

**ROLE OF ECONOMIC DIVERSIFICATION
IN SUSTAINABLE WATER MANAGEMENT:
A SOCIO-HYDROLOGICAL ANALYSIS**

Mahendran Roobavannan

**A Thesis submitted in fulfillment for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**



**School of Civil and Environmental Engineering,
Faculty of engineering and information technology,
University of technology Sydney,
New south wales, Australia,
August 2017**

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for any degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledge within the text. I also certify that this report has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the report itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

.....

Mahendran Roobavannan

August 2017.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I like to thank the people who guided me and supported throughout this academic research. It was not possible to complete this without their help. First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor, Associate Professor Jaya Kandasamy at UTS for his supervision, continuous guidance, encouragement, support and valuable advice. I would also like to thank Professor Murugesu Sivapalan at the University of Illinois, Urbana Champaign for his continuous guidance, encouragement, and advice. I am also very much thankful to Assistant Professor Saket Pande at Delft University of Technology for his tremendous support, guidance, and motivation. As well, I thank Professor Saravanamuthu Vigneswaran at UTS for his support and guidance. All of these great teachers influenced me in my academic and personal life through sharing their valuable time and ideas, and providing thoughtful and critical comments. It would never have been possible without their contributions.

I am very thankful to Dr. Matthew Gaston, system administrator at ARCLab, and Mr. Michael Lake, Research Computing Officer, at UTS eResearch HPCC for providing great support for this research by making high performing computers available to me. I also like to thank Dr. Prabu Sivapalan for helping me in the initial stages of my work. I also appreciate the help given by and discussions with Dr. Amit Chanan, Dr. Nandakumar, Mr. Gurmeet Singh at NSW State Water Corporation. I am also thankful for the academic and technical support of the University of Technology Sydney and its staff. Especially, I cannot forget the support given by UTS International, GRS and School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, FEIT at UTS. I appreciate the support of my friends Danious Pratheep, Kalaruban, and Kireesan at CTWW, friends from SESYNC and all my friends in Sydney. It was always fun being with you guys.

Any of my achievements are the result of dreams and sacrifices made by my parents, and my lovely sister Dushande, who sacrificed her education for me. I would not have been where I am today if it was not for my family. I would like to thank my wife for her support in the latter stages of my PhD. Finally, I want to express my gratitude to the UTS and Australian Federal government for the financial support it offered through a scholarship that allowed me to undertake this research.

DEDICATION

To my lovely parents

Mahendran & Thanaluxmy

RESEARCH OUTCOMES

Journal publications.

- Roobavannan, M., Kandasamy, J., Pande, S., Vigneswaran, S., Sivapalan, M. 2017. Allocating environmental water and impact on basin unemployment: Role of a diversified economy. *Ecological Economics*, 136, 178-188, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.02.006>.
- Roobavannan, M., Kandasamy, J., Pande, S., Vigneswaran, S., Sivapalan, M., 2017b. Role of Sectoral Transformation in the Evolution of Water Management Norms in Agricultural Catchments: A Socio-hydrologic Modeling Analysis. *Water Resour. Res.* 1–22. doi:10.1002/2017WR020671
- Roobavannan, M., van Emmerik, T. H. M., Elshafei, Y. , Kandasamy, J., Sanderson, M., Vigneswaran, S., Pande, S., Sivapalan, M., norms and values in socio-hydrological models, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-432>.
- Roobavannan, M., Kandasamy, J., Pande, S., Vigneswaran, S., Sivapalan, M., Sustainability of socio-hydro system with changing value and preference to an uncertain future climate and economic conditions. (currently being prepared for submission to *Water Resource Research*)
- Levy, M. C., Garcia, M., Blair, P., Chen, X., Gomes, S. L., Gower, D. B., Grames, J., Kuil, L., Liu, Y., Marston, L., McCord, P. F., Roobavannan, M., and Zeng, R. (2016) Wicked but worth it: student perspectives on socio-hydrology, *Hydrol. Process.*, 30: 1467–1472. Doi: 10.1002/hyp.10791.

Conferences

- Mahendran Roobavannan, Jaya Kandasamy, Murugesu Sivapalan, Saravanamuthu Vigneswaran, A Socio-Hydrology Perspective of the Agriculture industry in the Murrumbidgee, Australia: The “Pendulum Swing” in Water Resource Management and finding a Sustainable balance, General Assembly, The International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics (IUGG), Prague, Czech Republic, July, 2015
- Mahendran Roobavannan, Jaya Kandasamy, Murugesu Sivapalan, Saravanamuthu Vigneswaran, Sustainability of socio-hydro system with changing value and preference to an uncertain future climate and economic conditions. General Assembly, European Geoscience Union (EGU), Vienna,

Austria, April, 2016.

- Mahendran Roobavannan, Jaya Kandasamy, Saket Pande, Saravanamuthu Vigneswaran, Murugesu Sivapalan, Role of Sectoral Transformation in Evolution of Water management in agricultural catchments: A Socio-hydrologic analysis. General Assembly, European Geoscience Union (EGU), Vienna, Austria, April, 2017.
- Tim van Emmerik, Mahendran Roobavannan, Jaya Kandasamy, Yasmina Elshafei, Saket Pande, Murugesu Sivapalan, Alternative socio-centric approach for model validation – a way forward for socio-hydrology, General Assembly, European Geoscience Union (EGU), Vienna, Austria, April 2017.
- Mahendran Roobavannan, Jaya Kandasamy, Saket Pande, Saravanamuthu Vigneswaran, Murugesu Sivapalan, Allocating environmental water and impact on basin unemployment: Role of a diversified economy, Joint meeting of Japan Geoscience Union and American Geophysical Union, Chiba, Japan, May 2017.
- Mahendran Roobavannan, Jaya Kandasamy, Saket Pande, Saravanamuthu Vigneswaran, Murugesu Sivapalan, Finding a Sustainable Balance in the Water Food Nexus – Socio-economic Transformation in an Agricultural Catchment, 10th World Congress on water resources and environment "Panta Rhei", European Water Resource Association, Athens, Greece, July 2017.
- Danielle Baker, Michael Blumenstein, Jinyan Li, Richard Beecham, Jon Sayer, Roshan Iyadurai, Shameek Gosh, Roobavannan Mahendran, Yi Zheng, Shoshana Fogelman, Christobel Ferguson, A novel data driven approach to ensuring water security, via deep analytics and data visualization, Ozwater17, Sydney, Australia, May, 2017.

Workshop attended

- Participated the Socio-hydrology workshop conducted at National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Center (SESYNC), Annapolis, Maryland, USA, August 4-7, 2014
- Participated the Socio-hydrology workshop conducted at National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Center (SESYNC), Annapolis, Maryland, USA, May 11-13, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
RESEARCH OUTCOMES	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
TABLE OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xix
NOMENCLATURE/ABBREVIATION	xx
ABSTRACT.....	xxii

1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Research background	1
1.2 Objectives of this study.....	3
1.3 Organization of the thesis	4
2. Literature review.....	6
2.1 Water security challenges	6
2.2 Scientific frameworks for water resources management and water security analysis	14
2.3 Emergence of socio-hydrology	18
2.4 Co-evolution of water and society	21
2.5 Theories underpinning co-evolution	22
2.5.1 Social learning and Adaptive management.....	22
2.5.2 Risk and resilience theory	29
2.6 Changing value and norms.....	30
2.6.1 Values and norms in socio-hydrology models.....	31
2.6.2 Values, beliefs and norms as dynamic variables	34
2.6.3 From place-based to generalized models: challenges and opportunities	44
2.7 Methodology for scientific investigation in socio-hydrology.....	46
2.7.1 Historical Socio-Hydrology	53
2.7.2 Comparative Socio-Hydrology	56

2.7.3	Process Socio-Hydrology.....	57
2.8	Socio-hydrological modeling.....	59
2.9	Difficulties in socio-hydrology studies.....	62
3.	Allocating environmental water and impact on basin unemployment: role of a diversified economy	65
3.1	Introduction.....	65
3.2	Data and methods.....	67
3.2.1	Study area.....	67
3.2.2	Brief history of development of Murrumbidgee Catchment.....	69
3.2.3	Data analysis	72
3.2.4	Modelling.....	72
3.3	Results of data analysis.....	81
3.3.1	Economic output, production and employment.....	84
3.3.2	Role of technology.....	84
3.3.3	Sectoral Transformation.....	88
3.3.4	Basin out-migration	90
3.4	Modelling results	91
3.4.1	Impact of migration.....	94
3.4.2	Impact of basin economic dependency on agriculture.....	96
3.5	Discussion and conclusions	99
4.	Role of Sectoral Transformation in the Evolution of Water Management Norms in Agricultural Catchments: A Socio-hydrologic Modeling Analysis	102
4.1	Introduction.....	102
4.2	Data and methodology	105
4.2.1	Model and parameterization	105
4.2.2	Feedback loops.....	106
4.2.3	Water Availability (Figure 4.1 sub-model a).....	107
4.2.4	Agriculture (Figure 4.1, sub-model b).....	108
4.2.5	Environment Health (Figure 4.1, sub-model c)	110
4.2.6	Sectoral Employment (Figure 4.1, sub-model d).....	111
4.2.7	Population (Figure 4.1, sub-model e)	113

4.2.8	Community Sensitivity and Response (CSR) (Figure 4.1, link-model f)	113
4.3	Model input data and setting	122
4.3.1	External Drivers	122
4.3.2	Coefficients, Parameters, Initial Data and Variables	124
4.3.3	Simulation period and time-steps.....	128
4.4	Model assumptions	128
4.5	Model calibration and validation	129
4.5.1	On the formulation of community sensitivity	129
4.5.2	Calibration and cross-validation	136
4.6	Model interpretation of coupled dynamics	142
4.6.1	Model interpretation of the unemployment and population dynamics	142
4.6.2	Model interpretation of Community Sensitivity	144
4.7	Role of sectoral transformation in changing community sensitivity and water reallocation.....	145
4.7.1	Recalibration without diversification.....	145
4.7.2	Sensitivity test.....	146
4.8	Discussion	149
4.9	Conclusions.....	150
5.	Sustainability of basin development under uncertain future climate and economic conditions: socio-hydrological analysis	154
5.1	Introduction.....	154
5.2	Methodology	157
5.2.1	Socio-hydrologic model.....	157
5.2.2	External Drivers	159
5.2.3	Analyses of the basin's sustainable development	165
5.2.4	Basin reliability analysis	165
5.3	Results.....	166
5.3.1	Stochastic external conditions.....	166
5.3.2	Basin development and its uncertain future climate and economy.....	169
5.3.3	Importance of economic diversification for sustainability.	181

5.3.4 Basin reliability analysis	185
5.4 Discussion and conclusion	186
6. Conclusions and recommendations	189
6.1 Conclusions	189
6.2 Recommendations for future studies	191
References	194

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Freshwater availability (m ³ per person per year, 2007) (adapted from WWAP, 2012).....	6
Figure 2.2: Global physical and economic water scarcity (adapted from WWAP, 2012)	9
Figure 2.3: (a) Characteristic scales of hydrological processes and (b) characteristic scales of institutional processes (adapted from Sivapalan and Blöschl, 2015).....	11
Figure 2.4 : Global Water Stress Indicator (WSI) in major basins (adapted from WWAP, 2012).....	15
Figure 2.5: Conceptual framework for social learning for resources management (adapted from Pahl-Wostl and Hare, 2004)	23
Figure 2.6: Sequence of learning cycles in the concept of triple-loop learning (adapted from Armitage et al., 2008).....	25
Figure 2.7: Conceptual model of a socio-ecological system. Numbered arrows show links: (1) Between resource and resource users; (2) Between users and public infrastructure providers; (3) Between public infrastructure providers and public infrastructure; (4) Between public infrastructure and resource; (5) Between public infrastructure and resource dynamics; (6) Between resource users and public infrastructure; (7) External forces on resource and infrastructure; (8) External forces on social actors (adapted from Anderies et al., 2004)	29
Figure 2.8: Socio-hydrology framework proposed by Sivapalan et al. (2014).....	32
Figure 2.9: Value belief norm (VBN) theory (adapted from Ives and Kendal 2014; Stern 2000). The green arrow is suggestive of a feedback from communal behavior to individual beliefs.....	35
Figure 2.10: Evolution of the societal value of water resources for economic development versus environmental sustainability in Australia since European settlement. The Y axis shows the ratio of societal value for environmental sustainability and the societal value for economic development.	39
Figure 2.11: Observed and modelled pendulum swing in the MRB during the period 1910–2013. Era 1 (1900-1980) Expansion of agriculture and associated infrastructure, Era 2 (1960-1990) Onset of environmental degradation, Era 3 (1990-2007) Establishment of widespread environmental degradation, Era 4 (2007-2014) Remediation and emergence of environmental customer. The eras	

correspond to phases in:- Elshafei et al (2015) Expansion (1911-1960), aggressive rate of expansion and active modification of water balance; Contraction (1960s), plateau in anthropogenic modification; Recession (1970-2002), cumulative negative impacts on economic and environmental well-being; Recovery and new equilibrium (2002-present), Adoption of remedial measures; and in Wei et al. (2017) Pre-development (1900s-1960s) Societal values dominated by economic development; Take-off (1963-1980) Societal values reflected increasing environmental awareness due to outbreak of pollution events; Acceleration (1981-2011) Growing shift in societal values towards favoring environmental sustainability.	41
Figure 2.12: Defining shifts and turning points in societal values.	43
Figure 2.13: The three sub-disciplines of socio-hydrology and the method of scientific inquiry. This demonstrates that the standard method of scientific inquiry can be implemented to the diversity of coupled human–water systems using the three different but complementary pathways of socio-hydrology.....	52
Figure 2.14: Proposed framework for socio-hydrological modelling: interactions and feedbacks between human and environmental systems leading to new (whole system) dynamics (adapted from Kandasamy et al., 2014).	54
Figure 2.15: The Taiji–Tire model applied for historical socio-hydrological analysis in TRB (adapted from Liu et al. 2014).....	55
Figure 3.1: Murrumbidgee Catchment within the Murray Darling Basin (adapted from: Kandasamy et al. 2014). The Murrumbidgee Irrigation Area incorporates the Yanco Irrigation Area, Mirrool Irrigation Area, Wah Wah Irrigation District, Benerembah Irrigation District, and Tabbita Irrigation District.	68
Figure 3.2: Ratio of annual flow at Balranald (downstream of irrigation area) to Wagga Wagga (upstream of irrigation area). It indicates the extensive water withdrawal for agriculture in the Murrumbidgee River basin (adapted from Kandasamy et al., 2014).....	70
Figure 3.3: Conceptual framework populated with variables, used to study emergent dynamics within the Murrumbidgee basin.	73
Figure 3.4: Illustration of changing labor demand with increased capital; (b) explains the increasing production with increasing capital and labors for given real wage. Solid line and dash line represent the capital of \$0.2 and \$0.4 (arbitrary value)	

respectively. θ , A_i is set to 0.4, 1.0 respectively. Increasing capital can stimulate labor demand in the industry sector.	75
Figure 3.5: Unit labor cost for farm and non-farm (industry) sectors (data from ABS, 2016a).....	77
Figure 3.6: Percentage of populations with higher education (Diploma or high).	78
Figure 3.7: Observed irrigated land area in Murrumbidgee (ABS, 2016b, 2016c); Dunlop, 2001; Hope and Wright, 2003; Meyer, 2005) and NSW state (ABS, 2016b, 2016c).The pattern of irrigated land area influenced employment in agriculture which subsequently translated across the basin economy.	79
Figure 3.8: (a) Labor employed in agriculture sector and basin unemployment rate of Murrumbidgee basin (ABS, 2014a). Arrows indicate the decline in employment in agriculture sector and unemployment rate; (b) Australian gross domestic product per capita (GDPc) and median household income (MHI) of Murrumbidgee basin, (GDPc from World Bank, 2014; MHI from ABS, 2014a). Paradoxically the unemployment rate declined and median household income kept rising despite a drop in agriculture labor demand after the water allocation to the agriculture sector was reduced in 1995.....	83
Figure 3.9: (a) Average household expenditure per capita for Australia (ABS, 2016a); (b) output of industry sector and agriculture sector and cumulative capital in New South Wales (ex-Sydney), (ABS, 2014b, AEC Group, 2015, 2013, 2011). Industry and agricultural production data for New South Wales (NSW), the state where the basin is located, and excluding Sydney metropolitan area (ABS, 2014a) is used since the production data for the basin’s industry sector was not available. Note the relative size of industry production and agriculture production.	85
Figure 3.10: (a) TFP of agriculture and industry sector in Australia (ABS, 2015a); (b) Ratio of output to TFP (Total Factor of Productivity) index for agriculture and service sector. Output (or TFP) index value for a particular year is obtained by taking the ratio of output (TFP) in a particular year to the output (or TFP) in 1990 (ABS, 2015a, ABS, 2014b, AEC Group, 2015, 2013, 2011). Industry sector output grew faster than technology, putting upward pressure on labor employment, unlike the agriculture sector.	87

- Figure 3.11:** (a) Percentage of agriculture to industry production in New South Wales (ex-Sydney), (ABS, 2014b, AEC Group, 2015, 2013, 2011). The solid line indicates trend of data. Dash lines indicate the 95% Confidence Interval; (b) changes in the employment in the agriculture sector and in the industry sector, the total labor force and population in the Murrumbidgee from 1991-2011 (ABS, 2014a);(c) share of agriculture and industry labor force and employment rate The rise in employment in industry sector is evident. The figure shows robust sectoral transformation (i.e. growing industry sector) has occurred in the past. 89
- Figure 3.12:** Percentage of farmers who were reemployed in the industry sector, continued to work in farms, retired, migrated-out or became unemployed after selling their water entitlement (license) to the government (reproduced from Cheesman and Wheeler, 2012). The survey results support the hypothesis that unemployed agricultural workers mostly gained employment in the industry sector or migrated out. This may have dampened the economic impacts of water re-allocation to the environment. 91
- Figure 3.13:** (a) Simulated and observed unemployment rate in the Murrumbidgee and observed Australia unemployment, (b) simulated and observed labor demand in agriculture (Agri) and industry (Ind) sector, (c) simulated attractiveness of the basin for migration and the rate of migration and (d) simulated and observed labor force in the basin. Shaded area indicates the 90% confidence interval, the line corresponds to simulations using median value in the uncertainty range for v . Scatter plot in (a), (d) shows observed vs simulated unemployment rate and labor supply respectively. The model captures and explains observed unemployment paradox of falling unemployment during the post-1995 decline in agriculture reasonably well. 93
- Figure 3.14:** (a) Simulated unemployment rate; (b) attractiveness of migration (M) and migration (Mg) related to base in Murrumbidgee; (c) labor force when there is no mining boom (blue) and base (green). Shaded area indicates the 90% confidence interval, the line corresponds to the simulation using the median value of the uncertainty range for v . It demonstrates that out-migration reduced the effect of policy changes to redistribute water in 1995 on basin unemployment..... 96

Figure 3.15: (a) Simulated unemployment rate, (b) labor demand in agriculture (A) and industry sector (I), (c) attractiveness (M) of migration and migration (Mg) in Murrumbidgee, (d) labor force when 5% (Base-10%), 15% (Base), 25% (Base+10%) of labor engaged in agriculture production in 1996. Shaded area indicates the 90% confidence interval, line correspond to simulation using median value of the uncertainty range for v . The figure shows that a more diversified economy is better able to cope with economic stress created by policy change of 1995.	98
Figure 4.1: Socio-hydrology conceptual framework populated with variables, used to study the dynamics of Murrumbidgee catchment. A yellow filled box indicate exogenous variables. Green arrows indicate restorative loop and blue arrows indicate productive loop. A link-model is oval shaped and filled green. A sub-model is rectangular shaped filled green.....	107
Figure 4.2: Relationship between the number of patents and gross domestic product per capita (GDPc) (World Bank, 2014).	110
Figure 4.3: Relationship between the water allocation and storage (Office of Water, 2014).	120
Figure 4.4: Relationship between delivered environment water and storage (Office of Water, 2014).	121
Figure 4.5: External drivers used in the model study; (a) yearly total inflow to the dams and annual rainfall at Yanco; (b) Australia’s gross domestic product per capita (GDPc) and nationwide unemployment rate.	122
Figure 4.6: Comparison between observed and simulated (a) irrigated land area;(b) delivered environment water; (c) discharge at Gundagai (downstream of dams); (d) discharge at Carrathool (downstream of irrigation districts) for Type 1 and Type 2 formulation. Shaded area indicates the 90% confidence interval; the line corresponds to the simulation using the median value of the uncertainty range for calibrated parameters.....	131
Figure 4.7: Comparison of (a) Observed water allocation at the start of July of each year and simulated average water allocation in Murrumbidgee basin; (b) simulated agricultural water withdrawal; (c) ratio of annual flow upstream of irrigation district at Gundagai and downstream of irrigation districts at Carrathool for Type 1 and Type 2 formulation. Shaded area indicates the 90%	

confidence interval; the line corresponds to the simulation using the median value of the uncertainty range for calibrated parameters. 132

Figure 4.8: Comparison of (a) observed and modelled employment in agriculture (A) and industry (I) sector; (b) observed and modelled labor share in the agriculture (A) sector and industry (I) sector for Type 1 and Type 2 formulation. Shaded area indicates the 90% confidence interval; the line corresponds to the simulation using the median value of the uncertainty range for calibrated parameters. 133

Figure 4.9: Comparison of (a) basin population (modelled and observed) of the Murrumbidgee basin; (b) attractiveness of migration and number of people that migrated to the Murrumbidgee basin; and (c) unemployment rate (modelled and observed) in the basin and in Australia for Type 1 and Type 2 formulation. Shaded area indicates the 90% confidence interval; the line corresponds to the simulation using the median value of the uncertainty range for calibrated parameters. Dots represent the observed data. 134

Figure 4.10: Comparison of simulated coupled dynamics of Murrumbidgee basin (a) components of ecosystem component (E_s) and economy component (E_c) in community sensitivity (V); (b) variation in demand for agriculture expansion (D_e) and community sensitivity (V); (c) Normalized sensitivity function ($F(V)$); (d) response function and water to the environment including comparison with data for Type 1 and Type 2 formulation. Shaded area indicates the 90% confidence interval; the line corresponds to the simulation using the median value of the uncertainty range for calibrated parameters. Dots represent the observed data. 135

Figure 4.11: Cross calibration and validation of model CV1 and CV2. Comparison between observed and calibrated (CV1); (a) irrigated land area; (c) delivered environment water. Comparison between observed and validated (b) discharge at Gundagai (downstream of dams); and (d) discharge at Carrathool (downstream of irrigation districts). Comparison between observed and calibrated (CV2); (e) discharge at Gundagai (downstream of dams); and (g) discharge at Carrathool (downstream of irrigation districts). Comparison between observed and validated; (f) irrigated land area; (h) delivered environment water. Shaded area indicates 90% confidence interval. The line

corresponds to the simulation using the median value of the uncertainty range for calibrated parameters. Cross validation increases the confidence on model robustness. Different columns of shaded areas indicate major events in water management from left to right: water reform began in 1982; cap was introduced in 1995; drought started in 2000; and drought broke in 2010..... 138

Figure 4.12: Posteriori parameter distributions and dot plots for selected parameters. 139

Figure 4.13: (a) Observed water allocation at the start of July and simulated average water allocation in Murrumbidgee basin; (b) observed and modelled employment in agriculture (A) and industry (I) sector; and (c) observed and modelled labor share in the agriculture (A) sector and industry (I) sector; (d) basin population (modelled and observed) of Murrumbidgee basin; and (e) unemployment rate (modelled and observed) in the basin and in Australia. Line and dots represent the model output and observed data respectively. Different columns of shaded areas indicate the major events in water management from left to right: water reform began in 1982; cap was introduced in 1995; drought started in 2000; and drought broke in 2010. 143

Figure 4.14: Total amount environment water delivered over the model simulation period (42 years); when the initial agriculture labor share in 1971 was increased from 0% (full industrial labor force) to 100% (full agricultural labor force). 147

Figure 4.15: Simulated coupled dynamics of Murrumbidgee basin; (a, b) Ecosystem services (E_s); (c, d) community sensitivity (V); (e, f) response function (X); (g, h) agriculture labor share;(i, j) population. Panels a, c, e, g, i shows the dynamics in the actual case when initial agriculture share is 24%. Panels b, d, f, h, j shows the dynamics in the scenario when initial agriculture share is 60%. Economic diversification influenced community sensitivity. Different columns of shaded area indicate major events in water management from left to right: water reform began in 1982; cap was introduced in 1995; drought started in 2000; and drought broke in 2010. 148

Figure 5.1: Residual of GDPc, Labor in production and employment rate. 162

Figure 5.2: (a) Auto correlation function (ACF) of (a) GDPc (top) and differenced GDPc residual data (bottom),(b) labor (top) and differenced labor residual data

(bottom), (c) employment (top) and differenced employment residual data (bottom)..... 163

Figure 5.3: (a): Projected inflows to the dam trajectories when the mean of GDPc growth (μg) and mean of inflow to the dams (μq) were changed from 50% to 150%. (b): projected real gross domestic product per capita (GDPc) when the capital growth rate of Australia (γK) was changed from 95% to 105% of observed capital growth rate and (c): projected unemployment rate trajectories when the capital growth rate of Australia (γK) was changed from 95% to 105% of observed capital growth rate. Model simulation was carried out from 1971 to 2070..... 167

Figure 5.4: Comparison of modelled and observed variable data statistics of (a) inflow, (b) Commodity price index (CPI) reference to 2012, (c) real GDPc of Australia and (d) unemployment rate of Australia. Data statistics were calculated for period 1971-2012. Box and whisker plot shows statistics of stochastic input relative to observed data statistics. Correlation coefficient (Corr coef) was calculated between observed and modelled variables. 168

Figure 5.5: (a) Population, (b) ecosystem services, and (c) employment rate after 100 years for non-stationary climate (inflow), changes in the growth of Australia’s economy (GDPc) and capital growth in the basin. Size of circle indicates the standard deviation of ensamples. 169

Figure 5.6: Basin Sustainable Development Index (BSDI) for non-stationary climate (Inflow), changes in the growth of Australia’s economy (GDPc) and changes in basin capital growth rate. 173

Figure 5.7:(a) Projected labor demand in the industry sector, (b): projected labor share of the agriculture sector, (c): projected delivery of environmental water, (d): projected ecosystem services, (e): projected basin population, (f): projected basin unemployment rate trajectories when the basin capital growth rate (γ_c) is changed from 95% to 105% of observed capital growth rate. The change in the basin capital growth rate is given on the axis. Gray color lines show the ensamples projections and black line shows the median of ensamples. 175

Figure 5.8: (a) Projected environment water, (b): projected ecosystem services, (e): projected agriculture water withdrawal trajectories when the basin mean inflow changed changes from 50% to 150% of the observed growth rate. Gray color

lines show the ensamples projections and black lines show the median of ensamples.	178
Figure 5.9: (a) Projected environment water, (b): projected ecosystem services, (c): projected agriculture water withdrawal trajectories when the outside economy grows at different rates (i.e. when the Australian capital growth rate is changed from 95% to 105% of observed capital growth rate). Gray color lines show the ensamples projections and black lines show the median of ensamples.	180
Figure 5.10: Relationship between sustainability (BSDI) and agriculture labor share (diversification) among all scenarios. (a) classified according capital growth rate (b) classified according to GDPc growth and (c) classified according to mean inflow. Range of classification is color coded in terms of low medium and high.....	181
Figure 5.11: Relationship between BSDI (sustainability) and agricultural labor share (diversification) for given inflow. (a) classified according to capital growth rate (b) classified according to GDPc growth. Range of classification is color coded in terms of low, medium and high.	183
Figure 5.12: Probability of storage and inflow fulfilling socio-economic demands over a 100-year period.	185
Figure 6.1: Increased imports of food to Australia coinciding with changes in water management.	192

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Characterization of changes in governance regimes expected for single, double and triple-loop learning (adapted from Pahl-Wostl, 2009)	27
Table 2.2: Seven steps of framing and modeling hydrological versus coupled dynamic environmental versus socio-hydrological processes (adapted from Sivapalan and Blöschl, 2015).....	49
Table 3.1: Model parameters, initial values and variables used in the model.	80
Table 4.1: Summary of data used in model.	123
Table 4.2: Details of coefficients (Coeff) and parameters (Para) used in model. Note that only parameters are calibrated, values for coefficients are obtained from the listed references.....	124
Table 4.3: Details of variables and initial condition used in model.....	126
Table 4.4: Different formulations of community sensitivity (V), normalized community sensitivity (F(V)), normalized demand function (F(De)), response function, restriction and model results are presented as Type 1 and Type 2.	130
Table 4.5: Model performance statistics for calibration and cross-validation. The gray corresponds to the variables used for validation.....	140
Table 5.1: Model Coefficients and constants. Coefficients were obtained from data or literature and constants are obtained using linear regression.	162
Table 5.2: AIC score for different orders of model	163
Table 5.3: Estimated ARMA model coefficients for external forcing.....	164

NOMENCLATURE / ABBREVIATION

ABM	Agent based models
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACF	Auto correlation function
AIC	Akaike information criterion
ARMA	Auto regressive moving average
BCC	Basin community committees
BIC	Bayesian information criterion
BSDI	Basin sustainable development index
CCM	Coupled-component modelling
CHANS	Coupled human and natural systems
CIA	Coleambally irrigation area
CPI	Commodity price index
CSR	Community sensitivity and response
EKC	Environmental kuznets curve
EWB	Environmental water holder
FPE	Final prediction error
FSR	Fish species richness
GDPc	Gross domestic product per capita for Australia
HDI	Human development index
HQIC	Hannan-Quinn information criterion
HSDI	Human sustainable development index
IWRM	Integrated water resource management
MBDA	Murry darling basin authority
MBDC	Murry darling basin commission
MDB	Murry darling basin
MHI	Median household income
MIA	Murrumbidgee irrigation area
MRB	Murrumbidgee river basin
NSE	Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency
NSW	New south wales
R ²	Coefficient of determination

RMSE	Root mean squared error
SD	System dynamics
SDL	Sustainable diversion limits
TFP	Total factor of productivity
UNDP	United nations development program
VAR	Vector auto regressive
WSP	Water-sharing plans
WSR	Water storage resilience

ABSTRACT

Water-human systems are closely linked and display co-evolutionary dynamics influenced by society's values and preferences. This has been observed in the Murrumbidgee River Basin, Australia where water usage initially focused on agriculture. After more than 100 years of agricultural development the Murrumbidgee Basin experienced a "pendulum swing" in terms of water allocation, initially exclusively for agriculture but changed in recent times to being reallocated to the environment. People became more concerned about the degradation of ecosystems, the amount of water left in the system and how much should be returned to the natural environment. However, water diversion for environmental purposes threatens many agricultural communities and their livelihoods. This thesis focuses on the human-water-environment nexus in the Murrumbidgee River Basin, and attempts to explain how and why changes in the management of water have impacted on the local economy and the community, but also with wider ramifications.

Predictably reduced water allocation to agriculture saw declines in that agricultural employment levels. Despite this, paradoxically, the basin unemployment rate declined and basin median household income increased. To understand and interpret this, in Chapter 3 we first analyze available labor, economic and hydrology data, and then develop a simple dynamic model to interpret the observed patterns of basin employment and unemployment. Data analysis revealed the likely causes behind the paradox as: (a) migration of people from the basin; and (b) absorption of the labor force in the fast-growing non-agricultural sectors of the basin's diversified economy. The model simulations reinforced this interpretation. Further model simulations under alternative scenarios of out-migration and sectoral transformation indicated that *basins embedded in faster growing national economies*, and are *more diversified* to begin with, are likely to be more conducive to agriculture industry reform (e.g., reduced water allocation) and environmental regeneration. This is a sobering message for other regions experiencing environmental degradation due to extensive agricultural development.

Chapter 4 hypothesizes that in the competition for water between economic livelihood and environmental wellbeing, economic diversification is the key to changing community sentiment in favor of environmental protection, and triggering policy actions that resulted in more water allocation to the environment. To test this hypothesis, we develop a socio-hydrological model to link the dynamics of the whole economy (both

agriculture and manufacturing and services industries) to the community's sensitivity regarding the environment. Changing community sensitivity influenced how water was allocated and governed and how the agricultural sector declined relative to manufacturing and services. In this way, we show that *economic diversification played a key role* in influencing the community's values and preferences with respect to the environment and economic growth. Without economic diversification, model simulations show that the community would not have been sufficiently sensitive and willing enough to act to restore the environment, highlighting the key role of sectoral transformation in achieving sustainable agricultural development.

Chapter 5 attempts to foresee future developments in the basin with a focus on how water managers could be informed and prepare for un-foreseen issues arising from climate change and the economy. The study uses a coupled socio-hydrological dynamical system model with endogenous social values and preferences. The exogenous drivers were economic and climatic-based. The dynamical system is represented by a suite of differential equations that can evolve over time. The study revealed possible basin development and exogeneous forcing conditions which could lead to sustainable basin development. In terms of sustainability the modelling and analysis revealed the importance of a diversified basin economy and how this is enhanced by moderate growth (or near current observed levels) of the national economy. An analysis was also carried out on the reliability of the system to meet water demand. Apart from an obvious relationship with available basin inflows, the reliability of meeting water demands from communities in the basin is low when the national economy is weak. The reverse was also found to be true.

Even though the changes in water management adversely impacted on the agriculture sector and created economic stress in the basin, its communities were able to adapt to and cope with water allocations favoring the environment through industry changes facilitated by movement of capital in a free economy, supported by appropriate strategies and government funding. This was helped by the adaptive capacity of people through reemployment in other economic sectors of the basin economy, experiencing unemployment for a period of time, migrating from the basin, and engaging in crop diversification. It is found that for given climate conditions, a higher level of diversification in the basin's economy increases its sustainability. Therefore, policy-makers and resource managers need to focus on measures to diversify the economy when

it is thriving, but also recognize capacity of society to adapt to unpredictable shocks in the system.