

**DEVELOPING A THREE-DIMENSIONAL (3D) ASSESSMENT
METHOD FOR CLUBFOOT**

BALASANKAR GANESAN

PhD

University of Technology Sydney

**This programme is jointly offered by University of Technology
Sydney and The Hong Kong Polytechnic University**

2018

University of Technology Sydney

School of Biomedical Engineering

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Institute of Textiles and Clothing

**Developing A Three-Dimensional (3D) Assessment
Method for Clubfoot**

Balasankar Ganesan

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

September 2017

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINALITY

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own work and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it reproduces no material previously published or written, nor material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma, except where due acknowledgement has been made in the text. This thesis is the result of a research candidature conducted jointly with The Hong Kong Polytechnic University as part of a collaborative degree

Production Note:

(Signed) Signature removed prior to publication.

BALASANKAR GANESAN (Name of student)

ABSTRACT

Congenital talipes equinovarus (CTEV) is one of the most common complex orthopedic deformities in newborn babies which is more in males than females (3:1). The condition is characterized by four components of foot deformities: cavus, adductus, varus and equinus (CAVE). The rate of prevalence of CTEV is 1 in per 1000 live births. The etiology, classification, assessment, and management of clubfoot remain controversial. There is no standardized assessment for clubfoot deformity. An accurate evaluation of clubfoot is very essential for quantifying the initial severity of the deformity and determining the treatment options, as well as predicting the prognosis and treatment outcomes. Although there are a number of evaluation methods have been proposed to assess the severity of clubfoot, most of the assessment methods are too subjective. These assessment systems will not provide strongly objective measured clinical evidence. Imaging modalities such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and computerized tomography (CT) scanning can be used to evaluate the initial severity of the clubfoot, but these techniques are too expensive for repeated use at each weekly casting session. Reliable, valid and accurate assessments would help to reducing relapses and the burden to the children and their family in terms of hospital expenses, and other long term complications to children with clubfoot. There is a necessity to develop a valid, reliable and objective tool to evaluate clubfoot. Thus, this study has developed an effective 3D assessment system, based on 3D scanning, to measure clubfoot severity and response to casting intervention. As a secondary aim, the thermo-physiological changes in the clubfoot was observed and correlated with the response to the casting intervention. This study is an explorative study and the study design has been approved by the Human Research Ethics Committees (HREC); registration number HREC/16/SCHN/163. The study was reviewed and approved on 17 August 2016 by the Sydney Children's Hospitals Network Human Research Ethics Committee in Sydney, Australia.

To develop the 3D assessment system for clubfoot, two experiments were conducted in this study. Five samples (N=5) were used in the experiments. Two rubber clubfoot models (N=2) were ordered online from Massons Healthcare, a private limited company that imports and distributes orthotics in Australia. One child with clubfoot was selected to develop the 3D scanned clubfoot model with pre and post intervention scans (N=2). In addition, one normal foot 3D scan (N=1) was collected to compare the difference between normal foot and clubfoot. A Kinect XBOX was used as a 3D scanner to obtain the scanned images from the child with unilateral clubfoot, normal foot, and the two rubber models. The scanned 3D images were processed by using Artec 9 Studio (3D scanner software) and CATIA V5 software (3D modeling software). Based on the 3D modelling, the 3D scanned images of the clubfoot were sectioned into five anatomical areas. Then, 5 cross sections were created from 5 anatomical surface lines. From the 5 surface lines, 5 cross section areas were developed: cross section angles of the center point, maximum lateral border, maximum medial border, maximum dorsal side, and maximum plantar side of the foot. The final step in developing the new 3D measurement scale was the development of 3 angles under the components of 5 cross section areas:

A. Center of cross section angle

1. Ankle-Heel-Midfoot-Area cross section angle (AHMA Angle)
2. Heel-midfoot-metatarsal phalangeal joint area cross section angle (HMMA Angle).
3. Midfoot- metatarsal phalangeal joint -proximal phalangeal joint area cross section angle (MMPAA)

B. Maximum lateral border cross section angle

1. Lateral border of Ankle-Heel-Midfoot-Area cross section angle (LBAHMA Angle)

2. Lateral border of Heel-midfoot-metatarsal phalangeal joint area cross section angle (LBHMMA).

3. Lateral border of Midfoot- metatarsal phalangeal joint -proximal phalangeal joint area cross section angle (LBMMPA)

C. Maximum medial border cross section angle

1. Medial border of Ankle-Heel-Midfoot-Area cross section angle (MBAHMA Angle)

2. Medial border of heel-midfoot-metatarsal phalangeal joint area cross section angle (MBHMMA).

3. Medial border of midfoot- metatarsal phalangeal joint -proximal phalangeal joint area cross section angle (MBMMPA)

D. Maximum dorsal side cross section angle

1. Dorsal side of Ankle-Heel-Midfoot-Area cross section angle (DS-AHMA Angle)

2. Dorsal side of Heel-midfoot-metatarsal phalangeal joint area cross section angle (DS-HMMA).

3. Dorsal side of Midfoot- metatarsal phalangeal joint -proximal phalangeal joint area (DS MMPA) center of cross section angle

E. Maximum planter side cross section angle

1. Plantar side of Ankle-Heel-Midfoot-Area cross section angle (PSAHMA Angle)

2. Plantar side Heel-midfoot-metatarsal phalangeal joint area cross section angle (PSHMMA).

3. Plantar side Midfoot- metatarsal phalangeal joint -proximal phalangeal joint area cross section angle (PSMMPA)

The measurement of pre and post casting intervention were compared and the results showed the differences between pre and post intervention. In addition, the severe and corrected clubfoot were compared with normal foot and the results showed the all the angles of sixth

week correction is closely reached to the range of normal foot cross section angles. The results of this study show that these measurements can be used to predict the four components of the clubfoot deformities.

In this study, infrared (IR) thermography is used to collect thermal images of the children with clubfoot (N=4) before and after casting. The study explored the thermophysiological changes between the casting interventions. In total, 120 thermal images were collected from the dorsal, plantar, medial, lateral and heel sides of the foot. FLIR and MATLAB software were used to obtain ten cutoff mean temperatures. The results showed reduced temperature after the first casting and temperature difference between the weekly castings. This novel method can be used to observe thermal changes in the clubfoot between castings to avoid complications such as pressure ulcers, swelling, pressure sores and related complications and relapses. Furthermore, this research study finding shows that IR thermography can be used as an additional diagnostic tool to evaluate and observe the thermophysiological changes in the clubfoot.

In this study, a new 3D objective analysis (objective assessment) method has been developed for analyzing clubfoot deformity. This 3D method is developed from 2D images of severe clubfoot, which was obtained from computed tomography. This method provides a new way to create a 3D model of the bones of a severe clubfoot from 2D slices as well as helps to analyze the relative position of the foot bones and objectively quantify the severity of the clubfoot.

Furthermore, a systematic review study was conducted to examine how the technical protocols in the Ponseti treatment followed in the selected 12 studies could achieve the initial correction, and better understand the outcomes of the study, including success rate, number of castings and percentage of surgical recommendations, as well as review the rate of relapse and relapse patterns of the causative factors of clubfoot for relapses. It is found that the

Ponseti method requires fewer castings and shorter duration to achieve correction, and has a lower relapse rate in comparison to other methods. However, few studies have focused and described the relapse pattern, and causes of relapse. There is still lack of information regarding the causes of relapse or recurrences of clubfoot. In addition, this study found that variations in the bracing protocol schedule.

As described above, this study results provide useful information and new objective assessment methods to quantify the severities of the clubfoot. In addition, this study used infrared imaging method and the results provided a useful information about skin temperature distribution between the castings and this infrared imaging can be used to prevent the complications from casting and relapses. The output of this research project can be extended to develop objective assessment methods for quantifying the initial severities of the clubfoot and provide new knowledge on developing 3D based objective assessment methods.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Manuscripts under preparation

1. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Joanne Yip, Adel Al-Jumaily, Luximon Ameersing, Ey Batlle, Anna. A new method of computed tomography based three-dimensional evaluation for bone to bone alignment analysis in clubfoot. *In submission to Plos one*
2. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Joanne Yip, Adel Al-Jumaily, Luximon Ameersing, Paul Gibbons & Alison Chivers. Thermographic evaluation of clubfoot casting intervention. *In submission to Nature Scientific Reports*
3. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Joanne Yip, Adel Al-Jumaily, Luximon Ameersing, Paul Gibbons & Alison Chivers. Developing a three-dimensional (3D) assessment method for clubfoot. *In submission to Nature Scientific Reports.*

Peer-reviewed Journal Papers

4. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Luximon Ameersing, Adel Al-Jumaily, Joanne Yip, Paul Gibbons & Alison Chivers. (2017). Developing a three-dimensional (3D) assessment method for clubfoot- A study protocol. *Front. Physiol.* doi: 0.3389/fphys.2017.01098
5. **Balasankar Ganesan**, Ameersing Luximon, Adel Al-Jumaily, Suchita Kothe Balasankar & Ganesh R. Naik. (2017). Ponseti method in the management of clubfoot under 2 years of age: A systematic review. *Plos one*.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178299>.

6. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Ameersing Luximon & Adel Al-Jumaily (2016). Current conservative management and classification of club foot: Literature review. *Journal of pediatric rehabilitation medicine.* vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 257-264.

7. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Kenneth N.K.Fong, Luximon Ameersing & Al-Jumaily Adel (2016). Kinetic and Kinematic analysis of gait pattern of 13 year old children with unilateral genu valgum, *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences*, 20 (15), 3168-3171.
8. **Ganesan Balasankar** & Luximon Ameersing (2015). Common foot and ankle disorders in adult and children. *Research Journal of Textile and Apparel*, 19 (2), 54-65.
9. Ameersing Luximon, **Ganesan Balasankar**, & Younus Abida. (2015). Diabetic foot and footwear. *Research Journal of Textile and Apparel*. Vol. 19 Iss: 1, pp.1 – 10.
10. Man David, **Ganesan Balasankar**, Yip Calvin, Lee Christina, Tsang Sarah, Young, Janice, Yu Pan & Shum David (2016). Validation of the virtual-reality prospective memory test (Hong Kong Chinese version) for individuals with first-episode schizophrenia. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*, 25(6):895-912
11. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Adel Al-Jumaily & Ameersing Luximon.(2016). 3D Printing Technology Applications in Occupational Therapy. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation -International*, 3(3), 1085

Conference papers

1. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Luximon Ameersing, Al-Jumaily Adel (2016). Thermography evaluation of clubfoot treatment by casting method - A pilot study, Poster presentation, 37th SICOT Orthopaedic World Congress, Italy.
2. Luximon Ameersing, **Ganesan Balasankar**, Adel Al-Jumaily & Younus Abida (2017). Effects of Socks and Shoes on Normal Foot Skin Temperature. Proceedings of the AHFE 2017 International Conference on Human Factors in Simulation and Modeling, July 17-21, 2017, Los Angeles, California, USA.

3. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Luximon Ameersing, Adel Al Jumaily, Jiang Lanyin & Younus Abida (2016). Development of 3D depth image clubfoot model based on Kinect 3D printing technology. Textile Summit and Postgraduate Student Conference, 28-30 June 2016, Hong Kong
4. Zhao KaiWei, Luximon Ameersing, Ganesan Balasankar & Chan, C.K. (2015). A Composite Method for Human Foot Structural Modeling. *Procedia Manufacturing*, (AHFE 2015),3, 3759–3766.
5. Zhao KaiWei, Luximon Ameersing, **Ganesan Balasankar** & Chan, C.K. (2014). A New Representing Method of Human Foot Anatomical Landmark and its Application in Foot Posture Data Acquisition. *Proceedings of the 5th AHFE Conference (AHFE 2014)*, 19-23 July 2014, Krakow, Poland.
6. Luximon Ameersing, **Ganesan Balasankar**, Zhao KaiWei & Chan, CK(2014). 3D Functional foot. *Proceedings of the 5th AHFE Conference (AHFE 2014)*, 19-23 July 2014, Krakow, Poland.
7. Feng Qilong, Luximon Ameersing, **Ganesan Balasankar**, & Chan, C.K. (2014). Foot dynamic model for investigate foot motion during walking, *Proceedings of the 5th AHFE Conference (AHFE 2014)*, 19-23 July 2014, Krakow, Poland.
8. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Luximon Ameersing & Joanne Yip (2014). Designing footwear and braces for children with clubfoot deformity. 9th International Shibori Symposium, October 31st – November 4th, 2014, Hangzhou, China.
9. **Ganesan Balasankar**, Luximon Ameersing (2014). Using 3D-Microsoft technologies in the construction of shoes in children with clubfoot. III International Clubfoot Conference, July 19-23, 2014, St. Petersburg, Russia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my PolyU Chief Supervisor Dr. Joanne Yip for the continuous support of my PhD study and related research, for her patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. Her guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my PhD study. Without her support, guidance, feedback, my thesis and PhD study would not be completed successfully. She provided continuous support, discussion, incessant advising, continuous motivation and constructive criticism has helped to increase the quality of this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and sincere appreciation to my Chief Supervisor, Dr. Adel Al Jumaily, UTS, Australia for his supervision, motivation and helpful guidance throughout the duration of this research project. He encouraged and guided me to publish my work in high impact journals. He helped me with constant discussion, incessant advising, continuous motivation and guidance on ethical procedures. Without his support, guidance, feedback, my project would not be completed successfully.

I would like to sincerely thank my previous supervisor, Dr. Luximon Ameersing, for his guidance, and support for constant advice for data analysis as well as moral support. I deeply appreciate for his willingness in providing the research details and support throughout my PhD study period.

I would also thank to Dr Paul Gibbons, Dr. Gray Kelly, Ms. Alison Chivers, and Mr. Tony for supporting me to conduct the research at the Children's Hospital Westmead, Sydney, Australia. Especially, I would like to thank my wife Dr. Suchita Balasankar for assisting me to prepare the equipment and collect the data.

I would also express my thanks to Dr. Anna Ey is Pediatric Orthopaedic Surgeon, Spain for helping me to understand the Ponseti method, and she provided valuable research advice for this study.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my family. This is especially so for my wife, Dr. Suchita Balasankar. She supported me throughout my PhD study and supplied me with so much confidence and love. I couldn't have done this without my wife support. Even when she was in the early stages of pregnancy, she came along to the Children's hospital at Westmead to support me during data collection. Many thanks to God for giving me a lovely wife that gave real meaning to my life. Also, I could not forget to mention my prince Master, Vishwajeet Balasankar Ganesan. His smiles and expressions give me unmeasurable moments of happiness and has made my life so much more meaningful. He is what motivated me to work so sincerely. I am also sincerely thankful to my father Mr. Ganesan, mother Kaliasamma, sister - Jaya, for their support and love. Thanks to my mother in law Mrs. Geetha Shriram Kothe for encouraging my wife to support me to complete my PhD successfully. I am also thanks to my god "Siva" and "Sai Baba" for their blessings.

I would also thank to Prof. Surya Shah, Professor A. T. Still University, Mesa Arizona for his ethical advice for my studies.

Lastly, I would also like to thank my friends Dr Yuhuan Huang, UTS, Mr. Karthick Thiyagarajan, UTS, and my other colleagues at ITC, PolyU for supporting me in my study period.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	I
PUBLICATIONS	V
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	VIII
TABLE OF CONTENTS	X
LIST OF FIGURES	XVI
LIST OF TABLES	XXI
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Aims of this study	5
1.4 objectives of this study	5
1.5 Project Originality and Significance	7
1.6 Outline of the thesis	8
Chapter 2 Literature Review	12
2.1 Anatomy of the foot	12
2.1.1 Bones of the foot	12
2.1.2 Arches of the foot	14
2.1.3 Ankle and foot joints	16
2.1.4 Joints of the foot	19
2.1.5 Subtalar joint	19
2.1.6 Talocalcaneonavicular joint	21
2.1.7 Calcaneocuboid joint	21
2.1.8 Transverse joints, Tarsometatarsal joints, Metatarsophalangeal Joints (MTP Joints) and Interphalangeal joints (IP joints)	22
2.1.9 Muscles of the foot	22
2.2 Clubfoot	25
2.2.1 Introduction	25

2.2.2	Prevalence of clubfoot	25
2.2.3	Etiology	27
2.2.4	Pathoanatomy and Biomechanics of clubfoot	29
2.2.5	Management of clubfoot	31
2.2.5.1	Ponseti method	33
2.2.5.2	French functional or physiotherapist method	36
2.2.5.3	Copenhagen Method	37
2.3	Assessment of clubfoot	39
2.3.1	Development of clubfoot assessment	39
2.3.2	Pirani scoring system for clubfoot assessment	40
2.3.3	Dimeglio classification for clubfoot	44
2.3.4	Disease Specific Index (DSI) scale	44
2.3.5	Carroll clubfoot severity scale	49
2.3.6	Biomechanical assessment methods for evaluating clubfoot	50
2.3.7	Radiological evaluation methods of clubfoot	55
2.3.8	Ultrasound in clubfoot evaluation	57
2.3.9	Role of CT and MRI analysis in clubfoot severity	59
2.3.10	Role of three dimensional scanning for normal feet and medical applications normal foot and medical applications	59 62
2.3.11	Infrared thermography	64
2.4	Summary	67
Chapter 3 A Systematic Review on Ponseti Method in the management of clubfoot under 2 years of age		69
3.1	Introduction	69

3.2 Study selection	71
3.2.1 Inclusion criteria	71
3.2.2 Exclusion criteria	71
3.2.3 Data Extraction	71
3.3 Results	72
3.4 Discussion	80
3.4.1 Casting techniques and numbers of casts	80
3.4.2 Bracing	83
3.4.3 Relapses and its characteristics	84
3.4.4 Relapsing Factors	85
3.4.5. Evaluation of treatment outcome and surgical recommendations	88
3.5 Summary	90
Chapter 4 Research Methodology and Study Protocol	92
4.1 Introduction	92
4.2 Exploratory study design	92
4.2.1 Participants/ Study population	93
4.2.2 Procedures (Recruitment, Consent, and Data collection)	93
4.2.3 Equipment/Measurement tools	95
4.3 Data collection procedures	95
4.3.1 Assessment 1	95
4.3.2 Assessment 2	96
4.4 Data analysis	96
4.4.1 Method of 3D image analysis	96
4.4.2 Methods of Cross-sections, parameters estimation and curvature analysis	98

4.4.3 Statistics for 3D images	99
4.4.4 Infrared image analysis and statistics	102
4.5 Summary	103
Chapter 5 Three-dimensional evaluation model for bone to bone relationships and clubfoot	106
5.1 Introduction	106
5.2 Method	107
5.2.1 Acquisition of 2D CT slice images	108
5.2.2 Aligning and removing noise from 2D slices	109
5.2.3 Three-dimensional modelling of clubfoot	110
5.2.3.1 Software adapted for 3D modelling of clubfoot and data analysis	110
5.3 Data processing and analysis	110
5.4 Results and discussion	113
5.4.1 Measuring the alignment of calcaneus, talus, cuboid bones relative to lower end of tibia	115
5.4.2 Measuring the alignment of metatarsal bones relative to lower end of tibia	117
5.4.3 Measuring the alignment of proximal, middle, and distal phalangeal bones relative to the lower end of tibia	118
5.5 Summary	123
Chapter 6 Developing a 3D assessment method for clubfoot	125
6.1 Introduction	125
6.2 study design	125
6.3 Participants/ Study population	126
6.3.1 Inclusion criteria	126

6.3.2 Exclusion criteria	126
6.4 Kinect XBOX as scanner/camera	128
6.4.1 3D scanner	128
6.4.2 Hardware Specifications for Kinect	130
6.4.3 Artec software	130
6.5 Data collection procedures	131
6.5.1 Experiment I	131
6.5.2 Experiment II	133
6.5.3 Acquisition of 3D clubfoot model	135
6.5.4 Methods of 3D image processing by Artec studio 9 and RGB D mapping	137
6.6 Methods for developing a new assessment system for clubfoot	139
6.6.1 Step 1: Alignment of 3D model clubfoot	139
6.6.2 Step 2: Sectioning the clubfoot scanned 3D model	140
6.6.3 Step 3: Methods for calculating angles between the center of the cross sections	143
6.6.4 Results and discussion	150
6.6.4.1 Results of pre and post casting (6 weeks) intervention, and comparison with normal foot	162
6.7 Summary	169
Chapter 7 Thermographic evaluation of clubfoot	170
7.1 Introduction	170
7.2 study design	170
7.3 Participants/ Study population	171
7.3.1 Inclusion criteria	171

7.3.2 Exclusion criteria	171
7.4 Infrared Camera	172
7.4.1 FLIR Software	176
7.5 Data collection procedures	176
7.6 Data processing and analysis	179
7.7 Results and discussion	182
7.7.1 demographics	182
7.7.2 Skin temperature distribution on foot	184
7.7.3 Results of statistical analysis of thermal changes on the clubfoot	187
7.7.3.1 Thermal changes on the dorsal side of foot after weekly casting	187
7.7.3.2 Thermal changes in skin of heel of clubfoot before and after casting intervention	190
7.7.3.3 Thermal changes in skin of lateral side of clubfoot	192
7.7.3.4 Thermal changes in skin of medial side of foot	194
7.7.3.5 Thermal changes in skin of plantar side of foot	196
7.8 Role of infrared thermography evaluation for clubfoot	198
7.9 Summary	200
Chapter 8 Conclusions and Recommendations for future research	202
8.1 Introduction	202
8.2 Three-dimensional modelling of clubfoot and development of 3D assessment method	203
8.3 Infrared thermography evaluation of clubfoot	206
8.4 Three-dimensional analysis of clubfoot based on CT scanning	207
8.5 A systematic review on Ponseti method intervention under two years of age	208
8.6 Proposed study protocol on clubfoot for further research	209

8.7 Suggestions for future work

APPENDICES	213
Appendix A	213
Appendix B	215
Appendix C	216
REFERENCES	234

List of Figures	Page
Figure 1.1 Bilateral Clubfoot	2
Figure 1.2 Flow diagram of research methodology	9
Figure 2.1 Anatomy of the foot – superior and medial view	13
Figure 2.2 Medial view of the human foot	13
Figure 2.3 Lateral view of the foot	14
Figure 2.4 Arches for the foot	16
Figure 2.5 Anterior and lateral view of ankle joint	18
Figure 2.6 Lateral view of Ankle joint and lateral ligaments	18
Figure 2.7 Medial view of ligaments at ankle and foot joints	19
Figure 2.8 Subtalar joint	20
Figure 2.9 Inversion and eversion of foot	20
Figure 2.10 Talocalcaneonavicular joint	21
Figure 2.11 Muscles on the dorsum of the foot	23
Figure 2.12 First layer muscles on the sole of the foot	24
Figure 2.13 Second layer of muscles on the sole of the foot	24
Figure 2.14 Third and Fourth layer of muscles on the sole of the foot	24
Figure 2.15 Clubfoot depicted in Egyptian paintings and mummy	26
Figure 2.16 Clubfoot paintings at Paris	26
Figure 2.17 Clubfoot- Displacement of bones	31
Figure 2.18 Clubfoot management in earlier periods of time	32
Figure 2.19 Manipulation of the clubfoot by Ponseti techniques	34
Figure 2.20 Ponseti casting	35
Figure 2.21 Percutaneous Achilles tenotomy in Ponseti techniques	35

Figure 2.22 Dobbs foot abduction brace	35
Figure 2.23 French Taping method	36
Figure 2.24 Ankle foot orthosis	37
Figure 2.25 Manipulation of clubfoot by Copenhagen method	39
Figure 2.26 Pirani Scoring system	43
Figure 2.27 Dimeglio clubfoot classification scale	46
Figure 2.28. Foot prints method for clubfoot assessment	52
Figure 2.29 Correlation of Bimalleolar angle measurement	54
Figure 2.30 Talocalcaneal angle or Kite's angle	56
Figure 2.31 Talocalcaneal angle or Kite's angle (Lateral)	57
Figure 2.32. Abnormal Talocalcaneal angle	57
Figure 2.33 The principal axis of inertia ossific nuclei and the cartilaginous relationship in by MRI	62
Figure 2.34. 3D foot model	64
Figure 2.35. 3D model used for quantifying the severity of rheumatoid arthritis	64
Figure 2.36. Thermography images of various medical conditions	66
Figure 2.37 Examples of lateral views of 3 grades of ankle sprains by IR evaluation	66
Figure 3.1. Flow chart of literature search and recruitment process	70
Figure 4.1. Flowchart of study method	94
Figure 4.2 Foot alignment	97
Figure 4.3. Cross sections	98
Figure 4.4 Parameter estimation and curvature	101
Figure 5.1 Framework for developing 3D model of clubfoot bones and analysing severe clubfoot	108

Figure 5.2 Process of removing noise from images and marking severe clubfoot (axial view)	109
Figure 5.3 Processed CT slices -red-dot landmarks for developing clubfoot model	111
Figure 5.4 Calculation of first metatarsal angle based on the ankle bone- tibia	111
Figure 5.5 Position and alignment of first metatarsal in relative to the tibia (YZ view (90,0))	112
Figure 5.6 Position and alignment of first metatarsal in relative to the tibia XY view (0,90)	112
Figure 5.7 Position and alignment of first metatarsal in relative to the tibia XZ view (0,0)	113
Figure 5.8 Calcaneus bone position in relative to the lower end of tibia (ankle region)	116
Figure 5.9 Measurement of talus angles and its position in relative to the lower end of tibia (ankle region) (YZ view)	116
Figure 5.10 Position of Cuboid bone angles in relative to the lower end of tibia (ankle region)	117
Figure 5.11 x, y, z coordinate angles and direction of first proximal phalanx in the severe clubfoot	119
Figure 5.12 The relationship between ankle (lower end of tibia) and first distal phalanx.	121
Figure 6.1 Flowchart of study design	127
Figure 6.2 Kinect and its components (Microsoft)	131
Figure 6.3 Equipments for experiment	132
Figure 6.4 A small bed for positioning the baby	133

Figure 6.5 Positioning clubfoot for scanning	133
Figure 6.6 Rubber model of partially corrected clubfoot	134
Figure 6.7 Rubber model of severe clubfoot	135
Figure 6.8 Algorithm for developing 3D clubfoot model and developing a new assessment method	136
Figure 6.9 Creating rubber clubfoot model with Artec (severe clubfoot before intervention)	138
Figure 6.10: Creating 3D clubfoot model with Artec (severe clubfoot from child with unilateral clubfoot at baseline)	138
Figure 6.11 Alignment of rubber clubfoot model	140
Figure 6.12 Sectioning foot with five surface lines	141
Figure 6.13 Cross section of 3D clubfoot of newborn child with severe unilateral clubfoot	142
Figure 6.14 Cross sectioning of rubber model of severe clubfoot	143
Figure 6.15 Alignment of center of cross sections and three centers of cross sections angles	146
Figure 6.16 Center of the cross section angles on rubber model of severe clubfoot	151
Figure 6.17 Maximum lateral border cross section angle	151
Figure 6.18 Maximum medial border cross section angle	151
Figure 6.19 Maximum dorsal side cross section angle	152
Figure 6.20 Maximum planter side cross section angle	152
Figure 6.21 Center of the angle of the cross section - child with severe clubfoot deformity	152
Figure 6.22 Maximum angle of the cross section at lateral border - child with severe clubfoot deformity	153

Figure 6.23 Maximum angle of the cross section at medial border - child with severe clubfoot	153
Figure 6.24 Maximum angle of the cross section of dorsal side - child with severe clubfoot deformity	154
Figure 6.25 Maximum cross section angle of plantar side - child with severe clubfoot deformity	154
Figure 6.26 Results of 3D imaging of center of the cross-section angle	158
Figure 6.27 Results of maximum lateral border cross section angle	158
Figure 6.28 Results of 3D imaging of maximum medial border cross section angle	159
Figure 6.29 Results of 3D imaging of maximum dorsal side cross section angle	159
Figure 6.30. Results of 3D imaging of maximum planter side cross section angle	160
Figure 6.31 Different views of 3D clubfoot model after casting intervention (Pre-casting and at 6th week of casting)	161
Figure 6.32 Three-Dimensional (3D) angle measurements of normal foot	163
Figure 6.33 Results of hindfoot severity of the clubfoot before and after casting and comparison with normal foot	164
Figure 6.34 Results of midfoot severity of the clubfoot before and after casting, and comparison with normal foot	166
Figure 6.35 Results of forefoot severity of the clubfoot before and after casting and comparison with normal foot	167
Figure 7.1 FLIR Camera	174
Figure 7.2 Different types of medical imaging modalities	175
Figure 7.3 Flowchart of subject recruitment and study design for thermal imaging	177

Figure 7.4 Positioning of baby with clubfoot on bed for 3D and infrared imaging	178
Figure 7.5 Positioning of clubfoot for experiment	179
Figure 7.6 Flow Chart-Algorithm for processing of clubfoot thermal image data	180
Figure 7.7 Example of different views of original clubfoot infrared images vs. digitally cleaned thermal images of clubfoot	181
Figure 7.8 Thermography images of Ponseti serial casting (1-6 weeks)	183
Figure 7.9 Mean temperature of clubfoot before intervention (plantar side of the foot)	185
Figure. 7.10 Mean temperature of clubfoot at 6th week of intervention (plantar side of the foot)	187
Figure 7.11 Thermal changes on dorsal side of foot after weekly casting (Subject 1)	189
Figure 7.12 Thermal changes on the dorsal side of foot after weekly casting (Subject 2)	189
Figure 7.13. Thermal changes in skin on dorsal side of foot at 6th week	190
Figure 7.14 Thermal changes of heel after weekly casting (Subject 1)	191
Figure 7.15 Estimated marginal means of temperature in skin of heel	192
Figure 7.16 Thermal changes in skin of lateral side of foot after weekly casting (Subject 1)	193
Figure 7.17 Estimated marginal means of skin temperature of lateral side of foot	193
Figure 7.18 Thermal changes in skin on medial side of foot (Subject 1)	196
Figure 7.19 Thermal changes in skin on medial side of foot after weekly casting (Subject 2)	196
Figure 7.20 Thermal changes of plantar side of foot after weekly casting (Subject 1)	198

Figure. 7.21 Estimated marginal means of temperature of plantar side of foot	198
Figure 7.22 Complications of improper casting - pressure sores and infection in clubfoot	200

List of Tables	Page
Table 2.1 Prevalence of clubfoot	27
Table. 2.2 Grading of Dimeglio clubfoot classification scale	45
Table. 2.3 Disease-specific instrument scale	49
Table 3.1. Characteristics of the studies	73
Table 4.1 Parameters from 3D imaging analysis and Infrared imaging	100
Table 5.1 Calculation of angles of calcaneus, talus, cuboid bones in severe clubfoot	115
Table 5.2. Angles at metatarsal bones in relation to the tibial bone	118
Table 5.3. Angles at proximal phalanges in relation to ankle region of tibia	119
Table 5.4. Angles at middle phalanges in relation to ankle	121
Table 5.5 Angles at distal phalanges in relation to ankle	122
Table 6.1 Microsoft Kinect specifications	129
Table 6.2 Development of new 3D assessment method for clubfoot (3DAMC)	147
Table 6.3 Results of pre and post casting (6 weeks) as intervention, and comparison with normal foot	155
Table 6.4 Results of rubber clubfoot model (severe and partially corrected)	156
Table 6.5 Hindfoot measurement in 3DAMC	164
Table 6.6 Results of midfoot measurement in 3DAMC	166
Table 6.7 Results of forefoot measurement in 3DAMC	167
Table 7.1 Demographics of study participants	172
Table 7.2 Specifications of infrared camera	174
Table 7.3 Results of thermal changes on the dorsal side of foot	188
Table 7.4 Thermal changes in skin of heel of clubfoot	191
Table 7.5 Thermal changes in skin of lateral side of clubfoot	194

Table 7.6 Thermal changes in skin of medial side of clubfoot	195
Table 7.7 Descriptive statistics of mean temperature of plantar side of foot	197