Vegetation Indices Combining the Red and Red-Edge Spectral Information for Leaf Area Index Retrieval
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Abstract—Leaf area index (LAI) is a crucial biophysical variable for agroecosystems monitoring. Conventional vegetation indices (VIs) based on red and near infrared regions of the electromagnetic spectrum are commonly used to estimate the LAI. However, these indices commonly saturate at moderate-to-dense canopies (e.g., NDVI saturates when LAI exceeds three). Modified VIs have then been proposed to replace the typical red/green spectral region with the red-edge spectral region. One significant and often ignored aspect of this modification is that the reflectance in the red-edge spectral region is comparatively sensitive to chlorophyll content which is highly variable between different crops and different phenological states. In this study, three improved indices are proposed combining reflectance both in the red and red-edge spectral regions into the NDVI, the modified simple ratio index (MSR), and the green chlorophyll index (CIgreen) formula. These improved indices are termed NDVIRE (red and red-edge NDVI), MSRRERE (red and red-edge MSR index), and CIredRE (red and red-edge CI). The indices were tested using RapidEye images and in-situ data from campaigns at Maccarese Farm (Central Rome, Italy), in which four crop types at four different growth stages were measured. We investigated the predictive power of nine VIs for crop LAI estimation, including NDVI, MSR, and CIgreen; the red-edge modified indices: NDVIRE, MSRRERE, and CIredRE (generally represented by VIRE, MSRRERE, and CIredRE); and the newly improved indices: NDVIRE, MSRRERE, and CIredRE (generally represented by VIRE). The results show that VIRE improves the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) for LAI estimation by 10% in comparison to VIRE. The newly improved indices proved to be the powerful alternatives for the LAI estimation of crops with wide chlorophyll range, and may provide valuable information for satellites equipped with red-edge channels (such as Sentinel-2) when applied to precision agriculture.

Index Terms—Precision agriculture, remote sensing, RapidEye, vegetation index (VI).

I. INTRODUCTION

THE explicit quantification of vegetation biophysical variables on large spatial scales is an important aspect in agricultural management and monitoring [1]. For instance, knowledge of the spatial distribution of leaf area index (LAI) and chlorophyll content can be used to improve the use of resources, such as fertilizer and water [2], leading to better yields and reduced costs [3]–[6]. Remotely sensed data from satellites and airborne sensors has great potential to provide information on vegetation biophysical variables over large spatial and temporal scales. LAI, defined as one half the total leaf area per unit ground surface area [7], [8], is a biophysical key variable for estimating foliage cover and biomass production. The LAI can, therefore, be used to monitor and forecast crop growth and yield [1], [9], [10]. The LAI retrieval techniques from remote sensing data can be classified into three groups:

1) empirical retrieval methods, which typically relate the biophysical parameter of interest to spectral data [11], [12];
2) physical retrieval methods, which refers to inversion of radiative transfer models (RTM) from remote sensing observations [13];
3) hybrid methods, which aims to balance the strengths and weaknesses of empirical- and physical-based methods, e.g., through a machine learning approach [14].

Amongst the three groups, the empirical retrieval methods typically use vegetation indices (VIs) due to their simplicity, computational efficiency, and well-understood underlying mechanisms. The normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) [15] is a widely used VI to estimate vegetation...
biophysical variables, relying on chlorophyll absorption in the red spectral region, creating low reflectance, and high reflectance in the near infrared (NIR) spectral region due to the scattering of light from the intercellular volume of leaf mesophyll. Nevertheless, one unavoidable limitation of NDVI is that the relationship between NDVI and LAI approaches saturation asymptotically under the condition of moderate-to-dense canopy (e.g., LAI > 3) due to the inherent drawback of NDVI [16].

The red-edge region is defined as the spectral region between 680 and 750 nm where there is a sharp change in the vegetation reflectance [17]. This occurs due to the transition from chlorophyll absorption in the red region to cellular scattering in the NIR [18], [19]. The promise and potential of the red-edge spectral region for vegetation biophysical variable retrieval has motivated the design and also the launch of spaceborne imaging sensors involving red-edge bands, including hyperspectral satellites such as Hyperion, The Hyperspectral Imager for the Coastal Ocean, and The Compact High Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (CHRIS), and multispectral satellites such as MERIS, RapidEye, and recently, Sentinel-2 [19]. It has been demonstrated that in the red-edge spectral region the shape of the reflectance spectra is strongly influenced by LAI [20], [21]. The shift of red-edge position toward longer wavelengths is caused by an increase in leaf chlorophyll content [22]. Many studies have revealed that, within red and red-edge region, chlorophyll content and LAI contribute the most to PROSAIL simulated canopy reflectance [23], [24]. However, the effects of chlorophyll change on LAI retrieval are rarely discussed in studies using VIs based on red-edge reflectance for LAI estimation. To note that, in those studies, the red-edge modified indices improve the LAI estimation when the indices are applied to crops with consistent chlorophyll content, e.g., datasets consisting of one type of crop at one growth stage [19], [25]. Therefore, how chlorophyll content affects spectral reflectance and LAI when chlorophyll content and LAI vary simultaneously needs to be analyzed, e.g., datasets with multicrop species and across multigrowth stages. As such, the aims of this study are:

1) to analyze how variation in chlorophyll content and LAI contributes to red, red-edge, and NIR reflectance variability; and
2) to apply three improved spectral indices for LAI estimation, and evaluate their advantages over other existing VIs.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND VALIDATION DATA COLLECTION

A. Test Site Description and LAI Measurements

Ground LAI measurements were carried out in situ at the Maccarese farm (41°52′N, 12°13′E, alt. 8 m a.s.l.) near Rome, Central Italy (see Fig. 1) in 2015 growing season. The site is within a plain coastal agricultural area comprising four dominant crops: winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.), and maize (*Zea mays* L.) (see Table I). Winter wheat was measured on 3 March, 20 March, and 7 May, from its tillering stage to heading stage [26]; barley was measured on 3 March, 20 March, and 7 May, from its tillering stage to heading stage [26]; barley was measured on 3 March, 20 March, and 7 May, from its tillering stage to earing stage [27]; alfalfa was measured on 7 May, during its budding stage [28]; and maize was measured on 7 July, during its jointing stage [29]. The soil is Cutanic Luvisol, with a prevailing sandy clay loam texture,
becoming more clayey toward the north-east of the site. The climate is temperate Mediterranean with dry summers and wet autumns, with a yearly average temperature of 15.5 °C and annual rainfall of 734 mm. LAI Measurements were performed on 28 February, 18 March, 11 May, and 7 July (winter wheat, barley) or 20 March, 7 May, and 7 July (winter wheat, alfalfa), at 66 points each covering 1 m².

Due to the difficulties in collecting a large number of data in diffuse sunlight conditions, i.e., at sunset or dawn, which are considered optimal for LAI-2200 measurements, data were acquired during daytime in bright sunny days, within a maximum of four days since a satellite acquisition. A 45° view cap was employed and the center of view was the sensor from direct radiation. The sequence suggested by the manufacturer for direct radiation scattering correction was followed and a post-processing correction was subsequently applied, using the FV2200 software (LI-COR Biosciences), as detailed in the equipment manual. Each LAI measurement was obtained, collecting ten readings from below the canopy, from an area of about 10 m² of which the center coordinates were recorded using a GPS with differential correction (accuracy in the order of 1–2 m).

### B. Satellite Data Acquisition and Processing

Multispectral remote sensing images from the RapidEye sensor were obtained on 28 February, 18 March, 11 May, and 5 July 2015, corresponding to field measurements on 3 March, 20 March, 7 May, and 7 July (see Table I). This constellation of five identical EO satellites record radiance in five broad bands: blue (440–510 nm), green (520–590 nm), red (630–685 nm), red-edge (690–730 nm), and NIR (760–850 nm), at a spatial resolution of 5 m.

The RapidEye images were delivered as level 3A Ortho Product, which offer the highest processing level with respect to radiometric, sensor, and geometric corrections. This means that the digital numbers of the image pixels represent calibrated radiance values. A subsequent atmospheric correction was performed on the RapidEye images by using the ENVI’s Fast Line-of-Sight Atmospheric Analysis of Spectral Hypercubes (FLAASH) module, which is based on the RTM MODTRAN4 [31]. In this study, model parameters of a mid-latitude summer atmosphere and rural aerosols, as well as automatic aerosol retrieval, were used in FLAASH to correct the RapidEye images. The output of FLAASH assumed reflectance values rescaled to normal range of 0 to 1.

### III. METHODS

#### A. Quantifying Sources of Variation in Simulated Reflectance Data

A model sensitivity analysis was implemented to identify the significance of the LAI and chlorophyll content in explaining variance in the PROSAIL model output reflectance. The Extended Fourier Amplitude Sensitivity Test (EFAST) method was used [35], which is an extension of the classical Fourier Amplitude Sensitivity Test (FAST). The EFAST approach is a parametric transformation that enables reducing multidimensional integrals over the space of the input factors to one-dimensional quadratures, through a search curve that scans the whole input space. This approach allows the definition of a set of simulations in which all input parameters vary simultaneously. A Fourier decomposition is then conducted to obtain the fractional contribution of each input factor to the variance of the model output [36]. EFAST provides two sets of indices: first-order indices (FOI) and total-order indices (TOI). The FOI give the additive effect of each input factor; while the TOI are overall measures of importance, accounting for the effects of the interactions of each factor with others.
Simulated spectral reflectance was analyzed to understand the effect of chlorophyll content on relationships between the LAI and the red, red-edge, and NIR reflectance. In order to quantify the effect of chlorophyll content on spectral indices, ΔRED, ΔRE, and ΔNIR were formed as indicators to quantify the change of red, red-edge, and NIR bands against NIR spectral band under two different chlorophyll contents.

\[
\Delta \text{RED} = \frac{\rho_{\text{RED}1} - \rho_{\text{RED}2}}{\rho_{\text{NIR}1}} \times 100\% \tag{1}
\]

\[
\Delta \text{RE} = \frac{\rho_{\text{RE}1} - \rho_{\text{RE}2}}{\rho_{\text{NIR}2}} \times 100\% \tag{2}
\]

\[
\Delta \text{NIR} = \frac{\rho_{\text{NIR}1} - \rho_{\text{NIR}2}}{\rho_{\text{NIR}2}} \times 100\% \tag{3}
\]

where \(\rho_{\text{RED}1}, \rho_{\text{RED}2}, \) and \(\rho_{\text{NIR}1}\) are the spectral reflectance at red, red-edge, and NIR regions, respectively, under the one chlorophyll content, while \(\rho_{\text{RED}2}, \rho_{\text{RE}2},\) and \(\rho_{\text{NIR}2}\) are the spectral reflectance under the other chlorophyll content. To set up the EFASST sensitivity analysis and to compute ΔRED, ΔRE, and ΔNIR, the PROSAIL simulated spectral reflectance was spectrally resampled to the spectral response functions of RapidEye. (The spectral response functions of RapidEye were obtained from the RapidEye Science Archive website: https://resat.blackbridge.com/files/2014-06/Spectral_Response_Curves.pdf.)

### B. Existing and Improved VIs

Canopy spectral reflectance data derived from RapidEye were used to calculate the VIs (see Table III) for subsequent LAI estimation. The existing tested VIs include three red/green reflectance based indices: NDVI, modified simple ratio index (MSR), and green chlorophyll index (CI green). NDVI is widely accepted as a benchmark for comparing alternative inversion algorithms; it highlights the striking contrast between the NIR and red spectral reflectance [37]. MSR was proposed to suppress the saturation problem of NDVI [38]. CI green shows a close relation to both chlorophyll content and LAI [39].

Additionally, three red-edge modified indices were tested, with red/green reflectance replaced with red-edge reflectance: NDVI\text{Red-edge} (red-edge NDVI), MSR\text{Red-edge} (red-edge MSR index), and CI\text{Red-edge} (red-edge CI). The red-edge modified indices (NDVI\text{Red-edge}, MSR\text{Red-edge}, and CI\text{Red-edge}) have been shown to improve the LAI estimation compared to the red/green reflectance based indices, because the red-edge channel is sensitive to small changes in the canopy, gap fraction, and senescence [40].

In this study, we established three newly improved VIs combining red and red-edge spectral information: NDVI\text{red/RE} (red and red-edge NDVI), MSR\text{red/RE} (red and red-edge MSR index), and CI\text{red/RE} (red and red-edge CI), in which a certain proportion of the red and the red-edge reflectance was used to replace the red/green reflectance in the formula of NDVI, MSR, and CI green. Following the principles of the original indices (NDVI, MSR, and CI green), the improved VIs (NDVI\text{red/RE}, MSR\text{red/RE}, and CI\text{red/RE}) still utilize the strong contrast between the red and NIR reflectance sensitive to LAI. Furthermore, combining red and red-edge spectral information is a compensation strategy that neither puts heavy emphasis on the red reflectance, which will help to avoid saturation, nor puts heavy emphasis on the red-edge reflectance, which will help to avoid interruption from the change of chlorophyll content [24].

The definitions and formulas of the improved indices as well as six existing indices tested in this study are shown in Table III.

### C. Noise Equivalent (NE) ΔLAI

The NE ΔLAI was used to test the sensitivity of the different spectral VIs against LAI changes. The NE ΔLAI has been proved to be advantageous over the direct comparison between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table III: Descriptions and Formulas of VIs Investigated in This Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing indices</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI\text{red-edge}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSR\text{red-edge}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI\text{green}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI\text{red-edge}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Improved indices</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI\text{red/RE}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSR\text{red/RE}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI\text{red/RE}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{NIR}\) refers to near infrared; \(\text{RE}\) refers to red-edge; \(a \in [0, 1]\).
different VIs, with different scales and dynamic ranges [44]. NE
\[ \Delta \text{LAI} \] is calculated as
\[ \text{NE} \Delta \text{LAI} = \frac{\text{RMSE} \{ \text{VI} \text{ versus LAI} \}}{d(\text{VI})/d(\text{LAI})} \] (4)
where RMSE and \( d(\text{VI})/d(\text{LAI}) \) are, respectively, the root mean square error and the first derivative of the best-fit function in the “VI versus LAI” relationship [2], [45]. The NE \( \Delta \text{LAI} \) was calculated based on the “VI versus LAI” relationship function. The LAI was obtained from ground measurements as introduced in Section II-A, and the VI was calculated with the RapidEye data according to the formula in Table III.

D. Validation Scheme
Leave-one-out cross-validation procedure was used to evaluate the performance of the improved VIs to estimate LAI. This type of validation avoids the dependence on a single random partition into validation datasets. It also guarantees that all samples were used for both training and validation with each sample used for validation exactly once. The coefficient of determination (\( R^2 \)) and RMSE were selected as indicators of the accuracy of the statistical estimation models [46].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
A. Sensitivity of Canopy Reflectance Against LAI and Chlorophyll Content

Table IV shows the FOI and TOI, calculated by the EFAST method introduced in Section III-A, for the study of how variation in Cab and LAI contributes to red, red-edge (RE), and NIR reflectance variability. Table IV shows that, at the red spectral region, the sum of Cab and LAI EFAST FOI is about 85% (FOI of Cab = 65.60%, FOI of LAI = 19.30%). This means that approximately 85% of the uncertainty in the PROSAIL model output red region reflectance is explained by the factors singularly, while the remaining 15% is explained by interactions between the two factors. Therefore, the EFAST TOI are provided (see Table IV) to account for the additive effects of each input factor and their interactions with the others.

The EFAST indices also show that the FOI and TOI of Cab at the red and red-edge region (FOI at the red/red-edge region: 65.60%/93.31%, TOI at the red/red-edge region: 80.48%/98.92%) are vastly larger than the corresponding indices of LAI at the red and red-edge region (FOI at the red/red-edge region: 19.30%/1.07%, TOI at the red/red-edge region: 33.69%/6.59%), suggesting that variation in the reflectance measured at the red and red-edge spectral region is mainly the result of variations in the chlorophyll content. Compared to the red spectral reflectance, the red-edge reflectance is more deeply controlled by the chlorophyll content, given that the FOI and TOI of Cab at the red-edge region (FOI: 93.31%, TOI: 98.92%) are larger than the indices at the red region (FOI: 65.60%, TOI: 80.48%). In contrast, variation in the NIR spectral reflectance is mainly the result of variations in LAI, because the EFAST indices of LAI at the NIR region (FOI: 97.64%, TOI: 99.66%) are vastly larger than the corresponding indices of Cab (FOI: 0.41%, TOI: 2.35%). The EFAST sensitivity analysis confirms that the red-edge spectral reflectance is relatively easily affected by chlorophyll change than the red spectral reflectance, which means that the red-edge band will induce larger error for LAI retrieval when chlorophyll content varies simultaneously.

The PROSAIL simulated spectral reflectance was further analyzed to understand the relationships between the LAI and the red, red-edge, NIR reflectance (see Fig. 2). Fig. 2(a) shows that as LAI increases, the NIR reflectance increases, while the red reflectance decreases at early stage and then reached an asymptote when the LAI values exceeded three. Thus, both red reflectance and NDVI approach a saturation level asymptotically when LAI > 3. The coefficient of determination (\( R^2 \)) of the relationship between NDVI and LAI in Fig. 2(a) is 0.81 for LAI < 3, but drops to 0.04 for LAI > 3. In addition, under visual comparison, the red-edge reflectance scatters most significantly due to chlorophyll content change, which is further supported by Fig. 2(b), where reflectance in red-edge appears as a sharp decrease when chlorophyll changes from 10 to 100 \( \mu \text{g/cm}^2 \). In order to quantify the effect of chlorophyll content on spectral indices formed by the combination of red/NIR or red-edge/NIR spectral bands, \( \Delta \text{RED}, \Delta \text{RE}, \) and \( \Delta \text{NIR} \) were defined as (1) through (3) in Section III-A, to quantify the relative change of each band. Fig. 2(c) demonstrates that when chlorophyll content varies from 10 to 100 \( \mu \text{g/cm}^2 \), \( \Delta \text{RE} \) is much greater than \( \Delta \text{RED} \) and \( \Delta \text{NIR} \), which means that the relative change in red-edge spectral reflectance is larger than that in red and NIR. Therefore, VIs combining red-edge and NIR bands are more sensitive to chlorophyll change than the indices combining by red and NIR bands. For example, for simulated samples of \( \text{LAI} = 3 \) in Fig. 2(a), the NDVI value is increased by 26.4% when Cab increases from 10 \( \mu \text{g/cm}^2 \) (NDVI = 0.72) to 100 \( \mu \text{g/cm}^2 \) (NDVI = 0.91); in contrast, the NDVI\text{red-edge} value is increased by 159.3% when Cab increases from 10 \( \mu \text{g/cm}^2 \) (NDVI\text{red-edge} = 0.27) to 100 \( \mu \text{g/cm}^2 \) (NDVI\text{red-edge} = 0.70). The Cab variance would induce larger LAI retrieval error to NDVI\text{red-edge} model than to NDVI model. Therefore, replacing the red/green reflectance with the red-edge reflectance in NDVI, MSR, and CI\text{green} [47], [48] does not necessarily improve the LAI estimation accuracy when applied to different crops at different growth stages in which the chlorophyll content and LAI vary together. Given that the red spectral reflectance saturates when LAI > 3, while the red-edge region is easy to be affected by chlorophyll change, we recommend combining them into VIs rather than abandoning one of the two regions.

| TABLE IV | FIRST-ORDER AND TOTAL-ORDER SENSITIVITY INDICES FOR THE STUDY OF HOW VARIATION IN CAB AND LAI CONTRIBUTES TO RED, RED-EDGE (RE), AND NIR REFLECTANCE VARIABILITY |
|---|---|---|
| Cab | LAI | Cab | LAI |
| FOI (%) | 65.60 | 39.31 | 0.41 | 19.30 | 1.07 | 97.64 |
| TOI (%) | 80.48 | 98.92 | 2.35 | 33.69 | 6.59 | 99.66 |
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NDVIred were calculated using the RapidEye derived reflectance, and the value of (1 – a) represents the proportion of red reflectance, and the value of (1 – a) represents the proportion of red-edge reflectance. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of the calibration models based on improved indices (NDVI$_{red/RE}$, MSR$_{red/RE}$, CI$_{red/RE}$) and in-situ measured LAI were calculated (see Fig. 3). The $R^2$ of each improved index peaked at $a = 0.4$ ($R^2$ of NDVI$_{red/RE} = 0.62$, $R^2$ of MSR$_{red/RE} = 0.61$, $R^2$ of CI$_{red/RE} = 0.59$), and the curve of each index followed the same trend: a small increase from $a = 0$ ($R^2$ of NDVI$_{red/RE} = 0.55$, $R^2$ of MSR$_{red/RE} = 0.53$, $R^2$ of CI$_{red/RE} = 0.50$) to $a = 0.4$, then a reduction until $a = 1$ ($R^2$ of NDVI$_{red/RE} = 0.48$, $R^2$ of MSR$_{red/RE} = 0.29$, $R^2$ of CI$_{red/RE} = 0.18$). It is also noteworthy that each index achieved higher $R^2$ when $a = 0$ compared to $a = 1$, suggesting that replacing red/green reflectance with red-edge could enhance the relationship between LAI and VIs (see VIs formula of Table III), consistent with many research works [34], [41], [43], [47]. However, combining red and red-edge reflectance with selected proportion (in our case $a = 0.4$, the percentage of red and red-edge reflectance were 40% and 60%, respectively), further improves the correlation between LAI and VIs. To note that, the optimal proportion found between the red and red-edge reflectance in this study to maximize the LAI estimation may be varied for other types of agricultural systems, in which case we suggest to recompute the optimal proportion for other datasets.

The NDVI, NDVI$_{Red-edge}$, and NDVI$_{red/RE}$ exhibited logarithmic relationships with LAI, while MSR, MSR$_{red/RE}$, MSR$_{red/RE}$, CI$_{green}$, CI$_{Red-edge}$, and CI$_{red/RE}$ exhibited exponential relationships with LAI (see Fig. 4). The red/green reflectance based indices have weaker correlations with LAI, especially when LAI exceeded three. NDVI saturated as LAI value increased while MSR and CI$_{green}$ showed considerable scatter against LAI. VIs$_{Red-edge}$ (VI represents NDVI, MSR, and CI) with red/green reflectance replaced with red-edge has a stronger relationship with LAI than the red/green reflectance based indices; while VIs$_{red/RE}$ combining red and red-edge reflectance had the strongest relationship with LAI, with $R^2$ values increased by at least 10% compared to VIs$_{Red-edge}$. Among the indices, CI$_{green}$, which consists of NIR and green reflectance, had the lowest coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.063$), suggesting that VIs including green reflectance are not optimal for LAI estimation under various chlorophyll content. Although green-based indices can be highly accurate when applied to single species plant communities [49].

For the same LAI, all the three red/green reflectance based indices (NDVI, MSR, and CI$_{green}$) showed lower values for maize than other crops [see Fig. 4(a), (d), and (g)], all VIs$_{Red-edge}$ [see Fig. 4(b), (e), and (h)] and VIs$_{red/RE}$ [Fig. 4(c), (f), and (i)] showed lower values for alfalfa than other crops. This is in agreement with the study of Delegido et al. [50], in which nine types of crops including, maize, alfalfa, and wheat were investigated based on field measurements in Spain, Germany, and France. This could be explained by the effect of chlorophyll
content. The \textit{in situ} data we collected for this study does not include the chlorophyll content, therefore we have to refer the chlorophyll effects among these crops from other datasets and research works. According to other field measurements we have conducted and other research concerning these crops [50], [51], we can draw the conclusion that when at the same LAI value, usually the leaf chlorophyll content of maize is higher than that of wheat and barley, while the leaf chlorophyll content of alfalfa is lower than that of wheat and barley. As a result, the RapidEye reflectance in this study appears that the spectral reflectance of the red-edge is lower for maize than that in wheat and barley, while higher for alfalfa than that in wheat and barley, which is in accordance with the rule revealed by the simulated reflectance shown in Fig. 2; when the LAI value is fixed, the red-edge reflectance increases as the chlorophyll content decreases. In the red spectral region, the maize spectral reflectance is higher than that of other crops. Thus, the VI values for crops with equivalent LAI values (moderate-to-dense canopies) show that the maize canopy has lower VI (NDVI, MSR, CI\textsubscript{green}) values but higher VI\textsubscript{red-edge} (NDVI\textsubscript{red-edge}, MSR\textsubscript{red-edge}, CI\textsubscript{red-edge}) than that of the other crops. In terms of alfalfa, the VI\textsubscript{red-edge} values are lower than other crops with the same LAI.

Crop canopy reflectance is a complex signal affected by many factors, besides the chlorophyll content, there might be other factors affecting LAI retrieval such as leaf structures and canopy architectures of these crops. For example, the canopies of maize and alfalfa exhibit a planophile leaf angle distribution [49], [52], while the canopies of barley and wheat exhibit a more erectophile leaf angle distribution [53]. But these factors have much less impact than LAI and chlorophyll content on canopy reflectance as proved by other researchers [24]. According to our other field measurements and other research works concerning these crops [50], [51], within the RapidEye bands we investigated [red (630–685 nm), red-edge (690–730 nm), NIR (760–850 nm)], the red and red-edge regions are dominated by Cab and LAI where other factors do not need to be accounted.

Fig. 4. Relationships between VIs [(a) NDVI, (b) NDVI\textsubscript{red-edge}, (c) NDVI\textsubscript{red-edge}, (d) MSR, (e) MSR\textsubscript{red-edge}, (f) MSR\textsubscript{red-edge}, (g) CI\textsubscript{green}, (h) CI\textsubscript{red-edge}, (i) CI\textsubscript{red-edge}] and LAI, for maize, barley, wheat, and alfalfa during the growing seasons of 2015.
for; the NIR region is impacted by multifactors, such as LAI, average leaf angle, and dry matter content, but these factors do not affect the improvement of the VIs proposed in our study. Because the NIR band remains unchanged during the improvement of VIs, in which the improvement relies on the combination of red and red-edge regions. In this study, the newly improved VIs are focused on reducing the impact of the chlorophyll content on LAI retrieval. Nevertheless, we suggest that the relationships between VIs and LAI will potentially be further improved if the impact from other factors could be reduced as well.

The sensitivity analysis of the different spectral VIs to LAI was performed by calculating the NEΔLAI of the calibration models between each VI and LAI, in order to compare the performance of the nine indices under the same criteria. This analysis (see Fig. 5) shows that among VIs of the same root (e.g., NDVI, NDVI Red-edge, and NDVI red.RE), the NDVI exhibits the lowest NEΔLAI values (thus the highest sensitivity to LAI). In particular, the NDVI Red-edge (marked by blue markers) exhibits the highest NEΔLAI values (thus the lowest sensitivity to LAI), while the NDVI red.RE shows moderate NEΔLAI values (thus moderate sensitivity to LAI). MSR and CI green, as well as their corresponding improved indices, show the same rule as NDVI series indices: original VI (marked by green markers) was the most sensitive to LAI, second by the VI red.RE, while the VI Red-edge was the least sensitive to LAI. The spectral analysis confirms that VI Red-edge is less sensitive to LAI than VI red.RE. Therefore, the improved VIs VI red.RE (NDVI red.RE, MSR red.RE, CI red.RE) have greater potential in LAI retrieval than the corresponding VI Red-edge (NDVI Red-edge, MSR Red-edge, CI Red-edge).

C. LAI Estimation Model Validation

Results of leave-one-out cross validation for LAI estimation are presented in Fig. 6, with coefficient of determination (R²) and RMSE computed and presented for each model. The estimated LAI values were compared with the ground measurements using least significant difference test performed using SPSS software [54]. Statistical analysis revealed that the estimates of CI green model reached 0.05 level of significance, and estimates of other eight models reached 0.01 level of significance. Among the examined indices, the red/green reflectance-based VIs were the poorest at predicting LAI [see Fig. 6(a), (d), and (g)], the VI Red-edge improved the LAI prediction [see Fig. 6(b), (e), and (h)] on the basis of VIs, by including red-edge reflectance. This is agreed with other studies [19], [25] in which the red-edge modified indices improved the LAI estimation when the indices are applied to crops with consistent chlorophyll content, e.g., datasets consisting of one type of crop at one growth stage. However, the chlorophyll content varies across the crop growing season and varies among different crop types in our study, VI red.RE resulted in the best prediction with the lowest RMSE (less than 1.07) and the highest R² (above 0.500) [see Fig. 6(c), (f), and (i)], by combining the red spectral reflectance and the red-edge spectral reflectance into the VIs. In comparison with the VI Red-edge, the VI red.RE improved the LAI estimation accuracy by at least 10% higher R² and 10% lower RMSE value. For instance, NDVI red.RE exhibited an R² of 0.500 and RMSE of 1.068; NDVI Red-edge exhibited an R² of 0.438 and RMSE of 1.138, showing lower accuracy than NDVI red.RE; and NDVI exhibited an R² of 0.314 and RMSE of 1.255, showing the lowest accuracy among NDVI, NDVI Red-edge, and NDVI red.RE.
The red/green reflectance based indices (VIs) exhibited respective drawbacks; for instance, the NDVI saturated when LAI exceeds three, MSR scattered when LAI exceeds three, and the Cl\textsubscript{green} overestimated LAI at low-to-moderate (LAI < 3) canopy cover whilst significantly underestimated LAI when LAI > 3. The saturation of NDVI at LAI values higher than three was expected and is in agreement with the literature [16]. The red-edge-based indices VI\textsubscript{Red-edge} improved the estimation by alleviating the underestimation of moderate-to-dense canopy, but did not improved much overestimation for low-to-moderate canopy, agreeing with the results in other research works using the red-edge-based indices [48], [50]. By accounting for the chlorophyll content effect, the improved VIs we formed in this paper best yielded LAI with highest accuracy and robustness when applied to a wide range of crops across multi-growth stages.

In addition, the effects of chlorophyll content difference among the four crop species on canopy spectra and VIs, discussed in Section IV-B, result in the different behaviour in LAI estimation. The maize and alfalfa LAI are better estimated by VI\textsubscript{Red-edge} [see Fig. 6(b), (e), and (h)] than by the VI [Fig. 6(b), (e), and (h)], because the red-edge reflectance is significantly affected by the chlorophyll content: the red-edge reflectance of maize is lower than that of wheat and barley, while the red-edge reflectance of alfalfa is higher than that of wheat and barley. Hence replacing the red band with red-edge in VIs loose the robustness of VIs against chlorophyll change. Fig. 6(c), (f), and (i) demonstrates that VI\textsubscript{red \& RE} better retrieved maize and alfalfa
LAI than $V_{\text{Red-edge}}$ and $V_{\text{red/RE}}$ improved the underestimation and overestimation problems of VIs, confirming that combining red and red-edge reflectance in $V_{\text{red/RE}}$ could improve the underestimation and overestimation problems, whilst remain a certain capability of robustness against chlorophyll change. Our results agreed with many researchers who revealed that some indices using red-edge bands in their formulation, however, proved to be less sensitive to differences among species [43], [49]. As the performance of empirical methods are case dependent, we suggest using our improved indices ($V_{\text{red/RE}}$) for the case of various chlorophyll content, e.g., various crop species and various growth stages. For complicated cases, a threshold method to choose among our improved indices, red-edge indices ($V_{\text{Red-edge}}$) and original indices ($VI$) may yield higher LAI estimation accuracy. For example, on the choice between NDVI and red-edge NDVI, Nguy-Robertson et al. selected NDVI = 0.7 as a threshold for their case of maize and soybean LAI estimation (NDVI saturates at 0.7) [55].

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed three improved VIs ($NDVI_{\text{red/RE}}$, $MSR_{\text{red/RE}}$, and $CI_{\text{red/RE}}$) combining the red and red-edge spectral region and validated them with the RapidEye satellite data and in-situ data over four crops at four growth stages. The predictive power of the three improved VIs and other six existing indices have been analyzed, including three red/green reflectance based indices (NDVI, MSR, and CI$_{\text{green}}$), three red-edge modified indices (NDVI$_{\text{Red-edge}}$, MSR$_{\text{Red-edge}}$, and CI$_{\text{Red-edge}}$), and three improved indices combining red and red-edge regions ($NDVI_{\text{red/RE}}$, $MSR_{\text{red/RE}}$, and $CI_{\text{red/RE}}$). The proportion between the red and red-edge reflectance that led to the best correlation between $V_{\text{red/RE}}$ ($NDVI_{\text{Red-edge}}$, MSR$_{\text{Red-edge}}$, and CI$_{\text{Red-edge}}$) and LAI was encountered at 0.4, which means using $0.4\,\rho_{\text{red}} + 0.6\,\rho_{\text{RE}}$ to replace $\rho_{\text{red}}$ in the formula of red/green reflectance based indices (NDVI, MSR, and CI$_{\text{green}}$). Under the comparison amongst the red/green reflectance based indices, the $V_{\text{Red-edge}}$ and the $V_{\text{red/RE}}$, the $V_{\text{red/RE}}$ achieved the most accurate LAI estimation, improving at least 10% the coefficient of determination achieved by $V_{\text{red-edge}}$. The improved indices $V_{\text{red/RE}}$ combining the red and red-edge reflectance, both of the spectral regions are strongly related to the physiological status of the plant, proved to be the most robust and stable for crop LAI estimation over a wide range of crop species and growth stages.

Such indices are of great potential for agricultural monitoring using sensors providing red-edge bands and high spatial resolution, such as RapidEye and the newly launched Sentinel-2. In view of delivering improved LAI products for environmental and agricultural applications, further research is planned in the directions of:

1) validation of the proposed VIs over a broader range of crops with field collected both LAI and chlorophyll content;
2) application and evaluation of more advanced plant parameter retrieval models.
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