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Abstract

**Objective:** To consider the potential of self-help relaxation training to treat depression after stroke.

**Design:** Randomised controlled trial with cross-over at 3 months.

**Setting:** Community.

**Participants:** Twenty-one people with stroke, aged 49 to 82 years.

**Intervention:** Autogenic relaxation CD.

**Main measure:** Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale - Depression sub-scale (HADS-D).

**Results:** No difference in depression change scores was identified between the treatment and control groups, however on two follow ups significant positive differences relative to screening were found for the treatment group (at 2 months $Z = -2.55, p = .011$ and 12 months $Z = -2.44, p = .015$). A partial $\eta^2 = .07$ was identified.

**Conclusions:** Self-help relaxation holds promise as a self-help treatment for depression after stroke. Findings from this trial, considered with others of relaxation for depression, suggests a study including a total of 80 participants would likely be sufficient to establish efficacy of the treatment, relative to controls, in those with stroke.
A pilot randomised controlled trial of self-help relaxation to reduce post-stroke depression

Depression is common after stroke, with a point prevalence rate of 31%,¹ and a cumulative incidence over 15 years of 55%.² Aside from the distress of the condition itself, depression after stroke is associated with reduced functional ability and increased mortality.³

The evidence for effective psychological interventions for established post-stroke depression is limited,⁴ although one recent study did find behavioural activation promising.⁵ However the treatment required one to one therapist contacts and on this basis is costly. An inexpensive alternative treatment may be relaxation training, which has been effective in a large number of studies.⁶

We recently conducted a study that demonstrated the potential effectiveness of relaxation to treat anxiety post-stroke via a self-help format.⁷,⁸ The use of relaxation CDs at home with minimal telephone support appeared feasible and acceptable to deliver, few participants dropped out and the intervention was of low cost. This is a secondary analysis of the data, focussed on recorded depressive symptoms, undertaken
to provide information on effect size to allow a power calculation for a definitive treatment study.

**Methods**

Ethical approval was granted by the Faculty of Arts and Human Sciences at the University of Surrey, UK (Reference no: 759-PSY-12 RS).

The method of this study is described in detail elsewhere.⁷,⁸ Essentially respondents to an advert inviting stroke survivors experiencing anxiety to participate in the trial were screened. Screening was by telephone and included assessments of mood (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale⁹) and cognitive ability (Telephone Interview of Cognitive Status¹⁰). Participation was determined on the basis of an above cut-off score for anxiety of ≥6 on the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Anxiety subscale and a score ≥20 on the Telephone Interview of Cognitive Status. The latter was to ensure participants did not have significant cognitive impairment.

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale is a 14 item self-report scale divided into two 7 item subscales; anxiety and depression. For this study scores on the depression sub-scale were used.
After screening participants were randomised to the intervention or control condition. The intervention group received a self-help autogenic relaxation CD.\textsuperscript{11} They were asked to practice the relaxation five times weekly for one month. They were provided with a diary to record the frequency of their practice. Control group participants received the CD and the same instructions three months later.

The autogenic relaxation CD asks participants to focus on their body and experience it in ways such as “heavy”, “warm” or “refreshed”. The script states for instance “Focus your passive attention on whichever of your arms is most active and repeat the following ‘My arm is heavy. My entire arm and hand and fingers are very heavy and limp and relaxed’”\textsuperscript{11}.

All participants completed the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale immediately prior to the intervention group receiving the CD (pre-intervention), one month later (post-intervention) and at two month and three month follow-ups. Controls received the intervention after the three month follow-up of the intervention group. Final follow up for both groups was approximately one year after completing the intervention. All assessments were conducted by telephone by the same researcher. Two participants in the intervention arm and three in the control arm had incomplete data at follow-up and
we conservativelty imputed their scores using their last observation carried forward (LOCF).

Mann-Whitney U independent samples tests were used to consider whether there were differences between the groups with respect to change in depression from baseline. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Tests were conducted to identify any changes in depression scores from screening to follow-up. To estimate a likely effect size for future power calculations a mixed measures ANOVA comparing screening to follow-up at 3 months was conducted. Data analyses were carried out using SPSS Version 20.0.

Results

Figure 1 shows details of recruitment and follow-up. Baseline demographic data are in Table 1. Table 2 shows the scores on the depression subscale of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

Figure 1 about here
Table 1 about here
Table 2 about here

Analysis of the change in depression scores failed to indicate any differences between the intervention and control groups, however the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test did identify significant positive changes between screening and follow-up at 2 ($Z = -2.55, p$
= .011, r = .57) and 12 months (Z = -2.44, p = .015, r = .55) for the intervention group. The mixed measures ANOVA yielded a partial $\eta^2 = .07$. This suggests a sufficiently powered study to identify an effect of relaxation training on depression would require a sample of 30 participants, 15 per study arm, to achieve a power of 0.8 at $\alpha = .05$.

Discussion

This pilot study provides preliminary information on which to base a full scale trial of self-help autogenic relaxation training for depression after stroke. While change in depression scores did not differ between intervention and controls this is hardly surprising given participants were selected on the basis of anxiety, not depression scores, meaning that the sample was not of those ‘high’ in depression, i.e., those having high potential for change. In addition, these findings are also likely due to considerable within-group variability.

The required sample size indicated by the size of change detected is low (15 participants per trial arm) considering the usual effect size (SMD -0.59, 95% CI -0.94 to -0.24) from research on relaxation for depression. A conservative estimate would be 37 participants per group in a two arm trial ($\alpha$ err prob = 0.05, Power (1-\beta err prob) = 0.8). On this basis a future study with 80 participants in total would more than comfortably have adequate power.
It is important to emphasise that it is not only the depression change scores identified that forms the basis for recommending a further study. The wider context is the sound evidence for utility in persons without stroke\(^6\) and in this same sample, that the use of CDs at home with minimal telephone support was acceptable to participants, had few drop outs and was established as relatively low cost.\(^7\)

The major limitation of this pilot study is that participation was determined based on anxiety scores. It remains a question whether those with greater depression would be as likely to enrol in a self-help programme as those with anxiety. Certainly depression can be characterised by lack of motivation, although evidence from other self-help interventions for depression in non-stroke populations is positive.\(^{12}\)

It is also important to recognise that the participants in this study had intact language ability and minimal cognitive deficits. Significant communication disorder and cognitive impairment is common after stroke.\(^{13}\) It is conceivable both these complications might interfere with a stroke survivors ability to take up a self-help intervention like relaxation training.
An appropriately powered trial would allow definitive statements to be made about the usefulness of autogenic relaxation training in treating post-stroke depression in those without significant cognitive or communication disorder. Depending on findings from such a trial, investigating the usefulness of the intervention with the wider stroke population in terms of disability and location (e.g., ward-based versus community) may be indicated.

**Clinical Messages**

- An adequately powered trial of an autogenic, relaxation CD as treatment for symptomatic depression after stroke would need to recruit 80 patients in total.
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Figure 1. Flow diagram showing the procedure of allocation, follow up and analysis.

Assessed for eligibility (n=24)

Excluded (n=3)
- Not meeting inclusion criteria (n=3)
- Declined to participate (n=0)
- Other reasons (n=0)

Randomized (n=21)

Allocated to intervention (n=11)
- Received allocated intervention (n=11)
- Did not receive allocated intervention (n=0)

Allocated to waiting list control (n=10)
- Received allocated intervention after three months (n=10)
- Did not receive allocated intervention (n=0)

Lost to follow-up (one, two and three months n=0; twelve months n=1)
Discontinued intervention (withdrawn before 1 month follow up n=1; withdrew after 1 month follow up n=1)

Follow-Up

Lost to follow-up (one, two and three months n=0; twelve months n=2)
Discontinued intervention (n=0)

Analysed (one month n =10; two and three months n=9; twelve months n=8)
Excluded from analysis (n=0)

Analysis

Analysed (one, two and three months n=10; twelve months n=7)
Excluded from analysis (n=0)
Table 1: Participant characteristics at screening, by group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intervention ($n = 10$)</th>
<th>Control ($n = 10$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>6 males, 4 females</td>
<td>5 males, 5 females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>7.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since stroke (months)</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td>101.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS-A</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS-D</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TICS</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>3.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current use of psychiatric medication</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of psychological therapy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HADS-A: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Anxiety Subscale; HADS-D: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Depression Subscale; TICS: Telephone Interview of Cognitive Status
Table 2: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Depression sub-scale scores at pre-intervention, post-intervention (one month) and follow-up by group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intervention (n= 10)</th>
<th>Waiting list control (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-intervention</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Month post-intervention</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Months post-intervention</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Months post-intervention</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months follow up – *all treated</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Caseness = Clinical levels of depression, HADS-D score $\geq 9$