

Enhancing the Production of Spoken English

An ethnographic case study of
teaching language pragmatics

Tristan Currie, M.Ed.

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Education

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences
University of Technology Sydney

May 2018

Only understanding can change fate
as truth is not inherent but emerges.

Dedicated to my mother and hero, Susan Currie

Certificate of Authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as part of the collaborative doctoral degree and/or fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signed:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Tristan Currie

Date: 18 October 2018

This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program
Scholarship

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor Liam Morgan, for his kind, patient support and wisdom. His help in surmounting all manner of challenges throughout my doctoral candidacy, and his years of experience, were a great boon to me and to my research. Special thanks also to my first editor, Dr Suzanne Eggins, for her detailed, to the point communication skills and tireless consistency in assisting me to raise the clarity and soundness of my writing up to print standard. Special thanks also to my subsequent editor, Dr Guenter Plum, for his great assistance with editing the thesis as one document. Finally, thank you to my wife, Queenie, for ensuring that we had a life outside my thesis during the long trek; and for being my harshest critic but my most stirring motivator.

Abstract

Research in the Hong Kong context suggests that instruction in pragmatics is still problematic in universities due to a lack of instructional material, methods and types of tasks possible. The macro-skill of speaking is under-researched and lacks non-theoretical pragmatics-related teaching techniques. Most Chinese EFL research is limited to the study of homogenised groups of higher-proficiency university students. The subjects of this study are ten Chinese EFL adult learners studying the pragmatics of English outside of university. Three research questions drove the formulation of three subsequent hypotheses: Can learners' attendance to instances of language be used to increase adult learners' metacognition? Which orienting tasks best enable students to switch focus from shallow-level processing to deeper-level processing of language? Does multimodal input from video viewing facilitate pragmatic knowledge? Hypothesis one posits that when teachers make reflective practices surrounding the process of language learning accessible to adult learners, they can be coached to self-monitor their learning and make strategic adjustments. Hypothesis two posits that when the teacher opts for a communicative language teaching approach emphasising authentic tasks of communication, learners are more likely to sustain motivation and commitment. Hypothesis three posits that exploiting activities such as watching videos and learners self-recording their speaking performance on their mobile phones can facilitate the learners' acquisition of pragmatic knowledge. It found students' reactions to integrated multimodal input encouraged attendance to instances of language and responses to teacher input scaffolded improved student output by enabling them to reflect on their typical language usage and consider strategy adjustment. Underlining the importance of attentive monitoring by learners of their speech and both generalised and specific teacher feedback, were found to improve students' pragmatic knowledge.

Table of Contents

Certificate of Authorship.....	iii
Acknowledgments.....	iv
Abstract.....	v
Table of Contents.....	vi
List of Figures.....	xi
List of Tables.....	xiii
Key terms.....	xiv
Chapter One – Context and Purpose of the Project.....	1
1.1 The problematic.....	1
1.2 Learners’ perceptions, production and potential failure at pragmatics	3
1.2.1 Chinese EFL learners’ perceptions of pragmatics.....	3
1.2.2 Parallels between pragmatic awareness and pragmatic production.....	3
1.2.3 Risk of pragmatic failure and thus need to re-evaluate teaching.....	4
1.3 Context of the study: Hong Kong Federated Trade Unions’ occupational training centre.....	5
1.3.1 Teaching constraints.....	6
1.4 Experiences and learning needs of bilingual EFL adult learners.....	6
1.5 Broader context of continuing education sector.....	8
1.6 Purpose of this study.....	9
1.7 Research questions.....	9
1.8 Scope of the research and contribution to knowledge.....	10
1.9 Organisation of the thesis.....	11
Chapter Two – Conceptual Framework.....	13
2.1 Introduction of chapter topics and the conceptual framework.....	13
2.2 Metacognition.....	17
2.2.1 What is adult metacognition?.....	18
2.2.2 How is metacognitive knowledge acquired?.....	21
2.2.3 How is metacognition measured?.....	21
2.3 Intervening in learners’ reflective practices for robust metacognition	23
2.3.1 Introduction to important student–teacher transactions.....	23
2.4 Language processing.....	24
2.4.1 What is metalinguistic awareness, and how does it affect language processing?.....	25
2.4.2 What is language processing, and how is it measured?.....	26

2.4.3	What types of language does “attendance to language instances” refer to?	27
2.4.4	How do orienting tasks shift focus onto meaning to generate knowledge?	28
2.5	Intervening in direction of activity for deeper language processing.	29
2.5.1	Relationship between direction of classroom activity and types of talk	30
2.6	Pragmatic knowledge	31
2.6.1	Is pragmatics necessary or is grammar instruction all that is needed?.....	33
2.6.2	How is pragmatic knowledge measured?.....	33
2.6.3	Does multimodal input help learners acquire pragmatic knowledge of English?.....	33
2.7	Intervening in capacity for oral language use using digital video	38
2.7.1	How much pragmatic knowledge do students need to comprehend communication?	39
2.7.2	What sort of learning materials are needed?	39
2.7.3	Extending capacity for oral language with pragmatic analysis of digital video	42
2.8	Model of teaching	43
2.8.1	Measures of teaching effectiveness for the model of teaching	46
2.8.2	Research strategies for the model of teaching.....	47
2.8.2.1	Metacognition and indirect observation of accuracy / confidence association	47
2.8.2.2	Language processing and gathering evidence of elaborative rehearsal.....	47
2.8.2.3	Pragmatic knowledge and the appropriateness of student speech acts	48
2.9	Chapter summary	48
Chapter Three – Methodology		51
3.1	Introduction	51
3.1.1	Concurrent triangulation design.....	51
3.1.2	Hypothesis, research questions and data relationship.....	52
3.2	Qualitative approach	56
3.2.1	Case study	56
3.2.2	Ethnography	57
3.2.2.1	Position of the researcher	57
3.2.2.2	Ethnography and language.....	58
3.3	Research design.....	60
3.3.1	Research objectives.....	60
3.3.2	Learning objectives	60
3.3.3	Participants.....	61
3.3.4	Sampling of participants	62
3.3.5	Participants’ language exposure.....	62
3.4	Data sources	63
3.4.1	Data sources rationale and collection methods	64
3.4.2	Datasets rationale and collation methods.....	71
3.5	Design of the intervention.....	72

3.5.1 Publicly available video curriculum.....	72
3.5.1.1 Pragmatics videos	72
3.5.1.2 Learning English videos.....	72
3.5.1.3 Contextualised scenario videos	73
3.6 Multimodal input: metalanguage with video curriculum.....	73
3.6.1 Use of metalanguage by teacher and students.....	73
3.6.2 Integration of digital video use with metalanguage use.....	75
3.7 Approach to analysis	76
3.7.1 Sample thematic analysis – interviews	76
3.7.2 Sample discourse analysis – speech act transcript.....	78
3.7.3. Sample multimodal analysis – lesson video recording	80
3.8 Research ethics.....	81
3.8.1 Research ethics conduct	81
3.8.2 Data handling and software.....	82
3.9 Research limitations and chapter summary.....	83
Chapter Four – Thematic Analysis	84
4.1 Introduction	84
4.1.1 Structure of Chapter Four.....	84
4.1.2 Comparable structure of Chapters Four, Five and Six.....	85
4.2 Dataset A – Learner profiles	89
4.2.1 Dataset A – Analysis.....	93
4.2.2 Dataset A – Findings (prior pragmatic awareness).....	93
4.3 Dataset B – Responses grouped by interview topic.....	94
4.3.1 Dataset B – Themes (attendance to language).....	96
4.3.2 Dataset B – Findings (longer-term learning habits).....	96
4.4 Dataset C – Interview quotations and fieldnote observations.....	98
4.4.1 Dataset C – Themes (explicitness of metacognition).....	100
4.4.2 Dataset C – Findings (class-time factors increasing metacognition).....	107
4.5 Links between Datasets A, B and C.....	109
4.5.1 Theme #1 – Normalisation of reflective practices	109
4.5.2 Theme #2 – Heightened noticing of perlocutionary effects	109
4.5.3 Theme #3 – Genre pedagogy aided achievability of speech organisation.....	110
4.6 Thematic analysis – Summary of findings.....	110
Chapter Five – Discourse Analysis	114
5.1 Analysis objective	114
5.2 Dataset D – Lesson transcripts (exchanges)	115
5.2.1 Dataset D – Analysis.....	121
5.2.1.1 A1 – Exchange structure (typical moves during exchanges).....	121
5.2.2 Dataset D – Findings.....	123
5.3 Dataset E – Lesson transcripts (transactions).....	127
5.3.1 Dataset E – Analysis	130
5.3.2 Dataset E – Findings	130
5.4 Dataset F – Speech act transcript	132
5.4.1 Dataset F – Analysis	135

5.4.2 Dataset F – Findings	137
5.5 Summary of findings.....	138
Chapter Six – Multimodal Discourse Analysis.....	141
6.1 Datasets for multimodal analysis	141
6.2 Dataset G:.....	147
6.2.1 Dataset G – Analysis.....	147
6.2.2 Dataset G – Findings.....	151
6.3 Dataset H – Recordings of role plays.....	153
6.3.1 Dataset H – Analysis.....	157
6.3.2 Dataset H – Findings.....	158
6.3.2.1 Making inferences.....	158
6.3.2.2 Conversation skills.....	158
6.3.2.3 Multiple meanings.....	158
6.3.2.4 Figurative language.....	159
6.4 Datasets I1 and I2 – Self-rated skills and comparison with teacher feedback	160
6.4.1 Datasets I1 and I2 – Analysis.....	161
6.4.2 Datasets I1 and I2 – Findings.....	165
6.5 Links between Datasets A, B and C.....	166
Chapter Seven – Discussion and Conclusion.....	169
7.1 Addressing Research Question #1	173
7.2 Addressing Research Question #2	174
7.3 Addressing Research Question #3	175
7.4 Discussion of key themes.....	177
7.4.1 Increasing metacognition via normalisation of reflective practices.....	177
7.4.2 Enabling deeper-level language processing with orienting tasks.....	179
7.4.3 Acquiring pragmatic knowledge via integrated multimodal input	180
7.5 How the findings integrate with previous research.....	182
7.6 Implications for methodology.....	183
7.6.1 Implications for ethnography and organisation of data collection.....	183
7.6.2 Implications for thematic analysis and review of the data	184
7.6.3 Implications for mixed methods research and interpreting results	186
7.7 Implications for pedagogy.....	187
7.8 Contribution of the thesis and future research	188
7.8.1 Generalisability and research limitations.....	188
7.8.2 Contribution	190
7.8.3 Significance.....	191
7.9 Future research.....	192
List of References	194
Appendices.....	201

Appendix A: Conversational Skills Rating Scale (data source 1/9)	201
Appendix B: Interview question format (data source 2/9).....	202
Appendix C: Reflective Journal (data source 3/9)	203
Appendix D: Lesson transcript (data source 4/9).....	204
Appendix E: Multiple Choice Quiz page 1 of 3 (data source 5/9).....	205
Appendix F: Speech act transcripts (data source 6/9)**	206
Appendix G: Pre/Post survey results (data source 7/9).....	207
Appendix H: Fieldnote Researcher Diary (data source 8/9)	208
Appendix I: Written teacher feedback (data source 9/9)	209
Appendix J: keyword analysis by teacher, learner & cohort	210
Appendix K: Pre-course survey result	211
Appendix L: BSEW01 – Workbook: Lesson 1 (Self-Recording#1/3)..	212
Appendix M: BSEW02 – Workbook: Lesson 3 (Self-Recording#2/3)	215
Appendix N: BSEW03 – Workbook: Lesson 7 (Self-Recording#3/3).	217
Appendix O: Complete fluency and complexity results	219

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Language processing, pragmatics and metacognition framework.....	15
Figure 2.2: Metacognition (Schraw & Moshman 1995, p. 355)	19
Figure 2.3: Pragmatics and total signification of an utterance in a Gricean system (Levinson 2000, p. 13)	32
Figure 2.4: Excerpt from a learner’s workbook, exemplifying the speech act of giving instructions (Currie 2013, p. 4)	41
Figure 2.5: Discourse completion task example (Tanaka & Kawade 1982, p. 26)	42
Figure 2.6: Elements of pragmatic analysis (Dept. of English Language and Literature, University of the Punjab 2013, p. 25).....	43
Figure 2.7: Communicative approach, task-based genre pedagogy and digital video.....	44
Figure 3.1: Concurrent triangulation design: order of analysis	52
Figure 3.2: Data Source #1: Conversation Skills Rating Scale (CSRS)	65
Figure 3.3: Data Source #6: Speech act transcript and video recording	68
Figure 3.4: Data Source #8, Part 1: lesson plan extract from researcher’s diary.....	69
Figure 3.5: Data Source #8, Part 2: A teaching-focused extract from researcher’s diary	70
Figure 3.6: Data Source #8, Part 3: Fieldnotes from researcher’s diary	71
Figure 3.7: Sample learner profiles, Dataset A	77
Figure 3.8: Responses grouped by interview topic, Dataset B	77
Figure 3.9: Sample interview quotes and fieldnote observations, Dataset C.....	77
Figure 3.10: Measures of fluency and complexity in transcribed discourse.....	79
Figure 3.11: Abridged speech act transcript	79
Figure 3.12: Proposed three-step multimodal analysis	81
Figure 4.1: Initial failure at promoting knowledge of cognition (declarative)	101
Figure 4.2: Initial failure at promoting knowledge of cognition (procedural).....	102

Figure 4.3: Varying levels of success at promoting conditional knowledge	103
Figure 4.4: Initial failure at promoting regulation of cognition (planning)	104
Figure 4.5: Initial failure at promoting regulation of cognition (monitoring).....	105
Figure 4.6: Initial success at promoting knowledge of cognition (evaluation).....	106
Figure 4.7: Summary of findings for Datasets A, B and C	112
Figure 5.1: Negotiation – key for analysis of classroom dialogue.	117
Figure 5.2: Analysis of information flow of a typical beginner lesson.....	119
Figure 5.3: Analysis of information flow of a typical intermediate lesson.....	120
Figure 5.4: Analysis of information flow of a typical advanced lesson.....	121
Figure 5.5: Evidence of learner elaboration during analytical tasks (pre-task)	128
Figure 5.6: Evidence of learner elaboration during role play (task)	129
Figure 5.7: Evidence of elaboration during task feedback (post-task).....	130
Figure 5.8: Ms Brown’s self-recordings	133
Figure 5.9: Mr Pink’s self-recordings	134
Figure 5.10: Measures of fluency and complexity (Vercellotti 2012, p. 23).....	135
Figure 6.1: Datasets, analyses and findings of the multimodal discourse	143

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Pragmatic awareness, pragmatic knowledge and pragmatic competence.....	474
Table 2.2 : Features of teaching model and their measures.....	45
Table 3.1: Data sources in detail.....	54
Table 3.2: Data source collection chronology.....	55
Table 3.3: Data sources as related to research questions	56
Table 3.4: Video curriculum by topic	73
Table 3.5: Classroom metalanguage for pragmatics instruction.....	74
Table 3.6: Three steps of metalanguage use, video modelled language instances	75
Table 4.1: Datasets, themes and findings of thematic analysis.....	85
Table 4.2: Learner profile comparison.....	92
Table 4.3: Factors effecting aspects of metacognition.....	106
Table 5.1: Discourse analysis summary: fluency and complexity.....	136
Table 5.1: Discourse analysis summary: fluency and complexity (contd.)	137
Table 5.2: Summary of findings in Datasets A, B and C in Chapter Five.....	140
Table 6.1: Metalanguage used by the learners	145
Table 6.2: Critical incidents of digital video usage in the classroom	146
Table 6.3: Fieldnote observations of critical incident lessons	151
Table 6.4: Classroom concepts during intensive role play lesson	153
Table 6.5: Pair-focused video recording by metalinguistic criteria	155
Table 6.6: Summary of improvements in speaking skills for each speaker.....	157
Table 6.7: Conversation skills perceived as inadequate by learners.....	162
Table 6.8: Conversation skills perceived as good by learners (Dataset I1)	163
Table 6.9: Comparative improvements between Speech Acts #2 and #3 (Dataset I2).....	164

Key terms

Attendance (to language): The phrase “attendance to language instances” refers to simultaneously conscious and subconscious exposure of learners to instances of real-world language about which the learner can potentially gain implicit and/or explicit knowledge from that instance of language.

Discourse Completion Task: A research tool used in pragmatics research involving a situational prompt that provides details of social distance and constraints of an imagined scenario followed by a scripted incomplete dialogue between characters used to elicit the production of speech acts by the person completing the dialogue/task.

Metalinguistic awareness and feedback: Metalinguistic awareness is “the ability to talk about, analyse, and think about language independent of the concrete meaning of each word” (Flowers et al. 2015, p. 3). Metalinguistic feedback is explicit corrective feedback involving comments on the well-formedness of a student’s utterance.

Perlocutionary effects: Consequences or effect on the hearer of speech acts, such as scaring, persuading, inspiring, etc.

Pragmalinguistics: Knowledge of a pragmatic system, e.g. social distance, status, familiarity, imposition, age, gender, register and other aspects of language input for which a student can obtain linguistic resources.

Sociopragmatics: Knowledge of appropriate use of language, i.e. culturally focused elements such as typical linguistic forms/grammar, amount of information required, and strategies of linguistic directness, formality, politeness, etc.