



University of Technology, Sydney

**The Characterisation of Adipose Derived
Stem Cells on Coralline Scaffolds for
Bone Tissue Engineering.**

KRISHNEEL SINGH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy: Science

2018

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

Signature of Student:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date:

02/02/2017

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Dr Jerran Santos and Professor Bruce Milthorpe and Dr Matthew Padula, Professor Besim Ben Nissan and Dr Benjamin Raymond for their constant advice, support and willingness to ensure my progression throughout my PhD candidature. Words cannot describe how grateful I am to have their guidance and mentoring and I cannot thank them enough for all that they have done for me.

I would also like to give a special thank you to my family, for always being there for me and supporting me throughout my education, by either helping me commute to work or making sure that there was a nicely cooked dinner waiting for me at home when I returned. I would specifically like to thank my Mum and Dad for always checking up on me and making sure I was okay whenever I would not return home by getting too caught up with my PhD. You two have given up a lot for me to get to where I am and I will always be grateful for that.

I must also thank my fellow PhD students who really have grown on me over the past couple of years. The hardships of a PhD candidature is what empathically brought us together and you guys made sure we were all there for one another whenever we needed it the most. A huge thank you to Dr Jerran Santos, who was equally as good as a close friend than he was a supervisor, Dr Benjamin Raymond whose wonderful scientific expertise is only matched by his ability to lift weights, Michael Widjaja, Kate Harvey, Isabella Hajduk and Jacqueline Melvold, you lot have kept me smiling and laughing ever since we first met in honours and I don't think I will ever meet such a wonderful and caring group of people again in my life.. Thank you all for the great memories we shared.

I would also like to thank the young kids in the block, half of whom I can't spell their surnames, Samira Aillio, Megan Trung, Daniel Mediati, Marcelo Moreno, Veronica Jaricki, Iain Berry, Brendain Main, Ken Kensuma, Naomi Koh-Belic, Kayla Madonis,

Penny Dallas, George O'Rourke, Joel Barrat, Ethan Wyrsh and Jess Mckinnon, you kids are just too ridiculous and funny and thank you for always checking up on me.

I must thank our collaborators Dr Jerry Schwartz, David Bishop and Dr Innocent Macha for their contributions towards this PhD project. Finally, I must also thank the University of Technology, Sydney and the Australian Government for their financial support of my PhD Candidature.

Abbreviations

2-Dimensional-Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis	2D-SDS-PAGE
Adipose Derived Stem Cells	ADSCs
3,3'-diaminobenzidine	DAB
Bovine Serum Albumin	BSA
Beta-tricalcium phosphate	β -TCP
Calcium Carbonate	CC
Coralline hydroxyapatite	cHA
Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium	DMEM
Embryonic Stem Cells	ESCs
Extracellular Matrix	ECM
Fetal Bovine Serum	FBS
Hour	h
Hexamethyldisilazane	
Hydroxyapatite	HA
Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy	ICP-MS
Kilodalton	kDa
Liquid Chromatography – Tandem Mass Spectrometry	LC-MS/MS
Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization	MALDI
Phosphate Buffered Saline	PBS
Polyvinylidene Fluoride	PVDF
Rat Adipose Derived Stem Cells	rADSCs
Room Temperature	RT
Scanning Electron Microscopy	SEM

Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis	SDS-PAGE
Stromal Vascular Fraction	SVF
Time of Flight	TOF

Abstract

Skeletal injuries affect millions of people worldwide, making it one of the most common causes of severe chronic pain and physical disability while also being a heavy burden on Australian healthcare, costing approximately \$700 million a year. Over the past decades, biodegradable coralline biomaterials have been considered as an alternative implant material for bone regenerative therapy. This is because coralline materials have been found as being clinically advantageous due to their biocompatibility, osteoconductivity and scaffold resorbability. Additionally, coating coralline material with autologous stem cells is desirable for tissue ingrowth to occur rapidly as possible to provide the implant with structural integrity and eventual complete scaffold resorption. Adipose Derived stem cells (ADSCs) are considered promising biological tools for regenerative medicine as they are an accessible and abundant source of stem cells that have shown to be able to differentiate into bone tissue.

Recent *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies of coralline materials seeded with mesenchymal stem cells have produced conflicting results that range from demonstrating complete fracture repair to ineffective tissue regeneration [1-3]. This is because the underlying biological mechanism behind the clinically advantageous properties of coralline material is not well understood.

This PhD project has therefore been developed in order to address the problems outlined above. This work has investigated the effect of seeding rat adipose derived stem cells (rADSCs) and human adipose derived stem cells (hADSCs) onto biomimetic coralline scaffolds. The data presented here demonstrates that ADSCs can be successfully cultured onto coralline scaffolds, which provide a suitable microenvironment for ADSCs to proliferate. Additionally, the research I have undertaken shows that ADSCs seeded on coralline scaffolds undergo a proteomic change that resembles osteogenic cells, without the addition of any external osteoinductive factors.

This project also investigated the effects of different coralline scaffolds such as coralline carbonate, converted coralline hydroxyapatite (cHA), nanoporous cHA, macroporous cHA and high-density cHA on hADSCs where I showed that seeded cHA induced a stronger osteogenic response than seeded coralline calcium carbonate. Furthermore, I identified a unique immunomodulatory response from each seeded coralline scaffold that suggested a microenvironment rich in pro-inflammatory and pro-angiogenic factors which is a physiological feature commonly noted during *in vivo* fracture repair.

Overall this PhD project has contributed significantly to a wealth of biological knowledge about the effects of coralline scaffolds on ADSCs. Future work can utilise what is described here to either fabricate a coralline implant to harness the biological responses we have recorded or apply the data towards a safe and effective animal model for future therapeutic applications.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
Abbreviations	v
Abstract	v
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xiii
Chapter One: A Literature Review of Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Bone Tissue Engineering.....	1
1. Introduction	2
1.1 Bone diseases epidemiology	2
1.2 Current treatments.....	3
1.3 Physiological Process of Bone healing.....	7
1.4 Biomaterials in Tissue Engineering.....	10
1.5 Stem cells and tissue regeneration	21
1.6 Proteomics.....	28
1.7 Topics and Aims in this Thesis	38
Chapter Two: (Paper I): The Proteomic Comparison of Hydroxyapatite Seeded Rat Adipose Derived Stem Cells To Investigate Osteogenic Lineage	40
Declaration	41
2.1. Introduction.....	42
2.2 Materials and methods	44
2.3 Results.....	49
2.4 Discussion	67
2.5 Conclusion	71
Chapter Three: (Paper II): The Membrane Proteomic Comparison of Hydroxyapatite Seeded Rat Adipose Derived Stem Cells To Investigate Osteogenic Lineage	72
Declaration	73
3.1 Introduction.....	74
3.2 Methodology	76
3.3 Results.....	82
3.4 Discussion	100
3.5 Conclusion	103

Chapter Four: (Paper III): The <i>in vitro</i> characterisation of Multiple Coralline Scaffolds Seeded with Human Adipose Derived Stem Cells for Tissue Engineering.....	104
Declaration	105
4.1 Introduction	106
4.2 Methods.....	110
4.3 Results.....	116
4.5 Discussion	156
4.5 Conclusion	162
Chapter Five: Final Summary and Future Directions	164
5.1 The proteomic profiling of seeded coralline HA with rADSCs.....	166
5.2 The effect of coralline HA on the rADSC subproteome.....	169
5.3 The proteomic profiling of coralline carbonate, converted cHA, high density cHA, macroporous cHA and nanoporous cHA seeded with hADSCs.	171
Appendix.....	175
References.....	228

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: The subsequent stages of normal bone healing and repair.	9
The initial phases of acute inflammation and granulation occur during the first couple of weeks after the fracture to form a fibrous callus. Gradually, the fibrous callus breaks down over months to form the later stages of cartilage and bone callus, Finally, bone remodelling occurs over months to strengthen the newly formed bone.	9
Table 1.3. Ceramics commonly used in biomedical applications	14
Figure 1.2: A comparison of pore morphology between (A) coral sourced hydroxyapatite and (B) trabecular bone using MicroCT, illustrating the similar architectural resemblance between the two structures.	19
Figure 1.3: A schematic diagram showing levels of cellular transitions between each differentiation state for mesenchymal stem cells.	23
The diagram represents the main cell types involved during trans-differentiation and other cells involved in between each lineage step may not be present in the diagram.....	23
Figure 2.1 Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) showing the surface structure of cross-sections of the HA	50
Figure 2.2: Venn diagram of proteins identified between HA seeded ADSCs for 14 days	61
Figure 2.3: The GO annotation of the proteins shared between the three ADSC cohorts (Seeded HA Day 14, Seeded HA D30 and basal rADSC).	62
Figure 2.4: Venn diagram of proteins identified between seeded HA ADSCs for 30 days (Seeded HA Day 30), isolated primary osteoblasts (Rat Primary Osteoblasts) and basal ADSCs (Basal rADSCs).....	63
Figure 2.5. Micrographs of osteogenic assessment of rADSCs <i>in vitro</i>	65
Figure 2.6: Release of calcium (Ca^{2+}) from converted coralline hydroxyapatite in ADSC medium at allocated time points.	66
Figure 3.1: The associated cell viability between PBS and Trypsin treated rADSCs for 5mins, 10 mins, 15 mins and 20 mins	84
Figure 3.2: Western blot of biotin labelled rADSCs surface proteins against strepavidin-HRP...	85
Figure 3.3: The proteomic comparison of different membrane isolation techniques	86
Figure 3.4: Venn diagram of rADSC membrane proteins identified between seeded HA Day 30, basal rADSCs and rat primary osteoblasts	89
Figure 4.1: Venn diagram of proteins identified between Converted HA seeded ADSCs (Converted HA), unconverted coralline calcium carbonate seeded ADSCs and basal hADSCs	123
Figure 4.2: The annotated GO molecular function of proteins expressed after converted cHA treatment.....	124
Figure 4.3: The annotated GO molecular function of proteins expressed after unconverted coralline calcium carbonate treatment	125
Figure 4.4: Venn diagram of proteins identified between Macroporous cHA seeded hADSCs (Macroporous Coralline Hydroxyapatite) and basal hADSCs (hADSCs Day 30).	126
Figure 4.5: The annotated GO biological process of proteins expressed after macroporous cHA treatment.....	127
Figure 4.6: Venn diagram of proteins identified between Nano-porous cHA seeded hADSCs (Nano-Porous hydroxyapatite), Converted hydroxyapatite and basal hADSCs (hADSCs Day 30)	128
Figure 4.7: The annotated GO molecular function of proteins expressed after nanoporous cHA treatment.....	129
Figure 4.8: The annotated GO molecular function of proteins expressed after nanoporous cHA treatment.....	130

Figure 4.9: Venn diagram of proteins identified between High-Density HA seeded ADSCs (High Density hydroxyapatite) and basal hADSCs (hADSCs Day 30)	131
Figure 4.10: The annotated GO molecular function of proteins expressed after high density cHA treatment	132
Figure 4.11 Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) showing the surface structure and cross-sections of High Density cHA	148
Figure 4.12 Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) showing the surface structure and cross-sections of nanoporous cHA.	149
Figure 4.13 Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) at different magnifications showing the surface structure and cross-sections of Converted cHA.....	150
Figure 4.14 Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) showing the surface structure of cross-sections unconverted coralline calcium carbonate	151
Figure 4.15 Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) showing the surface structure of cross-sections macroporous cHA	152

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Mechanical properties of healthy adult human bone specified by their respective osseous type, Young's modulus, percentage of porosity, compression, flexion and tension resistance (Wu, Lee et al. 2009).....	9
Table 1.2: Commonly used polymers in the field of bone tissue engineering.....	12
Table 1.3. Ceramics commonly used in biomedical applications catergorised based on their production cost, biocompatibility, biodegradability and functional bone strength.	14
Table 1.4 Mechanical properties of bioceramics.....	14
Table 1.5: Summary of published mesenchymal stem cell proteomic studies based on the year of publication, type of proteomic methodology utilised and results obtained. This is only a collection of studies that have been covered in this review.	33
Table 1.6: A list of studies utilising proteomic methodologies to investigate the effect of HA on mesenchymal stem cells for bone tissue engineering The cell line investigated on a particular model is shown with the current methodology used. This is just a summary of published seeded HA proteomics articles.	35
Table 2.1. Listed are some of the proteins identified in the Seeded coralline HA proteome with their uniprot accession number, protein name, protein coverage identified (%) via MS and respective molecular function.	53
Table 2.2: Listed are some of the proteins identified to be shared between Day 14 and Day 30 Seeded rADSCs cohorts. The literature survey shows that the identified proteins are involved in adhesion to extracellular matrix proteins, attachment to the HA and proliferation.	58
Table 2.3. Listed are some of the proteins identified to be shared between rat primary osteoblasts and the Seeded HA Day 30 rADSCs with their respective uniprot accession number, protein name, protein coverage identified (%) via MS and molecular function	59
Table 3.1: Listed are some of the proteins identified to be shared between Seeded HA rADSC and primary rat osteoblast membrane proteins with their respective number of annotated transmembrane domains and associated description. Proteins without an annotated TM domain is highlighted with a "*" and has their role within the membrane explored through literature surveys.	90
Table 3.2: Listed are some of the proteins identified in the Seeded ADSC membrane proteome involved in osteogenic regulation with their respective number of annotated transmembrane domains and associated description. Proteins without an annotated TM domain is highlighted with a "*" and has their role within the membrane explored through literature surveys.	92
Table 4.1: <i>The type of coralline material utilised within this study with their respective genus source, pore size and stage of hydrothermal conversation before hADSC seeding. Their mechanical properties have been further evaluated in another study (Fraser, Russell et al. 2000).</i>	110
Table 4.2 Listed are the unique proteins identified from seeded converted cHA that are involved in calcium absorption. The proteins are sorted with their respective TrEMBL /Uniprot accession number, protein score, '%' coverage and a brief description of their role in bone morphogenesis.	133
Table 4.3 Listed are the unique proteins identified from seeded unconverted cHA that are involved in calcium binding. The proteins are sorted with their respective TrEMBL /Uniprot accession number, protein score, '%' coverage and a brief description of their role in bone morphogenesis.	135
Table 4.4 Listed are the unique proteins identified from seeded macroporous cHA that are involved in actin production and folding. The proteins are sorted with their respective TrEMBL /Uniprot accession number, protein score, '%' coverage and a brief description of their role in bone morphogenesis	137

Table 4.5: Listed are the unique proteins identified from seeded nanoporous cHA that are involved in calcium binding proteins. The proteins are sorted with their respective TrEMBL /Uniprot accession number, protein score, '%' coverage and a brief description of their role in bone morphogenesis	139
Table 4.6 Listed are the unique proteins identified from seeded high density cHA that are involved in osteo-regulation. The proteins are sorted with their respective TrEMBL /Uniprot accession number, protein score, '%' coverage and a brief description of their role in bone morphogenesis.....	141
Table 4.7 Listed are the unique proteins identified from seeded high density cHA that are involved in structural integrity protein and extracellular binding. <i>The proteins are sorted with their respective TrEMBL /Uniprot accession number, protein score, '%' coverage and a brief description of their role in bone morphogenesis</i>	143
Table 4.8 Listed are the unique proteins identified from seeded converted cHA that are involved in osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption. The proteins are sorted with their respective TrEMBL /Uniprot accession number, protein score, '%' coverage and a brief description of their role in bone morphogenesis	145
Table 4.9: The secretion profile of seeded converted cHA reported as either as high or moderate in concentration and sorted by their reported role within the literature. '*' represents a cytokines dual functionality.....	153
Table 4.10: The secretion profile of seeded unconverted cHA reported as either as high or moderate in concentration and sorted by their reported role within the literature. '*' represents a cytokines dual functionality.....	153
Table 4.11: The secretion profile of seeded nanoporous cHA reported as either as high or moderate in concentration and sorted by their reported role within the literature. '*' represents a cytokines dual functionality.....	154
Table 4.12: The secretion profile of seeded macroporous cHA reported as either as high or moderate in concentration and sorted by their reported role within the literature. '*' represents a cytokines dual functionality.	154
Table 4.13: The secretion profile of seeded High Density cHA reported as either as high or moderate in concentration and sorted by their reported role within the literature. '*' represents a cytokines dual functionality.....	155