Managing CoDesign in Dynamic Alliance Networks

Author:

Abdussalam Ali

Supervisor:

Prof. Igor Hawryszkiewycz

Co-Supervisor:

Dr. Sojen Pradhan

School of Systems, Management and Leadership
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology Sydney

This thesis was submitted in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Date of Submission

April 2018

Declaration

I, Abdussalam Ali, declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references given.

Signature Date: 4/04/2018

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Abstract

The research described in this thesis develops ways to support creativity in dynamic business networks. Businesses in a business network are organized to bring their knowledge and assets together to develop new services and products. Traditionally business networks were stable. However, the changing nature of the business environment calls for new knowledge, which is increasingly met by bringing in new businesses with the new knowledge into the network and often changing the network structure. At the same time greater creativity and innovation are needed to address the emerging problems. Consequently, networks must create the environment that supports members from businesses working together to combine their knowledge to create innovative solutions. The design process is thus becoming increasingly collaborative as product design emerges as new ideas emerge. There is more emphasis on supporting collaborative design (CoDesign) where individuals and teams from different disciplines, including customers, consumers and users work together in the design process.

Although CoDesign itself is now well-understood, how to manage it within a dynamic networking environment given greater emphasis on privacy and knowledge is still not well understood. The research described in this thesis will contribute to knowledge of how to integrate business networking arrangements with CoDesign while maintaining knowledge sharing and privacy. To do this we have developed a model that will contribute to knowledge of how to integrate business networking arrangements with CoDesign and enable knowledge sharing and privacy.

To develop the model we have analysed existing business network structures, classified them by a set of concepts and developed a model that covers existing practices, integrates structure with CoDesign and supports dynamic change to networking arrangements. The model is made up of two levels – the business networking level and the design level. The business networking level defines the responsibilities of businesses and the privacy

constraints. In the model, teams are created across the businesses and organisations. The design level is where these teams carry out CoDesign. The model describes ways for such networks to change as people in businesses join and resign over the time and the governance structures to preserve privacy.

The design level is facilitated by providing people in businesses with an environment to create and share knowledge for CoDesign. Knowledge management related research has been investigated as the CoDesign process is considered knowledge management intensive. In the networking level the model defines spaces where people in businesses join and agree on collaborative activities. In the creativity level those people are provided with tools where they can create and share knowledge. In the thesis we show how Design Thinking tools are introduced to support creativity in the CoDesign process. However, the model can support any tools needed for some special problem. Maintaining privacy is considered where rules and policies are defined to control accessing the knowledge and other components.

The model has been defined and a prototype has been implemented to evaluate the model by following the qualitative method. The model has been evaluated by conducting semi-structured interviews with experts. The experts agree that the model supports creativity in the dynamic business networks. However, their advice for future work and development should be considered.

Acknowledgements

First, and foremost, praise is due to Allah who has bestowed upon me many blessings and the patience, ability, and skills to complete this work.

I would like to express my sincere thankfulness to all those (named or not) who helped me to complete this long journey.

Special thanks go to my supervisor Prof. Igor Hawryszkiewycz for his supportive guidance, without which this study would have never been completed. I am also appreciative of his countless hours of advice and unlimited support in improving my research skills.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor Dr. Sojen Pradhan for his support and encouragement.

I would like to thank each respondent and interviewee who has participated in this study. I also would like to thank each expert who has helped me in evaluating the research outcomes.

I am deeply indebted to my beloved parents. Everything I am today, after Allah, is because of your countless sacrifices. You have been and continue to be my greatest inspiration.

I am grateful to my brothers, sisters, relatives, and friends who have always encouraged me during this time.

Last but not least, I would like to thank the person who was behind me in this journey, my beloved wife, Najla Snoosi, for her patience and continuous support and encouragement to complete this thesis. My last words are for my beloved daughter Safeea and my two beloved sons, Asim and Sohaib, whom I always see the future through them.

List of Publications

Book Chapters

Ali, A. and I. Hawryszkiewycz (2015). Chapter 12 - Cloud as infrastructure for managing complex scalable business networks, privacy perspective A2 - Ko, Ryan. The Cloud Security Ecosystem. K.-K. R. Choo. Boston, Syngress: 249-267.

Conference Proceedings

Ali, A. and I. Hawryszkiewycz (2015). Supporting Co-Design using Design Thinking Business Networks. 24th International Conference on Information Systems Development. Harbin, China.

Ali, A. and I. Hawryszkiewwycz (2014). Services to Support Knowledge Sharing in Complex Business Networks, Big Data as the Source. 11th International Conference on Intellectual Capital, Knowledge Management & Organisational Learning. Sydney.

Ali, A., et al. (2014). Services for Knowledge Sharing in Dynamic Business Networks. 23rd Australasian Software Engineering Conference. Sydney.

Ali, A. and I. Hawryszkiewwycz (2012). A Modelling Approach for Knowledge Management in Complex Business Systems. IADIS International Conference WWW/Internet, Madrid.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
List of Publications	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xiv
List of Abbreviations	xv
Glossary	xvi
Chapter 1	1
Ch1: Introduction	1
1.1. Overview	1
1.2. Dynamic Business Networks	3
1.3. Key Issues and Research Goals	4
1.4. Research Model	5
1.5. Research Contribution	6
1.6. Research Methodology and Development	
1.7. Thesis Outline	

Chapter 2 12			
Ch	2: Lite	rature Review	12
2.1.	Introd	luction	12
2.2.	Dynar	nic Business Networks	16
	2.2.1.	Business Networks and Self-Organising	20
	2.2.2.	Features of Dynamic Business Networks (DANs)	21
2.3.	Know	ledge and Knowledge Management	22
	2.3.1.	Knowledge and Knowledge Types	
	2.3.2.	Knowledge Management (KM)	24
	2.3.3.	Knowledge Management Processes	25
2.4.	CoDes	sign and Knowledge Management	26
	2.4.1.	CoDesign Overview	26
	2.4.2.	Participatory Design	28
	2.4.3.	CoDesign and the Final Deliverables	30
	2.4.4.	CoDesign and Types of Knowledge	31
	2.4.5.	CoDesign and Knowledge Management Processes	32
2.5.	System	ns Support CoDesign	33
	2.5.1.	Supporting CoDesign through Technology	33
	2.5.2.	Dedicated CoDesign Supporting Systems	35
	2.5.3.	Knowledge Management Systems and CoDesign Support	39
2.6.	Privac	ey in CoDesign	42
2.7.	Creati	ivity Tools	44
	2.7.1.	Design Thinking Concepts and Features	45
	2.7.2.	Models of Design Thinking	47
	2.7.3.	Design Thinking Creativity Tools	50
	2.7.4.	Design Thinking and Knowledge Management	52
2.8.	Discus	ssion	53
2.9.	Resea	rch Framework	57
2.10		Chapter Summary	60

Cha	apter	3	63
Ch	B: Res	earch Plan and Methodology	63
3.1.	Introd	uction	. 63
3.2.	Theme	es and Enablers of Managing CoDesign in DANs	. 64
	3.2.1.	Business Networking	64
	3.2.2.	Maintaining Privacy	65
	3.2.3.	Self-Organising	67
	3.2.4.	Knowledge Sharing	67
	3.2.5.	Enabling Creativity	69
3.3.	Resear	rch Constructs	. 69
3.4.	Resear	rch Hypotheses	. 72
3.5.	Choos	ing Evaluation Methodology	. 75
3.6.	Qualit	ative Research Method	. 78
3.7.	Resear	rch Model Plan	. 79
3.8.	Chapt	er Summary	. 80
	-	es of Dynamic Alliance Networks	
		uction	
		cal Classification of the Alliance Networks	
1	4.2.1.	Types of alliance networks based on the outsourcing domination	
	4.2.2.	Types of alliance networks based on the members' relationships.	
	4.2.3.	Types of alliance networks based on the relationship with the dominant business	
	4.2.4.	Types of alliance networks based on the stage of growth	89
	4.2.5.	Types of alliance networks based on the management structure .	91
4.3.	Dynar	nic Alliance Network (DAN) Terminology	. 91
4.4.	Conce	ptual Framework	. 93
		- Гуреs Based on the Conceptual Framework	
	4.5.1.	Types of DANs based on the domination concept	96
	4.5.2.	Types of DANs based on the governance concept	97

	4.5.3.	Types of DANs based on the collaboration concept98
	4.5.4.	Types of DANs based on the knowledge management99
	4.5.5.	Types of DANs based on the privacy concept100
4.6.	Categ	ories of DANs Based on the Conceptual Framework 102
	4.6.1.	DANs Category 1 (Cat-1)
	4.6.2.	DANs Category 2 (Cat-2)
	4.6.3.	DANs Category 3 (Cat-3)
	4.6.4.	DANs Category 4 (Cat-4)
4.7.	Chapt	er Summary109
Cha	apter	5 110
Ch	5: Res	earch Model 110
5.1.	Introd	uction
5.2. DAN Spaces (DAN-Spaces) and CoDesign Spaces (CoDesign-Sp		Spaces (DAN-Spaces) and CoDesign Spaces (CoDesign-Spaces)111
	5.2.1.	DAN Space (DAN-Space)
	5.2.2.	CoDesign Space (CoDesign-Space)114
5.3.	Gover	ned and Not-Governed DAN-Space115
	5.3.1.	Supporting the conceptual framework features by DAN-Space and CoDesign-Space
	5.3.2.	The idea of Governed and Not-Governed DAN-Space118
5.4.	Suppo	rting DANs by Governed and Not-Governed DAN-Space 119
	5.4.1.	Supporting the category Cat-1 of DANs
	5.4.2.	Supporting the category Cat-2 of DANs
	5.4.3.	Supporting the category Cat-3 of DANs
	5.4.4.	Supporting the category Cat-4 of DANs
5.5.	Roles	Defined by CoDAN
	5.5.1.	CoDAN roles description
	5.5.2.	Knowledge transfer modes in CoDAN142
5.6.	Maint	aining Privacy144
	5.6.1.	Selecting the privacy modeling approach in CoDAN145
	5.6.2.	Roles Defined in CoDAN based on Permissions and Constraints149
5.7.	Enabl	ing Creativity by CoDAN

	5.7.1. CoDesign activities and creativity tools	152
5.8.	Research Model and Research Framework	160
5.9.	Chapter Summary	162
Cha	apter 6	164
Che	6: Prototype Design and Implementation	164
6.1.	Introduction	164
6.2.	Model Components in the CoDAN Prototype	166
	Design Thinking Tools Defined in the Prototype	
	Object Oriented Model (OOM) of the Research Model	
6.5.	Software Development Tools	175
6.6.	Prototype Modules	176
	User Interface	
	6.7.1. Supporting business networking	179
	6.7.2. Supporting creativity	182
	6.7.3. Maintaining privacy	191
6.8.	Chapter Summary	194
Cha	enter 7	105
Ulla	apter 7	199
Ch	7: Model Evaluation	195
7.1.	Introduction	195
7.2.	Participants Selection	196
7.3.	Hypotheses and Testing Strategy	198
7.4.	Conducting Semi-Structured Interviews	203
7.5.	Data Analysis Method	205
7.6.	Findings	208
	7.6.1. Self-Organising	
	7.6.2. Maintaining Privacy	211
	7.6.3. Developing Domain Knowledge to Enhance Expertise	213
	7.6.4. Creative Thinking Skills Development	214
	7.6.5. Collaboration	215

	7.6.6.	Knowledge Management Processes	216
	7.6.7.	Supporting Tacit and Explicit Knowledge	217
7.7.	Comm	unicating Research Hypotheses	
7.8.	Discus	ssion	221
7.9.	Chapt	er Summary	225
Cha	apter	8	227
Ch	8: Con	clusion and Future Work	. 227
8.1.	Introd	uction	227
8.2.	Summ	ary of Research Findings	228
8.3.	Contri	butions of the Research	230
	8.3.1.	Contribution to the Academic Research and Theory	230
	8.3.2.	Contribution to Practice	233
	8.3.3.	Contribution to Teaching and Learning	233
8.4.	Direct	ions for Future Work	234
8.5.	Chapt	er Summary	235
Bib	liogra	aphy	238
Apj	pendi	ces	247
	Append	dix 1: Full Scale Consent and Information Form (The Ethics	2.45
	4	Form)	
		dix 2: Information Form	
		dix 3: Semi-Structured interview questions	252
	Append	dix 4: Prepared Scenario for Evaluators (Innovative Bags Design)	260
	Append	dix 5: Object Oriented Modeling Concepts	

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: The three components of creativity according to Amabile et al. (1996))13
Figure 2.2: Our work according to the three components of creativity	14
Figure 2.3: Literature Review Domain Themes	15
Figure 2.4: Business networks and interaction between them	18
Figure 2.5: Businesses Collaboration Scenario	19
Figure 2.6: Transferring between tacit and explicit knowledge	23
Figure 2.7: Knowledge Management Processes	26
Figure 2.8: IDEO's 3 I Model	48
Figure 2.9: The Model of the Hasso-Plattner Institute	49
Figure 2.10: The 4 D or Double Diamond Model of the British Council	49
Figure 2.11: Traditional Model of Knowledge Management Processes	52
Figure 2.12: Iteration Feature of Knowledge Management Processes in Design T	hinking 53
Figure 2.13: Research Framework	60
Figure 3.1: Research Framework	66
Figure 3.2: Research Constructs and Enablers	72
Figure 3.3: Constructs and Enablers in Relation to Hypotheses	73
Figure 3.4: System as such strategy (Cronholm and Goldkuhl, 2003)	76
Figure 3.5: System in use strategy (Cronholm and Goldkuhl, 2003)	76
Figure 3.6: CoDAN Evaluation Methodology	77
Figure 4.1: Types of Alliance Network (Miles and Snow, 1992)	85
Figure 4.2: Types of Alliance Network (Liu and Brookfield, 2000)	88
Figure 4.3: Types of Alliance Network (Lin and Zhang, 2005)	90
Figure 4.4: Cisco DAN Scenario as derived from Furr et al. (2016)	105
Figure 5.1: The kinds of spaces defined by CoDAN	111
Figure 5.2: CoDAN model (DAN-Spaces and CoDesign-Spaces)	112
Figure 5.3: Generic structure of DAN, DAN-Spaces and CoDesign-Spaces	115
Figure 5.4: Governed DAN-Space Scenario (Supporting Cat-1 DANs)	125
Figure 5.5: Supporting Cat-2 DANs by Governed DAN-Space	127
Figure 5.6: Supporting Cat-3 DANs by Governed DAN-Space	130
Figure 5.7: Supporting Cat-4 DANs by Not-Governed DAN-Space	132
Figure 5.8: Governed DAN-Space Scenario	144

Figure 5.9: Assigning and updating roles in CoDAN	147
Figure 5.10: Privacy Modeling in CoDAN	148
Figure 5.11: CoDesign activities and Creativity Tools	154
Figure 5.12: Governed DAN-Space Scenario	157
Figure 6.1: CoDAN defined components (as shown in Figure 5.2)	166
Figure 6.2: Design Thinking activities and tools in the prototype	168
Figure 6.3: Persona-map Tool	170
Figure 6.4: Lotus Blossom Tool	172
Figure 6.5: Object-Oriented Modeling of CoDAN	174
Figure 6.6: Prototype Block Diagram Design	176
Figure 6.7: Control Panel Screen and Main Menu	180
Figure 6.8: DAN-Space Screen	181
Figure 6.9: CoDesign-Space screen	183
Figure 6.10: Creating and Managing Storyboarding Activity screen	184
Figure 6.11: Creating and Managing Persona-Map Activity screen	185
Figure 6.12: Creating and Managing Lotus Blossom Activity screen	186
Figure 6.13: Managing All Activities screen	187
Figure 6.14: Storyboarding Tool	188
Figure 6.15: Persona-Map Tool Main Screen	188
Figure 6.16: Persona-Map Tool (Answering the selected question)	189
Figure 6.17: Lotus Blossom Tool (Main Screen)	189
Figure 6.18: Lotus Blossom Tool (Posting Screen)	190
Figure 6.19: Accessing All Activities screen.	190
Figure 6.20: Knowledge transfer to and from CoDesign activity	193
Figure 7.1: Qualitative Analysis by NVivo	205
Figure 7.2: Qualitative Analysis by NVivo (Pre-prepared themes)	206

List of Tables

Table 2.1: CoDesign Supporting Systems in the previous Research	36
Table 2.2: Enablers of Managing CoDesign in DANs	57
Table 3.1: Constructs, enablers and hypotheses	74
Table 4.1: DAN Types against the concepts of the conceptual framework	95
Table 4.2: The four categories of DANs based on the conceptual framework	102
Table 5.1: Supporting conceptual framework by DAN-Space and CoDesign-Space	117
Table 5.2: Governed DAN-Space and Not-Governed DAN-Space Comparison	119
Table 5.3: Supporting conceptual framework by Governed and Not-Governed DAN	-
Table 5.4: Supporting DAN categories by DAN-Spaces	121
Table 5.5: Supporting Cat-1 DAN types by the Governed DAN-Space type	123
Table 5.6: Supporting Cisco DAN by the Governed DAN-Space type	124
Table 5.7: Supporting Cat-2 DAN types by the Governed DAN-Space type	126
Table 5.8: Supporting Cat-3 DAN types by the Governed DAN-Space type	128
Table 5.9: Supporting Cat-4 DAN types by the Not-Governed DAN-Space type	130
Table 5.10: CoDAN Roles in Governed DAN-Space	135
Table 5.11: CoDAN Roles in Not-Governed DAN-Space	137
Table 5.12: Roles in Governed DAN-Space (Permissions and Constraints)	150
Table 5.13: Roles in Not-Governed DAN-Space (Permissions and Constraints)	151
Table 5.14: Enablers supported by CoDAN	161
Table 7.1: The participants in evaluation process, their qualifications and experience	197

List of Abbreviations

SECI: Socialisation, Externalisation, Combination and Internalisation. The model of

knowledge transfer mechanisms developed by Nonaka.

KM: Knowledge Management

DAN: Dynamic Alliance Network

CoDAN: The acronym of our research model

CoDesign: Collaborative Design

WWW: World Wide Web

CAD: Computer Aided Design

DT: Design Thinking

Glossary

Alliance Network

In business context alliance network is where businesses of common interests build relationships to collaborate. Participants in alliance networks create and share knowledge for innovative outcomes and competitive advantages (Chen and Chen, 2002).

Brainstorming

Brainstorming is where teams collect as many ideas as possible in a short time. The main purpose is to get ideas rather than discussing them (Tschimmel, 2012).

Business

In this thesis we refer to an organisation, a company and firm as a business. According to the business dictionary (2018a) business provides goods or services, either privately owned or not-for-profit.

CoDAN

CoDAN is the acronym for our research model, the model for supporting CoDesign in Dynamic Alliance Networks (DANs).

CoDesign

CoDesign is the process where two or more businesses in a business network collaborate to create a product or service. People from different disciplines, including users and customers, participate in the CoDesign process ((Du et al., 2012) and (Kankainen, 2012)).

CoDesign Outcomes

CoDesign outcomes are goals and business objectives to be achieved through the CoDesign process such as developing new products and services.

CoDesign Process

CoDesign process is a number of activities, which are carried out to achieve the CoDesign outcomes and are performed collaboratively.

CoDesign Space (CoDesign-Space)

CoDesign space in CoDAN is where business members in the network create the outcome of CoDesign Activities. CoDesign-Space is created in the DAN-Space. The person who creates CoDesign-Space becomes CoDesign-Space-Owner.

CoDesign Activity

CoDesign Activity in CoDAN is what a group of people do and perform to achieve one or more of CoDesign outcomes in DAN. CoDesign Activities are the breakdowns of CoDesign process.

CoDesign Activity to CoDesign Activity knowledge transfer mode

In this mode the knowledge is transferred from one CoDesign Activity to another within the same CoDesign-Space.

CoDesign-Space to CoDesign-Space knowledge transfer mode

In this mode the knowledge is transferred from one CoDesign-Space to another within the same DAN-Space.

CoDesign-Space-Owner

A role defined by CoDAN assigned to a person who creates CoDesign-Space to manage it. In Governed DAN-Space this role is assigned to DAN-Space-Coordinator. In Not-Governed DAN-Space it is assigned to DAN-Space-Contributor.

CoDesign-Space-Participant

A role defined by CoDAN assigned to a person who is assigned to CoDesign-Space to participate in CoDesign. This role is assigned to the person from a business in DAN or from outside of DAN.

Collaboration

Collaboration is a process of joint decision making for a defined problem key issue. This process is a joint activity performed by people and teams across the business units or between businesses themselves ((Qureshi, 2006), (Scariot et al., 2012) and (Elliott, 2011)).

Complexity (in business context)

Complex systems are those systems with large numbers of elements. These elements are interrelated and connected. The change of one element may cause big changes to all of the system. Usually complex systems are adaptive to these changes. In business context complexity results from relationships between businesses which share the same environment of operation. As a result, these businesses should respond to emergence and changes to adapt to this complex environment (Bar-Yam, 2004).

Components Privacy

Maintaining privacy in the research model is to control accessing the components defined in CoDAN. These components are; DAN spaces, CoDesign spaces, CoDesign Activities and Creativity Tools. People access these components based on their roles' policies.

Conceptual Framework

Conceptual framework in this research represents a number of concepts which are used to categorise DAN types. These concepts are domination, governance, collaboration modes, knowledge management domination and privacy concern levels.

Contributed DAN

Based on the conceptual framework, the DAN is considered contributed when a number of businesses participate in the DAN. One of the reasons which motivates businesses to participate is to benefit from the opportunities provided by the network.

DAN Space (DAN-Space)

DAN Space in CoDAN is a virtual space where businesses in DAN can join to network for CoDesign. In the model, DAN Space represents the level of supporting networking between businesses in Dynamic Alliance Network (DAN).

DAN-Space-Contributor

A role defined by CoDAN assigned to a person from the contributing business in DAN. In Governed DAN-Space, the person with this role can only be assigned to CoDesign-Space to participate in CoDesign. In Not-Governed DAN-Space, in addition, DAN-Space-Contributor can create CoDesign-Spaces and manage them.

DAN-Space-Coordinator

A role defined by CoDAN assigned to a person from the governing business in DAN to govern the Governed DAN-Space.

DAN-Space-Dominant

A role defined by CoDAN assigned to a person from the dominant business in DAN to have full access to the Governed DAN-Space.

DAN-Space-Participant

A role defined by CoDAN assigned to a person from outside of DAN in DAN-Space. The person with this role can be assigned to CoDesign-Space(s) to participate in CoDesign.

Design Process

Design in the business context often means the development of processes that lead to the creation of products or services.

Design Thinking

Design Thinking (DT) is a human-centred approach of solving problems through a set of creativity tools that support CoDesign to achieve innovative outcomes. In our research DANs utilise Design Thinking tools for CoDesign ((Tschimmel, 2012) and (Du et al., 2012)).

Dominant Business

Dominant business is the business that dominates DAN when it, for example, owns all or most of the assets and/or shareholdings in the network. Also, the domination is gained when the dominant business has an experience and expertise over the other member businesses in the network.

Dominated DAN

Based on our conceptual framework, the DAN is considered dominated if it is dominated by at least one business. The DAN can be dominated by more than one business. A business which dominates the DAN is called the *dominant business*.

Dominated Knowledge Management (KM)

Based on our conceptual framework, KM is considered dominated in DAN when at least one business controls the *KM processes* in the DAN.

Dynamic Alliance Network (DAN)

In our research, Dynamic Alliance Network (DAN) is a business networking environment created by businesses for collaboration in CoDesign. Businesses in DAN join and leave at any time. Business networks are known as business alliance networks, and because of their dynamic feature we call such networks in this thesis Dynamic Alliance Networks (DANs).

Flat Governance

Flat governance, based on our conceptual framework, is when the members of the network share the responsibility of governing and coordinating the network. The flat governance usually encourages sharing the costs, risks and challenges between the members in the DAN (Pisano and Verganti, 2008).

Governed DAN-Space

Governed DAN-Space is a type of DAN-Space that is governed at least by one business from DAN. The person who governs the Governed DAN-Space is assigned DAN-Space-Coordinator role.

Governing Business

Governing business is the business that governs DAN in terms of coordination and management. Governing business governs the DAN-Space created for DAN. Also, the governing business can be assigned for a single project in DAN.

Hierarchical Governance

In our model, the hierarchical governance is when a specific business has the authority to coordinate and manage the process in the DAN or in the DAN-Space.

High Level of Privacy Concern

Based on our conceptual framework, DAN is considered with a high level of privacy concern when there is a design and production processes performed among the members of the DAN.

Human-Centered Design

Human-Centered design is when users and consumers are involved in the design process for developing a product or service ((Tschimmel, 2012), and (Mootee, 2011)).

Knowledge Management Processes

Knowledge management processes are those processes involved in managing the knowledge. These processes include knowledge discovery, capturing, filtering and sharing ((Awad and Ghaziri, 2004) and (Becerra-Fernandez and Sabherwal, 2010) and (Dakilir, 2011b)).

Knowledge Ownership

Knowledge ownership defines who owns the knowledge. Knowledge owners can be individuals and/or businesses. When maintaining privacy in CoDesign, knowledge ownership should be considered. The owned knowledge is only accessed by those who are authorised.

Knowledge Sharing

It is the process when tacit and explicit knowledge is exchanged between individuals and groups ((Awad and Ghaziri, 2004) and (Becerra-Fernandez and Sabherwal, 2010)).

Knowledge Transfer

In our model knowledge transfer is moving a specific knowledge from one CoDesign-Activity to another or from one CoDesign-Space to another. Knowledge transfer is a KM process which supports knowledge sharing.

Low Level of Privacy Concern

Based on our conceptual framework, the DAN is considered with a low level of privacy concern when there are no design and development processes performed among the members of DAN.

Maintaining Privacy

Maintaining privacy is the implementation of the procedures and methods to be applied to protect the private assets. These procedures include the ways of how to authorise people to access these assets when needed.

Not-Governed DAN-Space

The Not-Governed DAN-Space is the DAN-Space type that is not governed by a governing business in DAN. The person who creates this DAN-Space is assigned DAN-Space-Contributor role.

Open Mode Collaboration

Based on our conceptual framework, the network is in open mode collaboration if the problem and knowledge domain are not defined (Pisano and Verganti, 2008).

Persona-map

Persona-map is a Design Thinking tool used to record the knowledge in relation to people's needs ((Tschimmel, 2012) and Crandall (Crandall, 2010)).

Privacy

Privacy is keeping something protected and secured unless authorised. That includes the knowledge and personal information and actions (Muniraman et al., 2007).

Research Framework

Research framework in this thesis shows the guidelines towards designing and implementing a model to manage CoDesign in DANs. In this framework CoDesign management is based on five themes; knowledge sharing, self-organising, business networking, maintaining privacy and enabling creativity. A number of enablers are defined in this research for each theme which are supported by the research model, CoDAN.

Role

Role as defined in the model, CoDAN, is a number of responsibilities assigned to the person when joining DAN-Space and CoDesign-Space.

Shared Knowledge Management (KM)

Based on our conceptual framework, KM is considered shared, not dominated, when there is no a specific business dominates the KM processes. The sharing of the knowledge management does not involve a specific business as a central point of management.

Stakeholders

Stakeholders are the individuals, groups and businesses who benefit from the developed product or service.

Storyboarding

Storyboarding is a Design Thinking tool used to create stories. Stories in the storyboard form the focus for new ideas (Tschimmel, 2012).

System as such strategy

It is a strategy when the users are not involved in the system evaluation process. In this case only the evaluator refers to the system and the documentation provided for evaluation ((Cronholm and Goldkuhl, 2003) and (Chen et al., 2011)).

System in use strategy

It is a strategy when the users are involved in the system evaluation process. ((Cronholm and Goldkuhl, 2003) and (Chen et al., 2011)).

Wicked Problem

Wicked problem is a kind of problem that has no specific definition or formulation. Every wicked problem can be described in more than one way. This kind of problems has no specific solution. Solutions for wicked problems cannot be described as right or wrong, instead, they are either better or worse. The way to reach this solution is iterative ((Rylander, 2009) and (Buchanan, 1992)).