



**Robust Sensor Technologies Combined  
with Smart Predictive Analytics for  
Hostile Sewer Infrastructures**

by

Karthick Thiyagarajan

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

at the

Centre for Autonomous Systems  
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology  
**University of Technology Sydney**

25<sup>th</sup> July 2018

# Declaration of Authorship

I certify that the work in this dissertation has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the dissertation has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the dissertation itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the dissertation.

Production Note:

Signed: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: **25<sup>th</sup> July 2018**

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY

## *Abstract*

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

Centre for Autonomous Systems

Doctor of Philosophy

by Karthick Thiyagarajan

Underground sewer systems are an important national infrastructure requirement of any country. In most cities, they are old and have been exposed to significant levels of microbial induced concrete corrosion, which is widely regarded as a serious global problem as they pose threats to public health and cause economic repercussions to water utilities. In order to maintain those underground assets efficaciously, it is pivotal for water utilities to estimate the amount of intact concrete left to rebar by predicting the rate of corrosion throughout the sewer network. Existing predictive models incorporate concrete surface temperature and surface moisture conditions as observations. However, researchers and water utilities often use indirect measures like ambient temperature and humidity data as inputs to their models. This is primarily due to unavailability of proven technologies in the state-of-the-art systems and sensing limitations predominantly attributed to the corrosive nature of the sewer environment. Hence, the focus of this dissertation is to provide reliable measures of surface temperature and moisture conditions by developing robust sensor technologies that can facilitate measurements under the hostile sewer conditions.

This dissertation encompasses three main parts:

In the first part, a robust sensor technology using an infrared radiometer sensor for quantifying surface temperature dynamics inside concrete sewer pipes is proposed. In this regard, the sensor was comprehensively evaluated in the laboratory conditions to

study the effects of optical window fogging, incident angle, limit of detection, distance, lighting conditions, reproducibility, humidity and increased surface temperature conditions. Thereafter, the sensor was deployed in sewer pipe for real-time continuous measurements. The field study revealed the suitability of the proposed sensor technology for non-contact surface temperature measurements under the hostile sewer environment. Further, the accuracy of the sensor measurements was improved by calibrating the sensor with emissivity coefficient of the sewer concrete.

In the second part of the dissertation, a non-invasive sensing technique to determine the concrete surface moisture conditions is proposed. In this context, laboratory experiments were conducted to study the behaviour of concrete moisture to electrical resistance variations and different pH concentrations. This study led to utilize the Wenner array method to determine the surface moisture conditions based on concrete surface electrical resistivity measurements. Then, the sensor suite was deployed in concrete sewer pipe to measure the surface resistivity for about three months. Upon on-site calibration, surface moisture conditions were determined and thereof, the field campaign exhibited the feasibility of the proposed sensing method. Further investigations were conducted to locate the reinforcing bar embedded in concrete for optimal sensor installation in order to minimize the effects of reinforcing bar during measurements.

In the third part, sensor technologies were combined with smart predictive analytics to develop a diagnostic toolkit that can digitally monitor the health conditions of the sensors is proposed. This toolkit embraces a seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average model with statistical hypothesis testing technique to enable temporal forecasting of sensor data; identify and isolate anomalies in a continuous stream of sensor data; detect early sensor failure and finally to provide reliable estimates of sensor data in the event of sensor failure or during the scheduled maintenance period of sewer monitoring systems.

Overall, this dissertation significantly contributes to ameliorating the way sewer assets are monitored and maintained in Australia and globally by providing information-rich new data to the predictive models for better corrosion prediction.

## *Acknowledgements*

This dissertation is the result of the research conducted by me during the last four years at the Centre for Autonomous Systems of The University of Technology Sydney, Australia. The presented work is part of a water industry led collaborative project, “Data Analytics on Sewers”, funded by Sydney Water Corporation, Melbourne Water Corporation, Water Corporation (WA) and South Australian Water Corporation. The research participants are Data61-Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO), University of Technology Sydney (UTS) and University of Newcastle (UoN).

This dissertation is a reality today mainly due to the astounding support and constant guidance of my primary supervisor Prof. Sarath Kodagoda. I sincerely thank him for being inspirational, motivational and making me realize my endurance and fortitude towards research. His research acumen on sensing technologies was pivotal for me in accomplishing this dissertation. Above all, I admire his way of supervision, always open to discussion and never the source of disappointment. Further, I owe my gratitude to my co-supervisor Distinguished Prof. Gamini Dissanayake for accepting me as his student. His suggestions and in-depth knowledge of robotics and sensing technologies were instrumental in producing this dissertation. At this juncton, I truly appreciate UTS for offering me the UTS President’s Scholarship (UTSP) to assist with living cost and UTS International Research Scholarship (IRS) to cover the tuition fees of my doctoral candidature.

I truly appreciate the support offered by the industrial partners of “Data Analytics on Sewers” project in successful completion of this dissertation. Specially, I would like to acknowledge the support of Mr. Dammika Vitnage, Mr. Gino Iori, Mr. Craig Earl, Mr. Jeremy Hearfield, Mr. Derek Cunningham and Mr. Steve Barclay from Sydney Water Corporation. Technical discussions with Dr. Fang Chen, Dr. Yang Wang and Dr. Bin Li from Data61-CSIRO during Technical Committee Meeting for Sewer Corrosion project were valuable in gaining insights about corrosion modelling. In addition, Prof. Robert Melchers and Prof. Tony Wells helped me to gain domain knowledge on concrete corrosion.

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Ravindra Ranasinghe for being my assessor during candidature assessment and providing insightful comments, constructive feedbacks for journals and warm encouragement. I have greatly benefited from the support extended by Dr. Nalika Ulapane and Dr. Linh Nguyen while working on probabilistic models. Kyle

Alvarez has always been a helping hand for me in tackling the engineering aspects of the research.

Working as an academic tutor in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology has been one of my rewarding experiences at UTS. I am much obliged to Prof. Sarath Kodagoda, Prof. Shoudong Huang, Prof. Robert Fitch and Dr. Gavin Paul for hiring me to teach Mechatronics subject and mentor projects for Advanced Robotics and Mechanical and Mechatronic Design subjects during the tenure of my Ph.D. candidature.

I am glad to have the acquaintance of Dr. Hayat Al-Dmour, Dr. Deepak Puthal, Dr. Alaa Al-Kaysi, Asma Al-Kabani, Fatma Al-Widyan and Ashish Nanda for being an integral part of the Ph.D. journey filled with euphoric moments and miseries. Thanks Ramya for all the blissful occasions during my Ph.D. Further, I wish to acknowledge my colleagues and friends from CAS for their unconditional support.

This thesis stands as a testament to a lifetime of endless love and unconditional support shown by my parents to bring my long passion for research into fruition. Special mention to my dad for providing the care, love, needs, and support. You have been the clandestine fabric of what I am today. Thanks daddy for everything, without you this dissertation would have been a distant dream.

# Contents

<b>Declaration of Authorship</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Glossary of Terms</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Hostile Sewer Infrastructures . . . . .	3
1.2 Research Motivation . . . . .	5
1.3 Statement of the Problem . . . . .	7
1.4 Principal Contributions . . . . .	9
1.5 First Published Appearances of the Described Contributions . . . . .	10
1.5.1 Journals . . . . .	10
1.5.2 Conference Proceedings . . . . .	11
1.5.3 Technical Reports . . . . .	11
1.6 The Structure of the Dissertation . . . . .	12
<b>2 Robust Sensor Technology for Measuring Surface Temperature in Sewers</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	13
2.2 Scoping Study: Sensors for Surface Temperature Measurements . . . . .	16
2.2.1 Sensors for Surface Temperature Measurements . . . . .	16
2.2.1.1 Fluid Expansion Type Temperature Measurement Devices	17
2.2.1.2 Bimetallic Temperature Measurement Devices . . . . .	17
2.2.1.3 Resistive Temperature Measurement Devices . . . . .	18
2.2.1.4 Thermocouple Sensors . . . . .	19

---

2.2.1.5	Thermistors . . . . .	19
2.2.1.6	Infrared Temperature Measurement Devices . . . . .	21
2.2.1.7	Fiber Optic Type Temperature Measurement Device . . . . .	24
2.2.1.8	Distributed Temperature Sensing . . . . .	26
2.2.2	Feasibility . . . . .	26
2.2.2.1	Temperature Conditions Inside Australian Sewers . . . . .	27
2.2.2.2	Comparative Analysis . . . . .	28
2.2.3	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	29
2.3	Sensor Characterization and Development for Measuring Surface Temperature	29
2.3.1	Assessment of Infrared Radiometer (IRR) Sensor’s Optical Window in Varied Humidity Conditions . . . . .	30
2.3.2	The Effects of Incident Angle on the IRR Sensor Performance and their Limit of Detection . . . . .	30
2.3.3	Distance, Lighting Condition and Reproducibility . . . . .	31
2.3.4	Performance of IRR Sensor in Higher Humidity Conditions . . . . .	31
2.3.5	IRR Sensor Performance with Varying Surface Temperatures . . . . .	31
2.3.6	Sensor Development and Packaging . . . . .	32
2.4	Field Deployment for Real-time Continuous Measurements Inside Hostile Sewer Infrastructure . . . . .	35
2.5	Post-deployment Validations of the IRR Sensor After Long Exposure to Hostile Sewer Conditions . . . . .	38
2.6	Improving Surface Temperature Measurements of IRR Sensor based on On- site Calibration . . . . .	38
2.6.1	Theoretical Considerations and On-site Calibration . . . . .	38
2.7	Experimental Results . . . . .	40
2.7.1	Pre-deployment Evaluation: Sensor Characterization and Development . . . . .	41
2.7.1.1	Evaluation of IRR Sensor’s Optical Window . . . . .	41
2.7.1.2	Evaluating the Effects of Incident Angle and Limit of Detection . . . . .	42
2.7.1.3	Evaluating the Effects of Distance, Lighting Condition and Reproducibility . . . . .	43
2.7.1.4	Evaluating the Performance of IRR Sensor in Higher Humidity Conditions . . . . .	44
2.7.1.5	Evaluating the IRR Sensor Performance with Varying Surface Temperatures . . . . .	45
2.7.1.6	Sensor Development and Packaging Prior to Sewer Deployment . . . . .	46
2.7.2	Field Deployment Experimentation: Data Collection and Analysis .	47
2.7.2.1	Real-time Sensor Data Showing Temporal Dynamics of Surface Temperature Measurements from the Sewer Pipe .	47
2.7.2.2	Comparative Analysis of Temperature Variables Data . . .	48
2.7.3	Post Deployment Validations . . . . .	49
2.7.4	Improved Measurements of IRR Sensor with Post Calibration Analysis	50
2.8	Summary . . . . .	51



<b>3</b>	<b>Robust Sensor Technology for Measuring Surface Moisture in Sewers</b>	<b>54</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	54
3.2	Scoping Study: Sensors for Surface Moisture Measurements . . . . .	56
3.2.1	Sensors for Moisture Sensing . . . . .	57
3.2.1.1	Gravimetric Method . . . . .	57
3.2.1.2	Gamma Densitometry Method . . . . .	58
3.2.1.3	Electrical Resistivity Method . . . . .	58
3.2.1.4	Capacitance Sensor . . . . .	59
3.2.1.5	Resonator Based Methods . . . . .	60
3.2.1.6	Time Domain Reflectometry based Sensors . . . . .	61
3.2.1.7	Frequency Domain Reflectometry based Sensors . . . . .	62
3.2.1.8	Fibre Optic Sensors . . . . .	62
3.2.1.9	Micro Electro Mechanical Systems Sensors . . . . .	64
3.2.1.10	Hyperspectral Sensing . . . . .	65
3.2.2	Feasibility . . . . .	65
3.2.3	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	67
3.3	Experimental Evaluation to Study the Behaviour of Concrete Moisture with Electrical Resistance and pH Conditions . . . . .	67
3.3.1	Experimental Approach . . . . .	67
3.3.1.1	Concrete Sample Preparation . . . . .	67
3.3.1.2	pH Measurements . . . . .	68
3.3.1.3	Moisture Measurements . . . . .	69
3.3.1.4	Electrical Resistance Based Sensor System . . . . .	71
3.3.2	Data-driven Approach for Predicting Moisture Content . . . . .	73
3.4	Sensor Development and Pre-deployment Evaluation . . . . .	75
3.5	Field Application for Real-time Measurements of Surface Moisture Conditions	78
3.5.1	Sensor Suite Deployment . . . . .	78
3.5.2	Field Data Collection and On-site Calibration . . . . .	80
3.6	Post-deployment Validations of the Moisture Sensor After Long Exposure to Hostile Sewer Condition . . . . .	81
3.7	Locating the Rebar Orientation using Electrical Resistivity Measurements .	81
3.7.1	Data Collection . . . . .	81
3.7.2	Spatial Estimation using Gaussian Markov Random Fields . . . . .	82
3.7.2.1	Gaussian Markov Random Fields . . . . .	82
3.7.2.2	Spatial Field Model by way of Gaussian Markov Random Fields . . . . .	83
3.7.2.3	Sensor Data Modelled by GMRF Using SPDE Approach .	84
3.8	Experimental Results . . . . .	87
3.8.1	Experimental Evaluation to Study the Effects of Concrete Moisture with Electrical Resistance and pH . . . . .	87
3.8.2	Sensor Development and Pre-deployment Evaluation . . . . .	90
3.8.3	Field Deployment Application . . . . .	91
3.8.3.1	Real-time Sensor Data Showing Temporal Dynamics of Surface Resistivity Measurements from the Sewer Pipe . .	92

3.8.3.2	Effects of Concrete Surface Temperature and Sewer Ambient Temperature Conditions . . . . .	92
3.8.3.3	On-site Calibration and Surface Moisture Interpretations . . . . .	92
3.8.4	Post-deployment Validations . . . . .	95
3.8.4.1	Spatial Estimation of Rebar Using GMRF . . . . .	97
3.9	Summary . . . . .	101
<b>4</b>	<b>Smart Predictive Analytics for Detecting Sensor Failure</b>	<b>104</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	104
4.2	Related Works . . . . .	107
4.3	Forecasting Temporal Dynamics of Quantified Variables from the Sensing Suite . . . . .	110
4.3.1	Surface Temperature and Surface Resistivity Data From the Sensing Suite . . . . .	110
4.3.2	Formulation of Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) Model . . . . .	111
4.3.3	Automatic Selection of SARIMA Model Parameters . . . . .	114
4.3.4	Computing Prediction Intervals of the Forecasts at Any Lead Time . . . . .	114
4.4	SFDA Algorithm . . . . .	115
4.5	Experimental Evaluation Results . . . . .	122
4.5.1	Comparative Analysis of Forecasting Models . . . . .	122
4.5.2	SFDA Algorithm Evaluation: Anomalies and Sensor Failure Detection . . . . .	127
4.5.3	SFDA Algorithm Evaluation: Forecasting Performance . . . . .	134
4.5.4	SFDA Algorithm Evaluation: Data Accommodation Process . . . . .	138
4.6	Summary . . . . .	141
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>144</b>
5.1	Summary of Contributions . . . . .	144
5.2	Discussion of Limitations . . . . .	146
5.3	Implications for the Water Industry . . . . .	148
5.4	Future Research . . . . .	149

# List of Figures

1.1	Multi-sensor robot for condition assessment of sewer infrastructures [1]. . .	2
1.2	Microbial induced corrosion process in concrete sewer assets. . . . .	4
2.1	Surface Temperature Sensors (A) Infrared Radiometer Sensor (B) Epoxy coated thermistor sensor . . . . .	33
2.2	Enclosure testing in sewers. (A) Deploying mechanism of the enclosure (B) Enclosure inside sewer having Hydrogen Sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S) around 5ppm. . . .	34
2.3	Field deployment of a sensor suite at a sewer site for real-time measurements of temperature variables. (A) Installations of the sensing unit at the crown of the sewer pipe for monitoring surface temperature variations (B) Construction of the monitoring unit outside the sewer pipe (C) Housing the monitoring unit using an electrical pillar box having vented air supply. . . . .	36
2.4	Assessment of the optical window exposed to different relative humidity conditions inside the humidity chamber. (A) 80% RH (B) 90% RH (C) 100% RH. . . . .	41
2.5	Performance efficacy of IRR sensor by positioning it at different incident angles from the surface of interest. . . . .	42
2.6	Statistical comparative analysis of IRR sensor measurements obtained in illuminated and dark ambient conditions by positioning the sensor in different distances. . . . .	43
2.7	Computed measures of RMSE and MAPE illustrating the IRR sensor performance under different humidity conditions. . . . .	44
2.8	Computed measures of RMSE and MAPE illustrating the IRR sensor with varying surface temperature. . . . .	45
2.9	Sensor enclosure. (A) New enclosure CAD Model (B) Top-view of the sensor enclosure (C) Front-view of the sensor enclosure. . . . .	46
2.10	Surface temperature profiles from IRR sensor and thermistor sensor. . . .	47
2.11	Comparison of surface temperature profile from IRR sensor with the sewer ambient temperature profile from the thermistor sensor. . . . .	48
2.12	Comparison of daily average surface temperature profile from IRR sensor with the daily average of ambient temperature outside the sewer pipe. . . .	49
2.13	Sensor enclosure after exposure to hostile sewer conditions. (A) Front view of the sensor enclosure (B) Picture showing the degradation on the germanium optical window (C) Top view of the sensor enclosure. . . . .	50

2.14	Improving surface temperature measurements of the IRR sensor between November 2016 and January 2017. . . . .	51
3.1	Functional block diagram of the sensor system. . . . .	72
3.2	Resistance sensor circuit. . . . .	72
3.3	Surface resistivity measurement. (A) Commercial resistivity meter from Proceq (B) Wenner method to measure surface resistivity. . . . .	76
3.4	Moisture sensor deployment. (A) Sensing unit near the crown of the concrete sewer pipe (B) Monitoring unit of the access station constructed outside the sewer pipe. . . . .	79
3.5	Electrical Resistance vs. Volumetric Moisture Content. . . . .	88
3.6	Learned GP model with training data. . . . .	88
3.7	GP predictions in 3D. . . . .	89
3.8	The behaviour of predicted values corresponding to training data. . . . .	89
3.9	The behaviour of predictions vs. measured values (testing data). . . . .	90
3.10	(A) Accommodation of resistivity meter inside sensor enclosure CAD model and (B) Sensor enclosure displaying the electrodes of the resistivity meter. . . . .	91
3.11	Surface resistivity profiles obtained from the concrete sewer pipe. . . . .	93
3.12	Profiles of surface resistivity, surface temperature and ambient temperature. . . . .	94
3.13	Surface moisture profiles obtained from the concrete sewer pipe. . . . .	96
3.14	Moisture sensor measurements after long exposure to sewer conditions . . . . .	97
3.15	Sensor enclosure after exposure to hostile sewer conditions for about three months. (A) Top view of the sensor enclosure displaying the sensor electrodes and (B) Side view of the enclosure displaying the de-colouration occurred during the field evaluation. . . . .	98
3.16	Spatial estimation using GMRF by taking resistivity measurements at 0°. . . . .	98
3.17	Spatial estimation using GMRF by taking resistivity measurements at 30°. . . . .	99
3.18	Spatial estimation using GMRF by taking resistivity measurements at 45°. . . . .	99
3.19	Spatial estimation using GMRF by taking resistivity measurements at 60°. . . . .	100
3.20	Spatial estimation using GMRF by taking resistivity measurements at 90°. . . . .	100
4.1	Block diagram of hardware redundancy. . . . .	107
4.2	Block diagram of analytical redundancy. . . . .	108
4.3	Illustration of the sliding window mechanism at time period t. . . . .	117
4.4	Illustration of the chi square. . . . .	119
4.5	Profile of the training data. . . . .	123
4.6	Forecast data profile resulting from the Exponential Smoothing (ETS) model. . . . .	124
4.7	Forecast data profile resulting from the TBATS model. . . . .	124
4.8	Forecast data profile resulting from the SARIMA model. . . . .	125
4.9	Comparison of time series forecasting of sensor data using SARIMA, TBATS and ETS models with the measured sensor data profile. . . . .	125
4.10	IRR Sensor: Short-term Evaluation-1 of SFDA algorithm. . . . .	129
4.11	IRR Sensor: Short-term Evaluation-2 of SFDA algorithm. . . . .	130
4.12	Long-term evaluation of SFDA algorithm. . . . .	131
4.13	Moisture Sensor: Short-term Evaluation-1 of SFDA algorithm. . . . .	132

---

4.14	Moisture Sensor: Short-term Evaluation-2 of SFDA algorithm. . . . .	133
4.15	Illustration of SARIMA model forecasting performance of surface temperature data. . . . .	135
4.16	Illustration of SARIMA model forecasting performance of surface resistivity data. . . . .	136
4.17	Illustration of the data accommodation during IRR sensor failure . . . . .	139
4.18	Illustration of the data accommodation during moisture sensor failure. . . .	140

# List of Tables

2.1	Gas-phase temperatures inside confined sewer system. . . . .	27
2.2	Feasibility Analysis: Comparison of surface temperature measurement modalities . . . . .	28
2.3	Specifications of the surface temperature sensors used in this study. . . . .	33
3.1	Feasibility: Comparison of surface moisture measurement modalities. . . . .	66
3.2	Mass of concrete samples in dry condition. . . . .	68
3.3	Mass of concrete samples in wet condition. . . . .	68
3.4	Concrete samples in different pH value of solutions. . . . .	69
3.5	Depth profile of moisture penetration inside concrete. . . . .	70
3.6	Density of concrete samples in dry condition. . . . .	70
3.7	Density of pH solution. . . . .	71
3.8	Specifications of the resistivity meter. . . . .	77
4.1	Statistical Performance Evaluation of Time Series Models. . . . .	127
4.2	IRR Sensor: Statistical Evaluation of SFDA Model's Forecasting Performance. . . . .	137
4.3	Moisture Sensor: Statistical Evaluation of SFDA Model's Forecasting Performance. . . . .	138
4.4	Evaluation of SFDA Model's Data Accommodation Process for IRR Sensor. . . . .	141
4.5	Evaluation of SFDA Model's Data Accommodation Process for Moisture Sensor. . . . .	141

# Acronyms & Abbreviations

<b>1D</b>	One-dimensional
<b>2D</b>	Two-dimensional
<b>3D</b>	Three-dimensional
<b>AC</b>	Alternating Current
<b>AIC</b>	Akaike Information Criterion
<b>AR</b>	Autoregressive
<b>ARMA</b>	Autoregressive Moving Average
<b>ARIMA</b>	Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average
<b>CAS</b>	Centre for Autonomous Systems
<b>CCTV</b>	Closed-circuit television
<b>CW</b>	Critical Wavelength
<b>DC</b>	Direct Current
<b>DTS</b>	Distributed Temperature Sensing
<b>ETS</b>	Exponential Smoothing
<b>FBG</b>	Fiber Bragg Gratings
<b>FDR</b>	Frequency Domain Reflectometry
<b>FG</b>	Fiber Grating

---

<b>GMRF</b>	Gaussian Markov Random Fields
<b>GP</b>	Gaussian Process
<b>GPR</b>	Gaussian Process Regression
<b>H<sub>2</sub>S</b>	Hydrogen Sulphide
<b>H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub></b>	Sulphuric acid
<b>IRR</b>	Infrared Radiometer
<b>IRT</b>	Infrared Thermography
<b>MA</b>	Moving Average
<b>MAE</b>	Mean Absolute Error
<b>MAPD</b>	Mean Absolute Percentage Deviation
<b>MAPE</b>	Mean Absolute Percentage Error
<b>MPE</b>	Mean Percentage Error
<b>NTC</b>	Negative Temperature Coefficient
<b>RF</b>	Radio Frequency
<b>RFs</b>	Radio Frequencies
<b>RH</b>	Relative Humidity
<b>RMSE</b>	Root Mean Square Error
<b>RTD</b>	Resistance Temperature Detector
<b>RW</b>	Random Walk
<b>SARIMA</b>	Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average
<b>SDR</b>	Successful Detection Rate
<b>SES</b>	Simple Exponential Smoothing



<b>SFA</b>	Sensor Failure Accommodation
<b>SFDA</b>	Sensor Failure Detection and Accommodation
<b>SPDE</b>	Stochastic Partial Differential Equations
<b>TDR</b>	Time Domain Reflectometry
<b>UTS</b>	University of Technology Sydney

# Nomenclature

## General Notations

$cm$	Centimetre (unit).
$Dt$	Time interval between the two sensor measurements.
$df$	Degrees of freedom.
$mm$	Millimetre (unit).
$g$	Gram (unit).
$m_d$	Mass of the concrete sample in a dry condition.
$m_w$	Mass of the concrete sample in a wet condition.
$n$	Number of Samples.
$ppm$	Parts per Million (unit).
$t$	Time (continuous).
$V$	Voltage (unit).
$^{\circ}C$	Degree Celsius.
$\mu$	Mean.
$\sigma$	Standard deviation.
$\sigma^2$	Variance.
$\rho_d$	Density of concrete sample in a dry condition.
$\rho_w$	Density of pH solution.
$\theta_G$	Wet basis moisture content of a material.
$\theta_V$	Volumetric moisture content of a material.

**Sensors**

$T_{IRR}$	Surface temperature measurements from the infrared radiometer sensor.
$T_{RIT}$	Surface temperature measurements from the reference instrument thermistor sensor.

**On-site Calibration of Sensors**

$E$	Measurement error.
$E_{ir}$	Radiant energy detected by the infrared surface temperature sensor.
$E_{tr}$	Radiant energy detected by the contact-type surface temperature sensor.
$SM$	Surface moisture conditions.
$SR_S$	Surface resistivity value measured from the resistivity meter.
$SR_W$	Surface resistivity value measured at wet area of the concrete sewer pipe.
$SR_D$	Surface resistivity value measured at dry area of the concrete sewer pipe.
$T_{is}$	Temperature measured by the infrared surface temperature sensor.
$T_{tr}$	Temperature measured by the contact-type surface temperature sensor.
$\epsilon_{is}$	Set emissivity of the infrared sensor.
$\epsilon_t$	True emissivity of the measured surface.
$\epsilon_{IR}$	Set emissivity of the infrared radiometer sensor.
$\epsilon_T$	Estimated emissivity of the surface.
$\mu$	Mean value of $\epsilon_T$ .

**SFDA Algorithm**

$AR(p)$	Autoregressive model of order $p$ .
$AR(p)_t$	Actual value of $AR(p)$ at time $t$ .
$ARMA(p, q)$	Autoregressive Moving Average model of order $p$ and $q$ .
$ARMA(p, q)_t$	Actual value of $ARMA(p, q)$ at time $t$ .

---

$ARIMA(p, d, q)$	Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average model of order $p$ , $d$ and $q$ .
$ARIMA(p, d, q)_t$	Actual value of $ARIMA(p, d, q)$ at time $t$ .
$B$	Backshift operator.
$d$	Parameter governs the level of differencing.
$D$	Degree of seasonal differencing parameter.
$k$	Backward observation of the time series.
$K_n$	Number of parameters estimated to compute one-step ahead forecasts.
$L$	Maximized likelihood of the $SARIMA(p, d, q)(P, D, Q)_{S_p}$ model.
$MA(q)$	Moving Average model of order $q$ .
$MA(q)_t$	Actual value of $MA(q)$ at time $t$ .
$p$	Autoregressive model order.
$P$	Seasonal Autoregressive model order.
$q$	Moving Average model order.
$Q$	Seasonal Moving Average model order.
$R_t$	Observe red sensor data coming from the sewer.
$SARIMA$	$SARIMA(p, d, q)(P, D, Q)_{S_p}$ model with parameters $p, d, q, P, D$ and $Q$ .
$S_p$	Seasonal period of the stochastic model.
$S_{t+f}$	Future observable variable.
$\tilde{S}_{t-n}$	Previous deviations from the mean value of the time series data.
$\hat{S}_{t+f}(+)$	Forecast value resulting from the SARIMA model.
$\hat{S}_{t+f}(+)$	Upper limit of the forecast.
$\hat{S}_{t+f}(-)$	Lower limit of the forecast.
$W_L$	Size of sliding window
$\phi_n$	Finite set of weight parameters of the $AR(p)$ .
$\theta_n$	Finite set of weight parameters of the $MA(q)$ .
$\varepsilon_t$	Random shock.
$\mu_{\lambda/2}$	Percentiles of the standard normal distribution.
$\sigma_g$	Standard deviation of the Gaussian distribution.

$\chi^2$	Pearson's chi-squared test.
$\chi^2_{df}$	Chi-squared distribution.
$\alpha$	Critical value.

# Glossary of Terms

Ambient	Pertains to the immediate surroundings.
Anomalies	Data that deviates from the standard, normal, or expected.
Autonomous	Without human intervention.
Data	Utilizing the data coming from the reliable measure, prediction or estimation.
Accommodation	
Field Deployment	The transportation of equipment to a place or position for desired operations.
Forecasting	Predict or estimate the future trends or unknown events.
Infrared Radiometer	An instrument for detecting or measuring the intensity of radiation using infrared signals.
Measurements	The action of measuring the physical quantities.
Modelling	A description of a system using mathematical concepts and language. The process of developing a mathematical model is termed mathematical modelling.
Predictive Analytics	A variety of statistical techniques from predictive modelling, machine learning and data mining to predict future trends or unknown events by using historical and transactional data.
Real-time	Relating to a system in which input data is processed within milliseconds so that it is available virtually immediately as feedback to the process from which it is coming.
Relative Humidity	The amount of water vapour present in air expressed as a percentage of the amount needed for saturation at the same temperature.

---

Resistance	The measure of the degree to which a conductor opposes an electric current through that conductor.
Resistivity	It is a fundamental property that quantifies how strongly a material under test is opposing the flow of electric current.
Robust	Able to withstand or overcome adverse conditions.
Sensing Suite	A set of sensors enclosed in a housing to perform measurements of interest.
Sensor	A device that detects or measures a physical property, indicates or otherwise responds to it.
Sensor Characterization	A description of the distinctive nature or features of the sensor under different condition.
Sensor Failure	The state of improper functioning of a sensor.
Sewers	An underground conduit for carrying off drainage water and waste matter.
Smart	Device programmed so as to be capable of some independent action.
Study	A detailed investigation and analysis of a subject or situation.
Technology	Device or equipment developed from the application of scientific knowledge.
Temporal Dynamics	The properties that changes within a system or process relating to or denoting time.
Quantification	The measurement of the variable of interest.