Phosphate-Based Cathode Materials for Rechargeable Batteries

A thesis presented for the award of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

from

University of Technology Sydney

by

Katja Ramona Kretschmer, Dipl. Ing.

May, 2018

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Katja Ramona Kretschmer, certify that the work presented in this thesis has not

previously been submitted for a degree nor has been submitted as part of

requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received

in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been

acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used

are indicated in the thesis.

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Katja Ramona Kretschmer

Sydney, Australia

May, 2018

Ι

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my family. Thank you for all your love and support.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisor and co-supervisor, Professor Guoxiu Wang and Dr. Bing Sun, for their encouraging and continuous support and invaluable advice throughout my Ph.D. studies.

I would also like to acknowledge Dr. Jane Yao for her assistance and support essential to my laboratory work and professional development.

I gratefully acknowledge the friendship and joint professional accomplishments of my past and present colleagues at the University of Technology Sydney, Dr. Xiuqiang Xie, Dr. Jinqiang Zhang, Dr Yufei Zhao, Dr. Anjon Kumar Mondal, Dr Ying Wang, Dr. Shuangqiang Chen, Dr. Hao Liu, Dr. Zhimin Ao, Dr. Devaraj Shanmukaraj, Dr. Dawei Su, Mr. Xin Guo, Mr. Jianjun Song, Mr. Kefei Li, Miss Jing Xu, and Mr. Weizhai Bao.

In addition, I appreciate the administrative and technical support I received from Dr. Ronald Shimmon, Dr. Linda Xiao, Katie McBean, Mark Berkahn, Sarah King, Emaly Black, and Elizabeth Gurung Tamang.

The financial support from UTS and AutoCRC 2020 (now Excellerate Australia) throughout my Ph.D. studies are highly appreciated.

And finally, I would like to thank my parents, my partner, and family in Germany and Australia for continuously supporting me throughout all my personal and academic adventures.

Katja Ramona Kretschmer

RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS

- **1.** Xiuqiang Xie, **Katja Kretschmer**, Babak Anasori, Bing Sun, Guoxiu Wang, and Yury Gogotsi, Porous Ti₃C₂T_x MXene for Ultrahigh-Rate Sodium-Ion Storage with Long Cycle Life, **ACS Applied Nano Materials**, 2018, In Press, DOI: 10.1021/acsanm.8b00045.
- Xiuqiang Xie, Shijian Wang, Katja Kretschmer¹, and Guoxiu Wang, Two-dimensional layered compound based anode materials for lithium-ion batteries and sodium-ion batteries, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 2017, 499, 17-32.
- 3. Tianyi Wang, Katja Kretschmer, Sinho Choi, Huan Pang, Huaiguo Xue, and Guoxiu Wang, Fabrication Methods of Porous Carbon Materials and Separator Membranes for Lithium–Sulfur Batteries: Development and Future Perspectives, Small Methods, 2017, 1, 1700089.
- 4. Anjon Kumar Mondal, *Katja Kretschmer*, Yufei Zhao, Hao Liu, Hongbo Fan and Guoxiu Wang, Naturally nitrogen doped porous carbon derived from waste shrimp shells for high-performance lithium ion batteries and supercapacitors, *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 2017, 246, 72-80
- **5.** Jinqiang Zhang, Bing Sun, Yufei Zhao, *Katja Kretschmer*, and Guoxiu Wang Modified Tetrathiafulvalene as an Organic Conductor for Improving Performances of Li-O₂ Batteries, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2017, 56, 8505-8509.
- **6.** Jinqiang Zhang, Bing Sun, Andrew M. McDonagh, Yufei Zhao, *Katja Kretschmer*, Xin Guo, and Guoxiu Wang, A multi-functional gel co-polymer bridging liquid electrolyte and solid cathode nanoparticles: An efficient route to Li–O₂ batteries with improved performance, *Energy Storage Materials*, 2017, 7, 1-7.

¹ Shared first author with X. Xie and S. Wang.

- **7.** Bing Sun, *Katja Kretschmer*, Xiuqiang Xie, Paul Munroe, Zhangquan Peng, and Guoxiu Wang, Hierarchical Porous Carbon Spheres for High-Performance Na–O₂ Batteries, *Advanced Materials*, 2017, 29, 1606816.
- 8. Katja Kretschmer, Bing Sun, Jinqiang Zhang, Xiuqiang Xie, Hao Liu, and Guoxiu Wang, 3D Interconnected Carbon Fiber Network-Enabled Ultralong Life Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃@Carbon Paper Cathode for Sodium-Ion Batteries, *Small*, 2017, 13, 1603318.
- 9. Anjon Kumar Mondal, *Katja Kretschmer*, Yufei Zhao, Hao Liu, Chengyin Wang, Bing Sun, and Guoxiu Wang, Nitrogen-Doped Porous Carbon Nanosheets from Eco-Friendly Eucalyptus Leaves as High Performance Electrode Materials for Supercapacitors and Lithium Ion Batteries, *Chemistry A European Journal*, 2017, 23, 3683-3690.
- **10.** *Katja Kretschmer*, Bing Sun, Xiuqiang Xie, Shuangqiang Chen, and Guoxiu Wang, A free-standing LiFePO₄—carbon paper hybrid cathode for flexible lithium-ion batteries, *Green Chemistry*, 2016, 18, 2691-2698.
- **11.** Anjon Kumar Mondal, Hao Liu, Xiuqiang Xie, *Katja Kretschmer*, and Guoxiu Wang, Hydrothermal Synthesis of Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube–Zinc Manganate Nanoparticles as Anode Materials for Lithium Ion Batteries, *ChemPlusChem*, 2016, 81, 399-405.
- **12.** Ying Wang, *Katja Kretschmer*, Jinqiang Zhang, Anjon Kumar Mondal, Xin Guoa, and Guoxiu Wang, Organic sodium terephthalate@graphene hybrid anode materials for sodium-ion batteries, *RSC Advances*, 2016, 6, 57098-57102.
- **13.** Dawei Su, *Katja Kretschmer*, and Guoxiu Wang, Improved Electrochemical Performance of Na-Ion Batteries in Ether-Based Electrolytes: A Case Study of ZnS Nanospheres, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2016, 6, 1501785.
- **14.** Jinqiang Zhang, Bing Sun, Xiuqiang Xie, *Katja Kretschmer*, and Guoxiu Wang, Enhancement of stability for lithium oxygen batteries by employing electrolytes gelled by poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) and tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2015, 183, 56-62.

- **15.** Anjon Kumar Mondal, Shuangqiang Chen, Dawei Su, *Katja Kretschmer*. Hao Liu, and Guoxiu Wang, Microwave synthesis of α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles and their lithium storage properties: A comparative study, *Journal of Alloy and Compounds*, 2015, 648, 732-739.
- **16.** *Katja Kretschmer*, Bing Sun, Dawei Su, Yufei Zhao, and Guoxiu Wang, Scalable Preparation of LiFePO₄/C Nanocomposites with sp²-Coordinated Carbon Coating as High-Performance Cathode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries, *ChemElectroChem*, 2015, 2, 2096-2103.
- **17.** Xiuqiang Xie, *Katja Kretschmer*, Jinqiang Zhang, Bing Sun, Dawei Su, and Guoxiu Wang, Sn@CNT nanopillars grown perpendicularly on carbon paper: A novel free-standing anode for sodium ion batteries, *Nano Energy*, 2015, 13, 208-217.
- **18.** Xiuqiang Xie, *Katja Kretschmer*, and Guoxiu Wang, Advances in graphene-based semiconductor photocatalysts for solar energy conversion: fundamentals and materials engineering, *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 13278-13292.
- **19.** Anjon Kumar Mondal, Dawei Su, Shuangqiang Chen, *Katja Kretschmer*, Xiuqiang Xie, Hyo-Jun Ahn, and Guoxiu Wang, A Microwave Synthesis of Mesoporous NiCo₂O₄Nanosheets as Electrode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries and Supercapacitors, *ChemPhysChem*, 2015, 16, 169-175.
- **20.** Jinqiang Zhang, Shuangqiang Chen, Xiuqiang Xie, *Katja Kretschmer*, Xiaodan Huang, Bing Sun, and Guoxiu Wang, Porous poly(vinylidene fluoride-cohexafluoropropylene) polymer membrane with sandwich-like architecture for highly safe lithium ion batteries, *Journal of Membrane Science*, 2014, 472, 133-140.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICA	TE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP
DEDICATIO	N
ACKNOWLE	EDGEMENTSIII
RESEARCH	PUBLICATIONSIV
TABLE OF O	CONTENTSVI
LIST OF TA	BLESX
LIST OF FIG	GURESX
ABSTRACT	XVIII
INTRODUC	TIONXX
Chapter 1	: Literature Review1
1.1 Pho	sphate-based Materials for Lithium-ion Batteries4
1.1.1	Electrochemistry of Lithium-ion Batteries4
1.1.2	Anode Materials for Lithium-ion Batteries7
1.1.2.	1 Anode Materials based on Conversion and Alloying Reactions7
1.1.2.2	2 Anode Materials based on Intercalation11
1.1.3	Cathode Materials for Lithium-ion Batteries19
1.1.3.	1 Conventional Cathode Materials for Lithium-ion Batteries20
1.1.3.2	2 Phosphate-based Cathode Materials for Lithium-ion Batteries27
1.2 Pho	sphate-based Materials for Sodium-ion Batteries35
1.2.1	Anode Materials for Sodium-ion Batteries37
1.2.1.	1 Anode Materials based on Conversion and Alloying Reactions37

	1.2.1	.2 Anode Materials based on Intercalation	.41
1.2	2.2	Cathode Materials for Sodium-ion Batteries	.45
	1.2.2	.1 Commonly used Cathode Materials for Sodium-ion Batteries	.45
	1.2.2 Sodiu	.2 Phosphate-based and other Polyanionic Cathode Materials um-ion Batteries	
Cha	pter	2: Experimental Methods	.53
2.1	Ove	erview	.53
2.2	Ma	terials Preparation	.55
2.3	Ma	terials Characterization	.56
2.3	3.1	X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	.56
2.3	3.2	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	.57
2.3	3.3	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	.57
2.3	3.4	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	.58
2.3	3.5	Raman Spectroscopy	.58
2.3	3.6	N ₂ Sorption/Desorption Measurement	.59
2.4	Ele	ctrode Preparation and Battery Assembly	.60
2.4	4.1	Electrode Preparation	.60
2.4	1.2	Cell Assembly	.60
2.5	Ele	ctrochemical Measurements	.60
2.5	5.1	Cyclic Voltammetry	.61
2.5	5.2	Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy	.61
21	5 3	Galvanostatic Charge-Discharge	63

Chap	oter 3: Scalable preparation of LiFePO ₄ /C nanocomposites	with sp ² -
coor	dinated carbon coating as high performance cathode materials	for lithium-
ion b	atteries	64
3.1	Introduction	64
3.2	Experimental Section	65
3.3	Results and Discussion	67
3.3	.1 Characterization	67
3.3	.2 Electrochemical Performance	75
3.4	Conclusions	84
Chap	oter 4: Carbon coated LiFePO4 clusters prepared via spray-dryin	ig followed
by ca	arbothermal reduction	85
4.1	Introduction	85
4.2	Experimental Section	86
4.3	Results and Discussion	89
4.3	.1 Parameter Optimization	89
4.3	.2 Morphology Optimization	94
4.4	Conclusions	98
	oter 5: Free-standing LiFePO ₄ -carbon paper hybrid cathode tum-ion batteries	
5.1	Introduction	100
5.2	Experimental Section	102
5.3	Results and Discussions	105
5.1	Conclusions	124

Chapter	6: 3D interconnected carbon fibre network-enabled ultra-long	life
Na ₃ V ₂ (P	O ₄) ₃ @carbon paper cathode for sodium-ion batteries	126
6.1 Inti	roduction	126
6.2 Ex	perimental Section	127
6.3 Re	sults and Discussion	130
6.4 Co	nclusions	147
Chapter	7: Conclusions and Future Perspective	148
7.1 Co	nclusions	148
7.1.1	Carbon-coated LiFePO4 cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries	148
7.1.2 sodium	Free-standing phosphate-based cathode materials for lithium-ion -ion batteries	
7.2 Fut	ture Perspective	150
APPENDIX	: NOMENCLATURE	152
REFEREN	CES	157
LIST OF	TABLES	
Table 1-1.	Nominal power (MW) of planned installations of large-scale energy storage listed by technology group and selected project location. Adapted from the World Energy Resources E-Storage Report 2016 of the World Energy Council, UK, London. ^[4]	3
Table 1-2.	Characteristic properties of sodium and lithium. [245, 247, 248]	36
Table 1-3.	Summary of properties of typical metal, metalloid, and non-metal materials for SIBs. [273]	40
Table 1-4.	A selection of reported MAX phases.[309]	43
Table 2-1.	List of chemicals used in this research project	54
Table 4-1.	Rate performance and cycling stability test results of LiFePO ₄ prepared for the feed rate optimization (top 3) and the samples	

	prepared for the inlet temperature optimization (bottom 3). Marked in green are the best results of each starting material94
Table 4-2.	Rate performance test results of LFP-C CA and LFP-C PVA compared with the performance of additive-free sample LFP-17098
LIST OF F	FIGURES
Figure 1-1.	(a) Categorisation of available energy storage technologies. (CAES is Compressed Air Energy Storage, LAES is Liquid Air Energy Storage, SNG is Synthetic Natural Gas) Adapted from the World Energy Resources E-Storage Report 2016 of the World Energy Council, UK, London. ^[4] (b) General comparison of discharge time and power rating for various EES technologies. ^[2-5] (SMES is Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage) The comparison is indicative only as several technologies may provide broader power ratings and longer discharge times. Reproduced with permission. ^[3] Copyright 2017, Woodbank Communications Ltd, Chester (UK)
Figure 1-2.	(a) Schematic diagram of the lithium intercalation—de-intercalation reaction mechanism in rechargeable LIBs containing solid electrodes and a liquid electrolyte. (b) Relative energy diagram of electrode potentials and electrolyte energy gap in LIBs. Reproduced with permission. ^[15] Copyright 2015, The Royal Chemical Society.
Figure 1-3.	Diagram illustrating the average capacities and electrochemical potentials of important cathode and anode materials with respect to Li metal and the cell voltage of LIBs. The electrode materials are represented based on their reaction mechanism in the presence of Li ⁺ .[17]
Figure 1-4.	Schematic illustration of the conversion reaction of a transition metal oxide in LIBs. Reproduced with permission. [40] Copyright 2016, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim
Figure 1-5.	(a) Availability and (b) capacities of elements that may host Li as electrodes. Elements with abundance (as fraction of Earth's crust) below 10 ⁻⁵ are slightly faded, and elements below 10 ⁻⁷ are faded further. Gravimetric and volumetric capacities are theoretical values calculated based on delithiated mass and lithiated volume. ^[17]
Figure 1-6.	Schematic representation showing insertion/extraction of Li ⁺ during discharge/charge. Adapted and reproduced with permission. ^[74] Copyright 2008, Nature Publishing Group11

Figure 1-7.	Crystal structures of (a) lithiated graphite (Reproduced with permission. ^[75] Copyright 2014, American Chemical Society) and (b) lithium titanate (LTO) (Reproduced with permission. ^[76] Copyright 2011, American Chemical Society)
Figure 1-8.	(a) Part of the NASICON-type crystal structure showing the M1 and M2 crystal sites and (b) Slow sweep cyclic voltammograms of carbon coated LTP collected at 0.1 mV s ⁻¹ . [93]15
Figure 1-9.	NASICON (generally rhombohedral) and anti-NASICON (generally monoclinic) frameworks of general formula A_xMM' (XO ₄) ₃ . A = Li, Na, K, Mg, Ca; M or M' = Fe, V, Ti, Zr, Sc, Mn, Nb, In; X = S, P, Si, As. Reproduced with permission. [6] Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society
Figure 1-10.	Galvanostatic Li $^+$ insertion/extraction and respective redox couples in anti-NASICON Li _x M ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ (M = Fe, V) compositions. Reproduced with permission. Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society.
Figure 1-11.	Rate performance of m-LVP cycled in the potential range of 3.0–0.0 V vs. Li/Li ⁺ . (a) Delithiation capacity retention. (b) Corresponding potential profiles. ^[103]
Figure 1-12.	Crystal structure of layered LiMO ₂ . Reproduced with permission. [106] Copyright 2012, Elsevier20
Figure 1-13.	Crystal structure of spinel LiM ₂ O ₄ . Reproduced with permission. ^[106] Copyright 2012, Elsevier22
Figure 1-14.	Crystal structure of lithium intercalated silicates Li ₂ MSiO ₄ (blue: transition metal; yellow: Si; red: Li). Reproduced with permission. ^[106] Copyright 2012, Elsevier
Figure 1-15.	Illustration of the monoclinic LiMBO $_3$ (M = 3d metals) family crystal structure showing corrugated MO $_5$ chains along c-axis connected by planar BO $_3$ units. Reproduced with permission. Copyright 2015, Springer Berlin Heidelberg
Figure 1-16.	(a) Crystal structure of olivine LiMPO ₄ (blue: transition metal ions; yellow: P ions; red: Li ions) Reproduced with permission. [106] Copyright 2012, Elsevier. (b) Schematic representation of the processes during charge/discharge of LiFePO ₄ . Reproduced with permission. [43] Copyright 2008, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
Figure 1-17.	Two phase mechanism of LiFePO ₄ . Reproduced with permission. ^[214] Copyright 2016, The Royal Society of Chemistry30
Figure 1-18.	(a) Scheme showing a view of the strains occurring during lithium deintercalation. (b) Layered view of the lithium deintercalation/intercalation mechanism in a LiFePO4 crystallite

	Reproduced with permission. [218] Copyright 2008, Nature Publishing Group31
Figure 1-19.	Schematic illustration of the tavorite structure along the b-crystallographic direction. Reproduced with permission. [222] Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society32
Figure 1-20.	The electrochemical voltage–composition curves of m-Li ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ in the voltage ranges of 3.0–4.8 V (A) and 3.0–4.3 V (B) vs Li/Li ⁺ . Reproduced with permission. [239] Copyright 2014, Elsevier35
Figure 1-21.	Operation voltages vs. specific capacities of various anode materials for SIBs. Reproduced with permission. ^[257] Copyright 2016, Nature Publishing Group
Figure 1-22.	Calculated sodiation voltage profile (red dotted line) of Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ in comparison to the experimentally determined voltage profile (blue solid line). Reproduced with permission. ^[320] Copyright 2015, Royal Society of Chemistry45
Figure 1-23.	Operation voltages versus specific capacities of various cathode materials for SIBs. Reproduced with permission. ^[257] Copyright 2016, Nature Publishing Group46
Figure 1-24.	Classification of Na-Me-O layered materials with sheets of edge-sharing MeO ₆ octahedra and phase transition processes induced by sodium extraction. Reproduced with permission. ^[275] Copyright 2014, American Chemical Society
Figure 1-25.	Framework of Prussian blue analogues. Reproduced with permission. [330] Copyright 2012, Royal Society of Chemistry48
Figure 2-1.	Experimental methods used in this research project53
Figure 2-2.	Schematic Nyquist plot of the impedance spectrum obtained for lithium/sodium-ion electrochemical cells. Reproduced with permission. [363] Copyright 2011, Elsevier
Figure 3-1.	SEM images of LiFePO ₄ /C prepared with (a) 5 wt%; (b) 8 wt%; (c) 10 wt%; (d) 15 wt%; (e) 18.5 wt%; (f) 20 wt% soluble starch. Marked with white arrows are some obvious agglomerations68
Figure 3-2.	SEM energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping of LiFePO $_4$ /C prepared with a) 10 wt% and b) 20 wt% soluble starch, showing the distribution of the elements iron (Fe), phosphorous (P), oxygen (O), and carbon (C)69
Figure 3-3.	XRD patterns of LiFePO ₄ /C prepared with 5-20 wt% soluble starch compared with calculated patterns of Fe ₂ P and LiFePO ₄ (JPDS card number 83-2092)71
Figure 3-4.	(a) and (b) TEM images; (c) the corresponding selected area electron diffraction pattern (SAED); and (d) lattice resolved

	HRTEM image of LiFePO ₄ /C prepared with 5 wt% soluble starch (Inset: Interplanar distance of the (121) crystal plane)	.72
Figure 3-5.	Raman spectra of LiFePO ₄ /C prepared with (a) 5 wt%, (b) 8 wt%, (c) 10 wt%, (d) 15 wt%, (e) 18.5 wt%, and (f) 20 wt% soluble starch as carbon source. The two broad bands are resolved into four coloured lines; (g) shows the $A_{\rm sp}^3/A_{\rm sp}^2$ and $I_{\rm D}/I_{\rm G}$ values as a function of the amount of added carbon precursor.	.74
Figure 3-6.	(a) Charge-discharge curves; (b) differential capacity analysis of LiFePO ₄ /C prepared with 5-20 wt% soluble starch as carbon source (insets: differential capacity analysis in the voltage range 3.35 to 3.51 V and a list of the corresponding over-potential values for all six samples).	.76
Figure 3-7.	(a) Rate performance of LiFePO ₄ /C prepared with 5-20 wt% soluble starch as the carbon source; (b) Specific energy and specific power of the sample prepared with 10 wt% soluble starch at different current rates; (c) Cycling performance of the sample prepared with 10, 15, and 18.5 wt% soluble starch (dis)charged at 10C for 300 cycles.	.78
Figure 3-8.	The charge-discharge voltage curves of LiFePO ₄ /C prepared with (a) 5 wt%, (b) 8 wt%, (c) 10 wt%, (d) 15 wt%, (e) 18.5 wt%, and (f) 20 wt% soluble starch as carbon source in the voltage range from 2.0 to 4.25 V at different current rates	.80
Figure 3-9.	(a) CV curves at different scan rates and (b) peak current I_p versus square root of scan rate $v^{1/2}$ at 25 °C of LiFePO ₄ prepared with 10 wt% soluble starch; (c) Impedance spectroscopy and (d) linear fitting of the Warburg impedance of fresh and cycled LiFePO ₄ /C cells prepared with 10 wt% soluble starch as carbon source.	.81
Figure 4-1.	XRD patterns of (a) LFP-A10 $-$ LFP-A60 and (b) LFP-150 $-$ LFP-200. Both compared with the calculated pattern of JCPDS card number 83-2092 and Fe ₂ P	.90
Figure 4-2.	TGA curves of LFP-A60 compared to the TGA result of a carbon free LiFePO ₄ sample.	.90
Figure 4-3.	SEM images of (a) LFP-A10, (b) LFP-A40, (c) LFP-A60, (d) LFP-150-40-60-5, (e) LFP-170-30-74-5, and (f) LFP-200-40-86-5. Some obvious open pores are marked with white arrows	.92
Figure 4-4.	Rate performance results of (a) LFP-A10 – LFP-A60 and (c) LFP-150 – LFP-200 cycled at current densities from 0.2C to 20C in the voltage range of 2.0 – 4.25 V. Cycling stability test of (b) LFP-A10 – LFP-A60 and (d) LFP-150 – LFP-200 for 500 cycles at 10C in the voltage range of 2.0 – 4.25 V.	.93

Figure 4-5.	XRD patterns of LFP-C CA, LFP-C PEG, and LFP-C PVA compared with the calculated pattern of JCPDS card number 83-2092 and Fe ₂ P95
Figure 4-6.	TGA curves of LFP-C CA, LFP-C PEG, and LFP-C PVA compared to the TGA result of a carbon free LiFePO ₄ sample96
Figure 4-7.	SEM images of (a) LFP-C CA, (b) LFP-C PEG, and (c) LFP-C PVA
Figure 4-8.	Rate performance results of LFP-C CA and LFP-C PVA cycled at current densities from 0.2 to 20C in the voltage range of 2.0 – 4.25 V
Figure 5-1.	Schematic illustration of the LiFePO4@CP hybrid electrode preparation process
Figure 5-2.	(a) XRD pattern of solution 1 after drying compared with the simulated pattern of LiH ₂ PO ₄ calculated from JCPDS card number 83-1340 (* marks traces for LiCl·H ₂ O). (b) XRD pattern of LiFePO ₄ @CP and the calculated pattern of JCPDS card number 83-2092. (c) XRD pattern of purified paper towel matching the standard XRD pattern of microcrystalline cellulose. [435, 436]
Figure 5-3.	SEM images of (a) bare carbonized paper at low magnification, (b) bare carbonized paper at high magnification, (c) carbonized paper loaded with LiFePO ₄ , and (d) cross section SEM image of LiFePO ₄ @CP109
Figure 5-4.	SEM energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) characterization of LiFePO ₄ @CP. (a) SEM image of the selected area for EDS mapping; (b) Integrated Fe-, P-, O-, and C-elemental mapping image; elemental mapping images of (c) carbon C, (d) oxygen O, (e) iron Fe, and (f) phosphorous P
Figure 5-5.	TEM images of (a) a LiFePO ₄ single crystallite embedded into a carbon fibre and wrapped by a carbon coating and (b) the enlarged section of the LiFePO ₄ crystal showing the approximate thickness of the carbon coating at different positions; (c) TEM image of a carbon coated LiFePO ₄ crystal attached to a piece of carbon fibre. Small voids are formed between carbon layer and particle allowing the material to contract during battery operation. (d) Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of LiFePO ₄ @CP
Figure 5-6.	TGA curve of LiFePO ₄ @CP and carbon free LiFePO ₄ from room temperature to 700 °C in air113
Figure 5-7.	(a) Cycling stability and rate performance test of LiFePO ₄ @CP for 1000 deep (dis)charge cycles; Galvanostatic profiles of LiFePO ₄ @CP at different current densities in the voltage range of 2.0 to 4.25 V of (b) the Initial rate performance test and (c) the

	second rate performance test; (d) Capacity retention comparison of LiFePO ₄ @CP and LiFePO ₄ @Al at different current densities for 1000 cycles normalized to the reversible areal capacity at 0.1 mA cm ⁻²
Figure 5-8.	Corresponding differential capacity analysis of (a) step one and (b) step three of LiFePO ₄ @CP (Insets: Overpotentials in mV for the current densities 0.1 to 2.5 mA cm ⁻²)117
Figure 5-9.	(a) Rate performance and (b) stability test sequence of a traditional LiFePO4@Al displayed in areal capacity. The active material mass load of all electrodes is around 2.8 mg cm ⁻² 118
Figure 5-10.	(a) Rate performance and (b) stability test sequence of LiFePO ₄ @CP and a traditional LiFePO ₄ @Al. The capacity is displayed in mA h per gram of electrode (weight including current collector, binder and additives). The active material mass load of all electrodes is around 2.8 mg cm ⁻² 119
Figure 5-11.	Rate performance and stability test sequence of LiFePO ₄ @CP and a traditional LiFePO ₄ @Al. The capacity is displayed in mA h per gram active material. The active material mass load of all electrodes is around 2.8 mg cm ⁻² 120
Figure 5-12.	(a) CV profiles at different scan rates in the voltage range of 2.0 to 4.5 V and (b) peak current I_P versus square root of scan rate $v^{0.5}$ at room temperature of LiFePO ₄ @CP after 500 cycles121
Figure 5-13.	(a) Electrochemical impedance spectra and (b) linear fit of the Warburg impedance of a LiFePO ₄ @CP cell fresh and cycled for 500 cycles
Figure 6-1.	(a) Schematic illustration of the preparation procedure for $Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3@CP$. (b) XRD pattern of $Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3@CP$ and carbon-coated $Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3$ ($Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3@C$) as reference sample (both resemble the standard pattern of JCPDS card number 62-0345)
Figure 6-2.	(a) SEM image of $Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3@C$ prepared by a sol-gel method using identical precursor salts to $Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3@CP$; (b) XRD pattern of the carbon paper substrate
Figure 6-3.	Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping showing the distribution on the elements sodium (Na), vanadium (V), phosphorus (P), oxygen (O) and carbon (C) of a selected area of a Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ @CP fibre
Figure 6-4.	(a) Low magnification SEM view of the CFN. (b) Low magnification SEM image of a single carbon fibre decorated with Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ nanoparticles. (c) High magnification SEM image of Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ nanoparticles on the carbon fibre surface. (d) Low resolution TEM image of Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ @CP. (e) High resolution

	TEM image of Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ @CP. (f) Digital photograph of a Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ @CP electrode. (g) SAED pattern of Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ @CP. h) Lattice resolved TEM image including d-spacing along the (012) crystal plane enlarged from the section marked in image (e)
Figure 6-5.	SEM images of Na $_3$ V $_2$ (PO $_4$) $_3$ @CP materials prepared (a) without the addition of 30 wt% D-glucose to the precursor solution; and (b) with a 30 % higher concentration precursor solution136
Figure 6-6.	Digital photograph of the $Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3$ @CP hybrid electrode slightly bent to demonstrate its structural integrity under stress137
Figure 6-7.	(a) Galvanostatic charge—discharge profile of Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ @CP at a current density of 0.1 mA cm ⁻² in the voltage range between 2.5 and 3.8 V. (b) Rate performance and cycling stability evaluation of Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ @CP at various current densities. (c) Cyclic voltammetry (CV) performance of Na ₃ V ₂ (PO ₄) ₃ @CP at different scan rates in the voltage range between 2.0 and 4.0 V versus Na ⁺ /Na. (d) Peak current I _P versus square root of scan rate v ^{0.5} at room temperature after 500 cycles with calculated ion diffusion coefficients D.
Figure 6-8.	Cycling performance of the sample prepared with a 30% higher concentration precursor solution (~32 wt% carbon)139
Figure 6-9.	Digital image of the proof-of-concept set-up141
Figure 6-10.	(a) Rate performance and cycling stability of Na $_3$ V $_2$ (PO $_4$) $_3$ @CP and the modified proof-of-concept cell assembly with Na $_3$ V $_2$ (PO $_4$) $_3$ @CP at various current densities; Galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles of Na $_3$ V $_2$ (PO $_4$) $_3$ @CP at various current densities in the voltage range between 2.5 and 3.8 V. (b) The modified proof-of-concept cell assembly and (c) Na $_3$ V $_2$ (PO $_4$) $_3$ @CP.
Figure 6-11.	Electrochemical impedance spectra of $Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3@CP$ and the modified proof-of-concept cell assembly of $Na_3V_2(PO_4)_3@CP$ (a) uncycled coin cells; (b) cycled coin cells (cycled for 500 cycles). Corresponding linear fits of the Warburg impedance and the calculated solid-state diffusion coefficient D_{Na} (c) uncycled coin cells; (d) cycled coin cells (cycled for 500 cycles)

ABSTRACT

The design of electrode materials depends critically on understanding the underlying electrochemical processes. Material composition, morphology, structure, and preparation method affect and can alter electrochemical performance of electrochemically active materials. In this research project, a series of phosphate-based polyanionic electrode materials have been fabricated and their electrochemical properties for the use in lithium-ion and sodium-ion batteries are evaluated.

We successfully prepared carbon-coated LiFePO₄ cathode materials by industrial ball milling and a solid-state reaction with Li₂CO₃, NH₄H₂PO₄, and FeC₂O₄·2H₂O as starting materials. Soluble starch as the primary carbon source was investigated for its capability of generating a highly graphitic carbon coating, whilst sufficiently controlling the crystal growth of LiFePO₄. XRD analysis, Raman spectroscopy, and electrochemical testing revealed the significant impact of the amount of starch added to the pre-sintered precursor on phase purity, carbon quality, and electrochemical performance of the final LiFePO₄/C composite. The optimum soluble starch content to achieve a highly sp²-coordinated carbon coating is 10 wt%, which enabled our LiFePO₄/C composite to achieve competitive reversible capacities as well as improved rate performance

The spray method is well-trusted in practical applications, such as food manufacturing, fertilizers, oxide ceramics, and pharmaceuticals. The ability to produce uniformly spherical particle clusters ranging from nano- to micrometre in size is one of the main advantages of this method, which is immensely important for large scale production of electrochemically active materials for the energy storage market. In this report, we systematically evaluated spray-drying conditions and equipment settings in regards to electrochemical performance of carbon coated LiFePO₄ cathode materials. In an optimisation trial, the most suitable process conditions for the precursor materials and spray-dryer model used to prepare pure and practical LiFePO₄ cathode materials were identified. The impact

of different organic additives on the resulting particle morphology of the final product was also investigated. It was found that the addition of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) generates particle clusters that provide a high tap density product without sacrificing electrochemical performance. The LiFePO₄ cathode material prepared with the addition of PVA achieved remarkable rate performance results and could maintain a capacity of 113.95 mA h g⁻¹ at 10C.

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are widely implemented to power portable electronic devices and are increasingly in demand for large-scale applications. One of the major obstacles for this technology is still the low cost-efficiency of its electrochemical active materials and production processes. In this work, we present a novel impregnation–carbothermal reduction method to generate a LiFePO₄–carbon paper hybrid electrode, which does not require a metallic current collector, polymeric binder or conducting additives to function as a cathode material in a LIB system. A shell of LiFePO₄ crystals was grown *in situ* on carbon fibres during the carbonization of microcrystalline cellulose. The LiFePO₄–carbon paper electrode achieved an initial reversible areal capacity of 197 μ A h cm⁻² increasing to 222 μ A h cm⁻² after 500 cycles at a current density of 0.1 mA cm⁻². The hybrid electrode also demonstrated a superior cycling performance for up to 1000 cycles. The free-standing electrode could be potentially applied for flexible lithium-ion batteries.

Sodium-ion batteries (NIBs) are an emerging technology, which can meet increasing demands for large-scale energy storage. One of the most promising cathode material candidates for sodium-ion batteries is Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃ due to its high capacity, thermal stability, and sodium (Na) superionic conductor 3D (NASICON)type framework. In this work, the authors have significantly improved electrochemical performance and cycling stability of Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃ by introducing a 3D interconnected conductive network in the form of carbon fibre derived from The ordinary towel. free-standing Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃-carbon paper paper (Na₃V₂(PO₄)₃@CP) hybrid electrodes do not require a metallic current collector, polymeric binder, or conducting additives to function as a cathode material in an NIB system. The Na $_3$ V $_2$ (PO $_4$) $_3$ @CP cathode demonstrates extraordinary long-term cycling stability for 30 000 deep charge— discharge cycles at a current density of 2.5 mA cm $^{-2}$. Such outstanding cycling stability can meet the stringent requirements for renewable energy storage.