relevance of various PHY techniques to URLLC in unlicensed bands |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Frame structure | Latency | Reliability | Interference |
| Waveform design  | 2       | 2             | 3             |
| Diversity        | 1       | 3             | 2             |
| MCS              | 2       | 2             | 1             |
| CSI measurement  | 2       | 2             | 2             |
| Frequency hopping| 1       | 3             | 3             |
| Grant-free NOMA  | 3       | 2             | 2             |

D2D communications allow direct short-range links, instead of communicating through the evolved Node B (eNB). D2D communications in the overlay mode, where the eNB schedules dedicated D2D resources, is possible in the unlicensed spectrum, if scheduled and completed during the eNB’s channel occupancy time (COT). Such scheduling would approximately half the latency, by reducing the number of hops from two to one, thereby helping facilitate URLLC.

B. Wi-Fi MAC Layer Implications

**PCF/HCF:** The point coordination function (PCF) and hybrid coordination function (HCF) use polling with priority defer periods, PCF interframe spaces (PIFSs), to create contention-free periods (CFPs). The HCF defines priority classes as part of the enhanced distributed channel access (EDCA) mechanism, where higher priority access classes have shorter backoff processes. The highest two access classes also have a specified maximum transmission opportunity (TXOP), during which multiple packets may be sent. From the perspective of LTE accessing the unlicensed band, long CFPs pose an access problem. To accommodate the CFPs, the eNB can include a Wi-Fi receiver to decode the beacons that announce the duration of each CFP. The eNB could then use the beacon information to decide whether to defer from channels during CFPs, or to compete, using high-priority access classes that have defer periods equal to the PIFS. Moreover, the information would make access to the unlicensed band more predictable, which in turn makes utilizing the unlicensed band for URLLC more feasible.

**NAV, RTS/CTS:** The RTS/CTS mechanism is a two-way handshake, aiming to alleviate the hidden node problem. Specifically, stations inform nearby nodes about their incoming transmissions and the nodes set their network allocation vectors (NAVs) to the transmission duration as a form of virtual carrier sensing. If all transmitting devices use RTS/CTS, the cost of collisions can be vastly reduced, especially with long aggregated packets. With a Wi-Fi transmitter, an eNB could send CTS-to-self frames, advertising to Wi-Fi receiving devices its intent to transmit; however, this would eat into the eNB’s COT. With a Wi-Fi receiver, the eNB could use any advertised packet durations to help build a traffic profile and predict when the channel would be available.
**Carrier aggregation:** In the very high throughput mode, Wi-Fi can aggregate carriers and transmit on up to 160 MHz of spectrum, being either contiguous or in two 80 MHz bands. This poses a problem of simultaneous access block out on a potentially large number of channels for other devices, including the eNB. As such, when building a traffic profile, the eNB should ideally account for possible correlations between channel occupancies. Again, traffic profiling could be facilitated by reading the Wi-Fi headers.

**C. LTE Access to the Unlicensed Spectrum**

Load-based listen-before-talk has been the consensus mechanism for LTE access to the unlicensed spectrum. There are currently two slightly different versions, 3GPP [10] and ETSI [11]. Both are backoff based with four access priority classes, and are similar to the Wi-Fi EDCA mechanism. Higher priority classes have shorter minimum contention windows, fewer backoff stages and shorter defer periods, so that access occurs more frequently. To counter the advantage, a shorter COT is allowed each access. In downlink, the highest priority class defer period equals a PIFS, as used by a Wi-Fi point/hybrid coordinator.

ETSIs defines initiating and responding devices. Responding devices, such as UE, can transmit within the COT obtained by an initiating device, separated by short breaks, which is one mechanism for uplink transmission. UE can also obtain access via their own backoff processes. There are again four access priority classes, which are similar to the downlink set, the main difference being that an additional slot is added to the defer periods of the highest two priority classes.

The 3GPP has Type A and Type B multi-carrier access. In Type A, a separate backoff processes is maintained for each carrier. This appears to have potential for reducing the periods without access. However, it is implied that once one backoff process gains access and a transmission starts, the other backoff processes are paused, which reduces the potential gain. In the ETSI equivalent, Option 1, the backoff processes may be independent, if the devices are capable of maintaining independent transmissions on separate carriers.

Type B multi-carrier access has a single backoff process that is maintained on one carrier and used for all carriers. Just prior to transmission, all carriers in the multi-carrier set are sensed to assess channel activity. Transmissions may be made on all carriers that are found to be idle. The channel on which the backoff process is performed is either selected randomly after each transmission or selected arbitrarily, but no more often than every second. As such, although the Type B multi-carrier option potentially increases capacity, it does not reduce the periods without access to the unlicensed spectrum. The ETSI equivalent, Option 2, is like 3GPP Type B.

**V. Potential URLLC Research Directions**

The implementation of URLLC in the unlicensed band is hampered by discontinuous access. We explore combining both PHY and MAC techniques to alleviate the access discontinuity, and propose using the unlicensed spectrum as another source of diversity. Table 2 presents techniques that might work together to this end and explains their contributions.

We next explore the challenges of achieving multichannel diversity in the unlicensed band, and then present simulation results showing the potential of multi-unlicensed-channel diversity.

**A. Multichannel Diversity**

Achieving URLLC over unlicensed channels is challenging. This is because the access to unlicensed channels is usually based on contention and not always guaranteed. That can lead to longer latency, or even transmission disruptions, affecting the reliability. To mitigate the above, one can leverage the canonical diversity and frequency hopping techniques that were used to combat fading or jammers. Specifically, instead of relying on a single unlicensed channel, a set of unlicensed channels can be monitored simultaneously, and the link can opportunistically and proactively hop onto these channels to avoid transmission disruption. To that end, we need to address several challenges.

First, one needs to design flexible channel bonding methods [12] that allow unlicensed channels to be quickly and efficiently subdivided and aggregated. We believe recent advances in multi-carrier communications, e.g., non-contiguous orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing, are very suitable. However, the challenge here is how to realize channel subdivision and aggregation in a mixed pool of unlicensed and licensed channels [13]. Second, a mechanism that allows the transmitter and receiver to rendezvous with low time and signalling overhead must be developed. Unfortunately, although various rendezvous methods have been proposed for the licensed bands in the literature, their performance has not been investigated/confirmed in the unlicensed bands. Additionally, a fast frequency hopping method can also be recruited. To guarantee a given reliability level, we also need to quantify the impact of the number of unlicensed channels, their traffic profiles, and transmission durations on the resulting reliability. To that end, the theory of optimal stopping point (OSP) [14] can be a robust tool.

**Table 2 Techniques with potential to reduce discontinuity of access to unlicensed bands.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>LAA application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDCA</td>
<td>LAA priority classes, to gain shorter access more often</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier aggregation</td>
<td>To enable access to multiple unlicensed channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity</td>
<td>Multi-unlicensed-channel diversity to reduce access discontinuities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTS/CTS</td>
<td>Used to reduce collision time; recipient UE selected randomly or based on its distance from eNB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTI reduction</td>
<td>To allow DL control and UL transmission within a COT (e.g. 2 ms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible frame structure</td>
<td>To accommodate different balance of control, DL and UL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling (FLS)</td>
<td>Unlicensed channel traffic monitoring, apply FLS over LTE frames</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Specifically, given the underlying probabilistic properties of each channel, OSP allows us to decide when to hop to another channel to maximize a given utility function (either minimizing latency or maximizing reliability).

In a cellular system, the base station has to be equipped with the capability to learn and profile multiple unlicensed channels simultaneously. It then can forecast its transmission opportunities in different bands/channels, and hence notify the UE in advance to rendezvous. How to estimate the traffic on each channel and then how to predict the next channel to produce an uncontested access opportunity are challenging research topics. A potential approach is to rely on Q-learning [15]. A trade-off is expected between reliability and average performance, where minimising the 95th quantile would be preferable to minimising the average. In the following, we will investigate the efficacy of such a method in LAA.

B. Evaluation of Multi-channel Access Mechanisms

Simulations are performed to explore whether using multiple unlicensed channels can deliver almost continuous access to the unlicensed spectrum, and thereby reduce the access delay to being comparable with the licensed spectrum.

We consider a framework where the eNB monitors all the unlicensed channels of interest, learning the traffic in each channel and maintaining a separate backoff process for each channel. At decision points, the eNB estimates the order in which channels are likely to provide uncontested access, based on the traffic profile of each channel and the current state of each backoff process. The eNB then informs the UE, via the licensed band, to monitor one or multiple channels, waiting for a header with their identifier. Four options were compared by simulation:

1. eNB selects a single channel for UE to monitor for the whole run.
2. eNB informs UE to monitor all channels, eNB transmits on first available channel.
3. Same as option 2, except eNB uses RTS/CTS to cut short frames that collide.
4. eNB informs UE to monitor all channels, and can independently transmit on each channel.

Option 4 uses the ETSI multi-carrier access rules [11], allowing independent transmissions on each unlicensed channel, so has an advantage over Options 1-3.

Each of between 1-10 channels supports the same homogeneous traffic, comprising 10 saturated Wi-Fi stations transmitting 2 ms packets and maintaining a backoff process with contention window (CW) sizes (15, 31, .., 511). The packets are transmitted with RTS/CTS, so that in the case of a collision, the channel is occupied for 156 µs. The eNB uses two options, representing LAA access priority class 1 and 3 (AC1 and AC3). For AC1, the eNB obtains 2 ms transmission opportunities and uses CW sizes {3, 7}. For AC3, the eNB obtains 6 ms transmission opportunities, and uses CW sizes (15, 31, 63). When the eNB implements RTS/CTS, LTE collisions are communicated after 156 µs. To keep access fair, all devices use a DIFS for their defer periods and all devices are within transmission range.

To assess the four options, we consider the durations between the starts of consecutive successful LTE frames, which we refer to as consecutive-frame-start intervals (CFS intervals). For Options 1-3, the CFS intervals must exceed the LTE frame duration. For Option 4, they can be shorter, such that LTE frames in different channels overlap. Example frame timings for multi-channel access Option 3 and Option 4, and the resulting CFS intervals, are depicted in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3 Multi-channel access frame timing](image-url)

Fig. 3 Multi-channel access frame timing; (a) Option 3: dependent backoff processes, one LTE transmission at a time, with RTS/CTS; (b) Option 4: independent backoff processes, allowing overlapping frames.
Fig. 4 Comparison of different LAA multi-channel access strategies; (a) LAA CW sizes (3, 7), LTE frame duration = 2 ms; (b) LAA CW sizes (15, 31, 63), LTE frame duration = 6 ms.

The relative performance of the four options is compared in Fig. 4. Fig. 4(a) has settings representative of AC1 and Fig. 4(b) has settings representative of AC3. The 95th quantile of the CFS intervals is plotted against the number of unlicensed channels considered by the eNB.

In Fig. 4(a), the square markers are for Option 1, with no channel switching, and set a baseline of 12 ms for the 95th quantile of the CFS intervals. With 2 ms LTE frames (indicated by the red solid line), 12 ms equates to a period without access between LTE frames of 10 ms. By transmitting on the first available channel after the previous LTE frame finishes (circles), the 95th quantile of the CFS intervals reduces as more channels are monitored, falling to below 8.5 ms when the UE monitor 10 channels. By additionally implementing RTS/CTS, the 95th quantile of the CFS intervals approximately halves, reducing to 6 ms with just 2 channels monitored and to 3.5 ms with 10 channels monitored. When transmitting on all channels, with independent frame starts, the 95th quantile of the CFS intervals falls below 2 ms with six channels monitored. This means that at least 95% of the LTE frames overlap the next LTE frame and produce continuous access. We note that although the reliability increases when progressing from Option 1 through Option 4, the required overheads also increase. This trade-off is a potential topic for future research.

To explore the sensitivity of the 95th quantile of the CFS intervals to traffic density, Option 3 and Option 4 are simulated with 5 and then 20 STAs per channel. With (5, 10, 20) STAs per channel, the collision probability in each channel without the eNB is (0.33, 0.42, 0.52). For AC1, under Option 4, and monitoring 10 channels, the resulting 95th quantile of the CFS intervals, with (5, 10, 20) STAs per channel, is (1.0, 1.3, 1.8) ms, or (-22, 0, +37) %. With between 1-10 channels monitored, the percentage change ranged between -33% and +64%. For AC1, under Option 3, the percentage changes were approximately half those for Option 4, ranging from -27% to +31%.

In Fig.4(b) the eNB uses a longer 6 ms LTE frame, but also longer CWs. With no channel switching, the baseline 95th quantile of the CFS interval is 80 ms. Monitoring two channels and transmitting on the first available channel each time, gives a 40% reduction, and with 10 channels, a 65% reduction. Again, by additionally implementing RTS/CTS, the 95th quantile of the CFS interval is approximately halved, achieving 11.5 ms with 10 channels monitored. When transmitting on all channels, with independent frame starts, the 95th quantile of the CFS intervals almost reduces to the 6 ms LTE frame duration.

These simulations demonstrate that the periods without access to the unlicensed band can be substantially reduced by maintaining channel access processes on multiple unlicensed channels, choosing the channels intelligently, and implementing RTS/CTS. This reduction, to almost continuous frames, sets the foundation for utilising the unlicensed band in an URLLC solution, whether the unlicensed band delivers all the data, or takes a supporting role within an unlicensed-band assisted URLLC regime. A thorough characterisation of reliability and performance gains under different traffic and channel conditions is an open research topic. Characterising the effective capacity would provide reliability guarantees that account for input buffers and scheduling, as well as the distribution of CFS intervals.

VI. Conclusion

In this article, we aimed to demonstrate that the unlicensed spectrum can be part of an URLLC solution, not just a capacity booster. The obvious impediment to using the contention-based unlicensed spectrum is discontinuous and uncertain access, due to having to share the spectrum. We explored the possibility of utilizing multiple unlicensed channels to reduce periods without access. The periods without access can be significantly reduced through signalling design and coordination of multiple unlicensed channels. Simulations showed that by maintaining backoff processes on multiple channels and transmitting on the first available channel, the 95th quantile of the duration between the starts of consecutive successful LTE frames is considerably reduced compared to remaining with one channel. Our simulation results demonstrated that almost continuous access to the unlicensed spectrum was achieved, with over 95% of the frames, being sourced from multiple channels, overlapping the next frame. Our analysis reveals that licensed assisted access is the key to achieving URLLC in 5G unlicensed, and the integration of licensed and unlicensed access calls for new frame formats and new scheduling designs.
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