

Large-Scale Continuous 2.5D Robotic Mapping

by

Liye Sun

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

at the

Centre for Autonomous Systems
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology Sydney

February 2018

Declaration of Authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signed:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date:

22/02/2018

Large-Scale Continuous 2.5D Robotic Mapping

by

Liye Sun

Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Abstract

Autonomous robotic systems require building representations of the environment in order to accomplish their particular tasks. Creating rich, continuous probabilistic maps is essential for the robot to perceive the world. As the complexity of the task increases, robots need more sensors or more data to build maps. Noisy and incomplete data is common for the robotic sensors outputs; Gaussian Process (GP), a flexible and powerful statistical model, has become a popular method to cope with the incompleteness of sensory information, incorporate and handle uncertainties appropriately and allow a multi-resolution representation of space. GP regression has been applied in robotic mapping to predict spatial correlations and fill in gaps in unknown areas across the field. The key component of GP for robotic mapping is that it captures spatial correlations and thus increases the accuracy of the representation when fusing data. When multiple sources of data are available, spatial correlations also can be used in fusion to improve accuracy. For large datasets, however, exploiting correlations can become prohibitively expensive. One attractive strategy for reducing storage and computational cost is submapping, which works by dividing the environment into small regions. If no information is shared between maps, submaps are statistically independent.

This thesis investigates how to effectively and efficiently model the necessary spatial correlations that are required to build accurate large-scale maps. Three near-optimal probabilistic mapping frameworks that exploit global and local strategies such as submapping are proposed. **SubGPBF**

applies submapping techniques imposing the conditional independence between submaps. It develops a novel approach to propagate information forward and backwards, which allows spatial correlations to be transferred between submaps after fusing sensor data only within submaps. **GMRF-BF** is a global mapping approach, which exploits the inherent structure of the recently proposed continuous Gaussian Markov Random Field (GMRF) and Bayesian fusion in information form, to model spatial correlations using a sparse information matrix. This leads to information-form Bayesian fusion that is linear in cost. To further increase computational efficiency, this thesis combines ideas from the previous two approaches (the GMRF model and the information-form submapping) to propose another new framework named **subGMRF-BF**. The forward and backward update algorithms formulated in information form are introduced to produce a highly efficient approach due to the conditional independence between submaps when assuming Gaussian distribution.

All three frameworks lend themselves to generate accurate 2.5D probabilistic maps at high resolution. They can handle varying noise from disparate sensor sources and incorporate spatial correlations in a statistically sound way. They are all efficient in memory requirements as there is no need to recover the full covariance matrix or information matrix.

The performance of the three frameworks was evaluated on one controlled terrain elevation dataset and a real water pipe thickness dataset. Five other methods, including one optimal global (fully correlated) method and one without spatial correlations, were used to benchmark the proposed methods. The experiments show that the accuracy, reliability and consistency are improved when the spatial correlations are correctly modelled and incorporated. The experiments also show that all three frameworks achieve storage and computational gain compared with the fully correlated benchmark approach, while subGMRF-BF outperforms all others.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. Jaime Valls Miro and Dr Teresa Vidal-Calleja, for being enthusiastic in guiding my research, for the freedom to learn and explore exciting topics, and for their patience. I hope I continue to collaborate with you in the future.

I own many thanks to our research centre - Centre for Autonomous Systems (CAS). CAS is a creative, cooperative, smart research centre and also a warm family to me. I met so many knowledgeable people and made so many good friends in CAS, and they have accompanied me through the past three-and-a-half years. It has been great living in beautiful Sydney and spending time with all of them.

Special thanks to Kasra Khosoussi, Maani Ghaffari Jadidi, Teng Zhang and Linh Van Nguyen for the numerous and helpful discussions. Thanks to my friend and colleague Lei Shi, who acted like a big brother to me and guided me through a lot in both study and life. Thanks to my dear friend Raphael Falque, who has been a close friend and been involved in the same industrial project with me for three years. Thanks to Lakshitha Dantanarayana a.k.a. "Lakipedia", for his friendship and vast knowledge on nearly everything I wonder about.

In addition, many thanks to my friends and labmates Liang Zhao, Wenjie Lu, Kanzhi Wu, Dao-bilige Su, Buddhi Wijerathna, Nalika Ulapane, Andrew To, Mahdi Hassan, Phillip Quin, Antony Tran, Alen Alempijevic, Bradley Skinner, Alexander Virgona, Dinuka Abeywardena, Lasitha Piyathilaka, James Poon, Cédric Le Gentil, Karthick Thiyagarajan, and many others.

I thank my parents and my husband, Kanzhi, for their unconditional love and care. I would not have made it this far without them. It is also a unique and great experience that Kanzhi and I had been sitting in seats face-to-face or back-to-back with each other in CAS lab for two years. We support each other in both life and study. The only thing I regret is that we never had a chance to jointly work on a publication that has both of our names on it.

Contents

Declaration of Authorship	iii
Abstract	v
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xv
List of Algorithms	xvii
Acronyms	xix
Nomenclature	xxiv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Research Problems and Scope	3
1.3 Main Contributions	5
1.4 Thesis Structure	6
1.5 Publications	8
2 Related Work	11
2.1 Localisation and Mapping	11
2.2 Probabilistic 2.5D Mapping with Statistical Tools	13
2.2.1 Probabilistic Mapping	13
2.2.2 Gaussian Processes for Probabilistic Mapping	15
2.2.3 Gaussian Markov Random Fields for Probabilistic Mapping	16
2.3 Probabilistic Fusion for 2.5D Mapping	18
2.4 Efficient Approximation Methods	20
2.4.1 Gaussian Processes Approximations	20
2.4.2 Bayesian Committee Machine	21
2.4.3 Submapping Techniques	23
2.4.4 Tree data structures	23
2.4.5 Markovian Approximation	24

2.5	Links to the Proposed Work	24
2.6	Summary	27
3	Background Theories and Techniques	29
3.1	Symbols and Notation	29
3.2	Probability Theory Preliminary	30
3.2.1	Some Basic Concepts and Rules	30
3.2.2	Multivariate Normal Distribution	32
3.2.3	Independence and Conditional Independence	33
3.2.4	Probabilistic Graphical Models	34
3.2.5	Linear Gaussian Systems	35
3.3	Gaussian Processes	36
3.3.1	Definition	37
3.3.2	GP Regression and Model Selection	37
3.3.3	Covariance Function	41
3.3.4	GP Software	43
3.4	Gaussian Markov Random Fields and the SPDE approach	43
3.4.1	Definition	43
3.4.2	GMRF Regression and the SPDE approach	45
3.4.3	GMRF Software	46
3.5	Bayesian Data Fusion for Linear Gaussian Systems	47
3.5.1	Covariance-form Bayesian Fusion	47
3.5.2	Naïve Bayesian Fusion	48
3.5.3	Information-form Bayesian Fusion	49
3.6	GPBF: GP and Bayesian Fusion for 2.5D Mapping	50
3.6.1	Problem Statement	50
3.6.2	Approach	51
3.7	Summary	52
4	Gaussian Processes for Bayesian Fusion with Conditionally Independent 2.5D Submapping	53
4.1	Problem Statement and Approach Overview	54
4.2	SubGPBF: the Incremental CI Submapping Approach	55
4.2.1	The Graphical Model and CI Submaps	55
4.2.2	GP for Prior Mapping	59
4.2.3	Spatially Correlated Bayesian Fusion	59
4.2.4	Forward Update	60
4.2.5	Backward Update	63
4.3	Comparison	66
4.3.1	Forward Update and Backward Update	66
4.3.2	Forward Update and Augmentation	66
4.4	Variants and Applications	66
4.4.1	Adapting the Forward Update Algorithm to Prediction	67
4.4.2	Adapting SubGPBF to Sequential Mapping with One Data Source	68

4.5	Summary	68
5	Gaussian Markov Random Fields for Bayesian Fusion and 2.5D Mapping	71
5.1	Problem Statement and Approach Overview	72
5.2	GMRF-BF: the Information-form Global Mapping Approach	73
5.2.1	GMRF-SPDE for Prior Mapping	73
5.2.2	Information-form Bayesian Fusion with Correlations	74
5.2.3	Map Recovery	75
5.3	Summary	76
6	Gaussian Markov Random Fields for Bayesian Fusion with Conditionally Independent 2.5D Submapping	77
6.1	Problem Statement and Approach Overview	78
6.2	SubGMRF-BF: the Incremental CI Submapping Approach	81
6.2.1	The Graphical Model and Sparsity of Information Matrix	81
6.2.2	GMRFs for Prior Mapping and Correlated Bayesian Fusion	81
6.2.3	Information-form Forward Update	82
6.2.4	Information-form Backward Update	84
6.2.5	Map Recovery	86
6.3	Summary	86
7	Experimental Results	87
7.1	Experimental Procedure	87
7.1.1	Comparison Approaches	87
7.1.2	Datasets and Sensor Information	89
7.1.2.1	Terrain Dataset (Synthetic Noisy Data)	89
7.1.2.2	Pipe Wall Thickness Dataset (Real Experimental Data)	89
7.1.3	Evaluation	91
7.2	Results	101
7.2.1	Qualitative Evaluation	101
7.2.2	Quantitative Evaluation	103
7.3	Summary	106
8	Conclusion	107
8.1	Final Remarks	107
8.2	Limitations of the Research	110
8.3	Future Work	111
A	Bayesian Fusion for Linear Gaussian Systems	113
B	Proof of the Forward Update Algorithm	117
C	Proof of the Forward Update Algorithm: An Alternative Way	121
D	Proof of the Backward Update Algorithm	123

E	The Explicit Link between GMRF-SPDEs and Matérn GPs	127
F	Proof of the Information-Form Forward Update Algorithm	131
G	Proof of the Information-Form Backward Update Algorithm	137
H	Application of SubGPBF to Mapping with One Large Data Set	143
	Bibliography	149

List of Figures

1.1	2D view of pipe wall thickness maps.	2
1.2	Overall thesis structure.	7
3.1	Schematic representation of a Bayes network showing three sets of nodes.	35
3.2	Flowchart of the GPBF framework.	51
4.1	Synthetic terrain datasets.	54
4.2	Flowchart of the proposed subGPBF framework.	56
4.3	2D demonstration of subGPBF.	57
4.4	Schematic representation of all CI submaps.	57
5.1	Flowchart of the proposed GMRF-BF framework.	72
6.1	Flowchart of the proposed subGMRF-BF framework.	79
6.2	Schematic representation of the entries that are calculated during submapping.	80
7.1	The synthetic terrain datasets and groundtruth.	90
7.2	Pipes' remaining wall thickness maps in 2D view.	91
7.3	Results of GPBF on elevation map	92
7.4	Results of SparseGPBF on elevation map	92
7.5	Results of GMRF-BF on elevation map	93
7.6	Results of GPBF-BCM on elevation map	93
7.7	Results of CCIS on elevation map	94
7.8	Results of SubGPBF on elevation map	94
7.9	Results of ICIS on elevation map	95
7.10	Results of SubGMRF-BF on elevation map	95
7.11	Results of NaïveGPBF on elevation map	96
7.12	Results of GPBF on pipe wall thickness map	96
7.13	Results of SparseGPBF on pipe wall thickness map	97
7.14	Results of GMRF-BF on pipe wall thickness map	97
7.15	Results of GPBF-BCM on pipe wall thickness map	98
7.16	Results of CCIS on pipe wall thickness map	98
7.17	Results of SubGPBF on pipe wall thickness map	99
7.18	Results of ICIS on pipe wall thickness map	99
7.19	Results of SubGMRF-BF on pipe wall thickness map	100
7.20	Results of NaïveGPBF on pipe wall thickness map	100

List of Tables

3.1	Examples of some covariance functions $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$	41
7.1	Computational complexity of all compared methods	102
7.2	Computational time of terrain data (in seconds)	103
7.3	Computational time of pipes' wall thickness data (in seconds)	104
7.4	RMSE \pm std of terrain data in the 5-run Monte-Carlo simulation (in metre)	104
7.5	RMSE of pipe wall thickness data (in mm)	105

List of Algorithms

1	SubGPBF	58
2	Forward update	62
3	Backward update	65
4	SubGPBF for one dataset	69
5	SubGMRF-BF	80
6	Information-form forward update	83
7	Information-form backward update	85

Acronyms

1D	One-Dimensional. xvii, 112
2.5D	Two-and-a-half Dimensional. xvii, 1, 13–16, 18, 25, 38, 50, 53, 75–78, 86, 107, 128
2D	Two-Dimensional. xvii, 2, 15, 16, 24, 50, 54, 74, 75
3D	Three-Dimensional. xvii, 1, 16, 22, 25, 74, 89, 112
ARD	Automatic Relevance Determination. xvii
ASV	Autonomous Surface Vehicle. xvii
AUC	the Area Under the receiver operating characteristic Curve. xvii
BCM	Bayesian Committee Machine. xvii, 6, 21, 22, 26, 87, 101
CAS	Centre for Autonomous Systems. vii, xvii
CCIS	Covariance-form Conditionally Independent Submapping. xvii, 8
CDED	Canadian Digital Elevation Data. xvii, 89
CI	Conditionally Independent. xvii, 4–8, 25, 26, 34, 53, 55, 58, 59, 62–64, 66, 68, 69, 77, 78, 80, 81, 83, 84, 86, 96, 105, 107, 108

CI property	Conditional Independence property. xvii, 4–6, 25, 30, 44, 58, 59, 61, 64, 69, 77, 78, 82, 83, 85, 86, 108–110, 117, 123, 131, 137, 145, 146
CML	Concurrent Mapping and Localisation. xvii
COM	Continuous Occupancy Map. xvii
DAG	Directed Acyclic Graph. xvii, 34
DEM	Digital Elevation Model. xvii
DGM	Directed Graphical Model. xvii, 34, 55
EK	Expected Kernel. xvii
EKF	Extended Kalman Filter. xvii, 12, 13, 48, 66
ESM	Expected Sub-Map. xvii
GM	Graphical Model. xvii, 34, 44, 55
GMRF	Gaussian Markov Random Field. vi, x, xvii, 4–8, 15–17, 24, 25, 27, 43–47, 52, 72–74, 76, 77, 80–82, 86, 93, 94, 101, 105, 108–110
GMRF-BF	Gaussian Markov Random Fields for Bayesian Fusion. xvii, 3–6, 8, 25, 26, 71, 72, 75–78, 88, 96, 99, 101–103, 105, 106, 108–111
GMRF-SPDE	Gaussian Markov Random Field via the Stochastic Partial Differential Equation. xvii, 17, 43, 45, 46, 71–73, 91, 93, 109, 110
GP	Gaussian Process. v, x, xvii, 2–7, 15, 16, 18–22, 24–27, 29, 36–43, 50–55, 59, 60, 63, 68, 69, 71, 73, 76, 88, 91, 93, 101, 105, 107, 109–112, 143, 144
GPBF	Gaussian Processes for Bayesian Fusion. xvii, 7, 24–26, 29, 50–52, 72, 76, 77, 87, 88, 96, 101–103, 105, 107, 111, 112
GPIS	Gaussian Process Implicit Surfaces. xvii, 112

GPOM	Gaussian Processes Occupancy Map. xvii, 16
GRF	Gaussian Random Field. xvii, 16, 17, 43, 45, 46, 71, 127
GT	Groundtruth. xvii, 90, 91
HMM	Hidden Markov Model. xvii
i-backwardUpdate	Information-form Backward Update. xvii
i-correlateFusion	Information-form correlated Bayesian fusion. xvii
i-forwardUpdate	Information-form Forward Update. xvii
I-GPOM	Incremental Gaussian Processes Occupancy Map. xvii
ICIS	Information-form Conditionally Independent Submapping. xvii, 8
iff	if and only if. xvii, 33, 34, 38, 44
iid	independent and identically distributed. xvii, 38, 67, 90
INLA	Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation. xvii, 45
KLD	Kullback-Leibler Divergence. xvii
MAP	Maximum a Posteriori. xvii, 12, 48, 51, 59, 72, 74
MCMC	Markov chain Monte Carlo. xvii, 45
MDP	Markov Decision Process. xvii
MRF	Markov Random Field. xvii, 16, 17, 24
MSE	Mean Squared Error. xvii, 39
MVN	Multivariate normal. xvii, 4–6, 32, 37, 38, 44, 45, 52, 55, 60, 61, 67, 82, 101, 108, 110, 111, 125

NDT	Non Destructive Testing. xvii
NLML	Negative Log of the Marginal Likelihood. xvii
OGM	Occupancy Grid Map. xvii
PD	Positive Definite. xvii
pdf	Probability Density Function. xvii, 30, 32, 44, 54, 60, 61, 81, 84, 123, 144
POMDP	Partially Observable Markov Decision Process. xvii
PSD	Positive Semi-Definite. xvii
RMSE	Root Mean Squared Error. xvii, 105
ROC	Receiver Operating Characteristic. xvii
ROS	Robot Operating System. xvii
SE	Squared Exponential. xvii, 41, 42
SEIF	Sparse Extended Information Filter. xvii, 4
SLAM	Simultaneous Localisation And Mapping. xvii, 4, 6, 11–13, 25, 27, 48, 58, 66
SPDE	Stochastic Partial Differential Equation. xvii, 17, 45, 47, 76, 81, 127
subGMRF-BF	Gaussian Markov Random Fields for Bayesian Fusion with Conditionally Independent 2.5D Submapping. xvii, 3, 6, 8, 25–27, 75, 78, 86, 96, 101, 102, 105, 106, 108–112
subGPBF	Gaussian Processes for Bayesian Fusion with Conditionally Independent 2.5D Submapping. xvii, 3, 6–8, 25, 53–55, 65–69, 73, 75–78, 86, 96, 101, 102, 105, 106, 108–112

UGM	Undirected Graphical Model. xvii, 55
w.r.t.	with respect to. xvii, 40, 44
WGP	Warped Gaussian Process. xvii
WGPOM	Warped Gaussian Processes Occupancy Map. xvii

Nomenclature

\approx	approximately equal
$\mathcal{O}(\cdot)$	big O notation, which characterizes the growth rates of functions; for functions f and g on \mathbb{R} , we write $f(n) = \mathcal{O}(g(n))$ if the ratio $f(n)/g(n)$ remains bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$
θ	vector of hyperparameters
μ_b^a	the mean estimate μ of variable b given observation a
ξ_{s_1}	state vector variables of submap s_1
$\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x})$	\mathbf{x} is distributed according to distribution $p(\mathbf{x})$
\mathbf{y}_{-ij}	the set of variables \mathbf{y} = except y_i, y_j
\perp	independent
$\text{Cov}[\cdot]$	covariance of random variables/vectors
$\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$	expected value of a random variable
\Longleftrightarrow	if and only if
\mathbb{R}	the real numbers
\mathbf{x}	a column vector
$\mathcal{N}_c(\boldsymbol{\eta}, Q)$	equals to $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$, where $Q = \Sigma^{-1}$, $\boldsymbol{\eta} = Q\boldsymbol{\mu}$
$\text{tr}(A)$	trace of matrix A

\propto	proportional to
\sim	distributed according to
\top	transpose operation
\triangleq	an equality which acts as a definition
$\mathbb{V}[\cdot]$	variance of a random variable
$\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$	a set that equals to $\{x_i, \dots, x_n\}$
I_n	an identity matrix of dimension $n \times n$
$k(\cdot, \cdot)$	covariance function of Gaussian processes
s_1^+	the updated estimate of s_1^-
s_1^-	the prior estimate of submap s_1
s_1^{++}	the updated estimate of s_1^+
X	a matrix, or a matrix of training inputs
x	a scalar
X^*	a matrix of test inputs
X^\top	the transpose of matrix X
X^{-1}	the inverse of matrix X
x_i	the i -th element of \mathbf{x}
iff	if and only if