

Better Utilization of Fly Ash and other SCMs in Lean Concrete and Durable Concrete Pavements and Structural Concrete Applications

A thesis by

Farzad Moghaddam

Supervisors: Prof Vute Sirivivatnanon and Dr Kirk Vessalas

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
University of Technology Sydney
May 2018

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a

degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except fully

acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have

received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been

acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature

used are indicated in the thesis.

Farzad Moghaddam

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 08/05/2018

ii

Sincerely dedicated to my lovely wife and son

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not have been completed without the guidance, advice and support of a number of individuals whose contribution I would gratefully like to acknowledge. I would specially like to express my gratitude to my supervisors, *Professor Vute Sirivivatnanon* and *Dr Kirk Vessalas*. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to *Professor Vute Sirivivatnanon*, who has been my principal supervisor for this research, not only because of his invaluable guidance and expert advice throughout the research but also on account of his strong support, being a kind father during the last three and half years. The author would also very much like to record his appreciation to Dr Vessalas for his valuable advice and constant support from the commencement of this project to the end. I also greatly appreciate my retired co-supervisor, Dr. Rasiah Sri Ravindrarajah, for his mentorship and unfailing assistance and support throughout the course of this research. It should be mentioned that this research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

I would also like to convey my thanks to University of Technology Sydney (UTS) Civil Engineering Laboratories staff, specially the laboratories manager *Mr Rami Haddad*, who kindly helped me in project experimental stages.

Furthermore, I am obliged to many of my colleagues and friends who assisted me during my studies. Special thanks must go to my dear friends, Dr Amin Noushini, Mr Hammed Mahdavi, *Ms Marie Joshua Tapas and Mr Daniel John Pospischil* for their unfailing assistance and support in this research work.

My deepest gratitude is to my wife Ghazal for her unwavering understanding during all the years of this work, her selfless love and support throughout, and her encouragement and companionship during the preparation of this thesis. Her understanding of the demands required to complete this work has been inspirational. And finally to my son, Kian whose birth during the thesis preparation encouraged me to get it done as soon as I could.

Lastly, I would like to extend my love and gratitude to my dearest parents for their support and encouragement. I want to sincerely thank them from the bottom of my heart and acknowledge that without them none of this could have happened and I would not have been able to achieve most of the things I have in my life.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THIS RESEARCH

- Moghaddam, F., Sri Ravindrarajah, R. & Sirivivatnanon, V. 2015,
 'Properties of metakaolin concrete-a review', Paper presented to the International Conference on Sustainable Structural Concrete 2015, La Plata, Argentina.
- Moghaddam, F., Sri Ravindrarajah, R. 2016, 'Properties of fly ash concrete
 a review ', Concrete Institute of Australia Journal. 42(4): p. 50-53
- Moghaddam, F., Sirivivatnanon, V., Vessalas, K. 2017, 'Effect of run-of-station fly ash and other SCMs on various properties of concrete ',
 Concrete Institute of Australia's Biennial National Conference, Adelaide,
 Australia.
- Moghaddam, F., Vessalas, K., Sirivivatnanon, V. 2017, 'Use of run-of-station fly ash and other SCMs in concrete pavement construction',
 Australian Society for Concrete Pavements 4th Concrete Pavements
 Conference, Kingscliff, Australia.
- Moghaddam, F., Sirivivatnanon, V., Vessalas, K. 2018, 'The effect of fly
 ash fineness on heat of hydration, microstructure, flow and compressive
 strength of blended cement pastes', Construction and Building Materials –
 under review
- Moghaddam, F., Vessalas, K., Sirivivatnanon, V. 2018, 'Investigation on the influence of supplementary cementitious materials on heat of hydration of blended cement pastes', ready for submission

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSiv
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THIS RESEARCHv
TABLE OF CONTENTSvi
LIST OF FIGURESxii
LIST OF TABLESxxi
ABBREVIATIONSxxx
ABSTRACTxxxii
1 INTRODUCTION 2 -
1.1 Background to the project2 -
1.2 Research objective 4 -
1.3 Specific objectives 4 -
1.4 Organisation of dissertation5 -
2 LITERATURE REVIEW – INFLUENCE OF CHARACTERISTICS
AND LEVELS OF SCMS ON PROPERTIES OF MORTAR &
CONCRETE 9 -
2.1 Preface9 -
2.2 Physical characteristics and chemical composition of
SCMs9 -
2.3 Hydration and pozzolanic reaction 14 -
2.4 Influence of type, fineness, and level of SCMs on
consistency and heat of hydration of mortar & concrete 18 -

2.4	4.1	Consistency	- 18 -
2.4	4.2	Heat of hydration	- 20 -
2.5	E	affect of type, fineness, and level of SCMs on hardened	d
propert	ies o	f mortar & concrete	29 -
2.:	5.1	Porosity and pore size distribution	- 29 -
2.:	5.2	Strength properties	- 37 -
2.:	5.3	Drying shrinkage	- 44 -
2.6	Iı	nfluence of type, fineness, and level of SCMs on	
durabili	ity p	roperties of mortar & concrete	46 -
2.0	6.1	Chloride resistance	- 46 -
2.0	6.2	Sulfate resistance	- 53 -
2.0	6.3	Alkali-silica mitigation.	- 58 -
2.7	S	ummary	65 -
3 RESE	EARO	CH METHODOLOGY	70 -
3.1	P	reface	· 70 -
3.	1.1	Characterisation of cement, SCMs and aggregates	- 70 -
3.	1.2	Determination of the effect of fineness and levels of SCMs of	on
the hea	at of l	hydration	- 71 -
3.	1.3	Effect of fly ash fineness on important properties of the blen	ıded
cemen	t past	te	- 72 -
3.	1.4	Determination of optimum binary binder systems in mortars	}
		7	'2 -

3.1.3	Effective level of SCMs in mitigating alkali-silica	
reactivity		73 -
3.1.6	Effectiveness of run-of-station fly ash in lean concrete	74 -
3.1.7	Effect of run-of-station fly ash and other SCMs on prope	erties of
high-perf	ormance concrete	74 -
3.2	Raw materials	75 -
3.3	Experimental procedures	76 -
3.3.1	Testing methods for characterisation of raw materials	76 -
3.3.2	Paste mix design and mixing procedure	78 -
3.3.3	Casting and curing paste specimens	79 -
3.3.4	Paste testing details	79 -
3.3.5	Mortar mixing procedure	83 -
3.3.6	Casting and curing and testing of mortar specimens	84 -
3.3.7	Concrete mix design process	85 -
3.3.8	Concrete mixing procedure	96 -
3.3.9	Casting, curing and testing of concrete specimens	96 -
3.3.1	O Durability properties of concrete specimens	97 -
CHARA	CTERISATION OF RAW MATERIALS	99 -
4.1	Preface	99 -
4.1.1	Physical properties	99 -
4.1.2	Chemical composition	103 -
4.1.3	Identification of crystalline phase	106 -
4.1.4	Surface Topography	109 -

	4.2	Aggregate	113 -
	4.2.1	Grading	113 -
	4.2.2	2 Water absorption and specific gravity	116 -
5	EFFEC	T OF FINENESS AND LEVEL OF DIFFERENT	FLY
AS	HES ANI	O SCMs ON IMPORTANT PROPERTIES OF THE	E
CE	EMENT P.	ASTE	118 -
	5.1	Preface	118 -
	5.2	Heat of hydration	118 -
	5.2.1	Stage of Hydration: Overview	118 -
	5.2.2	2 Effect of different fly ashes	120 -
	5.2.3	8 Effect of slag	- 130 -
	5.2.4	Effect of metakaolin	133 -
	5.3	Calcium hydroxide consumption	136 -
	5.4	Microstructure of hardened blended cement pas	tes 139 -
	5.5	Flow and strength properties	145 -
	5.6	Summary	149 -
6	EFFEC	T OF FINENESS AND LEVEL OF FLY ASH AN	'D
01	THER SC	M ADDITIONS ON FRESH AND HARDENED	
PR	OPERTII	ES OF CEMENT MORTAR	152 -
	6.1	Preface	152 -
	6.2	Effect of fineness and level of fly ash on importa	nt
	skopoktice	of mortar	_ 152 _

6.2.1	Flow and wet density	152 -
6.2.2	Compressive strength	154 -
6.2.3	Strength activity index	160 -
6.2.4	Drying shrinkage	161 -
6.3	Effects of different types and levels of SCMs on fre	sh and
hardened p	roperties of mortar	164 -
6.3.1	Flow and wet density	164 -
6.3.2	Compressive strength	166 -
6.3.3	Strength activity index	171 -
6.3.4	Drying shrinkage	172 -
6.4	Summary	173 -
7 EFFECT	OF RUN-OF-STATION FLY ASH AND OTHER S	SCMS
	OF RUN-OF-STATION FLY ASH AND OTHER S S PROPERTIES OF LEAN AND HIGH-PERFORM	
ON VARIOUS		<i>IANCE</i>
ON VARIOUS CONCRETE.	S PROPERTIES OF LEAN AND HIGH-PERFORM	MANCE 177 -
ON VARIOUS CONCRETE 7.1	S PROPERTIES OF LEAN AND HIGH-PERFORM	MANCE 177 -
ON VARIOUS CONCRETE 7.1 1 7.2 1	Preface	<i>MANCE</i> 177 - 177 -
ON VARIOUS CONCRETE 7.1 1 7.2 1	PrefaceEffect of RFA and CFA on plastic and hardened	MANCE 177 177 -
ON VARIOUS CONCRETE 7.1 1 7.2 1 properties i	Preface Effect of RFA and CFA on plastic and hardened n lean concrete mixes	MANCE 177 177 180 -
ON VARIOUS CONCRETE 7.1 I 7.2 I properties i 7.2.1	Preface Effect of RFA and CFA on plastic and hardened n lean concrete mixes Plastic concrete properties.	MANCE 177 177 177 180 181 -

7.3	Effect of RFA and other SCMs on plastic and h	ardened
properties	s of pavement concrete mixes	187 -
7.3.1	Plastic concrete properties	190 -
7.3.2	2 Hardened concrete properties	191 -
7.3.3	Possible use of RFA or SL replacing CFA in the par	vement
concrete	mix	197 -
7.4	Effect of RFA and other SCMs on plastic, hard	ened and
durability	properties of bridge concrete	199 -
7.4.1	Plastic concrete properties	202 -
7.4.2	2 Hardened concrete properties	203 -
7.4.3	B Durability properties	208 -
7.4.4	Possible use of RFA or SL or MK replacing CFA in	bridge
concrete	mix	221 -
7.5	Summary	225 -
8 CONCL	USIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	228 -
8.1	Conclusions	228 -
8.2	Recommendations	
8.3	Future work	234 -
Appendix A.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	235 -
Appendix B.		287 -
References		- 292 -

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Degree of hydration of C ₃ S in cement clinker, slag, and fly ash
in blended cement [16]16 -
Figure 2-2: Effect of MK content on the consistency of concretes [31] - 19 -
Figure 2-3: Common cement heat evolution curve [34] 21 -
Figure 2-4: Maximum heat evolution rate versus PC replacement level for
binary PC–FA blends [36] 22 -
Figure 2-5: Cumulative heat of hydration of PC-FA blends at 120 h relative
to PC [36]23 -
Figure 2-6: Heat evolution curves for cement pastes with 30% fly ash
replacement [37] - 24 -
Figure 2-7: Heat evolved within 24 and 72 hours of hydration [37] 24 -
Figure 2-8: Heat rate profiles for the concrete containing cement and
GGBFS [38] 25 -
Figure 2-9: Heat production rate mW g-1 in function of age under
isothermal conditions (10 °C, 20 °C and 35 °C) for pastes with slag-to
binder ratios of 0, 30, 50 or 85% [40]27 -
Figure 2-10: Maximum heat evolution rate versus PC replacement level for
binary PC–MK blends [36]28 -
Figure 2-11: Cumulative heat of hydration of PC-FA blends at 120 h
relative to PC [36] - 29 -

Figure 2-12: Average pore diameters of pastes at 28, 60 nd 90 days [45]
30-
Figure 2-13: Gel pores of pastes at 7, 28, 60 and 90 days [45] 30 -
Figure 2-14: XRD patterns of PC, 40OFA and 40CFA pastes at 90 days
[46]31 -
Figure 2-15: Pore size distribution versus curing time for a) radii<20μm and
b) radii>of μm pastes [52] 35 -
Figure 2-16: Average pore diameter of blended cement pastes [25] 36 -
Figure 2-17: Total porosity of high-performance concrete [54] 37 -
Figure 2-18: Flexural strength development versus age [56] 38 -
Figure 2-19: Compressive strength development curves versus age [58]
- 39
-
Figure 2-20: Effect of GGBFS on compressive strength development [59]
40 -
Figure 2-21: Effect of GGBS on flexural strength at 90 days [59] 41 -
Figure 2-22: Effectiveness of MK on 28-day properties of concrete [62]
43 -
Figure 2-23: Effectiveness of MK in concrete - function of age and w/b
ratio [54] 43 -
Figure 2-24: Test results of drying shrinkage of concrete [65] 45 -
Figure 2-25: Effect of metakaolin on drying shrinkage [66] 46 -

Figure 2-26: Effect of increasing GGBFS levels on chloride concentration
of concrete [80] - 51 -
Figure 2-27: Chloride permeability of MK concretes [24] 51 -
Figure 2-28: Chloride permeability of control and MK concretes [54] 52 -
Figure 2-29: An accelerated test set-up for rapid sulfate permeability
determination [90] 55 -
Figure 2-30: Sulfate expansion of MK concretes [95] 57 -
Figure 2-31: Compressive strength reduction of MK concretes after 18
months in sodium sulfate solution[95] 58 -
Figure 2-32: Effect of ash composition and replacement level on expansion
due to ASR [102] 60 -
Figure 2-33: ASR expansion (a) effect of GGBFS (b) effect of fineness
[107]62 -
Figure 2-34: Alkali-silica expansion in MK concrete prisms [108] 63 -
Figure 2-35: Hydroxyl ion concentration of pore solutions expressed from
pastes containing MK [108]64 -
Figure 3-1: Abbreviations used to denote paste mixes 79 -
Figure 3-2: Abbreviations used to denote mortar mixes 81 -
Figure 3-3: Abbreviations used to denote concrete mixes 85 -
Figure 3-4: Normal distribution of concrete strengths [129] 88 -
Figure 3-5: Relationship between standard deviation and characteristic
strength [129] - 88 -

Figure 3-6: Relationship between compressive strength and free W/C ratio
[129]90 -
Figure 3-7: Recommended proportions of fine aggregate according to
percentage passing 600 µm sieve [129]94 -
Figure 3-8: Recommended combined aggregates according to Road Note
No.495 -
Figure 4-1: Particle size distribution of cement and fly ashes using the laser
diffraction technique - 102 -
Figure 4-2: Particle size distribution of cement, slag and metakaolin using
the laser diffraction technique 102 -
Figure 4-3: Comparison of main oxide composition of cementitious
materials using XRF analysis 104 -
Figure 4-4: CaO-Al ₂ O ₃ -SiO ₂ ternary diagram of cementitious materials
[139] 105 -
Figure 4-5: X-ray diffraction patterns of CFA, RFA, GRFA (Q = quartz, M
= mullite) 107 -
Figure 4-6: X-ray diffraction of Slag (G = gypsum, B= Bassinite) 108 -
Figure 4-7: X-ray diffraction of Metakaolin (Q = quartz) 109 -
Figure 4-8: SEM micrograph of classified fly ash (CFA) (x 300) 110 -
Figure 4-9: SEM micrograph of run-of-station fly ash (RFA) (x 300) - 110 -
Figure 4-10: SEM micrograph of ground run-of-station fly ash (GRFA) (x
300)

Figure 4-11: SEM micrograph of slag (x 300) 111 -
Figure 4-12: SEM micrograph of metakaolin (x 300) 112 -
Figure 4-13: Particle size grading of Sydney fine sand (sieving method)
114 -
Figure 4-14: Particle size grading of Nepean coarse sand (sieving method)
114 -
Figure 4-15: Particle size grading of 10 mm aggregate (sieving method)
115 -
Figure 4-16: Particle size grading of 20 mm aggregate (sieving method)
116 -
Figure 5-1: Common cement heat evolution curve [34] 120 -
Figure 5-2: Effects of levels of different fly ash on heat evolution at
w/b=0.40 (a=GRFA, b=CFA and c=RFA)123 -
Figure 5-3: Effects of levels of different fly ash on heat evolution at
w/b=0.55 (a=GRFA, b=CFA and c=RFA)124 -
Figure 5-4: Effect of fineness of fly ash on heat evolution at w/b=0.40
(a=20%, b=30% and c=40% fly ash content) 127 -
Figure 5-5: Effect of fineness of fly ash on heat evolution at w/b=0.55
(a=20%, b=30% and c=40% fly ash content) 128 -
Figure 5-6: Effect of fineness and level of fly ash on cumulative heat
evolution - 130 -
Figure 5-7: Heat evolution of blended cement pastes containing slag 132 -

Figure 5-8: Cumulative heat evolution of blended cement pastes containing
slag 133 -
Figure 5-9: Heat evolution of blended cement pastes containing metakaolin
(a= w/b:0.40 and b= w/b:0.55) 135 -
Figure 5-10: Cumulative heat evolution of the blended cement pastes
containing metakaolin - 136 -
Figure 5-11: XRD patterns of cement and 20% blended pastes at 7 days
(P=portlandite) - 137 -
Figure 5-12: XRD patterns of cement and blended pastes at 28 days
(P=portlandite) - 138 -
Figure 5-13: SEM micrographs of blended cement pastes with 20% fly ash
at 1 day (x 3.00 K) 140 -
Figure 5-14: SEM micrographs of blended cement pastes with 20% fly ash
at 7 days (x 3.00 K) 143 -
Figure 5-15: SEM micrographs of blended cement pastes with 20% fly ash
at 28 days (x 3.00 K) 144 -
Figure 5-16: Flow of the pastes containing fly ashes with different fineness
and cement replacement levels 146 -
Figure 5-17: Compressive strength development of the blended cement
pastes containing 20% fly ash content of different fineness 147 -
Figure 5-18: Compressive strength development of the blended cement
pastes containing 40% fly ash content of different fineness 147 -

Figure 5-19: Relative strength of the blended cement pastes containing
varying fly ash content of different fineness 148 -
Figure 6-1: Flow of mortar mixes with fly ash replacement 153 -
Figure 6-2: Wet density of mortar mixes with fly ash replacement 154 -
Figure 6-3: Relative strength of high strength mortar with different cement
replacement levels of fly ash at w/b=0.40 and s/b=2.5 159 -
Figure 6-4: Relative strength of low strength mortar with different cement
replacement levels with CFA and RFA at w/b=0.55 and s/b=5.0 160 -
Figure 6-5: Strength activity index of mortar mixes with fly ash
replacement - 161 -
Figure 6-6: Flow of mortar mixes with different cement replacement levels
of SCMs 165 -
Figure 6-7: Wet density of mortar mixes with different cement replacement
levels of SCMs - 165 -
Figure 6-8: Relative strength of mortar mixes with different cement
replacement levels of SCMs (a=CFA, b=SL and c=MK) 170 -
Figure 6-9: Strength activity index of mortar mixes with different cement
replacement levels of SCMs 171 -
Figure 7-1: Combined aggregate grading for C12-0, C12-CFA60 and C12-
RFA60 mixes according to Road Note No.4 180 -
Figure 7-2: Compressive strength development in lean concrete mixes

Figure 7-3: Relative strength in lean concrete mixes 183 -
Figure 7-4: Drying shrinkage in lean concrete mixes 185 -
Figure 7-5: Combined aggregate grading for C40-C0, C40-CFA20, C40-
RFA20 and C40-SL50 mixes according to Road Note No.4 190 -
Figure 7-6: Compressive strength development in pavement concrete mixes
containing SCMs 193 -
Figure 7-7: Relative strength in pavement concrete mixes 194 -
Figure 7-8: Flexural strength development in pavement concrete mixes
195 -
Figure 7-9: Drying shrinkage in pavement concrete mixes up to 56 days
197 -
Figure 7-10: Combined aggregate grading for C50-C0, C50-CFA25, C50-
RFA25, C50-SL50 and C50-MK15 mixes according to Road Note No.4
202 -
Figure 7-11: Relative strength in bridge concrete mixes 205 -
Figure 7-12: Compressive strength development in bridge concrete mixes
206 -
Figure 7-13: Drying shrinkage in bridge concrete mixes 207 -
Figure 7-14: Water absorption and AVPV percentage at 28 days according
to AS 1012.21 210 -
Figure 7-15: Charge passed measured at 28 days in various concrete mixes
using RCPT test 211 -

Figure 7-16: Rapid sulfate permeability of various concrete mixes at 28
days 215 -
Figure 7-17: Effect of CFA levels on average ASR expansion of mortar
bars 218 -
Figure 7-18: Effect of RFA levels on average ASR expansion of mortan
bars 218 -
Figure 7-19: Effect of slag levels on average ASR expansion of mortar bars
- 219 -
Figure 7-20: Effect of metakaolin levels on average ASR expansion of
mortar bars - 220 -

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Chemical composition of fly ash by coal type [13] 10 -							
Table 2-2: Physical properties of fly ash [15] 11 -							
Table 2-3: Typical chemical composition of slag [17] 12 -							
Table 2-4: Physical properties of slag [17]13 -							
Table2-5: Physical properties of metakaolin [4] 14 -							
Table 2-6: Typical chemical composition of metakaolin [4] 14							
Table 2-7: Summary of the test results for pore structure of mortar [49]- 33							
-							
Table 2-8: The influence of SCMs on the percentage of the volume of pores							
in the size range of mesopores and macropores [49] 33 -							
Table 2-9: Effect of metakaolin content on the compressive and flexural							
strength of concrete [62] - 42 -							
Table 2-10: Mix proportioning (kg/ m3) [65]45 -							
Table 2-11: MIP measured the total porosity of concrete with w/b=0.3 [54]							
52 -							
Table 2-12: Variation of compressive strength due to the sulfate attack							
Table 2-12: Variation of compressive strength due to the sulfate attack							
Table 2-12: Variation of compressive strength due to the sulfate attack [94]56 -							
Table 2-12: Variation of compressive strength due to the sulfate attack [94]56 - Table 3-1: Plan for determining the effectiveness of fineness and levels of							
Table 2-12: Variation of compressive strength due to the sulfate attack [94]56 - Table 3-1: Plan for determining the effectiveness of fineness and levels of SCMs on the heat of hydration71 -							

Table 3-4: Mix design composition for high strength mortar 82 -
Table 3-5: Mix design composition for low strength mortar 82 -
Table 3-6: Mixing procedures used for mortar mixes 83 -
Table 3-7: K constant value based on defective percentage [129] 86 -
Table 3-8: Approximate compressive strength of concrete mixes made with
free W/C ratio of 0.50 [129]90 -
Table 3-9: Approximate free water contents required to give various levels
of workability [129]92 -
Table 4-1: Specific surface area of cement and SCMs using Blaine's air
permeability method 100
Table 4-2: Fineness of cement and SCMs passing 45-μm sieve 101 -
Table 4-3: Specific gravity of cement and SCMs 101 -
Table 4-4: Oxide composition of cementitious materials using XRF analysis
104 -
Table 4-5: Alkali and available alkali content of SCMs 105 -
Table 4-6: Comparison of fly ashes with the specified requirement of
Australian Standard AS3582.1 [6] 106 -
Table 4-7: Sieve analysis for fine and coarse sands 113 -
Table 4-8: Sieve analysis for 10 and 20 mm coarse aggregates 115 -
Table 4-9: Fine and coarse aggregate properties 116 -
Table 6-1: Compressive strength of mortar mixes (MPa) with w/b=0.4 and
S/b=2.5

Table 6-2: Compressive strength of mortar mixes (MPa) with w/b=0.55 and
S/b=5.0 - 157 -
Table 6-3: Drying shrinkage of high strength mortar mixes at different ages
with different cement replacement levels of fly ash (w/b=0.40, s/b=2.5)
163 -
Table 6-4: Drying shrinkage of low strength mortar mixes at different ages
with different cement replacement levels of fly ash (w/b=0.55, s/b=5.0)
163 -
Table 6-5: Compressive strength of mortar mixes with different cement
replacement levels of SCMs at different ages (MPa) 170 -
Table 6-6: Drying shrinkage of mortar mixes at different ages with different
cement replacement levels of SCMs 173 -
Table 7-1: Mix design composition for lean concrete mixes 178 -
Table 7-2: Plastic and hardened concrete property requirements for lean
mix according to RMS R82 specification [110] 179 -
Table 7-3: Plastic property results for lean mixes 181 -
Table 7-4: Mix design composition for pavement concrete mixes 188 -
Table 7-5: plastic and hardened concrete property requirements for
pavement concrete mix according to RMS R83 specification [111] 189 -
Table 7-6: plastic property results for pavement concrete mixes 191 -
Table 7-7: Compressive strength results of pavement concrete mixes up to
56 days 194 -

Table 7-8: Mix design composition for bridge concrete mixes 200 -
Table 7-9: Plastic and hardened concrete property requirements for bridge
concrete mix according to RMS B80 specification [112] 201 -
Table 7-10: Plastic property results for bridge concrete mixes 203 -
Table 7-11: Charge passed for individual specimens measured at 28 days in
various concrete mixes using RCPT test 211 -
Table 7-12: Chloride ion penetration based on charge passes according to
ASTM C1202 - 212 -
Table 7-13: Charge passed for individual specimens measured at 28 days
using rapid sulfate permeability test 215 -
Table 7-14: Alkali, available alkali and Ca/Si ratio of SCMs 221 -
Table A-1: Step 1 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-C0) 235 -
Table A-2: Step 2 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-C0) 236 -
Table A-3: Step 3 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-C0) 236 -
Table A-4: Step 4 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-C0) 237 -
Table A-5: Step 5 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-C0) 238 -
Table A-6: Final lean concrete mix (C12-C0) 239 -
Table A-7: Step 1 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-CFA60) 240 -
Table A-8: Step 2 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-CFA60) 241 -
Table A-9: Step 3 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-CFA60) 241 -
Table A-10: Step 4 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-CFA60) - 242 -
Table A-11: Step 5 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-CFA60) - 243 -

Table A-12: Final lean concrete mix (C12-CFA60) 244 -
Table A-13: Step 1 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-RFA60) - 245 -
Table A-14: Step 2 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-RFA60) - 246 -
Table A-15: Step 3 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-RFA60) - 246 -
Table A-16: Step 4 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-RFA60) - 247 -
Table A-17: Step 5 in designing the lean concrete mix (C12-RFA60) - 248 -
Table A-18: Final lean concrete mix (C12-RFA60) 249 -
Table A-19: Step 1 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-C0)- 250
-
Table A-20: Step 2 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-C0)- 251
-
Table A-21: Step 3 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-C0)- 251
-
Table A-22: Step 4 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-C0)- 252
-
Table A-23: Step 5 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-C0)- 253
-
Table A-24: Final pavement concrete mix design (C40-C0) 254 -
Table A-25: Step 1 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-CFA20
and C40-RFA20) 255 -
Table A-26: Step 2 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-CFA20
and C40-RFA20)256 -

Table A-27: Step 3 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-CFA20
and C40-RFA20) 256 -
Table A-28: Step 4 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-CFA20
and C40-RFA20) 257 -
Table A-29: Step 5 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-CFA20
and C40-RFA20) 258 -
Table A-30: Final pavement concrete mix design (C40-CFA20) 259 -
Table A-31: Final pavement concrete mix design (C40-RFA20) 260 -
Table A-32: Step 1 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-SL50)
261 -
Table A-33: Step 2 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-SL50)
262 -
Table A-34: Step 3 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-SL50)
262 -
Table A-35: Step 4 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-SL50)
263 -
Table A-36: Step 5 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C40-SL50)
- 264 -
Table A-37: Final pavement concrete mix design (C40-SL50) 265 -
Table A-38: Step 1 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-C0) 266 -
Table A-39: Step 2 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-C0) 267 -
Table A-40: Step 3 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-C0) 267 -

Table A-41: Step 4 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-C0) 268 -
Table A-42: Step 5 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-C0) 269 -
Table A-43: Final bridge concrete mix design (C50-C0) 270 -
Table A-44: Step 1 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-CFA25 &
C50-RFA25) 271 -
Table A-45: Step 2 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-CFA25 &
C50-RFA25) - 272 -
Table A-46: Step 3 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-CFA25 &
C50-RFA25) - 272 -
Table A-47: Step 4 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-CFA25 &
C50-RFA25) - 273 -
Table A-48: Step 5 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-CFA25 &
C50-RFA25) - 274 -
Table A-49: Final bridge concrete mix design (C50-CFA25) 275 -
Table A-50: Final bridge concrete mix design (C50-RFA25) 276 -
Table A-51: Step 1 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C50-MK15)
277 -
Table A-52: Step 2 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C50-MK15)
278 -
Table A-53: Step 3 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C50-MK15)
- 278 -

Table A-54: Step 4 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C50-MK15)
279 -
Table A-55: Step 5 in designing the pavement concrete mix (C50-MK15)
-280 -
Table A-56: Final bridge concrete mix design (C50-MK15) 281 -
Table A-57: Step 1 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-SL50) - 282 -
Table A-58: Step 2 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-SL50) - 283 -
Table A-59: Step 3 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-SL50) - 283 -
Table A-60: Step 4 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-SL50) - 284 -
Table A-61: Step 5 in designing the bridge concrete mix (C50-SL50) - 285 -
Table A-62: Final bridge concrete mix design (C50-SL50) 286 -
Table B-1: Compressive strength results of lean concrete mixes (MPa)
- 287 -
Table B-2: Compressive strength results of pavement concrete mixes (MPa)
287 -
Table B-3: Compressive strength results of bridge concrete mixes (MPa)
288 -
Table B-4: Flexural strength results of pavement concrete mixes (MPa)
288 -
Table B-5: Drying shrinkage results of lean concrete mixes 288 -
Table B-6: Drying shrinkage results of pavement concrete mixes 289 -

CD 1.1	D 7	D .	1 1 1	1.	C1 · 1		200
Lable	R-/·	1)rvino	chrinkage	reculte	ot bridge	concrete mixes	_ 789
I auto	\mathbf{D}^{-} / .	Diying	Similikage	Tesuits	or orrage	COHOLCIC HILACS	<u>~</u> 0)

Table B-8: Expansion of mortar bars due to the alkali-silica reactivity- 290 -

ABBREVIATIONS

AMBT Accelerated Mortar Bar Test

AEA Air Entraining Agent
ASR Alkali-Silica Reactivity

ACI American Concrete Institute

AVPV Apparent Volume of Permeable Void

AS Australian Standard
CH Calcium Hydroxide

C-S-H Calcium Silicate Hydrate

CCAA Cement Concrete & Aggregate Australia organisation

CFA Classified Fly Ash

ESP Electrostatic Precipitators

FF Fabric Filter FA Fly Ash

GGBFS Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag
GRFA Grounded Run-of-Station Fly Ash
HPC High-Performance Concrete
HWR High-range Water Reducer

LOI Loss-Of-Ignition

MIP Mercury Intrusion Porosimetry

MK Metakaolin

MAU Microstructural Analysis Unit

OFA Original Fly Ash

PSA Particle Size Analysis

PC Portland Cement

RCPT Rapid Chloride Permeability Test

RH Relative Humidity

RMS Road and Maritime Services
RFA Run-of-the-Station Fly Ash

SSD Saturated-Surface-Dry

SEM Scanning Electron Microscopy

SL Slag

SAI Strength Activity Index Test

SCMs Supplementary Cementitious Materials

C₃A Tricalcium Aluminate

UNSW University of New South Wales
UTS University of Technology Sydney

WR Water Reducer

XRD X-ray Diffraction

XRF X-ray Fluorescence

ABSTRACT

The utilization of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) for the partial replacement of cement in concrete introduces several environmental and economical benefits. Some of the major benefits include the conservation of natural resources, the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and a reduction in cement use. The use of SCMs in concrete also have technical merit and are multifaceted in their approach by enhancing the workability, strength and durability of concrete. In recent years, Australian road authorities have been increasingly concerned with the shortage of classified fly ash (CFA) predicted to occur in the near future with renewable energies finding their way into the market. Finding alternative SCMs is a critical issue due to a shortage of national resources available. Run-of-station fly ash (RFA) can be a possible alternative to CFA. However, the lack of enough information available in the literature hinders the use of RFA, and additional work needs to be carried out to investigate its efficiency in different concrete mixes.

In this investigation, an experimental study has been carried out to evaluate the effect of fineness of three fly ashes (ground run-of-station fly ash (GRFA), RFA and CFA) on the heat of hydration behaviour, flow and compressive strength properties of blended cement pastes. The study has been extended to also assess the influence of fineness, types and levels of SCMs (fly ash, slag or metakaolin) on the fresh and hardened properties of mortars. Finally, the effect of RFA and other SCMs on the fresh, hardened and durability properties of concretes for different applications such as lean, pavement and bridge work have also been studied.

Among all the SCMs investigated, metakaolin (MK) was found to have the highest fineness followed by slag (SL), GRFA, CFA and RFA. In addition, increasing the fineness of fly ash showed a reduction in the crystallinity of silica particles, which resulted in more reactivity suggesting possibly more amorphous silica particles present for pozzolanic reactivity. The presence of smaller quantities of crystalline silica particles in SL and MK also suggests a higher degree of pozzolanic reactivity may prevail. Results of the study on the blended pastes showed that the cumulative heat of hydration decreased by the incorporation of fly ash with different fineness (302, 368 and 495 m²/kg), SL, or MK. This reduction was noted to increase with increasing SCM content. The finer grade fly ash (GRFA) generated higher heat of hydration compared to coarser grade fly ash (RFA). Furthermore, the consumption of portlandite increased with increasing fineness of fly ash at 28 days reflecting higher pozzolanic reactivity resulting in higher compressive strength than the blended pastes containing coarser grade fly ash.

Partially replacing cement with 20%, 30% and 40% fly ash of different fineness (CFA, RFA and GRFA), and 35%, 50% and 65% SL in mortars (using a fixed w/b ratio) improved the flow compared to control mortar (devoid of SCM addition). The inclusion of 5%, 10% and 15% MK in the same mortar decreased the flow compared to control mortar. Increasing the fineness of fly ash from 302 to 495 m²/kg improved the 28-day compressive strength of the mortar even to a similar level to the control mortar. However, the 28-day compressive strength of mortars containing SL and MK were even higher than control mortar at all replacement levels evaluated. The 56-day drying shrinkage of mortars was also

found to decrease considerably when partially replacing cement with SCMs. Compared to the control mortar, a reduction in drying shrinkage was noted with an increase in SCM replacement level.

Results from the study on lean concretes with 60% fly ash content revealed RFA is almost as effective as CFA in satisfying the strength and drying shrinkage requirements according to the RMS R82 specification despite having lower compressive strength development at all ages (up to 56 days) compared to the same concrete containing CFA. Replacing cement with 20% and 25% RFA in pavement and bridge concretes decreased 28-day compressive strength by about 11% and 11% respectively, compared to the same concretes containing CFA. In addition, there was a slight increase in drying shrinkage at all ages (up to 56 days) by replacing cement with RFA instead of CFA in all concretes investigated. It can be concluded that the concretes with RFA can achieve similar hardened properties to CFA concrete in pavement concrete and bridge applications by only adjusting the mix design such as lowering w/b ratio. This statement is based on the results of fineness level, crystaline phase indetification and oxide composition of CFA and RFA particles and also strength development from the pastes and mortars. Moreover, partially replacing cement with SL or MK could be another alternative to CFA in pavement concrete and bridge applications due to the high fineness confirmed by mortar and concrete results. However, only the relative performance of concrete used for bridge applications was assessed for durability properties.

According to the qualitative tests carried out on the bridge concretes containing SCMs, it was noted that the level of penetrability of chloride and sulfate ions into the concrete was reduced by replacing cement with 25% CFA or

RFA compared to the control concrete at 28 days. This reduction in penetrability level was significant for the concretes containing 50% SL or 15% MK. In addition, the results from the accelerated mortar bar test revealed that partially replacing cement with SCMs decreased the expansion of mortar bars due to alkalisilica reaction (ASR); the reduction in expansion increased with an increase in SCM content. For the reactive aggregate investigated in this study, incorporation of 25% CFA or 25% RFA or 50% SL or 15% MK is needed to control the expansion of mortar bars due to ASR.

This experimental investigation has demonstrated the value and benefits of using RFA as an alternative SCM in concrete. RFA has proven abilities to achieve the same level of performance as CFA when used in various concrete applications such as lean, pavement and bridge concrete mix designs. SL and MK could also be used as other alternatives to CFA in concrete.