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Preface

This PhD research began in 2011 at a time when I owned the Apple iPhone 3G, a mobile computing device that by this time was already obsolete. As this infers, I was late to the iPhone trend, and have also been a reluctant adopter of several technology-driven trends of the previous decade, including having staunchly refused to join Facebook, LinkedIn, and only reluctantly creating Twitter and Instagram accounts initially as part of my teaching commitments and research. Nonetheless, the rapid and intense popularity of personal, portable, mobile computing, and new media activities, as well as the behavioural, social, and cultural practices they engender has intrigued me. Despite such issues being regularly discussed in the context of paradigmatic change in and for the built environment, they remain peripheral concerns for many of the built environment disciplines, and particularly in my home discipline of architecture.

These observations led to teaching in several research-led architectural design studios. An early focus explored the opportunities for applying emerging digital technologies in public transport environments as documented in two book publications *Infostructure: A transport research project* (Gardner et al. 2010) and *Interchanging: Future designs for responsive transport environments* (Gardner et al. 2014). More recently, this has extended to the design and coordination of the Bachelor of Computational Design subjects such as *Ubiquitous Cities* in which built environment design approaches are brought together with interaction design technologies and thinking, which is outlined in the recent paper “SMLXL: Thinking between scales and designing with data for ‘smarter’ cities” (Gardner and Hespanhol 2016). Accordingly, this research explores how disciplinary approaches and conceptual frameworks have informed various technourban imaginaries including those that occlude mobile technology practices and those that centralise and thus elevate them.

Exploring contemporary mobile digital technology as part of a research project has been challenging not only with respect to the wide range of disciplines that now attend to this topic, and thus the large volume of research produced, but moreover in the ways that mobile technologies and their associated practices have, and continue to, rapidly evolve. Equally, the scholarly publication cycle that connects to various themes around mobile technologies is fast-paced and prolific, providing the additional challenge of managing
ever-more potentially relevant information, theories, and perspectives. Significantly, the condition of digital information-overload is also a condition of everyday life. Somewhat ironically, but following the capitalist mode of creative destruction, digital information-overload that—in part—has been driven by the technological affordances of Web 2.0 and a shift towards a so-called participatory culture, breeds ever more demand for ways to filter, aggregate, and synthesise vast quantities of information. As Kim Arlington has recently reflected “[W]e use our smartphones to use our smartphones less, installing apps that monitor and limit our activity” (2016, p.20). In ways similar to this, the nature of researching and the shape of the research itself has become subject to the very conditions I explore.

During the course of this PhD I have had time away from this research to have our first baby. Since returning I have had to give consideration to a number of newly published works that attend to matters of mobile technology practices in relation to urban public space and spatial theorisation, such as Katherine Willis’ book Netspaces: Space and place in a networked world (2016), but also the edited publication Architecture and Interaction: Human Computer Interaction in Space and Place (Dalton et al. 2016) that, in ways similar to this thesis, addresses the significance of actual and potential overlaps of the built environment traditions and Human Computer Interaction (HCI). Equally, it has been necessary to take account of recent and relevant events connected to mobile technology practices in urban public space, such as the Pokémon Go phenomena. In this regard the theoretical approach of this thesis and the philosophically-orientated questions it asks have proved somewhat resilient to the changing nature of contemporary technology.

In 2017 as this thesis comes to a conclusion, the iPhone is now at release 7+ and will celebrate its 10-year anniversary. I am still—but only just—using an iPhone 5, but I know that ultimately resistance to an upgrade is futile.

---

1 The concept of ‘creative destruction’ describes the essential force of capitalism as one of continuous change, where recurrent economic cycles “…incessantly revolutionise the economic structure from within, incessantly destroying the old one, incessantly creating a new one” (Schumpeter quoted in Zukin 1991, p.4).
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Abstract

Since the mid-twentieth century, various architectural, urban, cultural, and computer science discourses have advanced the rhetoric that contemporary information and communications technologies (ICTs) will fundamentally transform the built and urban environment. More recently, communications and media studies, as well as computer science allied fields such as human computer interaction (HCI) and interaction design have directed significant attention to the urban contexts in which mobile information and communications technologies (mICT) are used, and on the so-called transformative practices of mobile ‘location-awareness’. These diverse fields, that simultaneously attend to the topics of urbanism, space, and technology, bring alternate perspectives, methods, and theories to bear on the notion of urban transformation. Yet equally, they also contribute to a growing body of discourse that situates mobile technology practices as a force of radical and positive urban transformation. This thesis argues that understanding and representing the impacts of mobile technology practices on the aesthetic, symbolic, and lived experience of urban public space is a contestable territory subject to a range of technical, socio-economic, and cultural variables that are difficult to account for from any singular disciplinary perspective.

Accordingly, this thesis adopts an interdisciplinary method that examines the selected discourse through the lens of liminal theory initially developed by anthropologist Victor Turner from observations of tribal ritual (1967, 1974a, 1974b, 1977a, 1977b, 1982, 1985)—a theory that has much to say on the concepts and processes of transformation. This constructs a unique critique of claims that mobile technology practices have transformed urban public space by unpacking and examining a number of underlying assumptions and ideals that connect to key conceptual frameworks as well as disciplinary biases. From this perspective, this thesis argues that while mobile technology practices have influenced urban conditions—in both a positive and negative sense—from social practices, and workplace organisation, to ways of moving, they can be alternately conceptualised as liminal triggers that invoke ambivalent representations of urban public space over its radical transformation.

The discourse examined in this thesis points to a significant investment in research that attends to the interrelationships between emerging digital technologies and the built
environment in the social, cultural, and computer sciences, whereas limited engagement from the architectural discipline. As a contribution to interdisciplinary thinking the value of this thesis to the architectural discipline lies in its presentation and critique of these alternate disciplinary perspectives that have ‘made visible’ the often-abstract impacts of mobile technology practices on and within urban public space. With an eye to the current technourban imaginary and policy vehicle of the smart city, this thesis contends that from this more informed position the architectural discipline can offer much-needed critique on the relationships between emerging technologies and the built environment. The corollary of engaging and adapting a liminal theoretical gaze here is the problematisation of liminal space itself, and a further contribution to its history and methodological range.
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