
***Effects of Installation Sequence of Concrete
Rigid Inclusions by Ground-Displacement
Piling Method on Previously Installed Columns***

Huu Hung Nguyen

A thesis in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY



School of Civil and Environmental Engineering

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

October 2018

Certificate of Original Authorship

I, **Huu Hung Nguyen**, declare that this thesis, submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution. This research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

Production Note:

Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 23 October 2018

Acknowledgment

I wish to express sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor A/Prof Hadi Khabbaz and my co-supervisor A/Prof Behzad Fatahi for their invaluable guidance and support over the course of this research.

The Australian Postgraduate Award from Australian Government and the funding from Roads and Maritime Services of NSW, Fulton Hogan, SMEC and Menard Oceania are gratefully acknowledged. I would also like to thank UTS Graduate Research School and Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology for high-quality support and several generous travel grants.

Much of the laboratory equipment was constructed at the University workshop led by Mr Laurence Stonard. Special thanks to Dr Lam Nguyen, Mr Peter Brown, Mr Richard Turnell, Mr Antonio Reyno, Dr Thang Pham, and Dr Hamed Mahdavi for their assistance and support with the complexities of the model test set-up, data logging system, signal conditioning, and 3D scanning. My appreciation is extended to Dr Richard Kelly, Mr Philippe Vincent, Dr Jeff Hsi, Professor Mark Randolph, Dr David Oliveira, Dr Thanh Vo, Dr Thevaragavan Muttuvel, Mr Michal Krzeminski, and Dr Ali Parsa for many valuable technical discussions, to Professor John Carter for the use of CAMFE code, and to Mr Ross Barker for providing access to the laboratory equipment. My special thanks go to my colleagues at the UTS Geotechnical Research Group for fostering an enjoyable working environment.

Finally, I greatly thank my lovely wife, Tam, and my parents for their unconditional love and support.

List of Research Papers

The following technical papers were written during this research project:

- Nguyen, H.H., Khabbaz, H. & Fatahi, B. 2018, '[A Numerical Comparison of Installation Sequences of Plain Concrete Rigid Inclusions](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.2018.09.001)', **Computers & Geotechnics**, submitted 2 January 2018; accepted 2 September 2018, published online 21 September 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compgeo.2018.09.001>.
- Nguyen, H.H., Khabbaz, H., Fatahi, B. & Kelly, R. 2016, '[Bridge Pile Response to Lateral Soil Movement Induced by Installation of Controlled Modulus Columns](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.06.060)', **Procedia Engineering**, vol. 143, pp. 475-482, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.06.060>.
- Nguyen, H.H., Khabbaz, H., Fatahi, B. & Hsi, J. 2017, '[Effects of installing controlled modulus columns on previously installed columns](https://www.issmge.org/publications/online-library)', the **19th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering** - Seoul, South Korea, , pp. 2611-2614, <https://www.issmge.org/publications/online-library>.
- Nguyen, H.H., Khabbaz, H., Fatahi, B., Santos, R., Marix-Evans, M. & Vincent, P. 2016, '[Installation Effect of Controlled Modulus Columns on Nearby Existing Structures](https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/9780784480076.015)', **Geochina International Conference 2016**, vol. GSP 264, pp. 125-133, <https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/9780784480076.015>.
- Nguyen, H.H., Fatahi, B. & Khabbaz, H. 2014, '[Challenges in assessing the installation effects of controlled modulus columns on behaviour of surrounding soils](#)', **The Fourth International Conference on Géotechnique, Construction Materials and Environment**, Brisbane, Australia, pp. 44-49.
- Nguyen, H.H., Khabbaz, H., Fatahi, B., Vincent, P. & Marix-Evans, M. 2014, '[Sustainability considerations for ground improvement technique using controlled](#)

[modulus columns](#)', **Australian Geomechanics Society**, "Resilient Geotechnics", Sydney Australia, pp. 170 - 184.

- Nguyen, H.H., Khabbaz, H. & Fatahi, B. 2018, 'Model Test on the Responses of Early Age Concrete Inclusions in Soft Ground Subject to Nearby Installations', Canadian Geotechnical Journal (in preparation).
- Nguyen, H.H., Khabbaz, H. & Fatahi, B. 2018, 'Effects of Pile Penetration in Comparison with Combined Cylindrical and Spherical Cavity Expansions: Experimental and Numerical Studies', International Journal of Geomechanics (in preparation).

Huu Hung Nguyen, Behzad Fatahi and Hadi Khabbaz received the award of the best paper for their paper titled: '*Challenges in assessing the installation effects of controlled modulus columns on behaviour of surrounding soils*', presented in The Fourth International Conference on Géotechnique, Construction Materials and Environment, Brisbane, Australia in November 2014.

Abstract

Ground improvement techniques using concrete injected column (CIC) or controlled modulus column (CMC) have been widely used since 1980s. However, impacts of ground displacement induced by the techniques have not been studied adequately. This project advances both experimental and numerical bases for assessing effects of installing CICs or CMCs on the surrounding soils and previously installed columns, with interests given to installation sequence and behaviour of concrete inclusion at early age.

Three-dimensional numerical modelling was conducted to investigate how groups of columns installed in different sequences could affect previously installed columns. The assessment included coupled consolidation analyses in large strain mode, considering soil-column interaction. CMC installation was modelled numerically with the combined use of cylindrical and spherical cavity expansion theories. Where possible, the results were compared with analytical solutions and published field cases. The study revealed that the use of different installation sequences resulted in noticeable differences in the soil responses near existing CMCs as well as the difference in the bending moments generated in the previously installed columns.

A soil-displacement piling rig and a fully instrumented soil tank were also designed and built in the laboratory to simulate column installations and to study the soil behaviour and the responses of previously built columns to nearby installations. A group of concrete columns were cast in-situ in soft soil using low strength concrete. The installation effects in terms of soil behaviours and structural responses of the columns were well captured by 3D laser scanning, soil miniature instrumentation, and a customised strain gauge system installed in CMCs. Test results revealed complex interactions between the soil and the columns, which are otherwise often difficult to observe in the field.

Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iii
LIST OF RESEARCH PAPERS	iv
ABSTRACT	vi
CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND AND PROBLEM STATEMENT	1
1.2 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	5
1.3 THESIS OUTLINE	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 CMC – DISPLACEMENT PILING FOR GROUND IMPROVEMENT.....	8
2.1.1 History of CMCs	8
2.1.2 CMC Displacement Auger	10
2.1.3 CMC Installation Process	11
2.2 INSTALLATION EFFECTS AND INSTALLATION SEQUENCE	15
2.2.1 Construction Site at an Elementary School in the USA	15
2.2.2 Channel Tunnel Rail Link (CTRL).....	17
2.2.3 Coopernook to Herons Creek Alliance Project	18
2.2.4 Upgrade of a Motorway Interchange in Australia.....	19
2.2.5 Bridge Approach for a Highway Upgrade Project	21
2.2.6 Large Embankment for Highway Upgrade	22
2.2.7 Model Test on Displacement Augers	22
2.2.8 Summary	24

2.3	CMC GROUT AND PROPERTIES AT EARLY AGE	25
2.3.1	<i>Grout Mixture</i>	25
2.3.2	<i>Strength and Stiffness</i>	26
2.3.3	<i>Material Models</i>	30
2.3.4	<i>Quality Control and Testing</i>	35
2.4	EXISTING ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS	36
2.4.1	<i>Cavity Expansion Methods</i>	36
2.4.2	<i>Lateral Soil Displacement</i>	37
2.4.3	<i>Excess Pore Water Pressure</i>	38
2.4.4	<i>Shallow Strain Path Method</i>	39
2.4.5	<i>Chai's Method</i>	41
2.5	EXISTING NUMERICAL METHODS	43
2.5.1	<i>Remeshing Technique in Numerical Modelling</i>	43
2.5.2	<i>Updated Lagrangian and Update Mesh in FLAC^{3D}/PLAXIS</i>	46
2.5.3	<i>Numerical Approach and Cavity Expansion Method</i>	47
2.5.4	<i>The Two-Stage Approach</i>	48
2.6	IMPORTANT FEATURES OF MODEL TESTS	48
2.6.1	<i>Single Gravity Modelling and Scale Factor</i>	49
2.6.2	<i>Penetration Rate</i>	51
2.6.3	<i>Strain Gauge Embedment in Concrete Pile</i>	52
2.6.4	<i>Soil-Column Interaction</i>	54
2.7	GAP AND LIMITATIONS IN CURRENT LITERATURE	57
 CHAPTER 3 NUMERICAL COMPARISON OF INSTALLATION SEQUENCES OF PLAIN CONCRETE RIGID INCLUSIONS 59		
3.1	SYNOPSIS	59
3.2	INTRODUCTION	61
3.3	NUMERICAL SIMULATION	65
3.3.1	<i>Finite Difference Mesh and Boundary Conditions</i>	65

3.3.2	<i>Adopted Installation Sequences</i>	67
3.3.3	<i>Ground Profile and Properties</i>	69
3.3.4	<i>CMC Properties.....</i>	73
3.3.5	<i>Initial Conditions and CMC-Soil Interface</i>	77
3.3.6	<i>Cavity Expansion to Simulate Column Installation</i>	79
3.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	82
3.4.1	<i>Response of Soil to CMC Installation</i>	82
3.4.2	<i>Responses of Previously Installed CMC to New Nearby CMCs.....</i>	106
3.5	SUMMARY	125
 CHAPTER 4 LABORATORY MODEL TEST ON THE RESPONSES OF EARLY AGE CONCRETE INCLUSIONS		
IN SOFT GROUND SUBJECT TO NEARBY INSTALLATIONS		128
4.1	SYNOPSIS	128
4.2	INTRODUCTION.....	129
4.3	MODEL TEST SET-UP AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES	131
4.3.1	<i>Equipment</i>	131
4.3.2	<i>Soil Bed Preparation</i>	139
4.3.3	<i>Concrete Column Properties</i>	145
4.4	METHOD OF INSTALLING COLUMNS.....	146
4.4.1	<i>Column Layout and Sequence of Installation</i>	146
4.4.2	<i>Installing the Central Column</i>	148
4.4.3	<i>Installing Perimeter Columns.....</i>	155
4.5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	158
4.5.1	<i>Responses of Soil to Column Installations</i>	158
4.5.2	<i>Response of Column C1 to Nearby Installations.....</i>	173
4.6	SUMMARY	180
 CHAPTER 5 EFFECTS OF PILE PENETRATION IN COMPARISON WITH COMBINED CYLINDRICAL AND		
SPHERICAL CAVITY EXPANSIONS: EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL STUDIES.....		182

5.1	SYNOPSIS	182
5.2	INTRODUCTION.....	183
5.3	LABORATORY MODEL TEST	187
5.3.1	<i>Soil Tank</i>	<i>187</i>
5.3.2	<i>Soil Bed Preparation</i>	<i>187</i>
5.3.3	<i>Soil Properties.....</i>	<i>190</i>
5.3.4	<i>Jacked Pile Penetration.....</i>	<i>193</i>
5.4	FINITE DIFFERENCE ANALYSIS	198
5.4.1	<i>Geometry and Boundary Conditions.....</i>	<i>198</i>
5.4.2	<i>Material Model for Soft Soil</i>	<i>201</i>
5.4.3	<i>Numerical Simulation of Cavity Expansion</i>	<i>201</i>
5.5	COMPARISON BETWEEN RESULTS OF MODEL TEST AND NUMERICAL SIMULATION	205
5.5.1	<i>Soil Penetration Resistance during Pile Penetration</i>	<i>205</i>
5.5.2	<i>Predicted versus Measured Excess Pore Water Pressures</i>	<i>206</i>
5.5.3	<i>Predicted versus Measured Surface Soil Movement.....</i>	<i>209</i>
5.6	SUMMARY	211
CHAPTER 6	PRACTICAL AND CONSTRUCTION ASPECTS OF CMC INSTALLATION EFFECTS	213
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	213
6.2	BRIDGE PILE RESPONSE TO LATERAL SOIL MOVEMENT INDUCED BY INSTALLATION OF CONTROLLED MODULUS COLUMNS	213
6.2.1	<i>Objectives</i>	<i>213</i>
6.2.2	<i>Numerical modelling</i>	<i>215</i>
6.2.3	<i>Material Modelling.....</i>	<i>216</i>
6.2.4	<i>Interfaces, Boundary and Initial Conditions</i>	<i>217</i>
6.2.5	<i>Modelling CMC Installation</i>	<i>218</i>
6.2.6	<i>Results and Discussion.....</i>	<i>219</i>
6.2.7	<i>Summary</i>	<i>226</i>

6.3	SUSTAINABILITY CONSIDERATIONS FOR GROUND IMPROVEMENT TECHNIQUE USING CONTROLLED MODULUS	
COLUMNS		227
6.3.1	<i>Objectives</i>	227
6.3.2	<i>Sustainability Aspect of CMCs</i>	229
6.3.3	<i>Potential Development in Terms of Sustainability for CMC</i>	233
6.3.4	<i>Summary</i>	240
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	242
7.1	SUMMARY	242
7.2	CONCLUSIONS	244
7.2.1	<i>Effects of Installation Sequences on Previously Installed Concrete Columns</i>	244
7.2.2	<i>Responses of Early Age Concrete Inclusions Subject to Nearby Installations</i>	246
7.2.3	<i>Effects of Pile Penetration in Comparison with Cavity Expansion Numerical Modelling..</i>	247
7.2.4	<i>Practical and Construction Aspects of CMC Installation Effects</i>	247
7.3	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	248
REFERENCES		251
APPENDICES		274

List of Figures

FIGURE 1.1 COST, TIME FOR THE RESULT, AND PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS OF DIFFERENT GROUND IMPROVEMENT METHODS (MODIFIED AFTER HIGGINS 2014)	2
FIGURE 1.2 TYPICAL SHAPE OF EXCAVATED COLUMNS PRESENTING SOUND CHARACTERISTICS (NGUYEN ET AL. 2014)	3
FIGURE 2.1 CMC AUGER: (A) PATENTED HOLLOW DISPLACEMENT AUGER (MODIFIED AFTER COGNON 2004) (B) DISPLACEMENT AUGER AT THE GERRINGONG PROJECT SITE IN NSW, AUSTRALIA	11
FIGURE 2.2 A CMC PILING RIG USED IN GERRINGONG, NSW, AUSTRALIA	12
FIGURE 2.3 A PILING RIG FOR CMC CONSTRUCTION WITH A MAST LENGTH OF 25.2 M (PHOTO COURTESY OF MENARD GMBH)	13
FIGURE 2.4 CMC GROUND IMPROVEMENT METHOD: (A) INSTALLATION OF CMC, AND (B) CONSTRUCTION OF LTP AFTER COLUMNS INSTALLATION	14
FIGURE 2.5 TYPICAL GROUND IMPROVEMENT DESIGN ALONG THE BRIDGE APPROACH EMBANKMENT	14
FIGURE 2.6 INSTALLATION SEQUENCE TO AVOID DAMAGE TO ADJACENT FRESHLY GROUTED COLUMNS (MODIFIED AFTER PLOMTEUX, PORBAHA & SPAULDING 2004)	17
FIGURE 2.7 COLUMN INSTALLATION WITH TWO DIFFERENT INTERLEAVE PASSES AT THE CAMDEN HAVEN NORTH BRIDGE APPROACH (AFTER HEWITT, SUMMERELL & HUANG 2009)	18
FIGURE 2.8 MOVEMENT OF THE COLUMN HEAD: (A) HORIZONTAL MOVEMENT, AND (B) UPLIFT; (PLOTTED USING DATA FROM LARISCH, KELLY & MUTTUVEL 2015)	20
FIGURE 2.9 COLUMN PATTERN AND INSTALLATION SEQUENCE (AFTER LARISCH, KELLY & MUTTUVEL 2015)	21
FIGURE 2.10 COMPARISON BETWEEN (A) CMC AUGER (COGNON 2004; MASSE ET AL. 2017) AND THE ROTARY DISPLACEMENT AUGER WITH LARGE DISPLACEMENT BODY (HIRD, NI & GUYMER 2011; SKINNER ET AL. 2003)	24
FIGURE 2.11 CMC GROUT AT GERRINGONG PROJECT SITE IN NSW AUSTRALIA	26
FIGURE 2.12 DRILLED DISPLACEMENT COLUMNS INSTALLED AT CAMDEN HAVEN RIVER BRIDGE, NEW SOUTH WALES, AUSTRALIA (AFTER HEWITT, SUMMERELL & HUANG 2009)	27
FIGURE 2.13 CRACK INITIATION AND DEVELOPMENT IN DDC COLUMNS (AFTER LARISCH, KELLY & MUTTUVEL 2015)	28
FIGURE 2.14 FLAC ^{3D} MOHR-COULOMB FAILURE CRITERION (MODIFIED AFTER ITASCA 2012)	31
FIGURE 2.15 DOMAINS USED IN THE DEFINITION OF FLOW RULE (AFTER ITASCA 2012)	32
FIGURE 2.16 TYPICAL STATIC LOAD TEST RESULTS (NGUYEN ET AL. 2014)	35

FIGURE 2.17 LATERAL DISPLACEMENT OF A SOIL ELEMENT AT A RADIUS R DUE TO CAVITY EXPANSION, (AFTER VESIC 1972) .	37
FIGURE 2.18 AXISYMMETRIC ‘SIMPLE PILE’ WITH GEOMETRY AND NOTATION USED IN SSPM ANALYSES (SAGASETA, WHITTLE & SANTAGATA 1997)	41
FIGURE 2.19 PLAN VIEW SHOWING POINT A, WHERE LATERAL SOIL DISPLACEMENT IN THE X DIRECTION TO BE CALCULATED BY CHAI ET AL.’S METHOD (AFTER CHAI, MIURA & KOGA 2005)	42
FIGURE 2.20 FINITE CAVITY EXPANSION FOR MODELLING PILE INSTALLATION (AFTER CARTER, RANDOLPH & WROTH 1979)	48
FIGURE 2.21 MEASURING STRAINS ALONG EITHER SIDE OF THE PILE (AFTER MOHAMAD ET AL. 2011)	53
FIGURE 3.1 MODEL GEOMETRY IN 3D VIEW	66
FIGURE 3.2 PLAN VIEW OF (A) INSTALLATION SEQUENCE NO. 1 AND (B) INSTALLATION SEQUENCE NO. 2, USED IN NUMERICAL MODELS (ALL DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETRES)	68
FIGURE 3.3 PROFILES OF (A) INITIAL PORE WATER PRESSURE AND VERTICAL EFFECTIVE STRESSES, (B) OVER-CONSOLIDATION RATIO (C) UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH, AND (D) RIGIDITY INDEX OF SOIL	71
FIGURE 3.4 DEVELOPMENT OF THE UNIAXIAL COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF FLY ASH CONCRETE WITH TIME	74
FIGURE 3.5 (A) SIMPLIFIED MODEL FOR CMC INSTALLATION, AND (B) DEFORMED MESH AFTER UNDRAINED CAVITY EXPANSION AT CMC 6 IN SEQUENCE NO. 1	81
FIGURE 3.6 CONTOURS OF LATERAL SURFACE SOIL DISPLACEMENT (A) IN X DIRECTION AND (B) IN Y DIRECTION, INDUCED BY INSTALLING THE FIRST CMC	83
FIGURE 3.7 MAGNITUDE OF LATERAL SOIL DISPLACED BY THE INSTALLATION OF CMC1 (A) PLAN VIEW, AND (B) CROSS SECTION THROUGH CMC1 AXIS	84
FIGURE 3.8 ACCUMULATED LATERAL SOIL DISPLACEMENT INDUCED BY TWO CMCs INSTALLED IN SUCCESSION: (A) PLAN VIEW, AND (B) CROSS SECTION THROUGH THE AXIS OF CMC 2 AND CMC E2	85
FIGURE 3.9 COMPARISONS OF CHAI’S METHOD (CHAI ET AL. 2009) AND THIS NUMERICAL STUDY FOR LATERAL SOIL MOVEMENT INDUCED BY INSTALLING COLUMNS OF THE FIRST ROW	86
FIGURE 3.10 INDUCED SOIL MOVEMENT: (A) LATERAL DISPLACEMENT OF SOIL IN Y DIRECTION, AND (B) SOIL HEAVE BETWEEN TWO ADJACENT EXISTING CMCs AFTER INSTALLING NEW CMCs	87
FIGURE 3.11 COMPARISON OF MEASURED AND NUMERICALLY PREDICTED LATERAL SOIL MOVEMENTS IN THE SOIL INDUCED BY THE ADJACENT COLUMN INSTALLATION SITE	88

FIGURE 3.12 COMPARISONS OF SAGASETA, WHITTLE & SANTAGATA (1997) ANALYTICAL METHOD AND THIS NUMERICAL STUDY FOR SOIL HEAVE INDUCED BY INSTALLATION OF CMC 1	90
FIGURE 3.13 SOIL HEAVE AND CMC UPLIFT DUE TO NEW INSTALLATIONS (A) SEQUENCE NO. 1 AND (B) SEQUENCE NO. 2..	91
FIGURE 3.14 CONTOUR PLOT OF THE VERTICAL MOVEMENT OF SOIL AT THE SURFACE AFTER INSTALLING ALL THE CMCs: (A) SEQUENCE NO. 1 AND, (B) SEQUENCE NO. 2.....	93
FIGURE 3.15 TIME-DEPENDENT UPLIFT OF CMCs AND SOIL HEAVE: (A) SEQUENCE NO. 1 AND, (B) SEQUENCE NO. 2	95
FIGURE 3.16 SOIL HEAVE BETWEEN TWO EXISTING CMCs DURING AND AFTER INSTALLING CMC1-6 WITH THE TIME AXIS PLOTTED: (A) ON A LOGARITHMIC SCALE AND (B) ON A NORMAL SCALE	96
FIGURE 3.17. EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE GENERATED BY INSTALLING CMC 1: (A) CURRENT NUMERICAL PREDICTION, AND (B) EXISTING ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS BY VESIC (1972).....	97
FIGURE 3.18 ACCUMULATED EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE ALONG THE FRONT FACE OF CMC E2, INDUCED BY INSTALLING NEARBY CMCs (SEQUENCE NO. 1)	99
FIGURE 3.19 ACCUMULATED EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE ALONG THE FACE OF CMC E2, INDUCED BY INSTALLING NEARBY CMCs (SEQUENCE NO. 2)	100
FIGURE 3.20 EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE ALONG CMC E2 FRONT FACE: (A) POINT D, (B) POINT E, (c) POINT F.....	102
FIGURE 3.21 EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE AND MEAN EFFECTIVE STRESS OF SOIL: (A) AT 2M DEPTH, AND (B) BELOW THE BASE OF CMC E2 AND CMC2	104
FIGURE 3.22 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF HEAD MOVEMENTS OF CMC E2: (A) INSTALLATION SEQUENCE NO. 1 AND (B) INSTALLATION SEQUENCE NO. 2	105
FIGURE 3.23 (A) UPLIFT OF EXISTING CMC E2 DUE TO INSTALLING NEW CMCs, (B) AXIAL STRAIN IN CMC E2, AND (c) DISPLACEMENT OF CMC E2 TIP DURING AND AFTER CMC INSTALLATIONS	108
FIGURE 3.24 LATERAL DEFLECTION OF EXISTING CMC E2 INDUCED BY NEW INSTALLATIONS NEARBY: (A) INSTALLATION SEQUENCE NO. 1, AND (B) INSTALLATION SEQUENCE NO. 2	110
FIGURE 3.25 PROFILE OF CMC E2 IN TERMS OF: (A) LATERAL DEFLECTION (B) BENDING MOMENT (C) SHEAR FORCE AND (D) NET LATERAL SOIL RESISTANCE FOLLOWING INSTALLATION SEQUENCES NO. 1 AND NO. 2.....	112
FIGURE 3.26 TIME-DEPENDENT RESPONSES OF COLUMN CMC E2: (A) LATERAL DEFLECTION AND (B) BENDING MOMENT DUE TO INSTALLATION SEQUENCE NO. 1; AND (C) LATERAL DEFLECTION AND (D) BENDING MOMENT DUE TO INSTALLATION SEQUENCE NO. 2	115

FIGURE 3.27 HOEK-BROWN YIELD SURFACE AND STRESS STATE IN CMC E2 ALONG POINT K.....	116
FIGURE 3.28 EVOLUTION OF TENSION PLASTICITY IN CMC E2 AT: (A) ONE DAY OLD AND (B) 28 DAY OLD, SUBJECT TO CMC1-6 INSTALLATIONS USING SEQUENCE NO. 1.....	118
FIGURE 3.29 EVOLUTION OF TENSION PLASTICITY IN CMC E2 AT: (A) ONE DAY OLD, AND (B) 28 DAY OLD, SUBJECT TO CMC6-1 INSTALLATIONS USING SEQUENCE NO. 2.....	119
FIGURE 3.30 MAXIMUM TENSION STRESS GENERATED IN CMC E2 (AFTER 28 DAYS OF CURING), INDUCED BY INSTALLATION SEQUENCE NO. 2	120
FIGURE 3.31 EFFECT OF CURING PERIODS ON BEHAVIOUR OF CMC E2: (A) LATERAL DEFLECTION, (B) BENDING MOMENT, (C) SHEAR FORCE, AND (D) LATERAL SOIL RESISTANCE (SEQUENCE NO. 1)	123
FIGURE 3.32 EFFECT OF CURING PERIODS ON BEHAVIOUR OF CMC E2: (A) LATERAL DEFLECTION (B) BENDING MOMENT, (C) SHEAR FORCE, AND (D) LATERAL SOIL RESISTANCE (SEQUENCE NO. 2)	124
FIGURE 4.1 SCHEMATIC SET-UP FOR COLUMN INSTALLATION SIMULATION (ALL DIMENSIONS IN MM).....	133
FIGURE 4.2 PILING ASSEMBLY FOR SOIL DISPLACEMENT AND GROUTING (ALL DIMENSIONS IN MM).....	134
FIGURE 4.3 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THE CONSOLIDATION CELL: (A) CROSS-SECTIONAL VIEW, AND (B) PLAN VIEW (ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MM)	136
FIGURE 4.4 MINIATURE INSTRUMENTATION: (A) IN-SOIL PORE WATER PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS, (B) PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS WITH CABLE EXITING AT THE BACK OF SENSOR (C) PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS WITH CABLE EXITING AT THE SIDE OF THE SENSOR (COURTESY OF TOKYO SOKKI KENKYUJO Co., LTD)	138
FIGURE 4.5 THE 250 kN AXIAL LOADING SYSTEM FOR CONSOLIDATING SLURRY IN THE SOIL TANK	140
FIGURE 4.6 VOID RATIO VERSUS VERTICAL EFFECTIVE STRESS	142
FIGURE 4.7 CONSOLIDATION SETTLEMENT VERSUS TIME DURING CONSOLIDATING A SOIL SAMPLE 250 MM IN DIAMETER AND 200 MM HIGH	143
FIGURE 4.8 PERMEABILITY AND VOID RATIO RELATIONSHIP OF SOFT SOIL USED IN THE MODEL TEST	144
FIGURE 4.9 RESULTS OF CONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TRIAXIAL COMPRESSION TESTS	144
FIGURE 4.10 PLAN VIEW AND THE LAYOUT OF COLUMNS	147
FIGURE 4.11 GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF THE TEST PROCEDURES: (A) JACKING UNTIL FULL PENETRATION (B) OUTER TUBE ADVANCING DOWNWARD, INNER CORE RETRACT, AND SPOIL REMOVAL; (C) INSERTION OF STRAIN GAUGES AND POURING FIRST LIFT OF GROUT (D) POURING SECOND GROUT LIFT AND RETRACTING OUTER TUBE	149

FIGURE 4.12 STRAIN GAUGE HOLDING CAGE: (A) CAGE HOSTING STRAIN GAUGES, (B) CAGE PLACED INSIDE THE CREATED BOREHOLE, AND (C) SIDE VIEW	151
FIGURE 4.13 (A) COLUMNAR SECTIONAL AREA, (B) AMPLIFICATION AND BRIDGE COMPLETION, (C) EMBEDDED STRAIN GAUGES IN COLUMN C1, (D) LOCATIONS OF STRAIN GAUGES WHEN COLUMN C1 IS UNFOLDED, AND (E) HALF BRIDGE STRAIN GAUGE LAYOUT AND CONNECTION; ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MM	152
FIGURE 4.14 (A) TYPICAL CAST IN-SITU CONCRETE COLUMN AT ONE-DAY AGE, RECOVERED FROM SOFT SOIL; AND (B) EXPOSED SOIL AND COLUMNS AFTER EXCAVATION	154
FIGURE 4.15 ARRANGEMENT OF MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION FOR COLUMN C1	156
FIGURE 4.16 PLAN VIEW OF INSTALLATIONS: (A) INITIAL CONDITIONS; (B) INSTALLING C2; (C) COMPLETING C2; (D) JACKING TO FULL DEPTH AT COLUMN C3; (E) C1 TO C3 INSTALLED; (F) FULL PENETRATION AT COLUMN C4; (G) GROUTING COMPLETED AT C4; (H) GROUTING COMPLETED AT C5; (I) COMPLETE INSTALLATION AT C6; (J) ALL COLUMNS INSTALLED; AND (K) ORIENTATION OF ALL COLUMNS	157
FIGURE 4.17 MEASURED PENETRATION RESISTANCE DURING JACKING FOR INSTALLING COLUMNS C1 TO C7	159
FIGURE 4.18 3D SCANNING: (A) THE SET-UP OF THE HANDHELD 3D LASER SCANNING DEVICE (B) SCANNING OPERATION DURING COLUMN INSTALLATIONS	160
FIGURE 4.19 3D SCANS OF SURFACE SOIL AND COLUMN HEADS: (A) INITIAL CONDITION, (B) C1 INSTALLATION, (C) A DAY AFTER C1 INSTALLATION, (D) AFTER C2 INSTALLATION, (E) AFTER C3 INSTALLATION, (F) AFTER C4 INSTALLATION (G) AFTER C5 INSTALLATION, (H) AFTER C6 INSTALLATION, AND (I) AFTER C7 INSTALLATION	161
FIGURE 4.20 VOLUME OF SOIL SURFACE HEAVE INDUCED BY EACH SUBSEQUENT COLUMN INSTALLATION	162
FIGURE 4.21 CONTOUR OF SOIL SURFACE HEAVE AFTER ALL INSTALLATIONS WERE COMPLETED	163
FIGURE 4.22 SOIL SURFACE HEAVE ALONG SECTION AA' OF FIGURE 4.21: (A) THE INITIAL GROUND SURFACE LEVEL AND SURFACE LEVEL AFTER C1 INSTALLATION (B) AFTER C2 INSTALLATION (C) AFTER C3 INSTALLATION (D) AFTER C4 INSTALLATION AND (E) AFTER ALL 7 COLUMNS WERE INSTALLED	164
FIGURE 4.23 CHANGES IN TOTAL HORIZONTAL STRESSES IN THE SOIL AT DEPTHS OF 236 MM (PS2) AND 336 MM (PS1) .	165
FIGURE 4.24 CHANGES IN TOTAL HORIZONTAL STRESSES IN THE SOIL AT DEPTHS OF 36 MM (PS4) AND 136 MM (PS3) ...	166
FIGURE 4.25 CHANGES IN TOTAL VERTICAL STRESS AT THE BASE OF THE SOIL TANK AT PRESSURE SENSOR PS6 (BENEATH COLUMN C1) AND PS5 (BETWEEN COLUMNS C5 AND C3)	166

FIGURE 4.26 EVOLUTION OF EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE BETWEEN COLUMNS C1 AND C2, AND 176 MM BELOW THE SOIL SURFACE (P10 AND P11).....	169
FIGURE 4.27 DISPLACEMENT OF TRANSDUCER P11 AND P10 DUE TO THE INSTALLATION OF C1.....	169
FIGURE 4.28 EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE AT THE BOUNDARY AT LOCATIONS OF P3 & P4.....	171
FIGURE 4.29 EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE AT THE BOUNDARY AT LOCATIONS OF P1 & P2.....	172
FIGURE 4.30 EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE AT THE BASE OF THE SOIL TANK AT LOCATIONS OF P6 TO P9.....	172
FIGURE 4.31 ACCUMULATED AND INCREMENTAL UPLIFT OF COLUMN C1 HEAD DUE TO INSTALLATION OF SUBSEQUENT COLUMNS AND (A) COLUMN UPLIFT IN MM, AND (B) NORMALISED COLUMN UPLIFT WITH COLUMN LENGTH AND CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA.....	174
FIGURE 4.32 (A) ACCUMULATED UPLIFT OF EACH INDIVIDUAL COLUMN AS INSTALLATIONS PROGRESSED, AND (B) NORMALISED ACCUMULATED UPLIFT WITH A NON-DIMENSIONAL FORMAT ($\Delta z \pi L / \Omega$).....	175
FIGURE 4.33 ROTATION OF COLUMN C1 HEAD DURING THE INSTALLATION OF COLUMN C2.....	177
FIGURE 4.34 MEASURING STRAINS ε_t AND ε_c ALONG EITHER SIDE OF THE PILE (AFTER MOHAMAD ET AL 2011).....	177
FIGURE 4.35 BENDING MOMENTS INDUCED IN COLUMN C1 DUE TO INSTALLING C2-C7: (A) ABOUT X AXIS, AND (B) ABOUT Y AXIS.....	179
FIGURE 5.1 DIAGRAMS OF THE SOIL TANK AND THE ARRANGEMENT OF PORE WATER PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS: (A) SIDE VIEW, AND (B) PLAN VIEW.....	188
FIGURE 5.2 SOIL BED PREPARATION: (A) SLURRY PREPARED IN THE SOIL TANK (B) PLACEMENT OF THE FIRST SURCHARGE WEIGHT PLATE AND (C) FULL SURCHARGE PRESSURE APPLIED.....	189
FIGURE 5.3 SOIL SPECIMEN WITH SURCHARGE PLACEMENT PRIOR TO THE JACKED IN PILE INSTALLATION.....	193
FIGURE 5.4 SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THE EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP FOR JACKED PILE PENETRATION.....	195
FIGURE 5.5 EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT ABOVE THE SOIL TANK.....	197
FIGURE 5.6 DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM IN THE LABORATORY.....	198
FIGURE 5.7 THE FLAC ^{3D} FINITE DIFFERENCE MESH.....	199
FIGURE 5.8 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND MODELLING OF SURCHARGE.....	200
FIGURE 5.9 SIMPLIFIED SIMULATION OF JACKED PILING: (A) CAVITY EXPANSION MODELLING, AND (B) DISPLACEMENT VELOCITY APPLIED TO THE INITIAL CAVITY (1/4 OF MODEL PILE); ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MM.....	202

FIGURE 5.10 DEFORMED MESH BEFORE AND AFTER CAVITY EXPANSION: (A) INITIAL CAVITY, AND (B) AFTER CAVITY EXPANSION	203
FIGURE 5.11 A) SOIL PENETRATION RESISTANCE WITH DEPTH AND (B) THE PILE PENETRATION RATE	206
FIGURE 5.12 EVOLUTION OF THE EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURE DUE TO JACKED IN PILE PENETRATION.....	207
FIGURE 5.13 EVOLUTION OF EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURES ALONG THE WALL OF THE SOIL TANK AT THREE LOCATIONS: PWP-B2, PWP-B3, AND PWP-B4	208
FIGURE 5.14 EVOLUTION OF THE EXCESS PORE WATER PRESSURES ALONG THE BASE OF THE SOIL TANK.....	209
FIGURE 5.15 MEASURED AND PREDICTED SOIL CONSOLIDATION SETTLEMENT DURING AND AFTER PILE PENETRATION.....	211
FIGURE 6.1 (A) FLAC ^{3D} FINITE DIFFERENCE GRID AND (B) THE LAYOUT OF CMCs AND THE EXISTING BRIDGE PILE	215
FIGURE 6.2 DEFORMED MESH AFTER UNDRAINED CAVITY CREATION AT THE FIRST CMC.....	219
FIGURE 6.3 THE ORDER OF CMC INSTALLATION	219
FIGURE 6.4 RADIAL SOIL MOVEMENT DUE CAVITY EXPANSION VERSUS HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM CMC AXIS	221
FIGURE 6.5 RADIAL SOIL DISPLACEMENT VERSUS HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM CMC AXIS BY DEPTHS.....	221
FIGURE 6.6 PILE HEAD MOVEMENT DURING CMC INSTALLATION PROCESS ($L_{PILE} = 9.6m$)	223
FIGURE 6.7 PORE WATER PRESSURE UPON COMPLETE INSTALLATION OF THE FINAL CMC	223
FIGURE 6.8 (A) PORE PRESSURE NEAR PILE FACE (B) NORMAL STRESS ACTING ON PILE FACE AFTER CMC INSTALLATION.....	224
FIGURE 6.9 BRIDGE PILE RESPONSE: (A) LATERAL DEFLECTION AND (B) BENDING MOMENT	225
FIGURE 6.10 BRIDGE PILE RESPONSE UPON COMPLETE INSTALLATION OF THE FINAL CMC FOR THREE MODEL DEPTHS	226
FIGURE 6.11 NF EN 15804 INDICATORS – COMPARISON OF VARIOUS CMC SCHEMES (PRISM SOLUTION – COURTESY OF MENARD BACHY)	232
FIGURE 6.12 DISTRIBUTION OF RADIAL EFFECTIVE STRESS IN TERMS OF K AT THE END OF CONSTRUCTION WITH VARYING CMC RADIUS R_0 , VARYING UNDRAINED STRENGTH RATIO ($USR = s_u/\sigma'_v$) AND A CONSTANT SOIL RIGIDITY INDEX G/s_u OF 50 (RIVERA ET AL. 2014)	235
FIGURE 6.13 A TYPICAL FIBRE REINFORCED CEMENT-TREATED CLAY SAMPLE (PHOTO COURTESY OF DR LAM NGUYEN)	236
FIGURE 6.14 EQUIVALENT CARBON COMPARISON BETWEEN CMCs AND PILES (AFTER MASSE ET AL. (2011))	239

List of Tables

TABLE 2.1 CMC GROUND IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	10
TABLE 2.2 TYPICAL PARAMETERS FOR MODELLING CMC COLUMNS.....	33
TABLE 2.3 SCALE FACTORS FOR 1G LABORATORY PHYSICAL MODELLING (MODIFIED AFTER MUIR WOOD 2004)	50
TABLE 2.4 INTEGRATION AND DIFFERENTIATION METHODS IN ELASTIC BEAM.....	54
TABLE 3.1 SELECTED SOIL MODEL PARAMETERS ADOPTED IN THE 3D FINITE DIFFERENCE ANALYSIS	72
TABLE 3.2 CONCRETE PARAMETERS FOR NUMERICAL MODELLING OF CMC	77
TABLE 3.3 CMC-SOIL INTERFACE PARAMETERS ADOPTED IN THIS NUMERICAL MODELLING	79
TABLE 4.1 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR PRESSURE SENSORS & PORE WATER PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS.....	137
TABLE 4.2 PROPERTIES OF SOFT SOIL USED IN MODEL TEST	145
TABLE 4.3 STRENGTHS AND STIFFNESS OF GROUTED COLUMNS	146
TABLE 4.4 COLUMN PROPERTIES IN THE MODEL TEST AND SCALED DIMENSION FOR PROTOTYPE	148
TABLE 5.1 PHYSICAL AND INDEX PROPERTIES OF SOFT SOIL USED IN THE MODEL TEST	192

List of Symbols

The following symbols were used in this thesis:

Δu	excess pore water pressure
Δu_{sp}	excess pore water pressure due to spherical cavity expansion
Δz_{min}	smallest width of <i>FLAC^{3D}</i> zone
δ	column lateral deflection
κ	swell-recompression index
λ	virgin compression index
ν'	soil's effective Poisson's ratio
ν'_c	Poisson's ratio of concrete
ρ_c	concrete density
ρ_d	dry density of soil
ρ_{sat}	soil saturated unit weight
σ'_1	major principal stress in CMC
σ'_3	minor principal stress in CMC
σ_c	unconfined compressive strength of grout specimen or intact concrete
σ_{st}	indirect tensile strength of grout specimen
σ_t	tensile strength of concrete
$\sigma'_{v,o}$	in-situ vertical effective stress
$\sigma'_{h,o}$	in-situ horizontal effective stress
v	specific volume
v_{ref}	reference specific volume
ϕ'_{cs}	soil's effective friction angle
ϕ'	effective friction angle
ϕ'_i	interface friction angle
ψ_c	dilation angle of concrete
-----	-----
C_c	soil compression index
C_k	permeability change index
C_r	soil recompression index
c'	effective cohesion of soil
c'_i	interface effective adhesion
c_v	vertical coefficient of consolidation

D	CMC or column diameter
d_{pile}	pile diameter
E' or E_s	drained Young's modulus of soil
E_c	Young's modulus of concrete
e_o	initial (or current) void ratio
f_{ck}	characteristic cylinder strength of concrete at 28 days
f'_{ck}	characteristic cylindrical strength of grout at 28 days
$f_{\text{ck}}(t)$	characteristic cylinder strength of concrete or grout at age of t days
$f_{\text{ctd,pl}}$	mean tensile strength of plain concrete (e.g. CMC)
f_{ctm}	mean tensile strength of concrete
$f_{\text{ctm}}(t)$	mean tensile strength of concrete at t days.
G	shear modulus of soil
G_s	specific gravity of soil
I	area moment of inertia
K	bulk modulus of soil
K_0	coefficient of earth pressure at rest
K_f	fluid bulk modulus
k or k_h	hydraulic conductivity of soil
k_n	interface normal stiffness
k_o	initial permeability
k_s	interface shear stiffness
L	column length
M	slope of the critical state line
M or $M(z)$	column bending moment
m	Hoek Brown material constant
N	constant in K_0 equation
n	porosity
OCR	over consolidation ratio of soil
p'	mean effective stress of soil
p'_c	pre-consolidation pressure of soil
p'_o	initial mean effective stress in the soil
p'_{ref}	reference pre-consolidation pressure (i.e. 1 kPa)
q	deviatoric stress
R	radial distance from column axis

R_p	radial position of elastic-plastic boundary (cylindrical cavity)
R_{sp}	radial position of elastic-plastic boundary (spherical cavity)
r_{CMC}	CMC radius
r_c	column radius
r_f	radius of expanded cavity
r_i	initial radius of cavity
r_{pile}	radius of pile
S	Hoek-Brown material constant
s	column spacing
s_c	coefficient taking into account the cement type
s_u	undrained shear strength of soil
u_{max}	maximum excess pore water pressure
u_o	in-situ pore water pressure
V	shear force in CMC
V or v_{norm}	non-dimensional pile penetration velocity
v_p	vertical pile penetration velocity
w_p	plastic limit
R_p	radial position of elastic-plastic boundary (cylindrical cavity)
R_{sp}	radial position of elastic-plastic boundary (spherical cavity)