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Abstract
Background: The current study aimed to examine the psychometric properties of an attributional style measure that can be administered remotely, to people who have multiple sclerosis (MS).
Methods: A total of 495 participants with MS were recruited. Participants completed the Attributional Style Questionnaire-Survey (ASQ-S) and two comparison measures of cognitive variables via postal survey on three occasions, each 12 months apart. Internal reliability, test-retest reliability and congruent validity were considered.
Results: The internal reliability of the ASQ-S was good (α > 0.7). The test-retest correlations were significant, but failed to reach the 0.7 set. The congruent validity of the ASQ-S was established relative to the comparisons.
Conclusions: The psychometric properties of the ASQ-S indicate that it shows promise as a tool for researchers investigating depression in people with MS and is likely sound to use clinically in this population.
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Introduction
One of the most influential psychological theories of depression is the reformulated theory of learned helplessness [Abramson et al. 1978]. This theory proposes that the attributions people make regarding events that have an impact upon them, may make individuals vulnerable to the development of depression. Most particularly, the theory places significance on the causes that people assign to a negative event. If an individual routinely interprets the causes of negative events as affecting a large range of situations in their life (i.e. a cause that has a global impact) and as due to factors that are recurrent or long-lived (i.e. a cause that is stable over time) that individual is considered more likely to become depressed. Attributional style is considered a trait variable and has been demonstrated as consistent for up to 5 decades [Burns and Seligman, 1989]. Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a demyelinating neuropathy of autoimmune system origin that can profoundly affect an individual’s day-to-day function. It is commonly a deteriorative condition. The reformulated learned helplessness theory would appear particularly relevant to people with MS, who likely experience a greater number of negative life events/stressors due to their condition. Indeed the applicability of this theory has been demonstrated in adults with MS, and also in adults with dysthymic disorder, rheumatoid arthritis, and in children with diabetes, asthma, cancer and cystic fibrosis [Carpentier et al. 2007; Frank et al. 1997; Heimberg et al. 1987; Hommel et al. 1998; Kneebone and Dunmore, 2004; Kneebone et al. 2015; Kuttner et al. 1990].

Depression is a serious co-morbidity of MS with a lifetime prevalence rate of over 50% [Sadovnick et al. 1996] making it a clinically important focus for research. Given that learned helplessness theory highlights a link between depression and attributional style it would appear that measures of attributional style are key to the examination of depression in MS. However, the disability that may arise with such illness can limit access to samples to study this phenomenon, meaning it...
would be useful to identify measures for research use that can be administered remotely (i.e. via survey methodology). The purpose of the current investigation was to determine the reliability and validity of an attributional style measure specifically designed for survey use, the Attributional Style Questionnaire-Survey (ASQ-S) [Dykema et al. 1996], with people with MS. This was considered particularly important, as it has been suggested that the ASQ-S may not be reliable in longitudinal studies [Riso et al. 2006].

The current study hypothesized, based on the reliability and validity of the instrument in a nonillness sample [Dykema et al. 1996], that these psychometric properties of the measure would be demonstrable in people with MS. Internal reliability has previously been identified for the ASQ-S in this population [Kneebone and Dunmore, 2004] and it was expected that this would be replicated at successive administrations. Further, and in line with the literature indicating that attributional style is an enduring characteristic [Buchanan and Seligman, 1995], it was expected that test-retest reliability for the questionnaire would be demonstrated at intervals of 12 months and 2 years. Having previously established the association between attributional style and depression thereby demonstrating convergent validity of the ASQ-S [Kneebone and Dunmore, 2004], it was anticipated that congruent validity would also be evident when the ASQ-S was considered with respect to a general measure of cognitive vulnerability to psychological disturbance, the Psychological Vulnerability Scale (PVS) [Sinclair and Wallston, 1999], and a measure specific to MS, the Multiple Sclerosis Attitudes Index (MSAI) [Shnek et al. 1995].

Identifying a correlation with existing tests designed to measure the same construct, supports the notion that a test is measuring what it purports to measure. As a final consideration, it was proposed that reviewing the amount of missing data on the ASQ-S, as compared with the other measures administered, would contribute to a greater understanding regarding the practical usability of the instrument. High levels of missing data on the ASQ-S could indicate difficulties that may restrict its use in research.

Methods

Ethics

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Surrey Ethics committee, UK. Written informed consent was obtained from participants.

Participants

Participants were a self-selecting sample, obtained as part of a larger study of MS and mood [Kneebone and Dunmore, 2004; Kneebone et al. 2015] through articles published in MS Matters, the magazine of the MS Society of Great Britain. Respondents over the age of 65 were excluded from the current study as findings that the nature of depression may be different in older people [Jorm, 2000], likely also apply to older people with MS [Kneebone et al. 2003]. This resulted in a sample of 495. Table 1 summarizes participant characteristics.

Procedure

Questionnaires (see measures below) were administered via post in three phases, each 12 months apart. All measures were administered at each stage. A satisfactory response rate was obtained at both follow ups, with 396 (80%) of participants responding at phase II and 386 (77.8%) at phase III.

Measures

ASQ-S. The ASQ-S [Dykema et al. 1996] consists of 12 questions. In each question participants are asked to write one principal cause for a hypothetical negative event (e.g. ‘you are guilty of breaking the law’; ‘...you don’t help a friend who has a problem’). They then rate this cause from −3 to +3 for how likely it is that this will continue to affect them (stability: +3 indicates ‘will always affect you’) and how likely it is that it will affect other areas of their life (globality: +3 indicates ‘affects all other areas’). Ratings are converted to a 1–7 scale for scoring.

The ASQ-S provides three sets of scores. Firstly a measure of stability of attributional style for negative events (STAB = Σstability ratings/12), secondly a measure of globality of attributional style for negative events (GLOB = Σglobality ratings/12), and finally a single composite score for negative attributional style (COMP = Σstability + Σglobality/24).

The scale has a simpler, clearer format than earlier attributional style questionnaires that facilitates its use in survey research. It has previously
been found to be internally consistent and valid, and it correlates with reported depressive symptoms similarly to other attributional style questionnaires in a college sample [Dykema et al. 1996]. Internal reliability has previously been established ($\alpha > 0.7$) within the current MS sample at phase I [Kneebone and Dunmore, 2004].

**Psychological Vulnerability Scale**

The Psychological Vulnerability Scale (PVS) [Sinclair and Wallston, 1999] is a six-item scale measuring cognitions believed to support harmful reactions to stress. Participants rate statements (e.g. ‘If I don’t achieve my goals, I feel like a failure as a person’; ‘I am frequently aware of feeling inferior to other people’) from 1 to 5 in terms of how well it describes them with 5 indicating ‘describes me very well’. Aggregate scores range from 6–30, with higher scores indicating greater psychological vulnerability.

The PVS was developed in populations with physical illness. Internal consistency is good ($\alpha$ between 0.70–0.86), as is test-retest reliability (range, 0.80–0.83), and concurrent and construct validity has also been established [Sinclair and Wallston, 1999].

---

**Table 1. Summary of participant characteristics.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of participants</td>
<td>495</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>22–65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>45.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported MS diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapsing-remitting</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic progressive</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of chronic progressive classed as primary progressive</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FASQ-R scores, disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>8–60</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>36.53</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FASQ-R, Functional Assessment Screening Questionnaire-Revised; MS, multiple sclerosis.

---

**MS Attitudes Index**

The MS Attitudes Index (MSAI) [Shnek et al. 1995] aims to evaluate patients’ perceptions of helplessness in coping with MS, based on five statements (e.g. ‘MS is controlling my life’; ‘No matter what I do or how hard I try I just can’t seem to get relief from my MS symptoms’), rated between 1 (‘strongly disagree’) and 4 (‘strongly agree’). Summing the ratings gives a single ‘helplessness’ score ranging from 5–20 with higher scores indicating greater helplessness.

The MSAI is based on a tool designed to measure helplessness in people with arthritis [Nicassio et al. 1985] but has previously been used to examine the role of cognitive factors in depression in MS [Shnek et al. 1997, 1995]. In the current sample, internal reliability of the MSAI was found to be satisfactory ($\alpha = 0.75$).

**Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale**

The Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale [Radloff, 1977] records self-reported experiences of symptoms of depression for the previous week. Patients rate 20 symptoms (e.g. ‘I thought my life had been a failure’; ‘I felt tearful’) in terms of how frequently they have experienced them on a scale of 0–3 (with 3 indicating greater frequency). Individual scores are summed to give a single ‘depression’ score from 0–60 with higher scores indicating greater frequency of depressive symptoms.

The CES-D scale was developed for use in nonpsychiatric populations. It has previously been used with people who have MS and has been shown to be relatively unaffected by illness variables [Devins et al. 1988; Shnek et al. 1995]. Internal consistency of the CES-D scale is good (range, 0.63–0.92) [Devins et al. 1988; Kneebone et al. 2003] and test-retest reliability has been reported as moderate but acceptable (0.61) [Devins et al. 1988].

**Functional Assessment Screening Questionnaire-Revised**

The current study used the shortened 15-item version of the Functional Assessment Screening Questionnaire (FASQ), [Millard, 1989] the FASQ-Revised (FASQ-R) to assess the level of disability in the sample. The measure assesses functional competency or difficulties in five...
domains: personal care (e.g. ‘cutting your toenails’), occupational (e.g. ‘concentrating for 15 min’), leisure (e.g. ‘playing your favourite sport’), transport (e.g. ‘driving’) and instrumental (e.g. ‘grocery shopping’).

Previous work has established split-half and alternate-form (spouse rating) reliability (0.84 and 0.71 respectively) for the FASQ-R. Further, there is a moderate level of agreement between the FASQ-R and other disability measures [Millard and Jones, 1991]. Of the several measures Millard and Jones studied, the FASQ-R was considered to be affected by negative mood the least.

Data analysis

Internal reliability. The internal reliability of the ASQ-S was investigated by calculating Cronbach’s Alpha for the stability and globality scales at phases II and III. An alpha value of 0.7 or above is considered to be acceptable [Field, 2005].

Test-retest reliability

Test-retest reliability of the ASQ-S was investigated by correlating the scores obtained at phase I with those obtained at phases II and III, and the scores obtained at phase II with those obtained at phase III. This analysis was repeated as a first-order correlation with the influence of mood state bias controlled for by partiailling out participants’ depression score as measured by the CES-D scale.

There is no agreed guideline as to what is considered acceptable in terms of test-retest reliability coefficients, with the literature presenting significant coefficients ranging from 0.4 to above 0.8 [Campbell et al. 1999; Hersen, 2004; McDaniel, 1997]. The current study classified 0.7 or above as acceptable in consideration of previous recommendations [Kline, 2000; Streiner and Norman, 1995].

Validity

Congruent validity of the ASQ-S was investigated individually for the composite, stability and globality scales by looking at their correlation with the MSAI and the PVS, completed at the same phase. Validity coefficients between 0.3–0.4 are considered high therefore indicating ‘good’ validity [Kaplan and Saccuzzo, 2001]. Taking this into consideration, the current study additionally considered significant coefficients between 0.2–0.3 to indicate ‘acceptable’ validity.

Missing data

The average number of missing questions on all the measures that were partly completed (incomplete questionnaires) was considered. Nonparametric statistics (Wilcoxon) were used to determine if the average percent of missing questions on the ASQ-S was significantly higher than on other measures.

Results

Internal reliability

Both the stability and globality scales achieved alpha levels >0.7 at phase II (STAB = 0.80; GLOB = 0.82) and phase III (STAB = 0.77; GLOB = 0.79) indicating the scales have acceptable internal consistency (range, 0.77–0.82).

Test-retest reliability

As can be seen in Table 2, although all correlations were highly significant, the coefficients did not reach the required 0.7 set as acceptable for the stability, globality or composite scales for any of the comparisons (range, 0.54–0.60), indicating test-retest reliability of the ASQ-S to be below the acceptability criteria set. When the analysis was repeated with the potential influence of depression controlled, all of the correlation coefficients were marginally decreased (range, 0.46–0.58), but remained highly significant, indicating that depression was not a substantial influence on the observed results.

Validity

The results of the validity testing are presented in Table 3. There was good congruent validity demonstrated for the stability, globality and composite scores of the ASQ-S when compared with the PVS. All correlations were significant with coefficients exceeding the 0.3 taken to indicate ‘good’ validity, at all phases (range, 0.33–0.41). Congruent validity was also demonstrated for the stability, globality and composite scores of the ASQ-S when compared with the MSAI. At all phases all correlations were significant with coefficients indicating either ‘acceptable’ (0.2–0.3) or ‘good’ (>0.3) validity (range, 0.26–0.35).
Missing data

At all three phases there was a significantly higher percentage of missing questions on the ASQ-S as compared with both the PVS (Wilcoxon, \( n = 495 \): P1, \( z = -11.9; p > 0.00 \); P2, \( z = -10.9; p > 0.00 \); P3, \( z = -10.2; p > 0.00 \)) and the MSAI (Wilcoxon, \( n = 495 \): P1, \( z = -11.6; p > 0.00 \); P2, \( z = -10.5; p > 0.00 \); P3, \( z = -10.2; p > 0.00 \)). At none of the phases was there a significant difference in the percentage of missing questions on the PVS as compared with the MSAI (see Table 4).

Discussion

Internal reliability of the ASQ-S was good at second and third administrations for both the globality and stability scales. Although scores were highly correlated, the test-retest reliability of the ASQ-S did not meet the 0.7 criteria set for acceptability. The congruent validity of the stability, globality and composite scales of the ASQ-S was established as compared with both the PVS and the MSAI. There was a significantly greater amount of missing data on the ASQ-S as compared with the PVS and the MSAI at all administrations.

Reliability

Consistent with previous findings [e.g. Dykema et al. 1996; Kneebone and Dunmore, 2004] internal reliability of the ASQ-S at phases II and III was identified. The ASQ-S is highly internally consistent. Although not the first study to fail to find long-term test-retest reliability of the ASQ-S [Riso et al. 2006], this finding is surprising given the literature indicating that attributional style is a highly stable construct [Buchanan and Seligman, 1995].

There are two potential explanations for a failure to find test-retest reliability of the ASQ-S within the current sample. Firstly, illness events may

---

Table 2. Correlation coefficients of the stability, globality and overall scores as compared with each other at each phase (test-retest reliability).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Phase I versus phase II</th>
<th>Phase I versus phase III</th>
<th>Phase II versus phase III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAB a</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAB b</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOB a</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOB b</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMP</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale; COMP, overall; GLOB, globality; STAB, stability.

** = \( p < 0.001 \).

*Zero-order Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

Partial correlation coefficient with effect of depression score at both phases controlled for: depression measured using the CES-D.

---

Table 3. Correlation coefficients of stability, globality and overall scores of the ASQ-S compared with the MSAI and the PVS at each phase (concurrent validity).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>MSAI</th>
<th>PVS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>Phase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOB</td>
<td>0.26*</td>
<td>0.30**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMP</td>
<td>0.30**</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASQ-S, Attributional Style Questionnaire-Survey; COMP, overall; GLOB, globality; MSAI, Multiple Sclerosis Attitudes Index; PVS, Psychological Vulnerability Scale; STAB, stability.

All correlations were significant at \( p < 0.001 \) level. *acceptable validity; **good validity.
alter attributional style in people with MS. Research with delusional and schizophrenic populations has found inconsistency in attributional style with fluctuations corresponding with delusional and psychotic episodes [Krstev et al. 1999; Peters and Garety, 2006]. It would be worth investigating whether apparent inconsistency in attributional style, as measured by the ASQ-S in participants with MS, might likewise correspond with fluctuations in the course of their illness.

A second possible explanation is that treatment factors may alter attributional style in people with MS. It is known that some participants in the current sample received treatment for depression over the course of the study [Sollom and Kneebone, 2007]. Given that psychological therapies, particularly cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), can impact on negative attributional style [DeRubeis and Hollon, 1995; Jarrett et al. 2007; Peterson et al. 2004; Wain et al. 2011], exposure to such interventions may account for the reduced consistency found in this chronic illness sample.

The level for acceptability of test-retest reliability required in the current investigation was high compared with some studies of other instruments [Campbell et al. 1999; McDaniel, 1997]. Perhaps a less stringent acceptability value would have been more appropriate given the long length of time between questionnaire administrations. Campbell and colleagues use a reliability classification of strong (>0.80), moderate (0.50–0.79) and weak (<0.50) [Campbell et al. 1999]. When the current results are reinterpreted according to this classification there is weak-to-moderate, but acceptable test-retest reliability for all scales of the ASQ-S. In support of test-retest reliability, the current reliability coefficients are comparable with figures obtained for other measures of salient cognitive style over a similar time frame [Riso et al. 2006]. However, as a point of comparison, a meta-analysis of the reliability of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, 2nd edition (MMPI-2) [Butcher et al. 1989], widely regarded as the 'standard of psychological assessment' [Parker et al. 1988], reported an average test-retest correlation coefficient of 0.7 with time intervals up to 2 years [Parker et al. 1988]. This may indicate that the level set for acceptability in the current investigation was not unjustifiably high. It is also interesting to note that the current reliability coefficients are considerably higher than those reported by Riso and colleagues [Riso et al. 2006].

Validity
The current findings pertaining to the validity of the ASQ-S are positive. It appears that there is good congruent validity both with a general measure of cognitive vulnerability (PVS) as well as with a measure specific to MS (MSAI), supporting it as a valid measure for use in people who have MS.

Missing data
The current study investigated the amount of missing data from the ASQ-S in relation to the other measures used to determine how user-friendly the questionnaire is. The significantly greater number of missing questions on the ASQ-S as compared with the other measures may suggest that participants are having difficulty completing the measure or answering some of the questions. The ASQ-S has substantially more questions than the PVS or the MSAI meaning that fatigue may play a role in increasing the number of questions missed. If researchers wish to use the ASQ-S with illness populations, they will need to take this into account.

Future directions and conclusions
The ASQ-S shows promise as a tool for use with people who have MS. It has good internal reliability and congruent validity. Although test-retest coefficients did not reach our acceptability levels, they would meet less stringent criterion. Whilst this research highlights a need for an agreed level of test-retest reliability, which takes into account time between administrations, it is also recommended that research considers the stability of attributional style as a construct in people with chronic illnesses such as MS. Looking at fluctuations in illness progression and treatment interventions between administrations in research participants with chronic illness would help improve our understanding of how attributional style may alter over time and what factors may influence this change.

| Table 4. Average percentage of missing questions on the ASQ-S, the PVS, and the MSAI at each phase. |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Phase | ASQ-S | PVS | MSAI |
| I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| 15% | 31% | 35% | 2% | 20% | 23% | 2% | 21% | 23% |

ASQ-S, Attributional Style Questionnaire-Survey; MSAI, Multiple Sclerosis Attitudes Index; PVS, Psychological Vulnerability Scale.
control for illness-related factors that may have affected the test-retest reliability of the ASQ-S in the current study.

It is a limitation of the current study, which could be addressed in future research, that the order in which the questionnaires were completed was not counterbalanced. As such, conclusions regarding the amount of missing data are restricted. As well as counterbalancing, future investigations could consider qualitative interviews with participants to examine user opinions as to how the questionnaire could be made more useable. On the basis of such studies, the questionnaire could perhaps be modified to minimize the likelihood of missing data and further facilitate its use via remote administration.

The current investigation suggests the ASQ-S is sufficiently psychometrically sound for clinical application. It might be used to identify dysfunctional attributional style that contributes to depression in people with MS. It follows it could inform/direct treatment; indeed it has already been used in an intervention study, albeit only in a case series design [Wain et al. 2011].
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