

The practice of knowledge sharing: two environmental sustainability initiatives
in Australian local government

Dean Vincent Leith

Dissertation submitted to the
Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences
University of Technology Sydney

In fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

2018

Certificate of Original Authorship

I, Dean Vincent LEITH, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Arts and Social Sciences at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged.

In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

18/6/18

Signature & date:

Acknowledgements

This research has been an individual endeavour but one that would not have reached completion without the support, encouragement and practical advice of many people. I thank Hilary Yerbury who has been my principal supervisor for the past five years and whose tireless advice and expertise guided me through the conceptual and practical milestones of this research. I also acknowledge the life-long encouragement of my mother, May Eileen Leith, (1928-2016) who, sadly, did not live to see the completion of this research project. I also thank my partner, Paul Napier White, for his understanding and perseverance while I pursued my academic endeavours over the past five years.

I thank my second supervisor, Michael Olsson, and several of my fellow doctoral students, including Maureen Henninger, Chris Colwell, Sally Irvine-Smith, Fiona Campbell and Sandris Zeivots, for their constant encouragement and support. I also thank fellow work colleagues at the ABC, including Lewis Dryburgh, Trish Hoyne, Anne Milne and Mary Jane Stannus, for their understanding and moral support.

I am most grateful to the research participants, for allowing me to delve into their workplace experiences and for openly sharing their expertise and perspectives on what was a whole new sector for me, that of Australian local government.

I am also grateful to Brendan Docherty and Karl Lindeson for their careful and thorough copyediting and proofreading assistance.

Dean Leith

Table of Contents

Certificate of Original Authorship	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Tables	vii
Abstract	viii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Review of the literature.....	6
Context and terminology	6
Conceptions of knowledge sharing	8
<i>Cognitive approaches to knowledge sharing</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Social constructivist approaches to knowledge sharing</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Practice theoretical approaches in contemporary social theory.....</i>	<i>15</i>
<i>Practice theoretical approaches in Information Studies research</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Practice theoretical approaches in Organisation Studies research</i>	<i>21</i>
Conceptions of what is shared	23
Organisational impacts on knowledge sharing	28
Research questions and approach arising from the review of the literature	31
Chapter 3: Methodology.....	33
Research questions and aspects of the practice theoretical approach	33
Potential methodological approaches applicable to the study	36
<i>Ethnography.....</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>Discourse analysis</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>Phenomenology.....</i>	<i>40</i>
Application of van Manen’s approach to the study	42
Research methods applied to the study.....	43
<i>Identification of organisational sites and research participants</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>Data sources and data collection methods</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>Data collection process.....</i>	<i>45</i>
<i>Meeting observations</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Semi-structured phenomenological interviews</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Research documentation</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Data analysis</i>	<i>49</i>
Research risk and associated mitigation strategies.....	52
Project profiles and summary of data sources	53
<i>The Single Council Sustainability Project (SCSP)</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>The Multiple Council Biodiversity Project (MCBP).....</i>	<i>55</i>

Chapter 4: Findings - What is knowledge sharing?	62
Engaging	63
Presenting	65
Supporting	68
Problem-solving	71
Administering	73
Resisting	76
Influencing	78
Chapter 5: Findings - What is shared?	83
Cognitive knowledge: disciplinary and professional expertise and know how	83
Cognitive knowledge: organisational rules, principles, policies and procedures	87
Materials	89
Social interactions	92
Bodily engagement	94
Emotion	97
Conversational humour	101
Power, responsibility, authority and trust	105
Chapter 6: Findings - Organisational factors facilitating or inhibiting knowledge sharing	112
The <i>organisational context</i> of knowledge sharing	112
<i>Council cultures</i>	113
<i>Council resources</i>	116
<i>Council networks</i>	119
The <i>environmental context</i> of knowledge sharing	127
The <i>practice context</i> of knowledge sharing	129
<i>Organisational change practice</i>	132
<i>Environmental sustainability practice</i>	136
Chapter 7: Discussion	142
The <i>site</i> of knowledge sharing practice	142
<i>Knowledge sharing in the context of the organisation – organisational context</i>	143
<i>Knowledge sharing in the context of architectural and community spaces - environmental context</i>	144
<i>Knowledge sharing in the context of other practices – practice context</i>	145
The practice of knowledge sharing	148
Expressing emotion and the use of conversational humour	152
The dynamics of power and trust	153
The role of <i>affect</i> in knowledge sharing practice	159

Implications of the study for practice-based research	163
<i>Methodological issues arising from the study</i>	163
<i>Implications of the study for practice theoretical approaches</i>	166
Limitations of the study	170
<i>Site-based investigations of practice</i>	170
<i>The practice theoretical approach – issues of power and trust</i>	173
<i>Combination of theoretical and methodological approaches</i>	173
Chapter 8: Conclusions	175
The normative nature of knowledge sharing	175
The emergent and unfolding nature of knowledge sharing	176
The multi-dimensionality of knowledge sharing	178
The affective dimension of knowledge sharing	179
Organisational impacts on knowledge sharing	180
Suggestions for future research directions	182
Bibliography	184
Appendix 1: Participant Information sheet	204
Appendix 2: Participant Consent Form	206
Appendix 3: Participant Invitation	208

List of Tables

Table 1:	Data analysis techniques employed for each data source	51-52
Table 2:	Summary of Single Council Sustainability Project data collected and analyzed from meeting observations, participant interviews and project documentation, including associated data codes	57-59
Table 3:	Summary of Multiple Council Biodiversity Project data collected and analyzed from meeting observations, participant interviews and project documentation, including associated data codes	60-61
Table 4:	Examples of indicators that emerged as representing knowledge sharing activities in SCSP and MCBP team meetings and described in participant interviews	81
Table 5:	Single Council Sustainability Project (SCSP) site – practice architectures mediating, and mediated by, knowledge sharing practice (doings, sayings and relatings) (Adapted from: Mahon et al. 2017, p. 13).	122
Table 6:	Multiple Council Biodiversity Project (MCBP) sites– practice ... architectures mediating, and mediated by, knowledge sharing practice (doings, sayings and relatings) (Adapted from: Mahon et al. 2017, p. 13).	124
Table 7:	Proposed model of practice architectures mediating, and mediated by ‘sensings’ using an experience described by SCSP team member, Ian (Adapted from: Mahon et al. 2017, p. 13).	168
Table 8:	Proposed model of practice architectures mediating, and mediated by ‘sensings’ using an experience described by MCBP team member, Tilda (Adapted from: Mahon et al. 2017, p. 13).	169

Abstract

Knowledge sharing is of critical importance to the success of multi-disciplinary project teams operating in government organisations as they seek to address community demands for more sustainable work practices and outcomes. Traditional approaches in the Information Studies discipline have often viewed knowledge sharing as an individual behaviour involving the exchange of material items, while Organisation Studies and Knowledge Management research often focuses on capturing people's cognitive knowledge in systems to enhance organisational success.

This study applied a practice theoretical approach to the investigation of two Australian local government project teams engaged in multi-disciplinary knowledge sharing to promote sustainability. The research investigated what constitutes knowledge sharing, what phenomena are shared as sharing takes place, and the wider organisational factors that enable or constrain knowledge sharing in these two sites of practice. The approach to the study is significant in that, in contrast to many practice-based studies, it applied a methodology adapted from phenomenology, placing emphasis on the lived experience of team members. This opened the investigation to the multi-dimensional experiential nature of knowledge sharing practice. The researcher engaged with team members through meeting observations and semi-structured interviews and analysed organisational project documentation, all focusing on the *sayings*, *doings* and *relatings* of project team members.

Knowledge sharing practice, incorporating engaging, presenting, supporting and problem-solving activities, proved to be the norm across both teams. However, as norms were challenged by restrictive protocols and power-plays imposed by other organisational units, team members engaged in resisting and influencing to protect and promote their project objectives. Affect emerged as a prominent dimension of knowledge sharing, expressed through positive and negative emotion, the use of conversational humour and the dynamics of power and trust. The identification of this affective dimension of knowledge sharing signals an important departure from previous research and led to this researcher's strong advocacy for integrating the concept of *sensings* alongside the *sayings*, *doings* and *relatings* of a practice. *Sensings* mediate, and are mediated by, the broader sensory and affective arrangements of organisations and are defined here as the collective, socio-material mood, feelings or atmosphere of a practice. The study provides significant insight for researchers and practitioners by moving beyond the cognitive and material aspects of information and knowledge sharing to consider the normative, emergent and multi-dimensional nature of the practice, project team dynamics and team members' engagement with *site*.