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Abstract—This paper studies Strict Frequency Reuse algorithm which can be utilised to mitigate the InterCell Interference as well as improve the spectrum efficiency in cellular networks by dividing the users into two groups called Cell-Center Users (CCUs) and Cell-Edge Users (CEUs). In this paper, we defined a two-phase operation of FR for both CCU and CEU in which each user is classified as either CCU or CEU during the first phase and data transfer between the user and it’s serving Base Station during the second phase. The performance of the user, i.e. CCU and CEU, as well as the network system in Strict Frequency Reuse network with multi-users and multi-Resource Blocks (RBs) are derived. The analytical results which are verified by Monte Carlo simulation indicate that when SNR or SINR threshold increases, the system performance reaches the peak before steadily decreasing. Thus, the optimal value of SNR and SINR threshold are selected to maximise the performance of the network system.

Index Terms: Poisson Cellular Network, coverage probability, throughput, strict frequency reuse, Rayleigh fading.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of Internet-connected mobile subscribers as well as the demand for broadband services have driven the urgent requirement for the network operators to work on a new network architecture. Long Term Evolution (LTE) cellular system has been developed by 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) as a next cellular network generation. In a LTE network, the dense frequency reuse will be used to allow two adjacent cells to use the same frequency band. However, the reuse frequency with a high density leads to the increase of InterCell Interference (ICI) which limits the performance of mobile users, especially for Cell-Edge users (CEUs) who experience low Signal-to-Interference-plus-Noise ratios (SINRs). InterCell Interference Coordination (ICIC) technique has been introduced to control the reuse of frequencies as well as related network parameters such as transmit power in order to mitigate ICI.

Strict Frequency Reuse (Strict FR) algorithm is the basic ICIC technique that divides the allocated Resource Blocks (RBs) into $\Delta + 1$ groups including one Cell-Center (CC) RB group or common RB group and $\Delta$ Cell-Edge (CE) RB groups or private RB groups. $\Delta$ is called FR Factor. Each cell is allocated a CC RB group and a CE RB group. The CC RBs are assigned to CC Users (CCUs), whose SINRs are greater than a pre-determined SINR threshold. The CE RBs are assigned to CE Users (CEUs) whose initial SINRs are smaller than the SINR threshold. Generally, the operation of Strict FR algorithm can be divided into two phases. During the first phase, based on the reported SINR, the BSs classify the users into CCUs and CEUs. During the second phase, the communication between the users and the BSs is established and data is transferred.
reuse algorithm were presented in 2011 [6]. In these papers, the performance of the network was presented as average coverage probability and data rate. Although, reference [6] presented the basis of the analysis of frequency reuse, the impact of the number of users on the system performance was not addressed. In [7], the performance of the Strict FR algorithm was evaluated and optimised for the case of multi users with Round Robin scheduling. However, this work assumed that the BSs utilise the same power to serve all users that implies that there is no difference between the CCU and CEU.

In [8], the performance of a typical user which can be served as a CCU or a CEU was presented, and the optimal design parameter of SINR threshold for frequency reuse algorithms was analysed. Although, the power channel gain of the CEU changed every phase, it was assumed that the CCU experiences the same channel power gain during two phases. Furthermore, the numbers of users as well as RBs were not discussed.

Compared with the previous work in [6], [9], our works proposed new following approaches:

- We defition a two-phase operation for both CCU and CEU. In addition, the density of interfering BSs cause interference to CEU is only $\frac{1}{\Delta}$ in which $\Delta$ is the density of BSs in the network and $\Delta$ is the FR factor.
- The number of CCUs and CEUs are analysed base on the initial state of the network.
- The number of RBs are considered to evaluate the network performance.

Furthermore, the impact of the parameters of Strict FR algorithm on the network performance are addressed and thus the optimal SNR and SINR threshold are analysed. At the initial state, it is assumed that there is one user in each area of each cell. The number of new users entering to network is assumed to be a Poisson random variable. During the first phase, each new user is assumed to associate with the nearest BS where it is classified as a CCU or CEU based on the received SINR and a SINR threshold. The number of CCUs and CEUs for different values of SINR threshold and Signal-to-Noise (SNR) are presented. During the second phase, both CEU and CCU experience new SINRs. The performance formulations of users such as the average coverage probability and capacity are presented as the conditional probabilities of the second phase under condition of the first phase.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

A. Network topology

We consider a PPP cellular network is characterised by the density of BSs $\lambda$, the standard transmit power $P$. The downlink signals are assumed to experience Rayleigh channel power gain $g$ and path loss with exponent $\alpha$.

Under this network model, the open access protocol in which a user is allowed to associate with any BS is studied. However, the user prefers a connection with the BS which has the greatest average signal power. The average signal power of the user at a distance $r$ from a BS is defined as:

$$P = Pr^{-\alpha}E[g]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

in which $E(g)$ is the expected value of channel power gain $g$. In this paper, it is assumed that the channel power gain are i.i.d random variable and $E[g] = 1$.

For single-tier networks, all BSs transmit on CC RBs at the same power and the path loss exponent is constant. Hence, the association problem can be formulated as:

$$Pr^{-\alpha} = \max\{P_j r_j^{-\alpha} \} \hspace{1cm} \forall 0 < j \leq K$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

in which $K$ is the total number of BSs in the network.

Thus, the Probability Density Function (PDF) of the distance $r$ between the user and its serving BS is given by [2]:

$$f_{Pr}(r) = 2\pi \lambda e^{-\lambda \pi r^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

It is assumed that at initial state, there are $M^{(o)}$ users at a typical cell. The number of new users who request connections to the network is assumed to be a random Poisson variable with mean $\lambda^{(a)}$. We denote $S$ as the area of the PPP network. The total number of new users are $\lambda^{(a)}S$ while the number of cells is $\lambda S$. Hence, the average number of new users per cell is given by:

$$M^{(o)} = \frac{\lambda^{(a)}}{\lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Consequently, the number of users at the typical cell can be obtained by

$$M = M^{(o)} + M^{(o)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

B. Frequency Reuse Algorithm

In this paper, all cells are assumed to use Strict FR with the same reuse factor $\Delta$ as shown in Figure 1. For example, the resource allocation technique uses the SINR threshold $T$ to classify $M$ users in each cell into $M^{(c)}$ CCUs and $M^{(e)}$ CEUs, $N$ Resource Blocks (RBs) into $N^{(c)}$ CC RBs and $N^{(e)}$ CE RBs. Furthermore, the CC RBs are used as the common resources for all CCUs while the CE RBs is divided into $\Delta$ private RB groups. Since, the CCUs do not share their resources with the CEUs, each group of CCUs is allocated $N^{(c)}/\Delta$ RBs.

1) InterCell Interference: In a cellular network system, it is assumed that the scheduler randomly allocates a RB from the available RBs to a user. To represent use of RB $b$, an indicator function $\tau(RB^{(c)} = b)$ that takes values 1 if RB $b$ is used in area $z$ of a cell is defined in which $z = c$ or $z = e$ corresponds to a CC or CE Area.

The expected value of the indication function is called as the resource allocation ratio and given by:

$$e^{(c)} = E[\tau(RB^{(c)} = b)] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M^{(c)} > N^{(c)} \\ \frac{M^{(c)}}{N^{(c)}} & \text{if } M^{(c)} < N^{(c)} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

It is assumed that the typical user is served on RB $b$. We denote $\theta^{(c)}$ and $\theta^{(e)}$ as the set of interfering BSs transmitting on the CC and CE RBs. Hence, the densities of BSs in $\theta^{(c)}$
and $\theta^{(c)}$ are $\lambda^{(c)} = \lambda$ and $\lambda^{(e)} = \lambda / \Delta$ [10]. The ICI of a typical CCU and CEU are respectively given by:

\begin{align}
I_{Str}^{(c)} & = \sum_{z_c \in \theta^{(c)}} \tau(RB^{(c)} = b) \tau(RB^{(z_c)} = b) P g_{z_c} r_{z_c}^{\alpha} \\
I_{Str}^{(e)} & = \sum_{z_c \in \theta^{(e)}} \tau(RB^{(e)} = b) \tau(RB^{(z_c)} = b) \phi P g_{z_c} r_{z_c}^{\alpha}
\end{align}

in which $g_z$ and $r_z$ are the channel power gain and distance from the user to the interfering BS $z$, $z \in \{z_c, z_e\}$ correspond to CC and CE Area.

C. Instantaneous SINR

In the Strict FR network system, the typical user can be served on the CE RB with a higher transmit power (CE power) or on the CC RB with a lower transmit power (CC power). Hence, the received SINR of a typical user from its serving BS in tier $k$ can be expressed by the following equation:

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
SINR(\phi, r) = \frac{\phi P g \tau^{-\alpha}}{I_{Str}^{(c)} + \sigma^2} & \text{for CEU} \\
SINR(1, r) = \frac{I_{Str}^{(e)} \tau^{-\alpha}}{P g \tau^{-\alpha} + \sigma^2} & \text{for CCU}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

in which $g$ and $r$ is the channel power gain and distance from the user to the interfering BS, $\phi$ is the transmit power ratio; $\sigma^2$ is Gaussian noise; $I_{Str}^{(c)}$ and $I_{Str}^{(e)}$ are the powers of ICIs and defined in 7a and 7b.

To represent the network parameters at initial state where there are $M^{(o)}$ users in the network, a letter $o$ is added into the upper index of each parameter. For example, the ICIs for a CCU and CEU at this state are denoted by $I_{Str}^{(oc)}$ and $I_{Str}^{(oe)}$; the allocation ratios are $\epsilon^{(oc)}$ and $\epsilon^{(oe)}$ and the SINR is $SINR^{(o)}$.

D. Number of new Cell-Center and Cell-Edge users

A new user is served as a CCU if its received SINR at the initial state is greater than the SINR threshold. Therefore, the probability in which the user at a distance $r$ from its serving BS is served as a CCU is defined as

\begin{equation}
P^{(c)}(T|r) = P(SINR^{(o)}(1, r) > T)
\end{equation}

in which $SINR^{(o)}$ is the received SINR of the user at initial state and defined in Equation 8.

Substituting Equation 8 into Equation 9 and denoting $SNR = \frac{P}{\sigma^2}$, $P^{(c)}(T|r)$ is evaluated using following steps:

\begin{align}
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( - \frac{T r^{\alpha} (I_{Str}^{(oc)} + \sigma^2)}{P} \right) \right] \\
&= \exp \left( - \frac{T r^{\alpha}}{SNR} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( - \frac{T r^{\alpha} I_{Str}^{(oc)}}{P} \right) \right] \\
&= \exp \left( - \frac{T r^{\alpha}}{SNR} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{z_c \in \theta_c} \exp \left( - \tau(RB^{(oc)} = b) \tau(RB^{(z_c)} = b) T g_{z_c} r_{z_c}^{\alpha} \right) \right]
\end{align}

in which (a) follows the Rayleigh fading assumption and definition of allocation ratio in Equation 6; (b) follows the properties of PPP probability generating function [11]; (c) results from the properties of Gamma function and Gaussian-Legendre approximation [12]; and

\begin{equation}
f^{(o)}(T) = e^{(o)2} \left[ \frac{\pi^{2} T \pi}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi(\alpha-2)}{\alpha} \right)} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{c_n T}{2} \right]
\end{equation}

Therefore, the average number of new CCUs is obtained by

\begin{equation}
M^{(nc)}(T) = \frac{\lambda(a)}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(c)(T|r) f_{R}(r) dr
\end{equation}

in which $f_{R}(r)$ is the PDF of the distance $r$. Thus,

\begin{equation}
M^{(nc)}(T) = 2 \pi \lambda(a) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{- T r^{\alpha} \text{SNR} - \pi \lambda r^{2}(1 + f^{(o)}(T))} dr
\end{equation}

and the average number of new CEUs is

\begin{equation}
M^{(ne)}(T) = \frac{\lambda(a)}{\lambda} - 2 \pi \lambda(a) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{- T r^{\alpha} \text{SNR} - \pi \lambda r^{2}(1 + f^{(o)}(T))} dr
\end{equation}

III. COVERAGE PROBABILITY OF A TYPICAL USER

A. Average Coverage Probability definition

Cell-Center User: When the instantaneous received SINR of a user during the first phase is greater than the SINR threshold, the BS does not make any change in neither RB nor transmit power. Due to the changes of the instantaneous channel power gain and of the numbers of CCUs and CEUs between two phases, the new SINR is denoted by $SINR(1, r)$ and obtained from Equation 8. The coverage probability for the CCU are defined as the following conditional probability:

\begin{equation}
P^{(c)}(T) = P \left( SINR(1, r) > T | SINR(1, r) > T \right)
\end{equation}

Cell-Edge User: when the user is classified as a CEU, it will be served on a CE different RB with CE transmit power. Thus, the user experience new SINR, $SINR(\phi, r)$, which is obtained from Equation 8. Hence, the coverage probability of the CEU is defined as:

\begin{equation}
P^{(e)}(T) = P \left( SINR(\phi, r) > T | SINR^{(o)}(1, r) < T \right)
\end{equation}
B. Average Coverage Probability of a new CCU and CEU

Deploying the same approach given Section II-D, the average coverage probability of a new CCU is evaluated as follows

\[ P_c^{(c)}(T) = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(SINR(1, r) > \hat{T}, SINR^{(o)}(1, r) > T\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(SINR^{(o)}(1, r) > T\right)} \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi \lambda r^2} \mathbb{P}\left(SINR(1, r) > \hat{T}, SINR^{(o)}(1, r) > T|r\right) dr \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi \lambda r^2} \mathbb{P}\left(SINR^{(o)}(1, r) > T|r\right) dr \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi \lambda r^2} \mathbb{P}\left(SINR^{(o)}(1, r) > T\right) dr \]

\[ \int_0^\infty \frac{1-2\pi \lambda}{\pi \lambda} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{T_0}{\lambda}} - \pi \lambda^2 (1+\frac{f^{(o)}(r)}{\lambda}) dr \]

\[ = 1 - \frac{\pi \lambda}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{T_0}{\lambda}} - \pi \lambda^2 (1+\frac{f^{(o)}(r)}{\lambda}) dr \]

\[ (19) \]

C. Average Coverage Probability of the typical user

In this section, we derive the average coverage probability of a typical user (called random user) that is randomly located in the network and can be served as a CEU or CCU. Conventionally, each user who requests a connection to the network is classified as a CCU or CEU. Hence, the coverage probability of the user at the distance \( r \) from its serving BS is defined by

\[ P(T|r) = \mathbb{P}(SINR(1, r) > \hat{T}|r)\mathbb{P}(SINR^{(o)}(1, r) > T|r) \]

\[ + \mathbb{P}(SINR(\phi, r) > \hat{T}|r)\mathbb{P}(SINR^{(o)}(1, r) < T|r) \]

\[ (18) \]

Using the result of Section III-B, the coverage of the user is obtained by

\[ P(T|r) = e^{-\frac{(T_0+\hat{T})\lambda}{\pi \lambda^2}} - \pi \lambda^2 (f^{(o)}(r)+f^{(o)}(\hat{T})) dr \]

\[ + e^{-\frac{T_0 \lambda}{\pi \lambda^2}} - \pi \lambda^2 f^{(o)}(\hat{T})(1 - e^{-\frac{T_0 \lambda}{\pi \lambda^2}} - \pi \lambda^2 f^{(o)}(T)) \]

\[ (19) \]

Hence, the average coverage probability of the typical user is achieved by integrating the conditional probability \( P(T|r) \) over the network

\[ P(T) = \int_0^\infty P(T|r) f_X(r) dr \]

in which \( f_X(r) \) is the PDF of the distance \( r \) from the user to its serving BS.

IV. AVERAGE CELL THROUGHPUT

A. Average data rate of a CCU and CEU

The average data rate of a user with serving SINR, \( SINR \), is defined as

\[ C = \mathbb{E}_{SINR}[\ln(SINR + 1)] \]

The user is served as a CEU if its instantaneous received SINR at the initial state of the network from its serving BS is below the SINR threshold. The average rate of the user \( C^{(c)}(T) \) in this case is obtained by the following conditional expectation:

\[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \ln(SINR(\phi, r) + 1) > \gamma SINR^{(o)}(1, r) \right| < T \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P} \left[ \ln(SINR(\phi, r) + 1) > \gamma SINR^{(o)}(1, r) \right| < T \] d\gamma \]

\[ (22) \]

Using the same approach given in III-B, the average rate of the CEU \( C^{(c)}(T) \) is evaluated as follows:

\[ = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P} \left[ \ln(SINR(\phi, r) + 1) > \gamma SINR^{(o)}(1, r) \right| < T \] d\gamma \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P} \left[ \ln(SINR(\phi, r) + 1) > \gamma SINR^{(o)}(1, r) \right| < T \] d\gamma \]

\[ = \pi \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{T_0 \lambda}{\pi \lambda^2}} - \pi \lambda^2 (1 + \frac{f^{(o)}(r)}{\lambda}) dt \]

\[ = \frac{1 - \pi \lambda}{\pi \lambda} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{T_0 \lambda}{\pi \lambda^2}} - \pi \lambda^2 (1 + \frac{f^{(o)}(r)}{\lambda}) dt \]

\[ (23) \]

Similarity, the average data rate of the CCU is given by

\[ C^{(c)}(T) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \ln(SINR(1, r) + 1) > \gamma SINR^{(o)}(1, r) \right| < T \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P} \left[ \ln(SINR(1, r) + 1) > \gamma SINR^{(o)}(1, r) \right| < T \] d\gamma \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P} \left[ \ln(SINR(1, r) + 1) > \gamma SINR^{(o)}(1, r) \right| < T \] d\gamma \]

\[ = \frac{1 - \pi \lambda}{\pi \lambda} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{T_0 \lambda}{\pi \lambda^2}} - \pi \lambda^2 (1 + \frac{f^{(o)}(r)}{\lambda}) dt \]

\[ (25) \]

B. Average cell data rate

The average cell throughput is defined as the total data rate of all users in that cell, and can be obtained by:

\[ R = M^{(c)}C^{(c)}(T) + M^{(c)}C^{(c)}(T) \]

in which \( M^{(c)}C^{(c)}(T) \) and \( M^{(c)}C^{(c)}(T) \) are average data rate of CE and CC Area, respectively; \( M^{(c)} \) and \( M^{(c)} \) are the numbers of CEUs and CCUs connected to the typical cell; \( C^{(c)} \) and \( C^{(c)} \)
are average data rate of the CEU and CCU which are obtained from Equation 23 and Equation 25. The average cell data rate is given by Equation 24.

In case of interference-limited network, i.e. $\sigma^2 = 0$, the average capacity of each area is given by

$$R = \pi \lambda (u)^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{T}{SNR} \mu/2 - \pi \lambda (1+f^{(c)}(\gamma-1,1)+f^{(o)}(T))} d\gamma dT$$

$$+ \pi \lambda (u)^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{T}{SNR} \mu/2 - \pi \lambda (1+f^{(c)}(\gamma-1,1))} f^{(o)}(T) d\gamma$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda (u)}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+f^{(c)}(\gamma-1,1)+f^{(o)}(T)} d\gamma$$

$$- \frac{\lambda (u)}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+f^{(c)}(\gamma-1,1)+f^{(o)}(T)} d\gamma$$

$$= \frac{\lambda (u)}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+f^{(c)}(\gamma-1,1)} d\gamma$$

$$= \frac{\lambda (u)}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\lambda} f^{(c)}(\gamma-1,1)+f^{(o)}(T)} d\gamma$$

$$= \frac{\lambda (u)}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\lambda} f^{(c)}(\gamma-1,1)+f^{(o)}(T)} d\gamma$$

(24)

V. SIMULATION AND DISCUSSION

A. Simulation setup

In the simulation, it is assumed that the network uses Strict FR algorithm with reuse factor of 3. The network is allocated 50 RBs which are separated into 40 CC RBs and 10 CE RBs. Initially, it was assumed that there is one user in each CC and CE Area. The analytical and simulation parameters used in this paper are summarized in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density of BSs</td>
<td>$\lambda = 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>10 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit power ratio</td>
<td>$\phi = 20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency reuse factor</td>
<td>$\Lambda = 3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: Analytical and simulation parameters

Figure 2 compares the simulation and the analytical results of the average coverage probabilities of the CCU and CEU for different values of coverage threshold. It can be observed from the figure that the average coverage probabilities of the CCU and CEU are inversely proportional to the coverage thresholds. For example, when the coverage threshold increases from 5 dB to 10 dB and $\alpha = 3$, the average coverage probability decreases by about 57.8% from 0.8136 to 0.3434 and for the CEU and 23% from 0.9565 to 0.7332 for the CCU.

B. Optimal SNR

In this section, the effects of the SNR on the network performance are analysed. It is clear that increasing SNR improves the received signal strength at the user. This leads to an increase in the number of CCUs while the number of CEUs reduces. Since, the numbers of CC and CE BSs are unchanged, the allocation ratio of CC Area which represents the probability that two BSs transmit on the same RB increases while that of CE Area decreases. Consequently, this results in the increase in the average capacity of the CEU and a decrease in the average capacity of the CCU as shown in Figure 3. For example, when the SNR increases 4 dB from 6 dB to 10 dB, the average capacity of the CCU decreases by around 37.47% from 2.599 to 1.625 (bit/s/Hz) while the average capacity of the CEU increases by 26.26% from 1.504 to 1.899 (bit/s/Hz).

Although the average capacity of the CCU increases, the rapid decrease in number of CCUs leads to the decrease in the average capacity of CE Area as shown in Figure 4. Hence, the
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fig. 3: average number of ccus and ccus and average data rate of the ccu and ceu

optimal SNR is selected to achieve high average capacity for both the CCU and CEU as well as cell areas. It can be observed from the figure that the optimal SNR occurs at $\text{SNR} = 4\, \text{dB}$ when the average cell capacity peaks at about 22.1 (bit/s/Hz).

C. Optimal SINR threshold

The SINR threshold is used to partition the users into CCUs and CEUs in which the users are defined as CCUs if received SINRs during the first phase are greater than the SINR threshold and the rest of the users are defined as CEUs. Hence, when SINR threshold increases, the number of CCUs increases while that of the CEUs decreases. Therefore, in this case, the average capacity of the CCU increases while that of the CEU slight reduces.

When SINR threshold increases, more users with higher SINRs are classified as CEUs that can improve the average data rate of the CEU user. However, this improvement may trade-off with the increase in InterCell Interference when more users are served as CEUs. Therefore, it is observed that when SINR threshold is greater than 12 dB, the average capacity of the CEU remains fairly constant at 1.313 (bit/s/Hz).

fig. 4: average data rate of cell-center and cell-edge area
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fig. 5: average number of ccus and ccus and average data rate of the ccu and ceu

fig. 6: average data rate of cell-center and cell-edge area

VI. Conclusion

In this paper, optimal value of SNR and SINR threshold are analysed through the performance of the CEU and CCU in a PPP network using Strict FR algorithm. The operation of the network is divided into two phases in which the users are classified as CCUs and CEUs during the first phase and the data transmission takes place during the second phase. The performance of the user is defined as the conditional probability of the second phase given the first phase. It is shown from the analytical results that a increase in SNR or SINR threshold results in the changes of number of CCUs

It is observed from Figure 6 that while the average capacity of the CE Area increase steadily, that of the CC Area rises to the peak of 12.3 (bit/s/Hz) before moderately decreasing. Hence, the optimal SINR threshold can be selected at 14 dB when the average capacity of the cell peak at about 23.6 (bit/s/Hz).
and CEUs. At low-SNR or low-SINR threshold, the network obtains a balance between the number of CCUs and CEUs, and high network performance can be achieved. Meanwhile at high-SNR or high-SINR threshold, more users are classified as CEU that leads to the overload at CC Area and reduces the performance. Therefore, the optimal SNR and SINR threshold can be selected at 4 and 12 dB, respectively.
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