



Penetration Response of Ultra-High Performance Concrete (UHPC) under Projectile Impact

Jian LIU

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
of Doctor of Philosophy

School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology
University of Technology Sydney, Australia

September 2018

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Jian Liu declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not yet been submitted for qualification at any other academic institution.

Print Name: Jian LIU

Signature: Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 03-September-2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	II
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF TABLES	XVI
ABSTRACT	1
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	3
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS.....	4
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	5
1.1 Background information	5
1.2 Research goals.....	8
1.3 Outlines	10
References	11
CHAPTER 2: NUMERICAL STUDY OF ULTRA-HIGH PERFORMANCE CONCRETE UNDER NON-DEFORMABLE PROJECTILE PENETRATION ..	16
Abstract	16
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Finite element model validation.....	18
2.2.1 Mix design of UHPC samples.....	19
2.2.2 Uniaxial compression test	19
2.2.3 Four-point bending test	21
2.3 Numerical simulation of UHPC targets against projectile penetration.....	22
2.3.1 Projectile	23
2.3.2 UHPC targets	24
2.3.3 Strain rate effect	28
2.3.4 Simulation data	29
2.4 Results and discussions.....	30
2.4.1 Compressive stress distribution	30
2.4.2 Scaled damage factor distribution.....	32
2.4.3 DOP analysis.....	33
2.4.3.1 <i>Effect of compressive strength</i>	33
2.4.3.2 <i>Effect of striking velocity</i>	33

2.4.3.3	<i>Effect of CRH ratio</i>	34
2.4.3.4	<i>Proposed model to predict DOP</i>	35
2.4.4	Cratering damage analysis	37
2.4.4.1	<i>Effect of compressive strength</i>	37
2.4.4.2	<i>Effect of striking velocity</i>	38
2.5	Conclusions	39
	Acknowledgements	40
	References	41
CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL STUDIES OF ULTRA-HIGH PERFORMANCE CONCRETE TARGETS AGAINST HIGH-VELOCITY PROJECTILE IMPACTS		44
	Abstract	44
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Preparation of UHPC targets	46
3.2.1	Materials	46
3.2.2	Manufacturing procedure	47
3.3	Material static tests	47
3.4	High-velocity impact tests	50
3.4.1	UHPC targets	50
3.4.2	Projectile	51
3.4.3	Test set-up	51
3.4.4	Results and discussions	53
3.4.4.1	<i>DOP analysis</i>	55
3.4.4.2	<i>Crater diameter analysis</i>	57
3.4.4.3	<i>Volume loss analysis</i>	58
3.4.4.4	<i>Projectile damage and abrasion</i>	59
3.5	Numerical simulations	60
3.5.1	Material models	61
3.5.1.1	<i>UHPC targets</i>	61
3.5.1.2	<i>Projectile</i>	63
3.5.2	Numerical models	64
3.5.3	Modelling results	64
3.5.3.1	<i>DOP comparison</i>	64

3.5.3.2	<i>An empirical model to predict DOP of UHPC targets</i>	64
3.5.3.3	<i>Crater diameter comparison</i>	66
3.5.3.4	<i>Projectile abrasion and damage comparison</i>	68
3.5.3.5	<i>Localized damages of UHPC targets</i>	69
3.5.3.6	<i>Energy evolution of projectile and UHPC targets</i>	70
3.6	Conclusions	71
	Acknowledgements	72
	References	72
CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL STUDY OF REACTIVE POWDER CONCRETE REINFORCED WITH STEEL WIRE MESH AGAINST PROJECTILE PENETRATION		77
	Abstract	77
4.1	Introduction	78
4.2	Experimental program	80
4.2.1	Mix design of reactive powder concrete	80
4.2.2	Steel wire mesh	82
4.2.3	Projectiles	82
4.2.4	Static tests	83
4.2.5	High-velocity projectile impact test	85
4.2.6	Results and discussion	86
4.2.6.1	<i>Experimental data</i>	86
4.2.6.2	<i>DOP analysis</i>	88
4.2.6.3	<i>Crater dimensions analysis</i>	90
4.2.6.4	<i>Terminal ballistic trajectory</i>	92
4.2.6.5	<i>Projectile abrasion and damage</i>	93
4.3	Numerical simulation	94
4.3.1	RPC targets	94
4.3.2	Steel wire mesh	97
4.3.3	Projectile	98
4.3.4	Mesh size convergence	99
4.3.5	Results and discussion	100
4.3.5.1	<i>Histories of projectile penetration into RPC targets</i>	100
4.3.5.2	<i>DOP analysis</i>	103

4.3.5.3	<i>Cratering damage analysis</i>	104
4.3.5.4	<i>Projectile abrasion and damage</i>	106
4.4	Influence of steel wire mesh on the resistance of projectile penetration	106
4.5	Conclusion	114
	Acknowledgement.....	115
	References	115
CHAPTER 5: NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION OF REACTIVE POWDER CONCRETE REINFORCED WITH STEEL WIRE MESH AGAINST HIGH-VELOCITY PROJECTILE PENETRATION		121
	Abstract	121
5.1	Introduction	122
5.2	Numerical modelling.....	124
5.2.1	Material model for concrete	124
5.2.2	Material model for steel wire mesh.....	125
5.2.3	Material model for steel projectile	126
5.2.4	Numerical models setup	127
5.2.5	Validation of numerical models	131
5.2.5.1	<i>High-velocity impact test</i>	131
5.2.5.2	<i>Comparison of numerical and experimental results</i>	131
5.3	Parametric simulations and discussions	132
5.3.1	Working mechanism of steel wire mesh on confining concrete damage	133
5.3.2	DOP analysis	135
5.3.2.1	<i>Comparison of plain and reinforced RPC targets</i>	135
5.3.2.2	<i>Number of layers, N</i>	136
5.3.2.3	<i>Tensile strength of steel wires, f_t</i>	136
5.3.2.4	<i>Diameter of steel wires, d_o</i>	137
5.3.2.5	<i>Space between steel wires per layer, d_1</i>	138
5.3.2.6	<i>Space between layers, d_2</i>	138
5.3.2.7	<i>Empirical model for DOP</i>	139
5.3.3	Localized and crater damages analysis	142
5.3.3.1	<i>Comparison of plain and reinforced RPC targets</i>	143
5.3.3.2	<i>Number of layers, N</i>	144

5.3.3.3	<i>Tensile strength of steel wires, ft.....</i>	144
5.3.3.4	<i>Diameter of steel wires, do.....</i>	145
5.3.3.5	<i>Space between steel wires per layer, d1</i>	146
5.3.3.6	<i>Space between layers, d2</i>	147
5.3.4	Energy history analysis	148
5.3.4.1	<i>Number of layers, N</i>	149
5.3.4.2	<i>Tensile strength of steel wires, ft.....</i>	150
5.3.4.3	<i>Diameter of steel wires, do.....</i>	151
5.3.4.4	<i>Space between steel wires per layer, d1</i>	152
5.3.4.5	<i>Space between layers, d2</i>	152
5.4	Conclusions	153
	Acknowledgements	154
	References	154
CHAPTER 6: CERAMIC BALLS PROTECTED ULTRA HIGH		
PERFORMANCE CONCRETE STRUCTURE AGAINST PROJECTILE		
IMPACT - A NUMERICAL STUDY.....159		
	Abstract	159
6.1	Introduction	160
6.2	Numerical modelling.....	162
6.2.1	SPH formulation	162
6.2.2	Constitutive models of materials.....	164
6.2.3	Numerical models and configuration	168
6.2.4	SPH-FE coupling and contact definitions	173
6.3	Validation of numerical models	175
6.3.1	High-velocity impact test on thin UHPC slabs	175
6.3.2	High-velocity impact test on thick UHPC slabs	176
6.3.3	Effects of major parameters	176
6.3.3.1	<i>Effect of SPH domain size.....</i>	178
6.3.3.2	<i>Effect of SPH particle distance</i>	178
6.3.3.3	<i>Effect of SPH particle approximation theory (FORM)</i>	179
6.3.3.4	<i>Effect of smooth length constant (CSLH).....</i>	179
6.3.3.5	<i>Effect of the sensitivity of material parameters.....</i>	180

6.3.3.6	<i>Determination of other parameters</i>	181
6.3.4	Model comparison with experimental results	181
6.4	Numerical results and discussions	183
6.4.1	Perforation of ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slabs	185
6.4.1.1	<i>Perforation process and energy history</i>	185
6.4.1.2	<i>Effect of arrangement of ceramic balls</i>	187
6.4.1.3	<i>Comparison between Al₂O₃ and SiC ceramic balls</i>	189
6.4.1.4	<i>Effect of impact positions</i>	190
6.4.1.5	<i>Effect of diameter of ceramic balls</i>	192
6.4.1.6	<i>Perforation and ballistic limits of protected targets</i>	193
6.4.2	DOP analysis of ceramic balls protected thick UHPC slabs.....	195
6.5	Conclusions	197
	Acknowledgements	198
	References	198
	CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	206
7.1	Major findings	206
7.1.1	UHPC	206
7.1.2	Steel wire mesh reinforced RPC	207
7.1.3	Ceramic balls protected UHPC	208
7.2	Recommendations for future work.....	209

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Setup of uniaxial compression test (a) real test (b) numerical simulation ..	20
Figure 2.2: Comparison of experimental and numerical compressive stress and strain curves	21
Figure 2.3: Setup of four-point bending test (a) real test (b) numerical simulation	21
Figure 2.4: Comparison of test and numerical load and displacement curves at mid-span.....	22
Figure 2.5: Schemes of ogive-nosed projectile (a) dimensions (b) numerical model....	23
Figure 2.6: UHPC targets dimensions	24
Figure 2.7: K&C concrete model (a) three shear surfaces (b) concrete constitutive behaviour [14]	25
Figure 2.8: The relationship between λ and η	26
Figure 2.9: DIF for UHPC in tension and compression.....	29
Figure 2.10: Development of compressive stress in UHPC (130 MPa) at 800 m/s (a) $t = 90 \mu s$ (b) $t = 240 \mu s$ (c) $t = 400 \mu s$ (d) $t = 520 \mu s$	31
Figure 2.11: Development of scaled damage factor in UHPC (130 MPa) at 675 m/s (a) $t = 90 \mu s$ (b) $t = 240 \mu s$ (c) $t = 400 \mu s$ (d) $t = 520 \mu s$	33
Figure 2.12: DOP versus striking velocity of projectile for UHPC targets with various compressive strengths.....	34
Figure 2.13: DOP versus CRH ratios of projectile for 170 MPa UHPC at 550 m/s.....	35
Figure 2.14: The abridged sketch of the ogive-nosed projectile.....	36
Figure 2.15: Simulation data and prediction of DOP/d versus striking velocity of projectile using proposed model and modified NDRC formula for UHPC targets (a) 190 MPa (b) 170 MPa (c) 130 MPa (d) 90 MPa.....	37
Figure 2.16: Cratering damage versus compressive strength of UHPC targets at 300 m/s (a) 90 MPa (b) 130 MPa (c) 170 MPa (d) 190 MPa.....	38
Figure 2.17: Cratering damage versus striking velocity of projectile for 190 MPa UHPC targets (a) 300 m/s (b) 550 m/s (c) 675 m/s (d) 800 m/s (e) 1000 m/s	39
Figure 2.18: Crater dimensions versus CRH ratio of projectile for 170 MPa UHPC targets at 550 m/s (a) CRH=3 (b) CRH=2 (c) CRH=1	39

Figure 3.1: Typical compressive failure modes (a) plain concrete (b) UHPC-PF (c) UHPC-SF	48
Figure 3.2: Compressive stress and strain curves	49
Figure 3.3: Typical flexural failure modes of specimens (a) plain concrete (b) UHPC-PF (c) UHPC-SF.....	50
Figure 3.4: Lateral force and displacement curves	50
Figure 3.5: Cylindrical concrete targets.....	51
Figure 3.6: Schemes of ogive-nosed projectile.....	51
Figure 3.7: High-velocity impact test setup	52
Figure 3.8: Photographs of typical projectile penetration into UHPC-SF-3 target at 808 m/s (a) 0 μ s (b) 50 μ s (c) 100 μ s (d) 150 μ s	53
Figure 3.9: Localized damages of targets (a) PC-1 (b) PC-2 (c) PC-3 (d) UHPC-PF-1 (e) UHPC-PF-2 (f) UHPC-PF-3 (g) UHPC-SF-1 (h) UHPC-SF-2 (i) UHPC-SF-3	54
Figure 3.10: Measurement of average crater diameter	54
Figure 3.11: DOP versus striking velocity of projectile	56
Figure 3.12: The crater diameter versus striking velocity of projectile.....	58
Figure 3.13: The volume loss versus striking velocity of projectile.....	59
Figure 3.14: Projectile damages and abrasions after tests (a) plain concrete targets (b) UHPC-PF targets (c) UHPC-SF targets.....	60
Figure 3.15: Numerical setup for the projectile impact test.....	60
Figure 3.16: DIF for UHPC in tension and compression.....	62
Figure 3.17: Test, simulation and proposed models of DOP versus the striking velocity of projectile (a) UHPC-PF targets (b) UHPC-SF targets	65
Figure 3.18: Crater diameter of UHPC-PF targets.....	67
Figure 3.19: Crater diameter of UHPC-SF targets.....	67
Figure 3.20: Comparison of crater diameter between numerical simulation and test (a) UHPC-PF targets (b) UHPC-SF targets.....	68
Figure 3.21: Comparison of projectile abrasions and damages between numerical and test results	68
Figure 3.22: Localized damages (a) UHPC-PF-1 (b) UHPC-PF-2 (c) UHPC-PF-3	69
Figure 3.23: Localized damages (a) UHPC-SF-1 (b) UHPC-SF-2 (c) UHPC-SF-3	70

Figure 3.24: Energy histories of projectiles and UHPC targets (a) ~550 m/s (b) ~675 m/s (c) ~800 m/s.....	71
Figure 4.1: Cylindrical RPC targets.....	81
Figure 4.2: Design details of each layer steel mesh.....	82
Figure 4.3: Schemes of the projectile.....	83
Figure 4.4: Uniaxial compression test (a) set-up (b) stress and strain curve	84
Figure 4.5: Four-point bending test (a) set-up (b) force and displacement curve of specimen.....	85
Figure 4.6: Projectile penetration into RPC targets (a) powder gun (b) test set-up.....	86
Figure 4.7: Photographs of typical projectile penetration at 679 m/s (a) 0 μ s (b) 50 μ s (c) 100 μ s (d) 150 μ s.....	86
Figure 4.8: Localized damage of RPC targets (a) 539 m/s (b) 679 m/s (c) 807 m/s	87
Figure 4.9: Measurement of average crater diameter	88
Figure 4.10: DOP versus striking velocity of projectile	90
Figure 4.11: The inner crater diameter versus striking velocity of projectile.....	91
Figure 4.12: The outer cratering damage diameter versus striking velocity of projectile.....	91
Figure 4.13: The volume loss versus striking velocity of projectile.....	92
Figure 4.14: Terminal ballistic trajectory at striking velocity of 807 m/s	92
Figure 4.15: Abrasions and damage of projectiles against striking velocities of 539 m/s, 679 m/s and 807 m/s.....	93
Figure 4.16: Numerical model of cylindrical RPC target	94
Figure 4.17: DIFs for RPC in (a) compression (b) tension.....	96
Figure 4.18: 44-layer steel wire meshes.....	97
Figure 4.19: Numerical model of projectile.....	98
Figure 4.20: Comparisons of residual velocities with three various mesh sizes.....	99
Figure 4.21: Development of scaled damage factor in concrete target at 539 m/s (a) $t=110 \mu$ s (b) $t=180 \mu$ s (c) $t=300 \mu$ s (d) $t=420 \mu$ s	101
Figure 4.22: Numerical and experimental investigation of terminal ballistic trajectory of projectile at striking velocity of 807 m/s	101
Figure 4.23: Velocity and displacement histories of projectile penetration into concrete targets at 539 m/s, 679 m/s and 807 m/s.....	102
Figure 4.24: Kinetic and internal energy history at 807 m/s.....	103

Figure 4.25: Comparison of internal energy of steel wire meshes at various striking velocities.....	103
Figure 4.26: Comparison between experimental and numerical results of DOP at striking velocities of 539 m/s, 679 m/s and 807 m/s	104
Figure 4.27: Cratering damage versus various striking velocities of 539 m/s, 679 m/s and 807 m/s.....	105
Figure 4.28: Comparison between experimental and numerical results of cratering damage diameter at striking velocities of 539 m/s, 679 m/s and 807 m/s	105
Figure 4.29: Localized damage of projectile at striking velocities of 539 m/s, 679 m/s and 807 m/s.....	106
Figure 4.30: Configuration of parallel 44-layer steel wire meshes.....	107
Figure 4.31: Design details of each layer steel wire mesh (a) ϕ 2 mm with 6 mm space (b) ϕ 1 mm with 12 mm space	108
Figure 4.32: Design details of spiral steel wire mesh (a) 44-layer and 3 mm space between layers (b) 22-layer and 3 mm space between layers (c) 22-layer and 6 mm space between layers	109
Figure 4.33: Localized damages for reinforced RPC targets (a) Type 1 (b) Type 2 (c) Type 3 (d) Type 4 (e) Type 5 (f) Type 6 (g) Type 7 (h) Type 8.....	111
Figure 4.34: DOPs for various types of reinforced RPC targets after projectile penetration	112
Figure 4.35: Energy evolution of steel wire mesh for various types of reinforced RPC targets.....	113
Figure 5.1: Geometry of cylindrical RPC target	127
Figure 5.2: Design details of each layer steel wire meshes (a) ϕ 1 mm with 3 mm space (b) ϕ 1 mm with 6 mm space (c) ϕ 1 mm with 12 mm space (d) ϕ 0.5 mm with 6 mm space (e) ϕ 2 mm with 6 mm space	129
Figure 5.3: Design details of spiral steel wire meshes ϕ 1 mm with (a) 44-layer and 3 mm space between layers (b) 33-layer and 3 mm space between layers (c) 22-layer and 3 mm space between layers (d) 11-layer and 3 mm space between layers (e) 22-layer and 5 mm space (f) 22-layer and 6 mm space between layers	130
Figure 5.4: Schemes of the projectile.....	130

Figure 5.5: Setup of high-velocity impact test.....	131
Figure 5.6: Impact response of steel wire mesh in resisting projectile penetration at 807 m/s (a) side view in numerical simulation (b) front view in test.....	134
Figure 5.7: Comparison of DOP for plain and RPC targets reinforced with 44-layer steel wire meshes.....	135
Figure 5.8: DOP versus number of layers.....	136
Figure 5.9: DOP versus tensile strength of steel wires	137
Figure 5.10: DOP versus diameter of steel wires.....	137
Figure 5.11: DOP versus space between steel wires per layer.....	138
Figure 5.12: DOP versus space between layers	139
Figure 5.13: Proposed model to predict DOP versus (a) striking velocity for plain RPC targets (b) compressive strength of RPC targets (c) number of layers of steel wire meshes (d) tensile strength of steel wires (e) diameter of steel wires (f) space between steel wires per layer (g) space between layers	141
Figure 5.14: Comparison of DOP between the test results and the proposed model in the present study.....	142
Figure 5.15: Localized damages at 679 m/s (a) plain RPC (b) reinforced RPC (44-layer, parallel) (c) reinforced RPC (44-layer, spiral)	143
Figure 5.16: Cratering damages for the protected part of RPC targets at 679 m/s (a) Type 2 (b) Type 5 (c) Type 7	143
Figure 5.17: Localized damages versus number of layers at 679 m/s (a) 44-layer (b) 33-layer (c) 22-layer (d) 11-layer.....	144
Figure 5.18: Cratering damages on the protected part of RPC targets versus number of layers at 679 m/s (a) Type 7 (b) Type 10 (c) Type 11 (d) Type 14	144
Figure 5.19: Localized damages versus tensile strength of steel wires at 679 m/s (a) 400 MPa (b) 785 MPa (c) 1285 MPa (d) 1725 MPa.....	145
Figure 5.20: Cratering damages on the protected part of RPC targets versus tensile strength of steel wires at 679 m/s (a) Type 7 (b) Type 19 (c) Type 20 (d) Type 21	145
Figure 5.21: Localized damages versus diameter of steel wires at 679 m/s (a) 0.5 mm (b) 1 mm (c) 2 mm	146

Figure 5.22: Cratering damages versus diameter of steel wires for the protected part of RPC targets at 679 m/s (a) Type 24 (b) Type 25 (c) Type 28.....	146
Figure 5.23: Localized damages versus space between steel wires per layer at 679 m/s (a) 6 mm (b) 3 mm (c) 12 mm	147
Figure 5.24: Cratering damages versus the space between steel wires per layer for the protected part of RPC targets at 679 m/s (a) Type 7 (b) Type 15 (c) Type 18.....	147
Figure 5.25: Localized damages versus space between layers at 679 m/s (a) 3 mm (b) 6 mm (c) 5 mm	148
Figure 5.26: Cratering damages versus space between layers for the protected part of RPC targets at 679 m/s (a) Type 11 (b) Type 25 (c) Type 31.....	148
Figure 5.27: Kinetic, internal and hourglass energy histories at 679 m/s.....	149
Figure 5.28: Energy change versus number of layers at 679 m/s (a) kinetic energy of projectile (b) internal energy of steel wire meshes	150
Figure 5.29: Energy change versus tensile strength of steel wires at 679 m/s (a) kinetic energy of projectile (b) internal energy of steel wire meshes	151
Figure 5.30: Energy change versus diameter of steel wires at 679 m/s (a) kinetic energy of projectile (b) internal energy of steel wire meshes	151
Figure 5.31: Energy change versus space between steel wires per layer at 679 m/s (a) kinetic energy of projectile (b) internal energy of steel wire meshes	152
Figure 5.32: Energy change versus space between layers at 679 m/s (a) kinetic energy of projectile (b) internal energy of steel wire meshes	153
Figure 6.1: Tensile characteristics of UHPC in the present study (a) lateral forced displacement curve (b) predicted uniaxial tensile stress strain curve	165
Figure 6.2: Numerical setup (a) 50 mm thick UHPC slabs with D20 mm ceramic balls (b) 50 mm thick UHPC slabs with D40 ceramic balls (c) 50 mm thick UHPC slabs with D60 ceramic balls (d) 350 mm thick UHPC slabs with D20 ceramic balls.....	170
Figure 6.3: Configurations of ceramic balls (a) hex-pack, D60, 2 layers (b) checkerboard, D40, 3 layers (c) hex-pack, D40, 3 layers (d) hex-pack, D20, 6 layers	171
Figure 6.4: Schematic diagram of the ogive-nosed projectile	172
Figure 6.5: Single ceramic ball (a) D60 (b) D40 (c) D20	172

Figure 6.6: Impact positions of ceramic balls	173
Figure 6.7: Coupled SPH particles and Lagrangian solid elements for UHPC slabs ..	174
Figure 6.8: SPH-FE contact calculation cycle [57].....	174
Figure 6.9: Schematic diagram of high-velocity impact test on thin UHPC slabs [6].	175
Figure 6.10: Setup of high-velocity impact test on thick UHPC slabs [4].....	176
Figure 6.11: Influence of major parameters (a) SPH domain size (b) SPH particle size (c) SPH particle approximation theory (d) smooth length constant.	177
Figure 6.12: Numerical and experimental projectile impact process with fragmentation (a) 70 mm thick UHPC slab, 348 m/s (Test 1-6) [6] (b) 700 mm thick UHPC target, 808 m/s (Test 2-3) [4].....	182
Figure 6.13: Comparison between experimental and numerical results (a) residual velocity of projectile versus thickness of slab at ~350 m/s (b) residual velocity of projectile versus striking velocity of projectile for 50 mm thick UHPC slabs (c) DOP versus striking velocity of projectile for thick UHPC slabs	183
Figure 6.14: Projectile perforation process into D40 ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slab in the hex-pack arrangement at impact position 3 at 800 m/s (Test 20).....	185
Figure 6.15: Localized damages of the hex-pack arrangement of D40 ceramic balls (Test 20) per layer at 800m/s at 270 μ s	186
Figure 6.16: Impact response of the steel case (Test 20) at 270 μ s at 800 m/s (a) front surface (b) rear surface	186
Figure 6.17: Impact response of D40 ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slab in the hex-pack arrangement at impact position 3 at 800 m/s (Test 20) (a) impact resistance (b) energy evolution.....	187
Figure 6.18: Projectile perforation into D40 ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slab in the checkerboard arrangement at impact position 3 at 800 m/s (Test 24)	188
Figure 6.19: Impact response of D40 ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slab in various arrangements at impact position 3 at 800 m/s (a) impact resistance (b) residual velocity of projectile.....	188

Figure 6.20: Comparison between D20 Al ₂ O ₃ and SiC ceramic balls at impact position 3 at 800 m/s (a) impact resistance (b) residual velocity of projectile	190
Figure 6.21: Impact response of D60 ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slab at various impact positions at 800 m/s (a) impact resistance (b) residual velocity of projectile	191
Figure 6.22: Impact response of D40 ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slab at various impact positions at 800 m/s (a) impact resistance (b) residual velocity of projectile	191
Figure 6.23: Impact response of D20 ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slab at various impact positions at 800 m/s (a) impact resistance (b) residual velocity of projectile	191
Figure 6.24: Projectile perforation process into D20 ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slab in the hex-pack arrangement at impact position 3 at 800 m/s (Test 30)	192
Figure 6.25: Projectile perforation process into D60 ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slab in the hex-pack arrangement at impact position 3 at 800 m/s (Test 9)	192
Figure 6.26: Comparison of projectile perforation of thin UHPC slabs protected with D20, D40 and D60 ceramic balls (a) impact resistance (b) residual velocity of projectile	193
Figure 6.27: Residual velocity of projectile versus layers of ceramic balls at 800 m/s	194
Figure 6.28: The relations among residual kinetic energy, kinetic energy dissipation and initial kinetic energy of projectiles	195
Figure 6.29: Projectile penetration of thick UHPC slabs with 6-layer hex-pack arranged D20 ceramic balls at different striking velocities (a) 550 m/s (b) 675 m/s (c) 800 m/s	196
Figure 6.30: DOP versus striking velocity of projectile	197

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Mix proportions of UHPC (unit:kg)	19
Table 2.2: Material properties of UHPC	20
Table 2.3: Key inputs for the casing of projectile	24
Table 2.4: Key inputs for Mat_72R3	28
Table 2.5: Penetration tests data of DOP	30
Table 3.1: Mix proportions of UHPC (unit: kg)	47
Table 3.2: Material properties of fibres.....	47
Table 3.3: High-velocity impact test data	55
Table 3.4: Key inputs for Concrete Damage Rel3	61
Table 3.5: Material parameters for casing of projectile	63
Table 3.6: Material parameters for backfill of projectile	63
Table 3.7: Comparison of DOP among experiments, numerical simulation and proposed models	66
Table 4.1: Mix proportions of RPC (unit: kg).....	81
Table 4.2: High-velocity impact test data	88
Table 4.3: Key inputs for Mat_72R3	95
Table 4.4: Material parameters for steel wire mesh.....	98
Table 4.5: Material parameters for casing of projectile	98
Table 4.6: Material parameters for backfill of projectile	99
Table 4.7: Numerical test plan	107
Table 5.1: Key inputs for 100 MPa RPC targets.....	125
Table 5.2: Material parameters for steel wire meshes.....	126
Table 5.3: Material parameters for casing of projectile	126
Table 5.4: Comparison of experimental and numerical results.....	132
Table 5.5: Numerical test plan and results	133
Table 6.1: Parameters of Mat_111 for UHPC material.....	166
Table 6.2: Mechanical properties and parameters in JH-2 model for ceramic balls....	167
Table 6.3: Material parameters for the steel projectile and case.....	168
Table 6.4: Contact type for each part	175
Table 6.5: Comparison of numerical results for different parameters	177
Table 6.6: Sensitivity of material parameters of UHPC on Vr and DOP	181

Table 6.7: Comparison of experimental and numerical results.....	182
Table 6.8: Numerical results for UHPC slabs protected with ceramic balls.....	184

ABSTRACT

In recent decades, terrorism activities are becoming increasingly more frequent throughout the world. Terrorist attacks that target military and civil buildings will not only cause structural damages, but also lead to massive casualties and property loss. Therefore, the development of new construction materials with excellent performance to resist extreme loadings has been attracting much attention from researchers and engineers.

Evolving from reactive powder concrete (RPC), novel ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC) materials with nano-material and fibre addition have been developed by many universities and government agencies in these years. With the purpose of enriching the knowledge of UHPC materials subjected to high-velocity projectile penetration, this thesis presents a number of experimental and numerical research findings on UHPC materials in journals.

Prior to real high-velocity projectile impact tests, numerical approaches in simulating the impact response of UHPC targets under projectile penetration are presented. The numerical models of UHPC targets are validated against the uniaxial compressive and four-point bending testing results. With the validated numerical models incorporating dynamic increase factors (DIF), parametric studies on the effects of target compressive strength, projectile striking velocity and projectile Calibre Radius Head (CRH) ratio on depth of penetration (DOP) and crater diameter of UHPC targets are discussed. Based on the simulation data on DOP, an empirical model to predict DOP of UHPC targets is proposed.

High-velocity projectile impact tests are then conducted on UHPC targets reinforced with 3 Vol-% ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) fibres and UHPC targets with 3 Vol-% steel fibres. Under the same loading scenarios, plain concrete targets without the addition of fibres are also tested as control specimens for comparative purpose. Dynamic behaviours of concrete targets involving DOP, crater diameter, volume loss as well as abrasions and damages of projectiles after impact are observed and compared with the numerical results. Also, DOPs of UHPC targets are compared with the previously proposed empirical model and the fair agreement is achieved in terms of UHPC targets with steel fibres.

Although UHPC reinforced with steel fibres has been a promising material with excellent impact resistance which can be directly used in the structural components in civil and military constructions, the high cost of steel fibres may limit the use of UHPC in military and civil constructions, so an extended investigation of steel wire meshes with relatively low cost to replace steel fibres in UHPC is necessary. In this thesis, the impact responses of 44-layer steel wire meshes reinforced RPC targets such as DOP, crater diameter, volume loss as well as abrasions and damages of projectiles after impact are investigated under the same loading environment as UHPC targets.

In order to comprehensively understand the effects of steel wire meshes on the impact response of reinforced RPC targets, parametric studies are conducted after validating numerical models, in which the variables include configuration of whole steel wire meshes, number of layers, space between two layers, space between two steel wires per layer as well as the diameter and tensile strength of steel wires. Based on the results of parametric studies, an empirical model derived from the simulation data is proposed to predict DOP of reinforced RPC targets.

Simultaneously, optimal structural designs with effective reinforcement configurations are under extensive investigation to enhance the impact performance of UHPC targets. A numerical approach is used to investigate the impact response of uniformly distributed ceramic balls as a shielding structure on UHPC targets under high-velocity projectile penetration. Parametric studies are conducted on thin UHPC slabs to explore the influence of impact location, diameter, spatial arrangement and material properties of ceramic balls on impact response. Perforation and ballistic limits of ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slabs are obtained. Besides, DOPs of thick UHPC slabs protected with 6-layer ceramic balls with a diameter of 20 mm in a hex-pack arrangement are compared with existing UHPC targets.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his deepest gratitude to his principle supervisor, Prof. Chengqing Wu, for his patience, support, frankness, invaluable guidance and constructive suggestions in the doctoral study. The author would also express his sincerest gratitude to his co-supervisor, Dr. Jun Li, for his encouragement and enlightening suggestions. Special thanks are also given to Dr. Yu Su, who has made a great contribution to the development of materials used in the present research work. Simultaneously, many thanks are given to the author's colleagues for helping with the improvement of the work. This research would not be a success without their supervisions and advice.

The author extends his sincerest thanks to his family for the support, especially his father, Mr. Jinming Liu, and mother, Mrs. Qinglian Luo. Also, his elder sister, Mrs. Li Liu, brother in law, Mr. Xiao Liu and nephew, Jiayi Liu, deserve lots of thanks and much more for their support. Finally, the author dedicates this thesis to his wife, Mrs. Lianjun Liu, and his unborn daughter, Jia'er Liu, who have provided the author with the confidence and support.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- 1) Liu, J., Wu, C., Li, J., Su, Y., Shao, R., Liu, Z. and Chen, G., 2017. Experimental and numerical study of reactive powder concrete reinforced with steel wire mesh against projectile penetration. *International Journal of Impact Engineering* (**Impact Factor: 2.938**), 109, pp.131-149.
Status: Published
- 2) Liu, J., Wu, C., Su, Y., Li, J., Shao, R., Chen, G. and Liu, Z., 2018. Experimental and numerical studies of ultra-high performance concrete targets against high-velocity projectile impacts. *Engineering Structures* (**Impact Factor: 2.755**), 173, pp.166-179.
Status: Published
- 3) Liu, J., Wu, C. and Chen, X., 2017. Numerical study of ultra-high performance concrete under non-deformable projectile penetration. *Construction and Building Materials* (**Impact Factor: 3.169**), 135, pp.447-458.
Status: Published
- 4) Liu, J., Wu, C., Li, J., Su, Y. and Chen, X., 2018. Numerical investigation of reactive powder concrete reinforced with steel wire mesh against high-velocity projectile penetration. *Construction and Building Materials* (**Impact Factor: 3.169**), 166, pp.855-872.
Status: Published
- 5) Liu, J., Wu, C., Li, J., Fang, J. and Su, Y., 2018. A novel structure of ceramic balls reinforced ultra high performance concrete targets under projectile impacts.
Status: Submitted to *International Journal of Impact Engineering* (**Impact Factor: 2.938**)

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background information

Terrorism activities in recent decades have drawn more considerations and concerns throughout the world. Not only do the terrorism activities lead to structural damages, but it seriously endangers residents' life, so investigations on the resistance of structures against blast and impact loadings have attracted much attention from researchers and engineers.

Although some conventional concretes such as high strength concrete (HSC) and high performance concrete (HPC) are generally used in military and civil constructions to resist blast and impact loadings, they still lack sufficient capacity under such a high loading rate. More importantly, it is a challenge to ensure that the conventional materials and structures maintain their structural integrity without any collapse and perforation under terrorist attacks involving explosive blasts, projectiles or missiles. Therefore, there is a growing demand for new construction materials with an outstanding performance to withstand such extreme loading conditions, and it is practical to consolidate buildings or structures through applying the new construction materials.

Previous experimental studies [1, 2] have demonstrated that penetration and perforation resistances of concrete structures are strongly affected by the strength and toughness of concrete, and the depth of penetration (DOP) of the projectile decreases with the greater toughness of concrete. Toughness measures the amount of energy expended to propagate the crack in concrete under impact loading and markedly affects the impact resistance. Despite HSC is characterized by excellent load carrying capacity, its brittleness increases with the increase of compressive strength which limits the practical use.

Ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC), evolving from reactive powder concrete (RPC), is a promising construction material that contains fibres, a low water-binder ratio and a high micro-silica content with the elimination of coarse aggregates [3]. Compared with conventional concretes, UHPC is known for its outstanding strength, toughness, durability, ductility, serviceability and safety [4-8], and such characteristics

enable its great potential to be an ideal construction material in impact and blast resistant designs of structures.

A small number of high-velocity impact tests have been conducted to explore the dynamic behaviours of UHPC targets subjected to high-velocity projectile impacts. Feng et al. [9] studied the dynamic response of a double-layered target of UHPC and armour steel subjected to an armour-piercing projectile impact at 820 m/s, and it was found that the fibres in UHPC targets can effectively refine the crack propagation in the localized ballistic tunnel area due to the fibre bridging effect. Máca et al. [10] explored impact responses of 150 MPa UHPC thin slabs with various volume fractions of steel fibres subjected to the steel-jacketed projectile penetration at 700 m/s. The test results demonstrated that UHPC had much greater impact resistance than the traditional fibre reinforced concrete, but any further increase of the fibre volume fraction beyond 1% had no obvious effect on DOP, and the crater diameter tended to remain a constant when the fibre volume fraction was between 2% and 3%. With the purpose of improving the hardness of UHPC and further increasing its impact resistance against projectile penetration especially for DOP, coarse aggregates were added into the matrix of UHPC, though the tensile strength of concrete might be reduced to some extent accordingly. Wu et al. [11] investigated the impact resistance of 35–142 MPa ultra-high performance cement based composites (UHPCC) with the additions of basalt aggregates and steel fibres through conducting the high-velocity impact tests with the broad striking velocities from 510 m/s to 1320 m/s. In this study, influences of fibre content, basalt aggregate, target strength and impact velocity on the impact response of UHPCC targets were investigated. Besides, Wu et al. [12] further investigated the impact response of 110–130 MPa UHPCC targets with the addition of corundum aggregates and steel fibres against projectile penetration from 510 m/s to 850 m/s. It was found that the aggregate size and content also had an effect on the impact response of UHPCC targets such as DOP, area and volume of the impact crater.

In recent years, a newly designed UHPC material with nano-material and fibre additions was developed [13-15]. It has been found that the mechanical properties of the newly designed UHPC material are significantly improved, which demonstrates its potential utilization in the protective engineering against impact and blast loadings. A large number of tests [16-21] have been carried out to investigate the blast performance of the

newly designed UHPC material and the test results showed its much better capacity to resist blast loadings than conventional concretes, but limited studies were concentrated on its impact resistance against high-velocity projectile penetration.

The addition of fibres in UHPC improves the strength and toughness, which further enhances the impact behaviour, but insignificant improvement of impact resistance occurs when the volumetric fraction of fibres exceeds a certain amount. Additionally, achievements have been hindered by the high cost of fibres, so an investigation of new protective materials with relatively low-cost reinforcement to replace fibres in UHPC is also necessary.

Steel wire mesh with high ductility and strain capacity has a good effect on the energy absorption [22-24], and it has been added in UHPC to replace steel fibres to resist blast loadings [25-27]. According to the previous research [28, 29], a number of tests were conducted to investigate the effect of steel wire mesh on conventional concrete targets under projectile impacts. Dancygier and Yankelevsky [28] investigated the impact response of HSC targets reinforced with a layer of 5 mm diameter steel mesh with spacing of 100 mm and two layers of 0.5 mm diameter steel wire mesh with spacing of 7 mm against the sharp-nosed projectile penetration with striking velocities from 85 m/s and 230 m/s, and the results denoted that steel wire mesh can effectively reduce the localized damage, especially in DOP and crack propagation, but the observations should also consider the amount of reinforcement. Kamal and Eltehewy [29] studied the impact response of NSC blocks reinforced by a different number of layers of woven wire steel mesh (Ferrocement) penetrated by the steel blunt-nose projectile with a mass of 175 g and a striking velocity of about 980 m/s. The main findings demonstrated that DOP and localized damage in the front and rear face of concrete targets showed an overall reduction by using steel wire meshes as a reinforcement compared with the plain concrete target.

Another concern about UHPC to resist projectile impact is that although UHPC has been a promising material in terms of the impact resistance which can be directly used in the structural components in civil and military constructions, optimal structural designs with different reinforcement configurations are under extensive investigation to maximize the impact resistance of UHPC components. The outstanding mechanical properties of ceramic panels such as light weight, great hardness and high compressive

strength are widely exploited as the reinforcement or shielding for the metal structures [30-33] or concrete structures [34] to resist projectile impact. However, some disadvantages limit its use in ballistic design when the expensive panel is penetrated or perforated by a projectile even in a small area and the whole panel becomes non-repairable and requires complete replacement, so the design of a new ceramic structure as a protective part on UHPC targets is supposed to be taken into consideration.

Attempts have been made to build and optimize the material model for accurate simulations of steel fibre reinforced concrete targets and even UHPC targets using finite element (FE) method to document the potential relationship between variables of impact response, reducing even replacing complicated experiments. Wang et al. [2] used Concrete Damage Rel3 (Mat_72 Rel3) to simulate localized damages of fiber-reinforced high-strength concretes and strain hardening cement-based composites due to the high-velocity projectile impact tests, where the numerical model predicted DOP well. Prakash et al. [35] used the Riedal Hiermaier Thomas (RHT) concrete model (Mat_272) to successfully predict the local damages of fiber-reinforced high-strength concrete targets induced by the projectile impact, in which good agreement was found to corroborate well with experimental results. Zhang et al. [36] employed Winfrith Concrete (Mat_84) to reproduce the impact phenomenon of UHPC slabs subjected to engine missile hitting and the numerical results showed good agreement with the test results in terms of engine deformation, target damage and residual velocity of missiles. Hu et al. [37] and Lai et al. [38] adapted Johnson Holmquist Concrete (Mat_111) to describe the dynamic behaviours of UHPC targets under projectile impacts involving DOP and crater damages.

FE method has been widely used to simulate the impact behaviours of concrete targets under high-velocity projectile impact, but it is not capable of handling large distortions of elements under such extreme loading conditions, which could cause computational overflow and inaccurate predictions. With the aims to avoid the computational overflow caused by element distortions, “erosion algorithm” is always used to delete elements that suffer large distortions, but the deletion of elements breaches the mass and momentum conservations. Also, the debris information after impact is impossible to be captured. In order to overcome these disadvantages brought by FE methods, various mesh-free methods such as smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) [39, 40], element

free Galerkin (EFG) [41], etc. has been proposed and developed in recent decades [42-44]. As the most widely used mesh-free method, SPH method has been successfully used in failure and fragmentation of brittle materials under projectile impact loadings. Sovják et al. [45] successfully used the SPH method to predict the local damages of UHPC targets induced by the projectile impact, in which good agreement was found in the shape of the shear crack pattern of UHPC targets. Lai et al. [46] also used the same method to simulate the fracture process and damage development of anti-penetration layer protected UHPC targets under high-velocity projectile impact. Although SPH method is effective in numerical simulations of projectile penetration, there are some shortcomings to limit its use in dynamic problems, such as tensile instability, higher computational cost than traditional FE methods and boundary condition problems. Therefore, hybrid FE and SPH methods are often considered to make full use of the good properties of both methods.

1.2 Research goals

This research was conducted to experimentally and numerically investigate the impact response of the novel UHPC and UHPC-based structures subjected to high-velocity projectile impacts. The specific topics as reported in the thesis comprise:

- 1) Experimental and numerical investigations of dynamic behaviours of UHPC targets under high-velocity projectile impacts;
- 2) Exploring the influence of the properties of target and projectile on the impact response of UHPC targets, and building an empirical model to predict DOP of UHPC targets under projectile impacts based on the parametric studies;
- 3) Experimental and numerical investigations of dynamic behaviours of steel wire mesh reinforced RPC targets under high-velocity projectile impacts;
- 4) Exploring the influence of the properties of steel wire meshes on the impact response of reinforced RPC targets, and building an empirical model to predict DOP of reinforced RPC targets under projectile impacts based on the parametric studies;
- 5) Numerically designing and testing a novel structure of ceramic balls protecting on thin and thick UHPC targets against rigid projectile impacts.

1.3 Outlines

This thesis consists of seven chapters. The six chapters following this introductory chapter are organized as below:

Chapter 2 conducts the numerical simulation of the high-velocity projectile impact tests on UHPC targets with steel fibres. Parametric studies are carried out to explore how the variables of UHPC target and projectile affect the impact response of UHPC targets. Moreover, an empirical formula to predict DOP of UHPC targets is derived based on the simulation data;

Chapter 3 experimentally and numerically investigates the impact response of UHPC targets with different types of fibres as well as projectile abrasions and damages subjected to high-velocity projectile penetration, and then the results are compared with the empirical formula as proposed in Chapter 2;

Chapter 4 focuses on the impact response of reactive powder concrete (RPC) targets reinforced with 44-layer steel wire meshes under projectile impacts by experimental and numerical methods. With the validated numerical models, parametric studies are then conducted to investigate the influence of the properties of steel wire mesh on the reinforced RPC targets when subjected to projectile penetration;

Chapter 5 conducts a further numerical study on the influence of steel wire mesh on the impact performance and an empirical equation derived from the simulation data is proposed to predict DOP of reinforced RPC targets. Besides, the energy evolution of the projectile and steel wire meshes during projectile penetration is discussed;

Chapter 6 numerically tests the dynamic behaviours of ceramic balls protected thin and thick UHPC targets against rigid projectile impacts. Parametric studies are then carried out on thin UHPC slabs to investigate the influence of the properties of ceramic balls. Furthermore, DOPs of ceramic balls protected UHPC targets are obtained at three striking velocities and the results are compared to the existing advanced UHPC targets from the previous studies;

Chapter 7 summarizes the major findings of this research, together with some suggestions for future study.

References

- [1] Zhang M, Shim V, Lu G, and Chew C, Resistance of high-strength concrete to projectile impact. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2005. 31(7): p. 825-841.
- [2] Wang S, Le HTN, Poh LH, Feng H, and Zhang M-H, Resistance of high-performance fiber-reinforced cement composites against high-velocity projectile impact. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2016. 95: p. 89-104.
- [3] Wille K, Naaman AE, and Parra-Montesinos GJ, Ultra-High Performance Concrete with Compressive Strength Exceeding 150 MPa (22 ksi): A Simpler Way. *ACI Materials Journal*, 2011. 108(1).
- [4] Lampropoulos A, Paschalis SA, Tsioulou O, and Dritsos SE, Strengthening of reinforced concrete beams using ultra high performance fibre reinforced concrete (UHPFRC). *Engineering Structures*, 2016. 106: p. 370-384.
- [5] Ng KW, Garder J, and Sritharan S, Investigation of ultra high performance concrete piles for integral abutment bridges. *Engineering Structures*, 2015. 105: p. 220-230.
- [6] Tanarslan H, Flexural strengthening of RC beams with prefabricated ultra high performance fibre reinforced concrete laminates. *Engineering Structures*, 2017. 151: p. 337-348.
- [7] Yang I-H, Joh C, Lee JW, and Kim B-S, Torsional behavior of ultra-high performance concrete squared beams. *Engineering Structures*, 2013. 56: p. 372-383.
- [8] Yoo D-Y and Yoon Y-S, Structural performance of ultra-high-performance concrete beams with different steel fibers. *Engineering Structures*, 2015. 102: p. 409-423.
- [9] Feng J, Sun W, Liu Z, Cui C, and Wang X, An armour-piercing projectile penetration in a double-layered target of ultra-high-performance fiber reinforced concrete and armour steel: Experimental and numerical analyses. *Materials & Design*, 2016. 102: p. 131-141.
- [10] Máca P, Sovják R, and Konvalinka P, Mix design of UHPFRC and its response to projectile impact. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2014. 63: p. 158-163.

- [11] Wu H, Fang Q, Chen X, Gong Z, and Liu J, Projectile penetration of ultra-high performance cement based composites at 510–1320m/s. *Construction and Building Materials*, 2015. 74: p. 188-200.
- [12] Wu H, Fang Q, Gong J, Liu J, Zhang J, and Gong Z, Projectile impact resistance of corundum aggregated UHP-SFRC. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2015. 84: p. 38-53.
- [13] Su Y, Wu C, Li J, Li Z-X, and Li W, Development of novel ultra-high performance concrete: From material to structure. *Construction and Building Materials*, 2017. 135: p. 517-528.
- [14] Su Y, Li J, Wu C, Wu P, and Li Z-X, Influences of nano-particles on dynamic strength of ultra-high performance concrete. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 2016. 91: p. 595-609.
- [15] Su Y, Li J, Wu C, Wu P, and Li Z-X, Effects of steel fibres on dynamic strength of UHPC. *Construction and Building Materials*, 2016. 114: p. 708-718.
- [16] Xu J, Wu C, Xiang H, Su Y, Li Z-X, Fang Q, Hao H, Liu Z, Zhang Y, and Li J, Behaviour of ultra high performance fibre reinforced concrete columns subjected to blast loading. *Engineering Structures*, 2016. 118: p. 97-107.
- [17] Li J, Wu C, Hao H, Wang Z, and Su Y, Experimental investigation of ultra-high performance concrete slabs under contact explosions. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2016. 93: p. 62-75.
- [18] Li J, Wu C, Hao H, Su Y, and Liu Z, Blast resistance of concrete slab reinforced with high performance fibre material. *Journal of Structural Integrity and Maintenance*, 2016. 1(2): p. 51-59.
- [19] Li J, Wu C, Hao H, and Liu Z, Post-blast capacity of ultra-high performance concrete columns. *Engineering Structures*, 2017. 134: p. 289-302.
- [20] Li J, Wu C, and Hao H, An experimental and numerical study of reinforced ultra-high performance concrete slabs under blast loads. *Materials & Design*, 2015. 82: p. 64-76.
- [21] Li J, Wu C, and Hao H, Investigation of ultra-high performance concrete slab and normal strength concrete slab under contact explosion. *Engineering Structures*, 2015. 102: p. 395-408.

- [22] Cengiz O and Turanli L, Comparative evaluation of steel mesh, steel fibre and high-performance polypropylene fibre reinforced shotcrete in panel test. *Cement and concrete research*, 2004. 34(8): p. 1357-1364.
- [23] Ding Y and Kusterle W, Comparative study of steel fibre-reinforced concrete and steel mesh-reinforced concrete at early ages in panel tests. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 1999. 29(11): p. 1827-1834.
- [24] Quek S, Lin V, and Maalej M, Development of functionally-graded cementitious panel against high-velocity small projectile impact. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2010. 37(8): p. 928-941.
- [25] Li J, Wu C, Hao H, and Su Y, Experimental and numerical study on steel wire mesh reinforced concrete slab under contact explosion. *Materials & Design*, 2017. 116: p. 77-91.
- [26] Li J, Wu C, Hao H, Su Y, and Li Z-X, A study of concrete slabs with steel wire mesh reinforcement under close-in explosive loads. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2017.
- [27] Li J, Wu C, and Liu Z-X, Comparative evaluation of steel wire mesh, steel fibre and high performance polyethylene fibre reinforced concrete slabs in blast tests. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 2017.
- [28] Dancygier A and Yankelevsky D, High strength concrete response to hard projectile impact. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 1996. 18(6): p. 583-599.
- [29] Kamal I and Eltehwewy E, Projectile penetration of reinforced concrete blocks: Test and analysis. *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics*, 2012. 60(1): p. 31-37.
- [30] Garcia-Avila M, Portanova M, and Rabiei A, Ballistic performance of composite metal foams. *Composite Structures*, 2015. 125: p. 202-211.
- [31] Guo X, Sun X, Tian X, Weng G, Ouyang Q, and Zhu L, Simulation of ballistic performance of a two-layered structure of nanostructured metal and ceramic. *Composite Structures*, 2016. 157: p. 163-173.
- [32] Pawar M, Patnaik A, Biswas S, Pandel U, Bhat I, Chatterjee S, Mukhopadhyay AK, Banerjee R, and Babu B, Comparison of ballistic performances of Al₂O₃ and AlN ceramics. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2016. 98: p. 42-51.

- [33] Serjouei A, Gour G, Zhang X, Idapalapati S, and Tan G, On improving ballistic limit of bi-layer ceramic-metal armor. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2017. 105: p. 54-67.
- [34] Tawadrous RI, Attia WA, and Laissy MY, Using ceramic plates as shielding for concrete blocks against projectile penetration. *HBRC Journal*, 2016. 12(3): p. 263-271.
- [35] Prakash A, Srinivasan S, and Rao ARM, Numerical investigation on steel fibre reinforced cementitious composite panels subjected to high velocity impact loading. *Materials & Design*, 2015. 83: p. 164-175.
- [36] Zhang T, Wu H, Fang Q, Huang T, Gong Z, and Peng Y, UHP-SFRC panels subjected to aircraft engine impact: Experiment and numerical simulation. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2017. 109: p. 276-292.
- [37] Hu F, Wu H, Fang Q, Liu J, Liang B, and Kong X, Impact performance of explosively formed projectile (EFP) into concrete targets. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2017. 109: p. 150-166.
- [38] Lai J, Guo X, and Zhu Y, Repeated penetration and different depth explosion of ultra-high performance concrete. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2015. 84: p. 1-12.
- [39] Gingold RA and Monaghan JJ, Smoothed particle hydrodynamics: theory and application to non-spherical stars. *Monthly notices of the royal astronomical society*, 1977. 181(3): p. 375-389.
- [40] Lucy LB, A numerical approach to the testing of the fission hypothesis. *The astronomical journal*, 1977. 82: p. 1013-1024.
- [41] Belytschko T, Lu YY, and Gu L, Element-free Galerkin methods. *International journal for numerical methods in engineering*, 1994. 37(2): p. 229-256.
- [42] Chen J-S, Hillman M, and Chi S-W, Meshfree methods: progress made after 20 years. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 2017. 143(4): p. 04017001.
- [43] Liu G-R, *Mesh free methods: moving beyond the finite element method*. 2002: CRC press.
- [44] Nguyen VP, Rabczuk T, Bordas S, and Duflot M, Meshless methods: a review and computer implementation aspects. *Mathematics and computers in simulation*, 2008. 79(3): p. 763-813.

- [45] Sovják R, Vavříník T, Zatloukal J, Máca P, Mičunek T, and Frydrýn M, Resistance of slim UHPFRC targets to projectile impact using in-service bullets. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2015. 76: p. 166-177.
- [46] Lai J, Wang H, Yang H, Zheng X, and Wang Q, Dynamic properties and SPH simulation of functionally graded cementitious composite subjected to repeated penetration. *Construction and Building Materials*, 2017. 146: p. 54-65.

CHAPTER 2: NUMERICAL STUDY OF ULTRA-HIGH PERFORMANCE CONCRETE UNDER NON-DEFORMABLE PROJECTILE PENETRATION

Jian Liu^a, Chengqing Wu^a, Xiaowei Chen^b

^a Centre for Built Infrastructure Research, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia.

^b State Key Laboratory of Explosion Science and Technology, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China

Abstract

This paper presents a numerical study in evaluating impact response of cylindrical ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC) targets under projectile impact with broad striking velocities from 300 m/s to 1000 m/s. Steel ogive-nosed projectiles with a mass of 360 g are launched to penetrate UHPC targets with 750 mm diameter and 1000 mm length. The Karagozian & Case (K&C) cementitious concrete model, namely, *MAT_CONCRETE_DAMAGE_REL3 (Mat_72R3), is implemented into finite element package LS-DYNA to build UHPC targets. In order to accurately predict the depth of penetration (DOP) and cratering damage of UHPC targets, uniaxial compressive and four-point bending testing results are used to validate 3D finite element material model. With the validated numerical model incorporating dynamic increase factors (DIF) of UHPC, parametric studies are conducted to investigate effects of UHPC compressive strength, projectile striking velocity and projectile Calibre Radius Head (CRH) ratio on both DOP and cratering damage of UHPC targets. Moreover, an empirical formula to predict DOP is derived according to the simulated data.

Keywords: Ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC); Projectile; LS-DYNA; Depth of penetration (DOP); Cratering damage

[Production Note: This paper is not included in this digital copy due to copyright restrictions.]

Liu, J., Wu, C. and Chen, X., 2017. Numerical study of ultra-high performance concrete under non-deformable projectile penetration. *Construction and Building Materials*, 135, pp.447-458.

View/Download from: [Publisher's site](#)

CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL STUDIES OF ULTRA-HIGH PERFORMANCE CONCRETE TARGETS AGAINST HIGH-VELOCITY PROJECTILE IMPACTS

¹Jian Liu, ¹Chengqing Wu, ¹Yu Su, ¹Jun Li, ²Ruizhe Shao, ³Gang Chen, ²Zhongxian Liu

¹Centre for Built Infrastructure Research, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia

²Tianjin Key Laboratory of Civil Structure Protection and Reinforcement, Tianjin Chengjian University, Tianjin 300384, China

³Institute of systems engineering, China Academy of Engineering Physics, China

Abstract

Ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC) which is known for high strength, high toughness, excellent ductility and good energy absorption capacity can be adopted as an ideal material in the impact resistant design of structures. In the present study, impact responses of UHPC targets with 3 Vol-% ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) fibres and UHPC targets with 3 Vol-% steel fibres are experimentally investigated subjected to high-velocity projectile penetration, and plain concrete targets under the same loading scenarios are also tested as control specimens for comparative purpose. In addition, numerical studies are conducted to simulate the projectile penetration process into UHPC targets with the assistance of a computer program LS-DYNA. The numerical results in terms of the depth of penetration (DOP) and crater diameter as well as projectile abrasions and damages are compared with the experimental results. Moreover, DOPs of these two types of UHPC targets obtained from tests are compared with the previously proposed empirical model.

Keywords: Ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC); Projectile penetration; Depth of penetration (DOP); Crater diameter

[Production Note: This paper is not included in this digital copy due to copyright restrictions.]

Liu, J., Wu, C., Su, Y., Li, J., Shao, R., Chen, G. and Liu, Z., 2018. Experimental and numerical studies of ultra-high performance concrete targets against high-velocity projectile impacts. *Engineering Structures*, 173, pp.166-179.

View/Download from: [Publisher's site](#)

CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL STUDY OF REACTIVE POWDER CONCRETE REINFORCED WITH STEEL WIRE MESH AGAINST PROJECTILE PENETRATION

¹Jian Liu, ¹Chengqing Wu, ¹Jun Li, ¹Yu Su, ²Ruizhe Shao, ²Zhongxian Liu, ³Gang Chen

*¹Centre for Built Infrastructure Research, School of Civil and Environmental
Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia.*

*²Tianjin Key Laboratory of Civil Structure Protection and Reinforcement, Tianjin
Chengjian University, Tianjin 300384, China*

³Institute of systems engineering, China Academy of Engineering Physics, China

Abstract

This paper presents experimental and numerical studies on impact resistance of reactive powder concrete (RPC) targets reinforced with 44-layer steel wire meshes. Steel ogive-nosed projectiles with an average mass of 330 g and striking velocities ranging from 550 m/s to 800 m/s were launched against cylindrical RPC targets with 750 mm diameter and 700 mm thickness. The impact responses observed in the tests, including depth of penetration (DOP), crater diameter and volume loss, were investigated and discussed, which indicates an effective impact resistance in terms of DOP and crater diameter in comparison with the previous studies on ultra-high performance based cement composites (UHPCC) target with additions of fibres and basalt aggregates. Numerical studies based on the validated material and element model are also conducted to simulate the impact response of reinforced RPC targets against high-velocity projectile penetration in explicit hydro-code LS-DYNA. The localized damage, especially DOP, is well predicted by using the numerical models. Moreover, further investigation based on the verified numerical models is discussed in the present paper to explore the influence of mechanical and physical properties of steel wire mesh reinforcement on the resistance of projectile penetration.

Keywords: Reactive powder concrete (RPC); Steel wire mesh; Projectile penetration; DOP; crater diameter

[Production Note: This paper is not included in this digital copy due to copyright restrictions.]

Liu, J., Wu, C., Li, J., Su, Y., Shao, R., Liu, Z. and Chen, G., 2017. Experimental and numerical study of reactive powder concrete reinforced with steel wire mesh against projectile penetration. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 109, pp.131-149.

View/Download from: [Publisher's site](#)

CHAPTER 5: NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION OF REACTIVE POWDER CONCRETE REINFORCED WITH STEEL WIRE MESH AGAINST HIGH-VELOCITY PROJECTILE PENETRATION

Jian Liu^a, Chengqing Wu^a, Jun Li^a, Yu Su^a, Xiaowei Chen^b

^a *Centre for Built Infrastructure Research, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia.*

^b *State Key Laboratory of Explosion Science and Technology, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China*

Abstract

This paper numerically investigates the effects of steel wire mesh reinforcement on reactive powder concrete (RPC) targets subjected to high-velocity projectile penetration. A numerical model based on a computer program called LS-DYNA was validated with experimental data concerning the depth of penetration (DOP) and crater diameter of reinforced RPC targets. With the validated numerical model, a series of parametric studies are conducted to investigate how the variables of steel wire mesh reinforcement such as the configuration of steel wire meshes, number of layers, space between layers, space between steel wires per layer, as well as the diameter and tensile strength of steel wires affect DOP and crater diameter of reinforced RPC targets. Moreover, the energy evolution of the projectile and steel wire meshes during projectile penetration is discussed. Based on the results of parametric studies, an empirical equation derived from the simulation data is proposed to predict DOP of reinforced RPC targets.

Keywords: Reactive powder concrete (RPC); Steel wire mesh; Projectile penetration; Depth of penetration (DOP); Crater diameter; Internal energy

[Production Note: This paper is not included in this digital copy due to copyright restrictions.]

Liu, J., Wu, C., Li, J., Su, Y. and Chen, X., 2018. Numerical investigation of reactive powder concrete reinforced with steel wire mesh against high-velocity projectile penetration. *Construction and Building Materials*, 166, pp.855-872.

View/Download from: [Publisher's site](#)

CHAPTER 6: CERAMIC BALLS PROTECTED ULTRA HIGH PERFORMANCE CONCRETE STRUCTURE AGAINST PROJECTILE IMPACT - A NUMERICAL STUDY

Jian Liu*, Chengqing Wu*, Jun Li, Jianguang Fang, Yu Su, Ruizhe Shao

Centre for Built Infrastructure Research, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia

Abstract

Ceramic materials have excellent mechanical properties such as light weight, great hardness and high compressive strength. In this paper, a numerical study is conducted to investigate the response of ceramic balls protected ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC) targets against the high-velocity rigid projectile impact using the coupled smoothed particle hydrodynamics-finite element (SPH-FE) method in LS-DYNA. Based on the validated numerical models, parametric studies are performed to explore the effect of diameter, spatial arrangement and material type of ceramic balls as well as the impact position on the dynamic performance of UHPC targets, and then perforation and ballistic limits of ceramic balls protected UHPC targets are obtained. Compared with other UHPC slabs at the striking velocities from 500 m/s to 850 m/s, UHPC slabs protected with 6-layer hex-pack arranged ceramic balls with the diameter of 20 mm is most effective in terms of reducing the depth of penetration (DOP). In addition, the utilization of ceramic balls is economical in protective structures since the damaged ceramic balls can be replaced and undamaged ceramic balls are reusable.

Keywords: Ceramic balls; UHPC; SPH-FE method; Projectile impact

[Production Note: This paper is not included in this digital copy due to copyright restrictions.]

Liu, J., Wu, C., Li, J., Fang, J., Su, Y. and Shao, R., 2019. Ceramic balls protected ultra-high performance concrete structure against projectile impact—A numerical study. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 125, pp.143-162.

View/Download from: [Publisher's site](#)

CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Major findings

This thesis mainly presented two approaches including experiment and numerical simulation to investigate dynamic behaviours of novel UHPC and UHPC-based structures under high-velocity projectile impacts. Based on the simulation data from parametric studies, empirical equations were proposed to predict the depth of penetration (DOP) of concrete targets. The major findings of this research are concluded in this section.

7.1.1 UHPC

Dynamic behaviours of UHPC targets with 3 Vol-% ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) fibres and UHPC targets with 3 Vol-% steel fibres were evaluated subjected to high-velocity projectile penetration from ~550 m/s to ~800 m/s and compared with numerical simulations. Prior to tests, parametric studies were conducted to explore the variables that influence the dynamic behaviours of UHPC targets reinforced with steel fibres with validated numerical models. Based on the simulation data, an empirical model was proposed to predict DOP of UHPC targets. Some conclusions can be drawn as follows:

- 1) UHPC reinforced with UHMWPE fibres performs much better than the plain concrete without fibres regarding DOP, crater diameter and volume loss because fibres can provide the greater strength, toughness and bridging, and UHPC reinforced with steel fibres is superior to both;
- 2) In the non-deformable (rigid) projectile penetration regime, DOP and crater diameter of UHPC targets enlarge with the increase of the projectile striking velocity and the decrease of the concrete compressive strength. The sharper head of projectiles can lead to the increase of DOP values but the decrease of crater diameters of UHPC targets;
- 3) The calibrated concrete model can reasonably reproduce DOP of UHPC targets under high-velocity projectile penetration, but the concrete model overestimates the crater diameter. However, the variation tendency of crater diameters versus the

projectile striking velocity observed from numerical simulations shows good agreement with experimental results;

- 4) The proposed empirical model to predict DOP shows the fair agreement with the test data of UHPC-SF targets, while this model is too conservative for UHPC targets with UHMWPE fibres especially when the striking velocity of projectiles exceeds 675 m/s.

7.1.2 Steel wire mesh reinforced RPC

Dynamic behaviours of RPC targets with 44-layer steel wire mesh were evaluated subjected to high-velocity projectile penetration from ~550 m/s to ~800 m/s and compared with numerical simulations. With the validated numerical models, parametric studies were conducted to explore the variables of steel wire mesh that influence the dynamic behaviours of reinforced RPC targets. Based on the simulation data, an empirical model was conducted to predict DOP of reinforced RPC targets. Some conclusions can be drawn as follows:

- 1) The spiral distribution of steel wire mesh reinforcement effectively reflects and refracts the stress wave produced by projectile impact because of the dense grids, which can contribute to an outstanding impact performance under projectile penetration;
- 2) The impact performance of reinforced RPC targets improves with the increase of steel volumetric fraction, including increasing number of steel wire mesh layers, enlarging steel wire diameter and densifying mesh grid size. The impact performance of reinforced RPC targets is only slightly influenced by the tensile strength of steel wires and the space between layers when the projectile completely perforates the protective part of steel wire meshes;
- 3) The calibrated numerical models can reasonably reproduce the impact responses of steel wire mesh reinforced RPC targets regarding DOP, crater damage, as well as abrasion and damage of projectiles;
- 4) The empirical model is proposed to predict DOP of steel wire mesh reinforced RPC targets and it shows good agreement with experimental data.

7.1.3 Ceramic balls protected UHPC

Dynamic behaviours of ceramic balls protected thin and thick UHPC slabs were investigated against rigid projectile impacts at velocities between 500 m/s and 800 m/s through using the SPH-FE method in LS-DYNA. Parametric studies were then conducted on thin UHPC slabs to investigate the influence from impact location, diameter, spatial arrangement and material properties of ceramic balls. After that, perforation and ballistic limits of ceramic balls protected thin UHPC slabs were obtained. DOP of ceramic balls protected thick UHPC targets was explored and compared with the existing advanced UHPC targets from the previous studies. Some conclusions can be obtained herein:

- 1) After projectile penetration, only a small number of ceramic balls close to the impact region are damaged and the ceramic balls far away from the impact region do not suffer such severe brittle damages. These observations prove reusable of undamaged ceramic balls in protective structures;
- 2) The hex-pack arranged ceramic balls protected UHCP slabs have the better impact performance to reduce the residual velocity of projectiles than the checkerboard arranged ceramic balls protected UHPC slabs, but the former tends to change the ballistic trajectory more possibly than the latter;
- 3) When the diameter of ceramic balls is not much greater than the projectile diameter, the residual velocity of projectiles after impact is only slightly affected by the various impact positions and the extent of the effect will be reduced with the decrease of ceramic balls;
- 4) Ceramic balls with the smaller diameter are more effective in the impact resistance because of the higher compaction, because they can consume the more kinetic energy of projectiles. However, the ballistic trajectory of ceramic balls with the larger diameter is easier to be altered;
- 5) At the impact velocity within 800 m/s, 6-layer D20 ceramic balls in the hex-pack arrangement protected on the thick UHPC slabs have the smaller value of DOP compared with the existing advanced UHPC targets.

7.2 Recommendations for future work

On the basis of current research outcomes, further improvement could be made in the future study as follows:

- 1) In the current research, the high-velocity projectile impact tests were conducted at the striking velocity within 1000 m/s, in which the impact response of concrete targets is highly related to the mechanical properties. When the striking velocity of the projectile is ultra-high that is over 1000 m/s, the equation of state of concrete targets may play a major role in the dynamic behaviours. Therefore, experimental and numerical tests are suggested to be undertaken to investigate the impact response of UHPC and other UHPC-based structures under the projectile impact over 1000 m/s;
- 2) Although Mat_72_Rel3 can well reproduce DOP of UHPC targets under high-velocity projectile penetration, it overestimates the crater damages. This indicates that the numerical model for UHPC needs to be refined. Significant efforts should be placed on such fundamental work to address this issue;
- 3) Portland Cement-based UHPC materials in the present research have excellent impact resistance against projectile penetration, while high cement dosage of UHPC is a critical concern that not only raises the cost, but also reduces the sustainability and limits the practical engineering applications. To solve these environmental problems, developing new concrete materials that are cost-efficient but more environmentally friendly and sustainable for partial or full replacement of cement from the concrete mixture will overcome this detrimental environmental impact;
- 4) Numerical investigations indicated that ceramic balls are effective in maximizing the impact resistance of UHPC components as a protective part, and they are also economical in protective structures since the damaged ceramic balls can be replaced and undamaged ceramic balls are reusable. However, real projectile impact tests are still in need to justify the assumptions.