

**PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR FULL-
SCALE APPLICATION OF HYBRID
FORWARD OSMOSIS SYSTEM:
ASSESSMENT THROUGH PILOT-SCALE
EXPERIMENTS AND FULL-SCALE
SIMULATIONS**

by

JUNGEUN KIM

A Thesis submitted in fulfilment for the degree of
Doctoral of Philosophy



**School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology Sydney (UTS),
New South Wales, Australia**

April 2018

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledge within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. I also acknowledge that this study was supported by Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature of Candidate

JUNGEUN KIM

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I owe my deepest appreciation and gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Sherub Phuntsho (principal supervisor) and Prof. Hokyong Shon (alternate/co-supervisor). Without their continuous optimism concerning this work, enthusiasm, encouragement and support, this study would hardly have been completed. I also express my warmest appreciation to Dr. Laura Chekli, Dr. Leonard Tijing, Dr. Gaetan Blandin and A/Prof. Pierre Le Clech who gave insightful comments and suggestions.

Furthermore, I would like to offer my special tanks to Dr. Mohammed Johir. He made enormous contribution to my experimental works. I would also like to thank the following people for their invaluable support, encouragement, and friendship; Tahir Majeed, Soleyman Sahebi, Fouzy Lotfi, Sungil Lim, Yunchul Woo, Youngkwon Choi, Yunju Jo, Van Huy Tran, Syed Muztuza Ali, Ralph Gonzales, Nirenkumar Pathak, Hyojin Yoon, Sohyun Lee, Heajin Park, and Heejeong Lee.

Last but not the least, my heartfelt appreciation goes to all my family members, my father Seokdong Kim, mother Jaeim Woo and younger sister Jihye Kim who have been extraordinarily tolerant and supportive during the entire course of my PhD. I would also extend my gratitude to my husband Myoungjun Park. Without his guidance and persistent help, this thesis would not have been possible.

JOURNAL ARTICLES PUBLISHED OR SUBMITTED**

1. ***Kim, J. E.**; Phuntsho, S.; Ali, S. M.; Choi, J. Y.; Shon, H. K., Forward osmosis membrane modular configurations for osmotic dilution of seawater by forward osmosis and reverse osmosis hybrid system, *Water research* **2018**, *128*, 183-192.
2. ***Kim, J. E.**; Phuntsho, S.; Chekli, L.; Choi, J. Y.; Shon, H. K., Life cycle assessment of hybrid FO-RO/NF system with selected inorganic draw solutes for the treatment of saline impaired water, *Desalination* **2018**, *429*, 96-104.
3. ***Kim, J. E.**; Phuntsho, S.; Chekli, L.; Hong, S.; Ghaffour, N.; Leiknes, T.; Choi, J. Y.; Shon, H. K., Environmental and economic impacts of fertilizer drawn forward osmosis and nanofiltration hybrid system. *Desalination* **2017**, *416*, 76-85.
4. **Kook, S.; **Kim, J.E.**; Kim, S.-J.; Lee, J.; Han, D.; Phuntsho, S.; Shim, W.-G.; Hwang, M.; Shon, H. K.; Kim, I. S., Effect of initial feed and draw flowrates on performance of an 8040 spiral-wound forward osmosis membrane element. *Desalination and Water Treatment* **2017**. In press.
5. **Chekli, L.; **Kim, J. E.**; El Saliby, I.; Kim, Y.; Phuntsho, S.; Li, S.; Ghaffour, N.; Leiknes, T.; Kyong Shon, H., Fertilizer drawn forward osmosis process for sustainable water reuse to grow hydroponic lettuce using commercial nutrient solution. *Separation and Purification Technology* **2017**, *181*, 18-28.
6. ***Kim, J.E.**; Blandin, G.; Phuntsho, S.; Verliefde, A.; Le-Clech, P.; Shon, H., Practical considerations for operability of an 8" spiral wound forward osmosis module: Hydrodynamics, fouling behavior and cleaning strategy. *Desalination* **2017**, *404*, 249-258.
7. **Phuntsho, S.; **Kim, J. E.**; Hong, S.; Ghaffour, N.; Leiknes, T.; Choi, J. Y.; Shon, H. K., A closed-loop forward osmosis-nanofiltration hybrid system: Understanding process implications through full-scale simulation. *Desalination* **2017**, *421*, 169-178.
8. *Chekli, L.; Phuntsho, S.; **Kim, J. E.**; Kim, J.; Choi, J. Y.; Choi, J.-S.; Kim, S.; Kim, J. H.; Hong, S.; Sohn, J., A comprehensive review of hybrid forward osmosis systems: Performance, applications and future prospects. *Journal of Membrane Science* **2016**, *497*, 430-449.
9. **Sahebi, S.; Phuntsho, S.; **Kim, J. E.**; Hong, S.; Shon, H. K., Pressure assisted fertiliser drawn osmosis process to enhance final dilution of the fertiliser draw

solution beyond osmotic equilibrium. *Journal of Membrane Science* **2015**, *481*, 63-72.

10. **Majeed, T.; Phuntsho, S.; Sahebi, S.; **Kim, J. E.**; Yoon, J. K.; Kim, K.; Shon, H. K., Influence of the process parameters on hollow fiber-forward osmosis membrane performances. *Desalination and Water Treatment* **2015**, *54*, (4-5), 817-828.
11. **Majeed, T.; Sahebi, S.; Lotfi, F.; **Kim, J. E.**; Phuntsho, S.; Tijing, L. D.; Shon, H. K., Fertilizer-drawn forward osmosis for irrigation of tomatoes. *Desalination and Water Treatment* **2015**, *53*, (10), 2746-2759.
12. **Kim, J. E.**; Phuntsho, S.; Lotfi, F.; Shon, H. K., Investigation of Pilot-Scale 8040 Fo Membrane Module under Different Operating Conditions for Brackish Water Desalination. *Desalination and Water Treatment* **2015**, *53*, (10), 2782-2791.

BOOK CHAPTERS

1. Phuntsho, S.; **Kim, J. E.**; Majeed, T.; Lotfi, F.; Sahebi, S.; Shon, H. K., "Fertiliser Drawn Forward Osmosis Desalination for Fertigation." In *Forward Osmosis: Fundamentals and Applications*; Edited by Hk Shon Et Al.: American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). ISBN: 9780784414071, 2015.
2. Shon, H. K.; Chekli, L.; Phuntsho, S.; **Kim, J. E.**; Cho, J., "Draw Solutes in Forward Osmosis Process." In *Forward Osmosis: Fundamentals and Applications*; Edited by Hk Shon Et Al.: American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE): ISBN: 9780784414071, 2015.

Publications made during the PhD candidature including articles not entirely related to the Thesis. *Articles related to the Thesis.**

CONFERENCE PAPERS AND PRESENTATIONS

1. **Kim, J. E.;** Ali, S. M.; Phuntsho S.; Choi J. Y.; Shon H. K., Spiral wound forward osmosis membrane module for the osmotic dilution of seawater using wastewater, 8th IWA Specialised Membrane Technology Conference (IWA-MTC 2017), 4-9 September 2017, Oral Presentation.
2. **Kim, J. E.;** Phuntsho S.; Shon H. K., Fertiliser drawn forward osmosis process: Pilot-scale desalination of mine impaired water for fertigation, International Forward Osmosis Summit (IFOS), 2-4 December 2016, *Awarded the Best Poster Presentation*.
3. **Kim, J. E.;** Phuntsho S.; Shon H. K., Fertiliser drawn forward osmosis process: Pilot-scale desalination of mine impaired water for fertigation, International Water Association (IWA), 9-13 October 2016, Poster Presentation.
4. **Kim, J. E.;** Phuntsho S.; Chekli L.; Hong S. K.; Ghaffour N.; Leiknes T.; Shon H. K., Life cycle assessment of fertiliser drawn forward osmosis and nanofiltration hybrid system, The 5th IWA Regional Conference on Membrane Technology (IWA-RMTC 2016), 22-24 August 2016. Oral Presentation.
5. **Kim, J. E.;** Phuntsho S.; Hong S. K.; Ghaffour N.; Leiknes T.; Choi J. Y.; Shon H. K., Environmental and economic assessment of fertilizer drawn forward osmosis and nanofiltration hybrid system for desalination of mine impaired water, Desalination for the Environment: Clean Water and Energy (EDS), 22-26 May 2016. Oral Presentation.
6. **Kim, J. E.;** Phuntsho S.; Shon H. K., Environmental and economic assessment of fertilizer drawn forward osmosis and nanofiltration hybrid system, North American Membrane Society (NAMS), 12-25 May 2016. Oral Presentation.
7. **Kim, J. E.;** Phuntsho S.; Shon H. K., A comparative life cycle assessment of fertilizer drawn forward osmosis and nanofiltration (FO-NF) hybrid system for mine impaired water desalination, International Desalination Workshop (IDW), 18-21 November 2015, *Awarded the Best Poster Presentation*.
8. Phuntsho S.; **Kim J. E.;** Hong S. K.; Chanan A.; Randall D.; Elimelech M.; and Shon H. K., Pilot-scale pressure assisted fertiliser drawn forward osmosis for fertigation, International Conference on Emerging Water Desalination Technologies in Municipal and Industrial Applications, 28-29 August 2015. Oral Presentation.

9. Phuntsho S.; **Kim J. E.**; Shon H. K.; Fertilizer drawn forward osmosis process: Pilot-scale desalination of mine impaired water for fertigation, International Conference on Materials for Advanced Technologies, 26-29 July 2015. Oral Presentation.
10. Phuntsho S.; **Kim J. E.**; Park M. J.; Shon H. K., Pilot-scale fertilizer driven forward osmosis desalination and graphene oxide incorporated thin-film composite forward osmosis membrane, International Conference on Desalination using Membrane Technology, 28 June-03 July 2015. Oral Presentation.
11. **Kim J. E.**, 'Pilot-scale low-energy forward osmosis process for brackish water desalination' Presentation at Faculty of Engineering & IT Showcase, 2013. **Awarded best poster presentation award.**
12. **Kim J. E.**, 'Pilot-scale low-energy forward osmosis process for brackish water desalination' Presentation at Faculty of Engineering & IT Showcase, 2013. **Awarded best oral presentation award (The best innovation prize).**
13. **Kim J. E.**, Sherub Phuntsho, Ho Kyong Shon. 'Pilot-scale nanofiltration system as post-treatment for fertiliser-drawn forward osmosis desalination for direct fertigation' Presentation at International Desalination and workshop (IDW), Jeju, Korea, 28-31 October 2012. **Awarded best oral presentation award.**

Presentations made during the Ph.D. candidature including oral and poster presentations.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AOP	Advanced oxidation process
BWRO	Brackish water reverse osmosis
CA	Cellulose acetate
CAPEX	Capital expense
CC	Membrane cleaning chemicals
CNT	Carbon nanotube
CP	Concentration polarization
CS	Corrugated spacer
CTA	Cellulose triacetate
DDS	Diluted draw solution
DI water	Deionized water
DS	Forward osmosis
EC	Electrical conductivity
EC	Energy
ECP	External concentration polarisation
ED	Electrodialysis
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EP	Eutrophication
ET	Ecotoxicity
FDFO	Fertiliser driven forward osmosis
FMR	Fossil fuel and mineral resource
FO	Forward osmosis
FS	Feed solution
GAC	Granular activated carbon
GO	Graphene oxide
GW	Global warming
HF	Hollow fibre
HTI	Hydration technology innovations
HTI	Human toxicity
ICP	Internal concentration polarisation

IP	Interfacial polymerization
LCA	Life cycle assessment
LCI	Life cycle inventory
LCIA	Life cycle impact assessment
LPRO	Low-pressure reverse osmosis
MBC	Membrane brine concentrator
MBR	Membrane bioreactor
MD	Membrane distillation
MDC	Microbial desalination cells
MED	Multi-effect distillation
MF	Microfiltration
MNPs	Magnetic nanoparticles
MR	Membrane replacement
MS	Medium spacer
MSF	Multi-stage flash
MW	Molecular weight
NF	Nanofiltration
OD	Osmotic dilution
OD	Ozone depletion
OMBR	Osmotic membrane bioreactor
OPEX	Operational expense
PA	Polyamide
PAA	Polyacrylic acid
PAFO	Pressure-assisted forward osmosis
PAO	Pressure-assisted osmosis
PAspNa	Poly aspartic acid sodium salt
PBI	Polybenzimidazole
PES	Polyethersulfone
PET	Polyethylene terephthalate
PRO	Pressure retarded osmosis
PSf	Polysulfone
PV	Photovoltaic
rGO	Reduced graphene oxide

RO	Reverse osmosis
ROSA	Reverse osmosis system analysis
RSF	Reverse solute flux
RSS	Red sea salt
SEC	Specific energy consumption
SOA	Ammonium sulphate or $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$
SRSF	Specific reverse solute flux
SRT	Solids retention time
SWFO	Spiral wound forward osmosis
SWRO	Seawater reverse osmosis
TDS	Total dissolved solids
TFC	Thin-film composite
TFI	Thin-film inorganic
TFN	Thin-film nanocomposite
TMA	Trimethylamine
TOC	Total organic carbon
TREG	Triethyleneglycol
TrOCs	Trace organic compounds
UF	Ultrafiltration
VMD	Vacuum membrane distillation
WHO	World health organization
WPT	Wastewater treatment plant
ZLD	Zero-liquid discharge

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Pure water permeability coefficient	$\text{Lm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}\text{bar}^{-1}$
μ	Dynamic viscosity	
B	Salt permeability coefficient	ms^{-1}
C	Molar solute concentration	Moles or M
C_{D0}	Initial draw solution concentration	Moles or M
C_{Db}	Bulk draw solution concentration	Moles or M
C_{F0}	Initial feed solution concentration	Moles or M
C_{Fb}	Bulk feed solution concentration	Moles or M
D	Solute diffusivity	m^2s^{-1}
D_D	Diffusion coefficient of the draw solute	m^2/s
D_F	Diffusion coefficient of the feed solute	m^2/s
d_h	Hydraulic diameter	m
D_h	Diffusion coefficient of the feed channel	m^2/s
J_s	Salt flux	$\text{gm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$ or $\text{mmolesm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$
J_w	Water flux	$\text{Lm}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$
k	Mass transfer coefficient	
K	Solute resistivity	sm^{-1}
L	Length of channel	m
M	Molar concentration of the solution	Moles or M
M_w	Molecular weight	Mole/g
P	Applied pressure	Bar
Q_{D0}	Initial draw flow rate	L/min
Q_{F0}	Initial feed flow rate	L/min
Q_p	Permeate flow rate	L/min
R	Salt rejection	%
Re	Reynolds number	
RR_{FO}	Forward osmosis feed recovery	%
S	Structural parameter	m
Sc	Schmidt number	
Sh	Sherwood number	

Π	Osmotic pressure	bar
$\Delta\Pi$	Net osmotic pressure	
$\Pi_{D,b}$	Bulk draw osmotic pressure	bar
$\Pi_{D,m}$	Osmotic pressure at support layer	bar
$\Pi_{F,b}$	Bulk feed osmotic pressure	bar
$\Pi_{F,m}$	Osmotic pressure at active layer	bar
ρ	Solution density	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	iii
JOURNAL ARTICLES PUBLISHED OR SUBMITTED**	iv
CONFERENCE PAPERS AND PRESENTATIONS.....	vi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xxii
ABSTRACT	xxix
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Research motivation.....	5
1.2.1. Water reuse as a solution for water scarcity problems	5
1.2.2. Desalination for safe water supply	5
1.3. Need for cost-effective water technologies.....	6
1.4. Objectives and the research scope	6
1.5. Structure of the study	7
CHAPTER 2	10
LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1. Introduction	11
2.2. Forward osmosis process	11

2.2.1. Classification of osmotic agent DS.....	12
2.2.2. Development of forward osmosis membranes	16
2.3. Sustainability of forward osmosis hybrid systems	23
2.3.1. Seawater and brackish water desalination	26
2.3.1.1. Hybrid systems for the recovery of DS.....	26
2.3.1.2. FO as an advanced desalination pre-treatment process.....	31
2.3.2. Hybrid FO systems as an alternative to conventional desalination process	34
2.3.3. Wastewater treatment	41
2.3.3.1. OMBR-RO hybrid systems	41
2.3.3.2. Other hybrid systems for wastewater treatment	43
2.3.4. Simultaneous wastewater treatment and seawater desalination	49
2.4. Environmental and economic life cycle assessment of hybrid FO systems	53
 CHAPTER 3	 58
 MATERIALS AND METHODS	 58
3.1. Introduction	59
3.2. Experimental procedure and operating conditions	59
3.2.1. Chemicals and solutions used	59
3.2.1.1. Feed solutions for the forward osmosis process	59
3.2.1.2. Feed solutions for the nanofiltration and reverse osmosis processes	60
3.2.1.3. Draw solutions for the forward osmosis process	60
3.2.2. Membranes and their characteristics.....	61
3.2.2.1. Forward osmosis (FO) membranes	61
3.2.2.2. Reverse osmosis (RO) and Nanofiltration (NF) membranes.....	62
3.2.3. Bench-scale experimental set-up	65
3.2.3.1. Bench-scale FO system	65
3.2.3.2. Bench-scale NF/RO systems	67
3.2.3.3. Pilot-scale FO with NF experimental set-up	69
3.3. Analytical methods for the solution samples.....	71
3.3.1. Speciation and osmotic pressure of the solutions used	71
3.3.2. Determination of the reverse diffusion of draw solutes	71

CHAPTER 4	73
------------------------	-----------

HYBRID FORWARD OSMOSIS AND NANOFILTEATION SYSTEM: PILOT-SCALE DESALINATION OF MINE IMPAIRED WATER FOR FERTIGATION.....	73
---	-----------

4.1. Introduction	74
4.2. Materials and Method.....	74
4.2.1. Location and source of saline water	74
4.2.2. Fertiliser draw solution.....	77
4.2.3. Operation of pilot-scale FDFO-NF desalination system	78
4.2.4. Water quality monitoring and the test fertigation.....	80
4.3. Results and discussion.....	81
4.3.1. Process optimisation study	81
4.3.2. Long-term operation of the FDFO process	83
4.3.3. Operation of the nanofiltration process	89
4.3.4. Test fertigation of turf grass and potted tomato plants.....	92
4.3.5. Implications of solute fluxes in a closed loop FDFO-NF system	95
4.4. Concluding remarks.....	99

CHAPTER 5	100
------------------------	------------

LIFE CYCLE ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF FORWARD OSMOSIS AND NANOFILTRATION HYBRID SYSTEM	100
--	------------

5.1. Introduction	101
5.2. Materials and Methods	102
5.2.1. Life cycle assessment of hybrid desalination systems	102
5.2.1.1. Life cycle inventory (LCI) analysis	103
5.2.1.2. Methodology of life cycle impact assessment.....	111
5.2.2. Sensitivity analysis	112
5.2.3. Hybrid process design conditions	116
5.2.3.1. Conventional RO hybrid desalination processes	116
5.2.3.2. FDFO-NF hybrid desalination process	117

5.3. Results and discussion.....	119
5.3.1. Environmental impact assessment of desalination hybrid systems.....	119
5.3.2. Economic analysis: Operation expenditure (OPEX)	122
5.3.3. Sensitivity analysis of the FDFO-NF hybrid system	124
5.4. Concluding remarks.....	130
CHAPTER 6	132
ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT OF HYBRID FO-RO/NF SYSTEM WITH SELECTED INORGANIC DRAW SOLUTES FOR THE TREATMENT OF MINE IMPAIRED WATER	132
6.1. Introduction	133
6.2. Materials and Methods	135
6.2.1. Bench-scale FO experiments	135
6.2.2. Full-scale simulation of FO, RO and NF processes	137
6.3. Environmental and economic life cycle assessment	140
6.3.1. Environmental impact assessment	140
6.3.2. Economic life cycle assessment	145
6.4. Results and discussion.....	149
6.4.1. Draw solute performances.....	149
6.4.2. Evaluation of the DS reconcentration in RO and NF processes.....	150
6.4.3. Environmental impact assessment of FO hybrid systems	151
6.4.3.1. Baseline environmental life cycle assessment.....	151
6.4.3.2. Impact of operational adjustment of FO-NF hybrid system.....	153
6.4.3.3. Impact of FO brine disposal on environmental potential.....	154
6.4.4. CAPEX and OPEX cost evaluation	157
6.5. Concluding remarks.....	161
CHAPTER 7	163

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR OPERABILITY OF SPIRAL WOUND FORWARD OSMOSIS MODULE: HYDRODYNAMICS FOULING BEHAVIOUR AND CLEANING STRATEGY.....	163
7.1. Introduction	164
7.2. Materials and Methods	167
7.2.1. Spiral wound FO membrane module	167
7.2.2. Feed and draw solutions	169
7.2.3. Pilot-scale system and experimental procedure.....	169
7.2.4. Fouling cycles and cleaning experimental procedure.....	172
7.3. Results and discussion.....	173
7.3.1. Impact of operating conditions on module hydrodynamics	173
7.3.1.1. Impact of feed and draw flow rates on pressure-drop (without permeation)	173
7.3.1.2. Impact of feed and draw channel pressurisation on pressure-drop	176
7.3.2. Relative contribution of hydraulic pressure to permeation flux	178
7.3.3. Fouling behaviour in SW FO modules and impact on hydraulic performance	180
7.3.4. Fouling reversibility by osmotic backwash	184
7.4. Concluding remarks.....	186
 CHAPTER 8	 187
 FORWARD OSMOSIS MEMBRANE MODULAR CONFIGURATIONS FOR OSMOTIC DILUTION OF SEAWATER BY FORWARD OSMOSIS AND REVERSE OSMOSIS HYBRID SYSTEM	 187
8.1. Introduction	188
8.2. Materials and Methods	189
8.2.1. Spiral wound FO membrane element	189
8.2.2. Feed and draw solutions	190
8.2.3. Pilot-scale experimental procedure	190
8.2.4. Determination of pure water permeability and salt rejection	191

8.3. Results and discussion.....	193
8.3.1. Correlation between the operational parameters of an FO module operation.....	193
8.3.2. Determination of possible element arrangement options in series.....	198
8.3.2.1. Simulating the variations of flow rate and pressure along the FO module	198
8.3.2.2. Exploring different FO element arrangement scenarios: sensitivity analysis.....	202
8.3.3. Performance simulations of the different modular options.....	204
8.3.4. Implications of the configuration options	208
8.4. Concluding remarks.....	211
CHAPTER 9	213
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	213
9.1. Conclusions.....	214
9.1.1. Long-term module-scale operation of forward osmosis and nanofiltration hybrid system in the field.....	214
9.1.2. Environmental and economic feasibility of the FO hybrid system	215
9.1.3. Different types of inorganic draw solutes in the life cycle assessment of the FO hybrid systems	215
9.1.4. Spiral wound forward osmosis membrane module operation: hydrodynamics, fouling behavior and cleaning strategy	217
9.1.5. FO membrane module configuration options for osmotic dilution of seawater by FO-RO hybrid system	218
9.2. Recommendations	219
REFERENCES.....	224

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2 - 1. Classification of draw solutes and characteristic of the different types of DS	12
Table 2 - 2. Physiochemical properties and experimental water flux of organic and inorganic based draw solutes tested as DS. Adapted from (Achilli et al. 2010; Akther et al. 2015; Chekli et al. 2012)	15
Table 2 - 3. Recent advancement of FO membranes. Adapted and modified from Akther et al. (2015).....	18
Table 2 - 4. Different spiral wound module tested for pilot-scale FO operation. Adapted from (Blandin, Verliefe, et al. 2016)	22
Table 2 - 5. A comparison of different configurations of hybrid FO systems	25
Table 2 - 6. Summary of hybrid FO desalination systems.....	36
Table 2 - 7. Summary of hybrid FO systems for wastewater treatment	46
Table 2 - 8. Quantitative comparison of total energy consumption, total capital costs and space footprint for the different configurations and conventional SWRO plant (adapted from (Sim et al. 2013a)).....	50
Table 3 - 1. List of chemicals used as draw solutes.....	61
Table 3 - 2. Basic properties of the membranes used in experiments. The material composition is as provided by the manufacturer.....	63
Table 4 - 1. Characteristics of the saline water from a water treatment plant show for one typical sample (1 st long term operation cycle) together with the standard deviation of twelve collected samples presented in the brackets.....	77
Table 4 - 2. Characteristics of the feed water and diluted DS before and after the FDFO experiments. The average feed rejection rates (R) for each ion were determined based on the average concentrations of each ion in the initial and final DS. The standard deviation of all the six samples is provided in the brackets). (FS _i : initial feed solution,	

FS_F: final feed solution, DS_F=final draw solution, *R*: feed rejection rate, SRSF: specific reverse solute flux). The osmotic pressure of the two types of saline feed water presented in Table 1 was calculated using the ROSA software (Version 9.1, Filmtec DOW™ Chemicals, USA). 89

Table 4 - 3. Characteristics of NF permeate using diluted fertiliser DS as the feed. The standard deviation of all the six samples is provided in the brackets. 92

Table 4 - 4. Types of water used for test fertigation of crops. Hunter water was the tap water delivered to the pilot site in the water taker..... 95

Table 5 - 1. Characterisation of mine impaired feed water for all hybrid systems and NF feed and permeate water for the NF process in the FDFO-NF hybrid system. 106

Table 5 - 2. Operational phase of life cycle inventories (LCI) for all hybrid processes. 107

Table 5 - 3. Environmental impact categories used in LCIA (Bengtsson et al. 2010; Fritzmann et al. 2007; Hancock et al. 2012; PRé-Consultants 2008). 111

Table 5 - 4. Parameters included in the sensitivity analysis. 114

Table 5 - 5. Flux modelling adapted in this study for FO full-scale simulation. 115

Table 5 - 6. Input parameters used for FO flux estimation based on the pilot operation data. 116

Table 5 - 7. The hybrid process design conditions used in this study and the process simulation results. 119

Table 6 - 1. Characterization of DSs used for FO and feed solution for RO and NF experiments 136

Table 6 - 2. Input parameters for each draw solution used for FO process simulation. 136

Table 6 - 3. Simulation equations for a continuous close-loop FO-RO and FO-NF hybrid systems (Deshmukh et al. 2015; Phuntsho, Kim, Johir, et al. 2016; Shaffer et al. 2012). 139

Table 6 - 4. Manufacturer specifications of RO and NF membranes used in this study.....	140
Table 6 - 5. Life cycle inventory data for all unit processes.....	142
Table 6 - 6. Economic values used in cost analysis for desalination processes (Australian dollar).....	146
Table 6 - 7. Typical cost parameters for desalination plant, values of FO hybrid plant were estimated based on the literature, simulation and optimization of currently available desalination plant (100,000 m ³ /day).	148
Table 6 - 8. FO experimental water flux (J_w), RSF (J_s), and SRSF (J_s/J_w) behaviours using 1 M DSs with BGW as FS in the FO process.	149
Table 6 - 9. ROSA software simulation results of RO and NF processes using different RO and NF membrane modules (Version 9.1, Filmtech Dow Chemicals, USA).	150
Table 7 - 1. Specifications of two spiral wound forward osmosis membrane modules employed in this study.	168
Table 7 - 2. HTI CTA and Toray TFC FO membrane properties (i.e., water and salt (NaCl) permeability coefficients and rejection of the active layer, the structural parameter of the support layer and membrane thickness).....	168
Table 7 - 3. Sea Salt of 35 g/L prepared in Milli-Q Water (Blandin et al. 2013).....	169
Table 7 - 4. Experimental conditions for module hydrodynamic tests.....	170
Table 7 - 5. Comparison of specific reverse salt flux (SRSF, J_s/J_w) behaviour in pilot-scale FO and PAO processes using two different SW FO modules: CTA and TFC....	180
Table 8 - 1. Input data for the performance simulation of FO and PAO processes.	189
Table 8 - 2. Six different modular configuration options for sensitivity analysis.....	204

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - 1. Structure of the research.....	9
Figure 2 - 1. Flow direction in a spiral wound module modified for FO applications. The feed stream flows through the central tube into the inner side of the membrane envelope and the draw stream flows in the space between the rolled envelopes. Figure adapted from (Mehta 1982).....	22
Figure 2 - 2. Overview of distribution of applications and integrated systems	24
Figure 2 - 3. A design of quasi-continuous temperature driven FO desalination with a semi-IPN hydrogel coated onto the outside surface of the FO hollow fiber membranes (adapted from (Cai et al. 2013). Apart from the energy needed to pump the saline water feed through the lumen of the hollow fibers, the periodic temperature modulation within 15 °C (e.g., between 25 and 40 °C) is essentially the only driving force for desalination in this configuration. This temperature difference can be readily obtained using warm air generated from industrial waste heat	30
Figure 2 - 4. Comparative estimation of energy cost for SWRO and hybrid FO-LPRO	33
Figure 2 - 5. Schematic of an OD-RO hybrid process plant for simultaneous treatment of wastewater and seawater desalination (DS: Draw solution; FS: Feed solution; RO: Reverse osmosis; WW: Wastewater).....	52
Figure 2 - 6. ONE Desal project overview: From lab-scale development and optimization to hybrid FO-RO plant operation	57
Figure 3 - 1. Schematic diagram of a spiral wound forward osmosis (FO) module showing the direction of water in the module	64
Figure 3 - 2. Schematic diagram of a 4040 spiral wound reverse osmosis (RO) and nanofiltration (NF) module showing the direction of water in the module	64
Figure 3 - 3. Feed solutions for the nanofiltration and reverse osmosis processes	66

Figure 3 - 4. Bench-scale pressure based membrane processes experimental setup. (a) Schematic drawing of the bench scale NF/RO unit and (b) a photo of bench-scale NF/RO unit.....	68
Figure 3 - 5. A schematic diagram of (a) FO process, (b) NF process and (c) a photo of pilot-scale FDFO-NF hybrid system installed at University of Technology Sydney (UTS)	70
Figure 4 - 1. Location of the pilot-scale FDFO-NF desalination testing site at the Centennial Coalmine site under the State of NSW, Australia.....	76
Figure 4-2. Schematic diagram of the FDFO-NF desalination system used for pilot-scale testing in the field.	80
Figure 4 - 3. Variations of the performance parameters during the FDFO pilot unit process optimisation process. (a) Water flux and cumulative extracted volume with time, (b) DS concentrations or EC at the inlet/outlet and the dilution factor with time, (c) feed TDS or EC and feed recovery rates with time and (d) water flux under different feed flow rates. Initial DS and FS volumes are 200 L and 5,000 L respectively.	83
Figure 4 - 4. Performance of the FDFO desalination process on longer run cycles. (a) Variation of water flux with operation time and (b) the variation of water flux with the cumulative volume of water extracted during the batch operation process showing together the baseline fluxes before and after cleaning of the FO membrane and the picture showing algae growth in the feed water tank during the cycle 4 of the operation. Baseline fluxes were conducted using 0.5 M SOA as DS and tap water as FS at a feed crossflow rate of 4.2 m ³ h ⁻¹	86
Figure 4 - 5. Performance of the NF process as post-treatment using the diluted fertiliser DS from the FDFO desalination process as NF feed water. Variations of the (a) specific NF permeate flux and (b) NF permeate electrical conductivity with the cumulative increase in the NF feed concentration (diluted fertiliser) during the batch NF operation process.....	92
Figure 4 - 6. Visual monitoring of the turf grass during the entire period of the test fertigation at the Davos turf farm	93

Figure 4 - 7. Potted tomato plants at the various stages of the growth during test fertigation using four different types of test water 95

Figure 4 - 8. Implications of solutes transfer through the FO and NF membranes assessed based on the (a) expected variations of the draw solute concentrations in the FDFO feed concentrate/brine at different FDFO feed recovery rates where the NH_4^+ and SO_4^{2-} concentrations in the brine was calculated using the relationship [$\text{SRSF} \times \text{RR}/(1-\text{RR})$] (RR is the feed recovery rate) and (b) expected variations of the feed solute (NaCl) concentrations in the concentrated SOA DS under different FO and NF rejection rates. For simulation, NaCl feed rejection of CTA FO membrane at $R_{\text{FO}}=87.6\%$, SRSF of NaCl was assumed at 0.46 g/L (She et al. 2012), for $R_{\text{FO}}=90\%$, SRSF was assumed at 0.327 g/L (Ren et al. 2014) and the NF feed recovery rate was assumed about 84%... 98

Figure 5 - 1. Boundaries of the coal mine impaired water desalination system for all hybrid systems – life cycle inventory (LCI) for environmental and economic impact assessment. 103

Figure 5 - 2. Modelled water flux as a function of experimental water flux. The modelled water flux was calculated using Equation. 3 in Table 5-5. Feed concentration was constant at 1.66 bars (feed water was converted to the concentration of NaCl and calculated the osmotic pressure using OLI software). The draw solution concentration varied. The feed rate was 70 L/min, the draw flow rate was 7 L/min, and temperature was 25°C..... 113

Figure 5 - 3. Schematic diagram of hybrid desalination systems of (a) MF-RO (b) UF-RO and (c) FDFO-NF. N.B. P: Pump and HP: High pressure pump. 118

Figure 5 - 4. Relative contribution analysis of (a) three operational components for each hybrid system and (b) of four hybrid processes for three main components under six impact categories. FDFO-NF with CTA and TFC and an average water flux was around 3 and 10 LMH, respectively. Three operational components refer to membrane materials, electricity, and chemicals. 122

Figure 5 - 5. (a) Cost contribution analysis of three main operational components for four hybrid systems and (b) specific cost contribution analysis of FDFO-NF with CTA

and TFC hybrid systems. MR, EC, and CC refer to the costs of membrane replacement, energy consumption, and cleaning chemicals. 124

Figure 5 - 6. Unit OPEX cost of the FDFO-NF using (a) CTA and (b) TFC FO membrane modules as a function of FO average water fluxes and FO module cost variation (AUD\$200-AUD\$1,500). Plant capacity 100,000 m³/day. The average flux ($J_{ave.}$) of the FDFO-NF (CTA) refers to 3, 6, 8, and 25 LMH and that of the FDFO-NF (TFC) refers to 10, 11, 20, and 25 LMH..... 127

Figure 5 - 7. Sensitivity analysis of FDFO-NF (CTA and TFC) OPEX costs as a function of NF process recovery rates. The FO module cost was assumed to be \$1,250/module in 2016, and the average FO water fluxes were estimated based on the closed-loop mass-balance simulation of the FDFO-NF hybrid system..... 129

Figure 6 - 1. Thermodynamic properties of draw solutions used in this study, calculated using OLI Stream Analyser 3.2 (OLI Systems, Inc., Morris Plains, NJ) (a) Osmotic pressure, (b) viscosity (c) electrical conductivity and (d) diffusivity as a function of molar concentration of the four draw solutions at 25 °C. 137

Figure 6 - 2. A schematic diagram of a closed-loop FO and RO/NF hybrid system operation. *MgSO₄ was excluded for a simulation of the post-treatment processes due to its poor performance in the FO process (see Section 6.4.1)..... 141

Figure 6 - 3. Specific parameters for cost estimation for desalination processes..... 146

Figure 6 - 4. Relative contribution analysis of various components of the FO-RO and FO-NF hybrid systems with DSs to global warming impact (a) without DS replenishment and (b) with DS replenishment in FO hybrid systems. 152

Figure 6 - 5. Initial and optimised (a) energy use and (b) global warming impact for FO-NF hybrid systems with different DSs. Target data: final product concentration of 100 mg/L TDS and brine concentration of 0.6 M NaCl (i.e. seawater osmotic pressure). . 154

Figure 6 - 6. The impact of FO brine disposal on (a) energy and (b) global warming per unit of water produced for each hybrid system with different DSs. FO brine disposal energy was calculated based on the optimised conditions of FO hybrid system: final

product concentration of 100 mg/L TDS and brine concentration of 0.6 M NaCl (i.e. seawater osmotic pressure).....	156
Figure 6 - 7. (a) Life cycle cost analysis (\$/m ³ water produced) and (b) impacts of SRSF on the OPEX cost of each hybrid desalination system based on a plant capacity of 100,000 m ³ /d. The SRSF was down to 0.01 g/L. The RO and NF permeates were assumed to be the same for all hybrid systems (≈ 500 mg/L).....	159
Figure 6 - 8. Total water cost of the FO-NF90 hybrid system with different DSs (NaCl, MgCl ₂ , and Na ₂ SO ₄) to produce 100,000 m ³ /d.	161
Figure 7 - 1. Schematic diagram of the pilot-scale FO experimental set up and illustration of 8040 spiral wound FO modules: (a) CS CTA and (b) MS TFC (CS: corrugated feed spacer and MS: medium diamond shape feed spacer).	170
Figure 7 - 2. Variation of NaCl concentration with NaCl conductivity.....	172
Figure 7 - 3. Effect of feed and draw cross-flow velocities on pressure build-up in CTA (a and b) and TFC (c and d) modules. Tap water was used as FS and DS.	175
Figure 7 - 4. Impact of feed inlet pressure on the feed and draw channel pressurisation with (a) CTA and (b) TFC modules. Feed cross-flow velocity was constant at 0.18 m/s for CTA and 0.37 m/s for TFC, while the draw flow cross-flow velocities for CTA and TFC modules were 0.04 and 0.09 m/s, respectively. Tap water was used as FS and DS.	177
Figure 7 - 5. Comparison of flux behaviour in pilot-scale FO and PAO processes using two different SW FO modules. Experimental conditions: feed flow rate: 0.18 and 0.37 m/s for CTA and TFC, respectively, draw flow rate: 0.04 m/s for CTA and 0.09 m/s for TFC, and applied pressure in PAO: 1, 2 and 2.5 bar, 35 g/L RSS as DS and tap water as FS.....	179
Figure 7 - 6. Effect of organic foulant in feed solution on FO fouling of CTA (a and b) and TFC (c and d) modules. (a) and (c) water flux (J _w) as a function of permeate volume (L); (b) and (d) permeate volume (L) and recovery rate (R) as a function of operation time. Fouling experiments were conducted using 35 g/L RSS as DS and feed fouling	

solution prepared by addition of 1.2 g/L RSS, 0.22 g/L CaCl₂, 0.2 g/L alginate, 0.2 g/L humic acid. 182

Figure 7 - 7. Feed inlet pressure change with CTA and TFC modules. Fouling experiments were conducted using 35 g/L RSS as DS and feed fouling solution prepared by addition of 1.2 g/L RSS, 0.22 g/L CaCl₂, 0.2 g/L alginate, 0.2 g/L humic acid..... 183

Figure 7 - 8. (a) Variation of reverse water flux and feed pressure drop with osmotic backwash operation time, (b) effect of osmotic backwash and physical cleaning on the feed inlet pressure recovery and (c) total organic carbon (TOC, mg/L) concentration as a function of the water volume flushed (L). Physical cleaning with maximum feed cross-flow velocity of 0.44 and 0.91 m/s for CTA and TFC, respectively was performed for 5 min using tap water. The TOC of the feed was around 94 mg/L. 185

Figure 8 - 1. Validation of pilot experimental flux and predicted flux for FO TFC membrane module under (a) FO and (b) PAO operations. 193

Figure 8 - 2. (a) Variations of average water flux and feed and draw solution concentrations along the number of elements and (b) variation of feed and draw inlet pressures (this is related to the feed and draw flow rates of the first element) as a function of feed and draw flow rates. This relationship data was obtained from pilot-scale FO operations. FO experimental conditions: 0.6 M NaCl as DS (seawater), 0.02 M NaCl as FS (wastewater), room temperature, and co-current cross-flow condition..... 197

Figure 8 - 3. (a) Variations of the initial flow rates along the DS channel and feed and draw inlet pressures with the number of elements under different (b) feed and (c) draw flow rates. 201

Figure 8 - 4. Schematic of possible modular design options of the FO process with the integration of PAO process. N.B. WW: Wastewater, SW: Seawater..... 203

Figure 8 - 5. Variations of the feed and draw inlet pressures, P_{FS} and P_{DS} (a) in options 1, 2, and 3 and (b) in options 4, 5, and 6, (c) the cumulative permeation flow rate, Q_P , and (d) the final diluted DS concentration, C_{DDS} under different module design options as shown in Figure 8-4. 207

Figure 8 - 6. Evaluation of (a) total membrane area required (m^2) and (b) specific energy consumption (kWh/m^3) of different FO hybrid process options.....	210
Figure 9 - 1. Diagram of the progress of development of a commercial FO simulation software.....	222
Figure 9 - 2. Developed Matlab graphical user-friendly interface (GUI) FO simulation software.....	223

ABSTRACT

Forward osmosis (FO) has recently emerged as one of the most promising low energy technologies for desalination and water reclamation. The FO process is based on the principle of natural osmotic process driven by the concentration difference between a concentrated draw solution (DS) and saline water (i.e. feed water, FS) across a semipermeable membrane. In the FO process, fresh water is extracted from the saline water using special osmotic membranes and the concentrated DS becomes diluted. The membrane fouling problem in FO process is less challenging than the reverse osmosis (RO) process mainly as the FO process operates in the absence of high hydraulic pressure, and this is one of the important operational benefits for FO process application in terms of energy. However, the lack of a desirable DS has limited the application of FO desalination for producing drinking water quality. When a normal inorganic salt solution is used as DS, the recovery of draw solutes from the diluted DS require additional subsequent processes that still require energy and this makes FO unattractive compared to the existing RO desalination technology.

The objectives of this study are therefore to investigate the performances of the hybrid FO systems mainly through pilot-scale operations and simulation for different applications, identify its limitations, evaluate its environmental impacts and conduct economic analysis. The Thesis has been presented in nine chapters that include an assessment of the performance of selected draw solutes under a closed-loop system, practical applicability of FO hybrid system through both simulation and module-scale experiments, and development of a simulation software to design FO process for optimum performance. Most of the chapters are in part or in whole already published during the course of this Ph.D. candidature as listed at the beginning of this Thesis.

Considering the challenges of the FO process for potable water desalination, a novel concept of fertilizer drawn forward osmosis (FDFO) has been introduced. In this process, a highly concentrated fertilizer solution is used as the DS to extract water from saline water sources or any impaired water source using a semi-permeable membrane by natural osmosis. The main advantage of the FDFO desalination process is that the final product water or the diluted fertilizer DS, can be used for direct fertigation and thus the separation

of draw solutes is not necessary. However, due to intrinsic process limitations, the diluted fertilizer DS may not meet the water quality standards for direct fertigation especially when feed water sources with high salinity are used. The final diluted DS may require additional dilution before it is suitable for the direct application and the dilution factor can be quite significant depending on the feed water salinity. To reduce the salt concentration of the diluted DS, the nanofiltration (NF) process has been suggested as one of the post-treatment process options to reduce fertilizer nutrient concentrations in the diluted fertilizer DS. The concept of the integrated FDFO desalination process with NF membrane has been evaluated in bench-scale experiments in the earlier studies. However, in this study, this concept has been demonstrated in a larger-scale in the field.

The pilot-scale FDFO and NF system was operated in the field for about six months for the desalination of saline groundwater from the coal mining activities. Although the FO flux can be significantly lowered when high turbidity feed water is used, however; our long-term operation of the FO pilot-scale indicates that simple hydraulic cleaning could effectively restore the water flux without the need for a rigid chemical cleaning. The NF post-treatment process did not experience any noticeable fouling or scaling issues due to the excellent quality of feed water produced by the FDFO process. Test fertigation of the turfgrass and potted tomato growth indicates that FDFO-NF desalination system can produce water quality that meets irrigation standard. However, FO membrane with higher reverse flux selectivity than the cellulose triacetate FO membrane used in this study is needed for scale-up operation of the FDFO desalination process. The reverse diffusion of draw solutes will be one of the biggest challenges of the FDFO process as the nitrogen concentration in the final concentrated brine may not satisfy the effluent discharge standards. Low FO feed rejection may also likely to result in the gradual build-up of feed solutes (such as Na^+ and Cl^-) in fertiliser draw solution during repetitive recycling of the draw solution by the subsequent NF process consequently affecting the final water quality in terms of Na^+ and Cl^- which can be detrimental to the whole process.

Based on the long-term operational data of the FDFO-NF desalination process, environmental and economic impacts of the FDFO-NF hybrid system were conducted and compared with conventional RO hybrid scenarios using microfiltration (MF) or

ultrafiltration (UF) as a pre-treatment process. The results showed that the FDFO-NF hybrid system using thin film composite forward osmosis (TFC) FO membrane has a less environmental impact than the conventional MF or UF based RO hybrid systems due to lower consumption of energy and cleaning chemicals. The energy requirement for the treatment of mine impaired water by the FDFO-NF hybrid system was 1.08 kWh/m³, which is 13.6% less energy than an MF-RO and 21% less than UF-RO hybrid system under similar feed conditions. In a closed-loop system, the FDFO-NF hybrid system using a TFC FO membrane with an optimum NF recovery rate of 84% had the lowest unit operating cost of AUD \$0.41/m³. Given the current relatively high price and low flux performance of the cellulose triacetate (CTA) and TFC FO membranes, the FDFO-NF hybrid system still holds opportunities to lower the operating expenditure further in the future when high performance membranes are available in the market.

In addition, environmental and economic life cycle assessment (LCA) was carried through the simulation of a full-scale closed-loop FO and RO or NF hybrid system for selecting the most suitable DS. Baseline environmental LCA showed that the dominant components for energy use and global warming are the DS recovery processes (i.e., RO or NF processes) and FO membrane materials, respectively. When considering the DS replenishment in the FO process, the contribution of chemical use to the overall global warming impact was significant for all hybrid systems. Furthermore, from an environmental perspective, the FO-NF hybrid system with Na₂SO₄ shows the lowest energy consumption and global warming with additional considerations of final product water quality and FO brine disposal. From an economic perspective too, the FO-NF with Na₂SO₄ showed the lowest total operating cost due to its lower DS loss and relatively low solute cost. In a closed-loop system, FO-NF with NaCl and Na₂SO₄ as DS had the lowest total water cost at optimum NF recovery rates of 90 and 95%, respectively. Overall, draw solute performances and membrane cost in FO and recovery rate in RO/NF play a crucial role in determining the total water cost and environmental impact of FO hybrid systems in a closed-loop operation.

The operation of a large spiral wound forward osmosis (SW FO) module operation is essential to provide a better understanding and practical insight for a full-scale FO

desalination plant. Therefore, two different 8” SW FO modules (i.e. 8040 CTA and TFC FO membrane modules) were investigated for their module-scale operations in terms of hydrodynamics, operating pressure, water and solute fluxes, fouling behavior and cleaning strategy. FO membrane module operation results indicated that, a significantly lower initial DS flow rate is essential in order to lower the pressure drop and also maintain lower pressure within the DS channel as exceeding the DS pressure above the feed pressure would undermine the integrity of the FO membrane. Under FO and pressure assisted osmosis (PAO, up to 2.5 bar) operations, the TFC FO membrane module featured higher water flux and lower reverse salt flux compared to the CTA FO membrane module. The fouling tests with both the FO membrane modules demonstrated that foulant deposition caused feed inlet pressure build-up, indicating that the FO fouling deposition likely occurred in the feed channel rather than on the membrane surface and the location of foulant deposition.

Performance of an FO hybrid system was evaluated for osmotic dilution of seawater using wastewater effluent as a feed source for simultaneous desalination and water reuse based on 8040 FO membrane module-scale experiments and the extrapolated empirical relationship. The main limiting criteria for module operation is to always maintain higher feed pressure than the draw pressure throughout for safe module operation. The study showed that a single membrane housing cannot accommodate more than 4 elements as the draw pressure exceeds the feed pressure. Six different FO modular configurations were proposed and simulated. A two-stage FO configuration with multiple housings (in parallel) in the second stage using same or larger spacer thickness reduces draw pressure build-up as the draw flow rates are reduced to half in the second stage thereby allowing more than 4 elements in the second stage housing. The lower values for feed pressure (pressure drop) and osmotic driving force in the second stage are compensated by operating under the pressure assisted osmosis (PAO) mode which helps enhance permeate flux and maintains positive pressure differences between the feed and draw chamber. The PAO energy penalty is compensated by enhanced permeate throughput, reduced membrane area, and plant footprint. The contribution of FO/PAO to total energy consumption was not significant compared to post RO desalination (90%) indicating that the proposed two-stage FO modular

configuration is one way of making the full-scale FO operation practical for FO-RO hybrid system.

This thesis finally concludes with recommendations to develop high-performance membranes in terms of solute rejections, permeability and improved fouling resistance for its long-term performances. Improving the solute rejections in the form of low specific reverse solute flux is very important in order to eliminate the issue of brine contamination with the draw solutes especially containing fertilizer nutrients which becomes detrimental for brine management and discharge. High feed solute rejection is essential which otherwise would accumulate in the draw solution in a closed-loop FO-RO/NF hybrid system thereby undermining the product water quality. The current design of spiral wound FO membrane module also needs rethinking. There is a need to significantly improve the packing density of the FO membrane element in order to reduce its footprint and the capital cost since its current packing density is only about a third of the RO membrane element. The module also needs to improve its operational robustness as the current module has significant operational challenges in terms of pressure drop. Finally, the thesis recommends developing a simulation software that can be used for the full or module-scale FO process design and system analysis. A brief structural framework on the desing of the software also has been provided.