Tackling dipeptidyl peptidase IV in neurological disorders
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Abstract

Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-IV) is a serine protease best known for its role in inactivating glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP), three stimulators of pancreatic insulin secretion with beneficial effects on glucose disposal. Owing to the relationship between DPP-IV and these peptides, inhibition of DPP-IV enzyme activity is considered as an attractive treatment option for diabetic patients. Nonetheless, increasing studies support the idea that DPP-IV might also be involved in the development of neurological disorders with a neuroinflammatory component, potentially through its non-incretin activities on immune cells. In this review article, we aim at highlighting recent literature describing the therapeutic value of DPP-IV inhibitors for the treatment of such neurological conditions. Finally, we will illustrate some of the promising results obtained using berberine, a plant extract with potent inhibitory activity on DPP-IV.
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Introduction

Dipeptidyl peptidase-IV (DPP-IV) (aka adenosine deaminase complexing protein 2 or T-cell activation antigen CD26) is a serine exopeptidase widely expressed throughout the body. DPP-IV is usually found tethered to the intravascular portion of vascular endothelial cells (where it is less active) but also exists in a soluble fully active circulating form (Mentlein et al., 1993; Mentlein, 1999; Omar and Ahrén, 2014). It belongs to the S9B protein family that acts on the N-terminus of the X-proline dipeptides, including chemokines, neuropeptides, and peptide hormones. Besides the intravascular compartment, DPP-IV is expressed on the surface of several types of cells in the form of a type II transmembrane glycoprotein.

One of the main known functions of the DPP-IV is to deactivate incretins. The enzyme is strongly implicated in the inhibition of the biological activity of glucose-regulating hormones, such as glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide (PACAP) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) (Zhu et al., 2003; Matteucci and Giampietro, 2009; Marzagalli et al., 2015). DPP-IV is also broadly distributed in numerous organs/tissues such as the liver, lungs, intestinal epithelium, placenta, kidney, renal proximal tubules and neurons, as well as in bodily fluids such as in seminal and synovial fluids, urine, plasma and the cerebrospinal fluid (Green et al., 2006a; Kosaraju et al., 2013a). Aside from its canonical role in glucose metabolism, DPP-IV is also largely involved in regulating various non-incretin related processes in the body, including inflammatory responses, neurophysiological and neuroendocrine functions (Aertgeerts et al., 2004). DPP-IV, through its enzymatic activity, causes the degradation of several cytokines, chemokines and neuropeptides regulating inflammation, immunity, and vascular function that are normally released in the blood stream (Fadini and Avogaro, 2011). In addition, DPP-IV is thought to take part in the control of the maturation and phenotypic differentiation of T-lymphocytes, since activated T-cells are DPP-IV+, making it a particularly attractive target for studies on immune system reactivity.

From a biochemical point of view, DPP-IV cleavage of substrates occurs at the N-terminus of X-proline dipeptides. An example of such cleavage is that observed with GLP-1. DPP-IV enzymatically cleaves GLP-1 at the amino acid ‘Alanine’ located in the second position, converting the intact GLP-1 (7–36) amide peptide into the GLP-1 (9–36) amide fragment (missing the first two amino acids), which is then biologically inactive (as depicted in Figure 1). Inactivation of GLP-1 by DPP-IV is rapid and extensive; for this reason DPP-IV inhibition has been estimated to significantly increase GLP-1 bioavailability and concentration, especially in the peripheral venous plasma and in portal blood (Hjôllund et al., 2011; Nagatsu, 2017). Interestingly, DPP-IV-mediated enzymatic inactivation has been described for at least two further relevant circulating bioactive peptides involved in glucose metabolisms, among other functions: PACAP and GIP.

As illustrated by Green and co-workers, inactivation of circulating PACAP by DPP-IV occurs rapidly (Green et al., 2006b). Combined genetic and tandem mass spectrometry studies have demonstrated that the levels of PACAP clearance is significantly lower in mice deficient for the DPP-IV gene, due to abolished enzymatic activity at the N-terminal portion of the peptide (Zhu et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2015). In support of these findings, a research group has shown that the administration of DPP-IV inhibitors in mice improved the insulinotropic effects of PACAP and improved their long-term glycemic profile (Ahrén and Hughes, 2005). Taken together, these findings suggest that the effects of DPP-IV inhibitors extend circulating PACAP half-life, hence its peripheral and central nervous system (CNS) bioavailability (Yada et al., 2000; Ahrén and Hughes, 2005; Omar and Ahrén, 2014).

Glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) is an...
by preventing the increase of ICAM-1 protein (intercellular adhesion molecule-1, an endothelial adhesion molecule commonly induced in diabetes) and by reducing the expression of the pro-apoptotic BAX gene when intravitreally injected (Zhang et al., 2011). Additional studies investigating the role of DPP-IV inhibition in the context of immune regulation have strongly supported its anti-inflammatory function. Shirakawa and colleagues reported that DPP-IV inhibitors modulate immune cells activation in the adipose tissue (Shirakawa et al., 2011), while others suggested that blockade of DPP-IV activity may significantly reduce the expression of the macrophage marker F4/80 and their activation (Klein et al., 2014). These results confirm that blockade of DPP-IV may have direct immunosuppressive effects, providing interesting insights for the future therapeutic development of treatments neurological conditions with recognizable immune-related dysfunctions.

Indirect effects

GLP-1 and GLP-2 are two main targets of DPP-IV. Both molecules are abundant in the brain, along with their related receptors GLP-1R and GLP-2R (Lovshin et al., 2001). Upon inhibition of DPP-IV, GLP-1 and -2 are spared from enzymatic cleavage and therefore can exert their biological functions. Active GLP-1 and GLP-2, bind to the G-protein coupled receptor GLP-1R to increase intracellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP). This leads to activation of protein kinase A (PKA), which phosphorylates and activates the PI3K-Akt and MAPK pathways, two downstream signaling cascades involved in promoting protein synthesis, axonal growth, mitochondrial function, inhibition of apoptosis and attenuation of the neuroinflammatory response (Baggio and Drucker, 2007; Flock et al., 2007). To date, GLP-1 neurotrophic and anti-apoptotic activities have been demonstrated in different neuronal cell lineages. GLP-1 facilitates differentiation and induces neurite outgrowth in PC12 neuronal cells, and protects rat hippocampal neurons from apoptosis (Bru Baker and Drucker, 2004). These findings have led to the idea that GLP-1 might be useful for the treatment of Alzheimer’s and other neurodegenerative diseases (Bru Baker and Drucker, 2004; Li et al., 2010). In line with GLP-1 studies, researchers have found that GLP-2, (another target of DPP-IV), is also capable to protect the CNS from excitotoxic insults. GLP-2 stimulates the proliferation of rat astrocytes in vitro (Velázquez et al., 2003) and reduces the extent of glutamate-induced cytotoxicity in cultured murine hippocampal cells (Lovshin et al., 2004), supporting an additional role of the DPP-IV inhibition in boosting GLP-2 protective activity.

In mice deficient for the GLP-1R, a study has demonstrated that these animals exhibit learning deficits and enhanced susceptibility to neural injury following kainate administration (During et al., 2003). GLP-1R agonist administration to wild-type but not to knockout animals prevented kainate-induced apoptosis, suggesting that direct targeting of GLP-1R or the use of drugs aimed at extending GLP-1 half-life could indeed be effective for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases (During et al., 2003; Bae, 2016).

Further, some evidences propose that the effects of DPP-IV inhibition on the GLP-1/GLP-1R signalling cascade could also be beneficial in improving late complications of
diabetes in animal models, including peripheral neuropathy (Jin et al., 2009). In uncontrolled diabetic patients, DPP-IV inhibition by sitagliptin reduced the levels of C-reactive proteins in the blood of diabetic patients, and significantly increased the amount of circulating CD34+ cells (i.e., a marker of endothelial progenitor cells), suggesting an additional ameliorative role in the vascular compartment (Matsubara et al., 2013; Nakamura et al., 2014).

**DPP-IV Inhibition in Neurological Disorders**

Since the discovery of neurotrophic and immune regulating functions of DPP-IV inhibitors in the CNS, more and more interest has been given to this class of drugs for the management of neuroinflammatory/neurodegenerative disorders; evidence from basic and pre-clinical research has now demonstrated that this has become more than just a forthright association. Below we will discuss current experimental evidences in support of the use of DPP-IV inhibitors in the context of the major chronic progressive neurodegenerative conditions where overt signs of neuroinflammation have been reported, such as Parkinson’s disease (PD), Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and multiple sclerosis (MS).

**DPP-IV inhibition in PD**

PD is a multifactorial neurodegenerative disease considered to be the result of both environmental and genetic factors (Trinh and Farrer, 2013). Despite the efforts, to date the exact pathogenesis of PD is not completely understood. The main pathological features of PD are the massive cell loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc) (Davie, 2008) and the presence of Lewy bodies (accumulations of the protein alpha-synuclein) in the surrounding neurons. By the time neuronal loss becomes obvious, astrocytes’ death and signs of chronic microgliosis are already established (Kim et al., 2013), suggesting that inflammation might precede or even initiate the condition.

Molecular genetics and cell biology have identified links between PD and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). A number of discoveries have highlighted the existence of common cellular pathways that correlate neurodegenerative processes with abnormal mitochondrial function and abnormal glucose metabolism. In this context, the relationship between DPP-IV inhibitors and PD has proven to be mostly indirect, but has significantly contributed to provide the scientific bases for the discovery of a new synthetic analogues of GLP-1. One such analogue, known as exenatide, exhibits potent GLP-1R agonist activities, and available data seems to point to both its robust anti-inflammatory functions (Huang et al., 2012; Gullo et al., 2017) and its role in stimulating neurogenesis (Hunter and Holscher, 2012; Gumsalu et al., 2016). Moreover, a very recent clinical trial testing exenatide in PD patients has proven to be promising, with significant improvements in motor scores, which were sustained beyond the period of drug exposure (Atahuda et al., 2017).

A growing number of studies show that GLP-1 mimetics can act as a neurotrophic factors (Perry et al., 2002), enhance mitochondrial biogenesis (An et al., 2015), inhibit apoptosis (Li et al., 2016), reduce the inflammatory response and oxidative stress (Jalewa et al., 2016), all elements that have contributed to establish the beneficial properties of GLP-1 agonists across a range of experimental models of PD (Atahuda and Foltynie, 2016). In fact, due to the number of cellular processes GLP-1R modulates, it is perhaps not unsurprising that GLP-1 stimulation can rescue functions that become disrupted in PD (Baggio and Drucker, 2007).

Recently, a population-based case-control study found a significantly reduced incidence of PD among individuals with a record of DPP-IV use. Svenningsson and colleagues conducted a nationwide case-control study in a Swedish cohort receiving DPP-IV inhibitors-based therapy. The authors suggested the beneficial effects might not only be a consequence of the increase in GLP-1 → GLP-1R interaction, but also to the positive contribution provided by other enzymatic substrates spared from DPP-IV cleavage, such as PACAP, substance P, neuropeptide Y and gastrin-releasing peptide (Matteucci and Giampietro, 2015), as well as their inhibitory activities on T cells proliferation and cytokine release (Yazbeck et al., 2009; Svenningsson et al., 2016).

In a rotenone environmental model of PD, rats receiving pre-treatment with a DPP-IV inhibitor (vildagliptin) for a week exhibited resilience to dopaminergic cell loss in the SNpc and striatal terminals and increased dopamine synthesis compared to the untreated controls (Abdelsalam and Safar, 2015). Interestingly, similar results were described by Nassar and co-workers using another DPP-IV inhibitor (saxagliptin) (Nassar et al., 2015). These authors also found that saxagliptin treatment decreased the rotenone-induced nuclear factor-κB, inducible nitric oxide synthase, tumor necrosis factor-α, ICAM-1 and myeloperoxidase levels, all signatures of ongoing inflammation. The antiapoptotic marker B-cell lymphoma-2 and brain derived neurotrophic factor levels were both enhanced by saxagliptin and reductions in caspase-3 and its intrinsic apoptotic activator cytochrome C were also observed (Nassar et al., 2015). In conclusion, there is ample data to support the idea that DPP-IV inhibitors and GLP-1 mimetics might exert beneficial roles in PD (summarized in Table 1), although more insights on the specific modes of action and targeted substrates are needed to address the safety profile of these drugs in PD and/or for the identification of unwanted off-targets. A good idea could be to test the most promising DPP-IV blocking compounds/GLP-1 agonists in additional well-established animal models of PD, in order to verify their exact impact on disease progression in the context of the complex pathological domains activated by this devastating disease.

**DPP-IV inhibition in AD**

AD is a chronic neurodegenerative disease characterised by a slow and relentless pathological progression and is recognised as the cause of 60% to 70% of the total cases of dementia (Melnikova, 2007). The exact causes of AD are not fully established, and beside the several attempts to provide a viable explanation for the pivotal pathophysiological mechanisms leading to AD, the amyloid hypothesis remains the most accredited. But what initiates the amyloidogenic cascade? As reported by Heneka and collaborators, aberrant immune activation in the brain of AD patients might play an important role (Heneka et al., 2015), despite there is no sufficient evidence to claim whether immune activation is the real initiator or it is secondary to...
Table 1 Summary of recent literature addressing the beneficial effects of DPP-IV inhibitors/GLP-1 mimetics in PD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Drug tested</th>
<th>Type of study/model</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Svenningsson et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Reduced incidence of Parkinson’s disease after dipeptidyl peptide-4 inhibitors-A nationwide case-control study</td>
<td>Different DPP-IV inhibitors (sitagliptin, vildagliptin, &amp; saxagliptin inhibitor)</td>
<td>Nationwide population-based case-control study in people with an history of DPP-IV inhibitors intake</td>
<td>↓ Incident of PD in people with a record of DPP-IV inhibitors intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Neuroprotective effects of lixisenatide and liraglutide in the 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine mouse model of Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>Lixisenatide &amp; liraglutide (GLP-1 mimetics)</td>
<td>MPTP mouse model of PD</td>
<td>↑ Motor performance, ↓ Oxidative stress, ↓ Apoptosis, ↓ DA cell survival, ↑ Motor performance, ↑ DA cell survival</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DPP-IV: Dipeptidyl peptidase IV; GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1; PD: Parkinson’s disease; MPTP: methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine; DA: dopamin; RAGE: receptor for advanced glycation end product; NFkappaB: nuclear factor kappab; Nrf2: nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2.
circuiting levels of insulin or glucose (Benedict et al., 2004; Hanson and Frey, 2008). Intranasal insulin also enhances verbal memory in memory-impaired subjects (Dhamoon et al., 2008) and improves cognitive performance in patients with early AD (Craft et al., 2012). All these evidences support the employment of new therapies aimed at increasing the insulin pathway in AD. Alternatively, it is conceivable that novel therapeutic options for AD will arise from efforts aimed at unravelling mechanisms accounting for brain insulin resistance, including the DPP-IV pathway.

**Table 2 Summary of recent literature addressing the beneficial effects of DPP-IV inhibitors/GLP-1 mimetics in AD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Drug tested</th>
<th>Type of study/model</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isik et al. (2017)</td>
<td>The effects of sitagliptin, a DPP-4 inhibitor, on cognitive functions in elderly diabetic patients with or without Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Sitagliptin (DPP-IV inhibitor)</td>
<td>Prospective &amp; observational human study (205 patients, 52 with AD)</td>
<td>↑ Cognitive function regardless of AD pathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosaraju et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Linagliptin, a dipeptidyl peptide-4 inhibitor, mitigates cognitive deficits and pathology in the 3xTg-AD mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Linagliptin (DPP-IV inhibitor)</td>
<td>3xTg-AD mice</td>
<td>↓ Need for insulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gejl et al. (2016)</td>
<td>In Alzheimer’s disease, 6-month treatment with GLP-1 analog prevents decline of brain glucose metabolism: randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial</td>
<td>Linagliptide (GLP-1 mimetic)</td>
<td>Human clinical trial (randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind intervention) (NCT01469351)</td>
<td>↓ Cognitive decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Subcutaneous administration of liroglutide ameliorates Alzheimer-associated tau hyperphosphorylation in rats with type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>Linagliptide (GLP-1 mimetic)</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetic rats showing tau protein hyperphosphorylation</td>
<td>↓ Insulin signalling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Amico et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Long-term inhibition of dipeptidyl peptide-4 in Alzheimer’s prone mice</td>
<td>Sitagliptin (DPP-IV inhibitor)</td>
<td>Double transgenic B6*Cg-Tg(APPsw,PSEN1dE9)85Dbo/AD-prone mice</td>
<td>↓ Peripheral insulin resistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3 Summary of recent literature addressing the beneficial effects of DPP-IV inhibitors/GLP-1 mimetics in MS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Drug tested</th>
<th>Type of study/model</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DellaValle et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Glucagon-like peptide-1 analog, liroglutide, delays onset of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in Lewis rats</td>
<td>Liraglutide (GLP-1 mimetic)</td>
<td>EAE model of MS in Wistar rats</td>
<td>↓ Disease severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Metformin ameliorates the development of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by regulating T helper 17 and regulatory T cells in mice</td>
<td>Metformin (DPP-IV inhibitor)</td>
<td>EAE model of MS in C57BL/6 mice</td>
<td>↓ Treg cell percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preller et al. (2007)</td>
<td>TGF-beta1-mediated control of central nervous system inflammation and autoimmune through the inhibitory receptor CD26</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>EAE in DPP-IV mice</td>
<td>↓ T cell immune reactivity to EAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinhold et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DP IV, CD26) and aminopeptidase N (APN, CD13) as regulators of T cell function and targets of immunotherapy in CNS inflammation</td>
<td>Combined treatment with DPP-IV &amp; aminopeptidase N inhibitors</td>
<td>In vitro (human peripheral blood mononuclear cells and isolated T cells) &amp; EAE mice</td>
<td>↑ TGF-β1 secretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinhold et al. (2011)</td>
<td>PETIR-001, a dual inhibitor of dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DP IV) and aminopeptidase N (APN), ameliorates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in SJL/J mice</td>
<td>PETIR-001, a dual DPP-IV &amp; aminopeptidase N inhibitor</td>
<td>EAE model of MS in SJL/J mice</td>
<td>↓ Clinical signs of MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinbrecher et al. (2001)</td>
<td>Targeting dipeptidyl peptidase IV (CD26) suppresses autoimmune encephalomyelitis and up-regulates TGF-beta 1 secretion</td>
<td>Lys[Z(NO(2))]-pyrrolidide (reversible DPP-IV inhibitor)</td>
<td>EAE model of MS in C57BL/6 mice</td>
<td>↑ TGF-β1 secretion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DPP-IV: Dipeptidyl peptidase IV; GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1; AD: Alzheimer’s disease; 3xTg-AD: a triple transgenic (Tg) animal model of AD; Aβ: amyloid beta.**
dination and balance (ataxia); problems with speech or swallowing, visual problems (nystagmus, optic neuritis or double vision), fatigue, acute or chronic pain, and bladder and bowel difficulties (Pittock and Luchinetti, 2007). The pathological mechanisms seems to be mainly mediated by Th1/Th17 cells, where self-reactive effector T cells induce demyelination of the brain and spinal cord white matter axons, with subsequent neuronal degeneration and cell loss (Table 3).

DPP-IV has attracted major interest as a potential target for the development of anti-inflammatory therapies in MS based on findings showing the co-localisation of DPP-IV with myelin-reactive T cell lines in these patients (Reinhold et al., 1998, 2008, 2009). A study has suggested that T cells exhibit higher levels of DPP-IV/IX compared with peripheral blood cells in mice with experimental autoimmune encephalopathy (EAE) (Reinhold et al., 2006; Yazbeck et al., 2009). Reinhold and co-workers subsequently observed that DPP-IV inhibitors stimulated T-cell clones, inhibited the production of IL-4, interferon (IFN)-γ and tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α and caused a reduction in pro-inflammatory cytokines production in mice with EAE, along with an increase in the levels of the immune suppressive transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1) and reduced T-cell proliferation. Additional findings revealed that the combined inhibition of DPP-IV and aminopeptidase N (another enzyme exhibiting proteolytic activity) activity in vivo could suppress the inflammatory response associated with MS. The authors suggested that the achieved inhibition on pathogenic T cells could represent a novel and efficient remedy to manage the aberrant activation of the innate and adaptive immune system present in autoimmune diseases of the CNS, possibly through a mechanism involving TGF-β1 (Reinhold et al., 2008). However, the findings about TGF-β1 role remain somewhat controversial. In fact, another group has demonstrated that in actively induced EAE, there is strong expression of TGF-β1 in meningeal and perivascular mononuclear infiltrates at the onset of the disease, long lasting continued TGF-β1 in mononuclear cells at maximal disease severity, and expression in scattered parenchymal cells during recovery (Dobolyi et al., 2012). Nevertheless, it is important to notice that these data have been gathered from cellular and animal models of MS, which may be suitable to study specific features of the disease but, at the same time, may lack to reproduce with fidelity all of the pathogenic features of the disease. Further studies are warranted in order to gain a better understanding of the exact mechanisms underlying TGF-β1, which will hopefully shed more light into the protective roles of DPP-IV inhibitors in counteracting MS progression.

“Berberine” – An Herbal Extract with DPP-IV Inhibiting Properties

The implementation of medicinal plants and plant extracts to traditional medicine has been practiced for centuries in parallel to modern Western medicine. Among more than 300,000 seed plants, about 60% have been utilized for therapeutic interventions (Jiao et al., 2011), particularly in South America (Cercato et al., 2015), Africa (Olivier et al., 2015), and Asia (Lü et al., 2015). More recently, numerous phytochemicals have been valued for their ability to improve symptoms triggered by chronic metabolic conditions like type 1 and T2DM, as well as neurodegenerative diseases. Among these, extracts from plants such as Pterocarpus marsupium, Eugenia jambolana, Helichrysum stoechas and Rheum palmatum have collectively demonstrated positive effects in pathologies of the CNS, which were in part associated with their moderate DPP-IV inhibitory activity (Kosaraju et al., 2014; Les et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). However, one extract in particular, known as berberine, has revealed robust neuroprotective, anti-apoptotic, anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative properties in various animal models of CNS disorders such as AD, PD, stroke, and even depression or anxiety (Kulkarni and Dhir, 2008; Ahmed et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2016; Maleki et al., 2017). Berberine is an isoquinoline alkaloid, present in roots and stem-bark of Berberis-species and other plants. It is recognised for its insulinotropic effects, but also for its anti-inflammatory roles and ability to regulate T cell functions, possibly as a result of its potent DPP-IV inhibitory activities (Li and Hölsher, 2007; Durairajan et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2015; Imenshahidi and Hosseinzadeh, 2016; Kharkar, 2016; Liu et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017). The alkaloid mimics the bioactivity of insulin and naturally preserves GLP-1 degradation (Singh and Mahajan, 2013; Kharkar, 2016). Berberis extracts have shown to inhibit acetylcholinesterase activity, increase the amyloid precursor protein processing towards the non-amyloidogenic pathway and increase Aβ clearance in animal and in vitro models of AD, hence corroborating the possible therapeutic role in AD pathology (Abd El-Wahab et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Recently, a study has also demonstrated that berberine suppresses demyelination and loss of neurophysiological function in the EAE model of MS, likely through a mechanism involving the sphingosine kinase 1/sphingosine 1 phosphate pathway (Luo et al., 2017). Interestingly, there has been previous evidence indicating that berberine administration elicited beneficial effects in the EAE model, but these were in part attributed to its ability to preserve blood brain barrier integrity (Ma et al., 2010). Additional studies are required to clarify the exact mechanism of action exerted by berberine in MS and other autoimmune diseases.

At present, a major hurdle that has strongly limited the use of this extract in humans is the poor bioavailability (Liu et al., 2016). Several studies have shown that human plasma levels after oral administration of relatively high doses of berberine barely reach the therapeutic window, whilst potentially triggering adverse gastrointestinal effects (Zhang et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2012). In the attempt to address the issue, studies investigating alternative routes of administration through the use of spray dried mucoadhesive microparticle formulations (Godugu et al., 2014), delivery using liposomal technology (Liu et al., 2016) or development of solubility enhancers and permeation enhancers are still underway.

Concluding Remarks

Antidiabetic treatments such as DPP-IV inhibitors or GLP-1 agonists have shown promise in the treatment of PD, AD, MS and cognitive impairment in animals and humans. Notably, the study of the pathophysiology of neurodegeneration shared between diabetes and certain neurological disorders has given rise to a better understanding of disease pathogenesis and has opened new treatment possibilities. A common denominator
that has been found for these neurological diseases seems to be neuroinflammation, which regardless of whether or not it represents the initiating event of the pathological cascades leading to disease appearance, it represents a viable option for the development of therapies. DPP-IV inhibitors like linagliptin, saxagliptin and sitagliptin have been thoroughly investigated in vitro and in pre-clinical models of neurological disorders. Whilst more investigations are still warranted, these drugs exhibit a good safety profile in rodents and produced remarkable improvements through the antioxidant, antiapoptotic, neuroprotective, neurorestorative and especially, anti-inflammatory mechanisms. As such, DPP-IV inhibitors could be potentially introduced as a novel approaches for the management of neurological conditions such as PD, AD and MS, among others.

Unfortunately, no human clinical trials using DPP-IV inhibitors in PD, AD and/or MS have yet been undertaken. One of the strongest limitations before stepping forward to human studies is the dose regimen used in pre-clinical studies, which seems to be 10–20 times higher than the recommended one for the treatment of T2DM. As for berberine, most DPP-IV inhibitors display limited penetration of the blood brain barrier (Athauda and Foltynie, 2016), so new strategies are warranted before this class of oral hypoglycemic agents can be repurposed for the treatment of neurological disorders.
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