



Learning in a changing climate: an ethnographic study from the Global South

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Raviro Chineka

Supervisor: Dr Keiko Yasukawa

Alternate supervisor: Prof Chris Riedy

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences, University of Technology Sydney

April 2019

Certificate of original authorship

I, Raviro Chineka declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy degree in the School of Education, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences at the University of Technology Sydney. This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 9 April 2019

Acknowledgements

This dissertation is my own work, but it would have not been possible to accomplish without the input of several individuals and organisations, too many to mention by name. However, I will name a few prominent contributors.

My heart goes out to my research participants: all the children in the Eco-Schools Club at my study site and their families. However, special mention goes to the children who participated in individual interviews; I will keep their names and those of their families anonymous to protect their privacy. I dedicate this thesis to these and other children out there who put in their all to make the world a better place to be, the children who shoulder the world's problems and clean up a mess they never created while adults create even more mess. I deeply appreciate their parents and guardians for not only allowing their children to participate in the study, but also for sharing insights into how community learning and adaptation takes place. My heart goes out to the two traditional leaders and the one family, a more successful family who serves as a role model for other farmers in the community. I am heavily indebted to the three teachers who served as patrons to the school eco-club, and the founder and national patron of the eco-schools programme, as well as the three government agencies who served as key sources of data.

No amount of words can express my gratitude to my principal supervisor, Dr Keiko Yasukawa for walking me through my PhD journey. She pushed me softly, but hard enough to get the best out of me and to ensure that my thesis is of exceedingly high academic integrity. Thank you, Keiko, it has been a painful journey considering all the social challenges I had to deal with, but you were there academically and emotionally. I am grateful for the support I received from A/Professor Chris Riedy. While you were meant to be an alternate supervisor, you went a mile further and assumed the role of assistant supervisor. I am greatly indebted to your insightful reviews, Chris. My heart also goes out to everyone who reviewed my work as I progressed through various stages of my PhD journey. Too many to mention by name, but special mention goes to Dr Marie Manidis; she was meant to mentor me for just the first six months into my PhD, but she held my hand until the last day. Thank you, Marie, I benefited from your academic maturity and of course you taught me the art

of writing. I am also grateful to Allison Turner for professionally copy editing the thesis for clarity, redundancy, punctuation grammar and spelling.

This study would not have been possible without the financial sponsorship of the Commonwealth Government of Australia and the University of Technology Sydney; I can never express my gratitude. Special mention goes to the Sponsored Students office, the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences Research Office and the Graduate Research School; I felt heavily supported throughout my PhD journey.

Doing a PhD can be socially alienating, but I am forever grateful to my colleagues Pauline, Harriet, Fiona, Linda and Lisa for the moral and emotional support they gave me especially when the chips were down. This PhD has been a painful journey; I got sick, my son developed a speech problem, my father got sick and eventually died – rest in peace, Dad – and I felt like giving up. It was overwhelming, but these lovely souls kept reminding me of our motto “no one gives up, we shall all graduate, so we will fake it until we make it”. It felt like we were one big class. Thank you, ladies, you helped me to fake it until I made it.

Last, but not least, my heart goes out to my family; I wouldn't have made it without your support. I have been an absentee wife and mother, but you loved me and supported me all the same.

Statement indicating format of thesis

This is a conventional thesis.

Contents

Certificate of original authorship	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Statement indicating format of thesis	iv
Contents	v
List of tables	xii
List of figures	xiii
List of acronyms	xiv
Abstract	xvi
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Contextual background	1
1.2 Learning for climate change mitigation and adaptation	2
1.2.1 The global picture	2
1.2.2 Climate change: The Zimbabwean experience and responses	6
1.2.2.1 The changing physical environment and its consequences	6
1.2.2.2 Government responses	10
1.2.2.3 The Eco-Schools programme	11
1.3 Research aims, questions and overview of approach	14
1.4 Organisation of the thesis	16
1.5 Conclusion	19
Chapter 2: Climate change learning and adaptation: Barriers and affordances	20
2.0 Introduction	20
2.1 Impact of climate change on agriculture	20
2.2 Climate change concern and human agency	22
2.3 Beyond knowing	23
2.4 Technical fixes, wicked problems, knowledge plurality and moving towards a post-normal science	25
2.5 Moving beyond adaptation and transitioning towards sustainability	27
2.6 Acknowledging non-Western ways of knowing	31
2.7 Climate change and Intergenerational learning	33
2.8 Conceptual analysis of key terms	36
2.9 Conclusion	42
Chapter 3: Theoretical perspectives informing climate change learning and adaptation	44
3.0 Introduction	44
3.1 Sociology of science and technology and the public understanding of science	45

3.2 Framing climate change adaptation as a sustainability transition	46
3.2.1 Origins of practice theory.....	48
3.2.2 Conceptualising practices.....	49
3.2.3 Implications for sustainability research.....	50
3.3 Engeström’s cultural historical activity theory (CHAT).....	55
3.3.1 Principles and components of activity system.....	55
3.3.2 Expansive learning.....	56
3.3.3 Disturbances	57
3.3.3.1 Managing disturbances.....	60
3.3.4 Managing interactions.....	61
3.4 Limitations of CHAT in informing sustainability transitions research.....	62
3.5 Conclusion	63
Chapter 4: Ethnographies of climate change	65
4.0 Introduction and overview of chapter.....	65
4.1 Situating the methodology within the broader context of the study.....	67
4.1.1 Substantive thesis for my study	67
4.2 Research approach.....	68
4.2.1 Arguing for a qualitative approach to researching climate change adaptation.....	68
4.2.2 In defense of ethnographic-style research	70
4.3 Researcher positioning.....	72
4.3.1 About me and my influence on the study.....	73
4.3.2 Managing researcher subjectivity	74
4.4 Research participants and their recruitment.....	75
4.4.1 Justifying the choice of research site	75
4.4.2 Miombo Environmental Education Centre and the Eco-School Programme.....	77
4.4.3 Children in the Eco-Schools Club	77
4.4.4 Teachers leading the Eco-Schools Club.....	79
4.4.5 Families with children in the Eco-Schools Club	79
4.4.6 The dominant voices.....	80
4.4.7 Negotiating Access	81
4.4.8 Sampling and sample size	82
4.5 Data collection procedures.....	83
4.5.1 Baseline.....	83
4.5.2 Participant narratives of climate change	84
4.5.3 Observation	86
4.5.4 Photography	87

4.5.5 Documental analysis	87
4.5.6 Other sources of data	88
4.5.7 Member checking	89
4.6 Ensuring quality	90
4.7 Data analysis	91
4.8 Limitations of the research design and methodology	96
4.9 Conclusion	97
Chapter 5: Defining and locating the key informants.....	98
5.0 Introduction.....	98
5.1 Locating the Mutema community	98
5.2 The key informants.....	101
5.3 Mhere family.....	103
5.4 Dzoros.....	105
5.5 Chimotos.....	107
5.6 Dehwas	109
5.7 Makwaras.....	111
5.8 Mabasas	112
5.9 Gwenzis	114
5.10 Mapanis.....	115
5.11 Chapter summary	117
Chapter 6: (Re)Conceptualising climate change; Voices from the community.....	119
6.0 Introduction and flow of chapter	119
6.1 Conceptualisations grounded in external knowledge sources.....	120
6.1.1 Complex climate change: Hard to articulate	121
6.2 Conceptualisations grounded in the everyday: learning as a connection.....	125
6.2.1 Climate change as a shift in rainfall patterns, occurrence of extreme weather and reduction in yields and food insecurity.....	126
6.2.2 Climate change as human-induced interaction with nature and a livelihoods dilemma	130
6.3. Conceptualisations grounded in beliefs and speculations:.....	132
6.3.1 Limits of local symbols and indicators	137
6.4 Climate change risk: forced migration, social injustice and social insecurity	138
6.5 Chapter summary	142
Chapter 7: Everyday practices and sustainable adaptation to climate change: Learning from the experts.....	145
7.0 Introduction and flow of the chapter	145
7.1 Self-regulated learning through trial and error informal experiments.....	147

7.1.1 Re-configuring planting regimes	148
7.1.2 Reducing hectarage and scaling up irrigation.....	151
7.1.3 Managing risk through polyculture.....	154
7.1.4 Wetlands and streambank farming	157
7.2 Reflecting on and modelling practices of others	159
7.2.1 Learning collaboratively through peer interaction.....	159
7.2.2 Learning from more successful farmers.....	162
7.2.3 Learning embedded in family history, traditions and customs	167
7.2.4 Other places and spaces for learning	169
7.3 Reflecting on and questioning cultural conventions: Capitalising on <i>vauyi</i> to introduce innovations in farming.....	171
7.3.1 Challenging conventional farming practices	171
7.3.2 Growing ‘foreign’ but drought tolerant crops	173
7.3.3 Reconceptualising the concept of decent food; Staple food and cultural identity ..	176
7.3.4 Restructuring meals and reducing food waste.....	180
7.3.5 Diversifying sources of livelihood.....	181
7.4 Learning new skills: organisational skills, anticipatory thinking, weather diaries	183
7.5 Chapter summary: Community innovations for climate change mitigation and adaptation	184
Chapter 8: Negotiating knowledge plurality in everyday practices	187
8.0 Introduction.....	187
8.1 Reaching communities remotely through Information and Communication Technologies and other media	188
8.2 Capacity building through marketing of new products and services.....	190
8.3 Using authority to update current models of environmental management	192
8.3.1 Capitalising on dominant voices to facilitate learning and change.....	193
8.3.2 Learning through observing and enacting public policy guidelines	195
8.3.3 When interventions engender miseducation.....	197
8.4 Using authority to update current models of farming: Learning through farmer extension services	199
8.4.1 When knowledge is stigmatised: The dilemma of conservation farming	203
8.4.2 Knowledge plurality, epistemic injustice and the role of green shows.....	206
8.4.3 Epistemic injustice and the limits of science.....	209
8.4.5 Intractable climate change.....	213
8.5 Summary: Synchronising abstract knowledge and context specific knowledge.....	216
Chapter 9: Children as agents of change in climate change adaptation.....	219
9.0 Introduction and flow of chapter	219

9.1 Vagoni Eco-Schools Club (ESC)	220
9.1.1 Thomas.....	221
9.1.2 Zvisineyi.....	221
9.1.3 Samantha.....	222
9.2 Affordances and barriers to learning and change	222
9.2.1 Learning collaboratively through peer interaction.....	222
9.2.2 Field visits and commemoration of dedicated events	223
9.2.3 Researching local sustainability issues: The Eco-Challenge.....	225
9.2.4 Learning embedded in the Eco-Schools ethos.....	226
9.2.4.1 Misalignment of objects of the ESC as a barrier to learning	228
9.2.4.2 The ESC is manual labour and embarrassing	229
9.2.4.3 The ESC is not a priority.....	230
9.2.4.4 The numbers are insignificant.....	231
9.3 Intergenerational learning: Children assuming the role of technical experts	232
9.3.1 Community outreach through the arts.....	233
9.3.2 Intergenerational discussions between children and adults: Grandiose ideas, small voices.....	234
9.3.2.1 Nelson: It is child's play.....	236
9.3.2.3 Nashley: It is convention that children have no voice.....	238
9.3.2.3 Children's ideas are risky	241
9.3.2.4 Vocal children are labelled arrogant and rebellious.....	243
9.3.2.5 Lack of meaningful parental involvement.....	244
9.4 Formal learning settings and the limits of academic knowledge.....	247
9.4.1 Silo mentality, limited content, abstract and examinations driven curriculum.....	247
9.4.2 Inadequate teacher preparation, complacency and limited government support	249
9.5 Learning from the research process.....	251
9.6 Summary: Locating children's agency within the socio-cultural context.....	253
Chapter 10: Summary of findings: Transitioning to a well adaptive community; Possibilities and constraints.....	256
10.0 Introduction and flow of chapter	256
10.1 Key findings: Learning and the emergence of new practices.....	257
10.1.1 Questioning and reflecting as key ingredients to learning	258
10.1.2 Nature of disturbances	262
10.1.2.1 Introducing new tools.....	263
10.1.2.2 Introducing new rules and conventions.....	267
10.1.2.3 Changing community composition	270
10.1.2.4 Reconfiguring division of labour within the community composition.....	272

10.1.3 Permeable the boundaries of learning	275
10.1.4 Innovating in diverse ways.....	278
10.1.5 Conceptualising adaptation	283
10.1.5.1 Adaptation as a complex interaction of a plethora of factors	284
10.1.5.2 Adapting as an iterative lifelong learning process	285
10.6 Summary: Affordances and barriers to adaptation	287
Chapter 11: Conclusion: Reconceptualising climate change adaptation	292
11.0 The case for my research and research questions.....	292
11.1 Findings from the study and responding to the research questions	295
11.1.1 Barriers to and affordances for learning?	296
11.1.2 Evidence of expansive learning.....	298
11.2 Contribution to research.....	299
11.3 Limitations and affordances of the research design.....	302
11.4 Implications of the findings	303
11.4.1 External interventions for effecting productive learning and change	304
11.4.1.1 Using community networks to establish a collective ZPD.....	304
11.4.1.2 Negotiating the old and the new knowledge and practices.....	306
11.4.1.3 Learning as incremental	307
11.4.1.4 Acknowledging local knowledge and innovations.....	309
11.4.1.5 Deprofessionalising the experts	310
11.4.1.6 Acknowledging limitations of piecemeal developmental approaches.....	311
11.4.2 Increasing the impact of the Eco-Schools Club and similar initiatives.....	313
11.4.2.1 Engaging the adult community more	313
11.4.2.2 Working with children to create community knowledge.....	315
11.4.3 Science education policy and practice: Recognising knowledge pluralism	315
Appendix 1: Consent form (Students).....	319
Appendix 2: Information sheet (Students).....	322
Appendix 3: Consent form (Teachers)	324
Appendix 4: Information sheet (Teachers)	327
Appendix 5: Consent form (Parents/Guardians).....	329
Appendix 6: Information sheet (Parents/Guardians).....	332
Appendix 7: Interview/Observation Guide.....	335
Appendix 8: Exemplar statements of how and what learning occurs.....	339
Appendix 9: Shona information sheet (Student).....	343
Appendix 10: Shona consent form (Student).....	346
Appendix 11 Shona consent form (Teacher)	349

Appendix 12 Shona information sheet (Teacher)	352
Appendix 13: Shona consent form (Parent/Guardian)	355
Appendix 14: Shona information sheet (Parent/Guardian).....	359
Appendix 15: Shona interview/observation guide	362
References	365

List of tables

Table 1:	Different framings of sustainability	51
Table 2:	Overview of the research process.....	66
Table 3:	Analytical framework: Sources, processes and outcomes of learning	94
Table 4:	Analytical framework: Examples of expressions of transformative agency	95
Table 5:	Practices under threat and what people learn informally as they reflect on the practices	260
Table 6:	Introducing new tools	264
Table 7:	Introducing new rules	268
Table 8	Importing knowledge	271
Table 9:	Reverse mentoring	273
Table 10:	Nature and extent of innovations	279
Table 11:	What is changing	289

List of figures

Figure 1:	A CHAT analysis of the study context.....	59
Figure 2:	Model of the theoretical framework.....	64
Figure 3:	Map of the Mutema community plotting approximate positions where the eight families lived	100
Figure 4	Dehwa kale field	110
Figure 5:	Agricultural land being converted into residential stands	116
Figure 6:	Simple petrol pump in shallow well.....	152
Figure 7:	Using containers for irrigation.....	153
Figure 8:	Maize-kale intercrop	156
Figure 9:	A section through the Mbozha homestead	163
Figure 10:	Fowl runs and chickens	164
Figure 11:	Part of the irrigation infrastructure	165
Figure 12:	Challenging conventional farming practices: transitioning to orchard farming	172
Figure 13:	Growing foreign but drought tolerant cassava.....	174
Figure 14:	Diversifying sources of livelihood: raising small animals	182
Figure 15:	Some of the problems with early maturing varieties	215
Figure 16:	Examples of children's displays	224
Figure 17:	Some of the plants Nashley grew	240
Figure 18:	Nature of disturbances	262
Figure 19:	Permeable boundaries of learning in the community	276
Figure 20:	Adaptation as a complex interaction of a plethora of factors.....	284
Figure 21:	Cycles of expansive learning as participants trial new maize cultivars	286

List of acronyms

ABC-	Attitude Behaviour Choice
AEO-	Agricultural Extension Officer
Agritex-	Agricultural Research and Technical Services
AIDS-	Acquired Immuno Deficiency Virus
ATR-	African Traditional Religion
CC-	Climate Change
CD-	Compact Disc
CCE-	Climate Change Education
CCEL-	Climate Change Education and Learning
CHAT-	Cultural Historical Activity Theory
DA-	District Administrator
EE-	Environmental Education
EMA-	Environmental Management Agency
ESC-	Eco-Schools Club
ESP-	Eco-Schools Programme
ESD-	Education for Sustainable Development
GHG-	Green House Gas
GoZ -	Government of Zimbabwe
HIV-	Human Immuno Deficiency Virus
ICT-	Information Communication Technologies
IPCC-	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IUCN-	The World Conservation Union
MEWC-	Ministry of Environment Water and Climate
MoPSE-	Ministry of Primary and Secondary Education
NCCRS-	National Climate Change Response Strategy
NGO-	Non-Governmental Organisation

PUS-	Public Understanding of Science
SMS-	Short Text Message
STS-	Science Technology and Society
TV-	Television
UK-	United Kingdom
UN-	United Nations
UNEP-	United Nations Environment Programme
UNESCO-	United Nations Education Scientific and Cultural Organisation
UNFCCCC-	United Nations Framework Convention on Combating Climate Change
USD-	United States Dollar
UTS-	University of Technology Sydney
WWF-	World Wide Fund for Nature
ZIMSTATS-	Zimbabwe Statistics Office
ZPD-	Zone for Proximal Development
ZP'S'D-	Zone of Proximal 'Safe' Development

Abstract

Adaptation to climate change has become an undeniable reality intricately linked to human existence and the planet's well-being. Historically, climate change adaptation research has been dominated by the physical sciences typically modelled around Global North perspectives. This study investigates how people in the Global South, in a largely agricultural community in Zimbabwe learn to change and adapt their everyday practices in response to climate change.

An ethnographic approach involving fieldwork data from observations, narratives and photography from eight families purposively drawn from 30 families whose children belonged to a local school's Eco-Schools Club (ESC) provided the data. It was anticipated, based literature that intergenerational learning would be evident in these families as the children gained scientific knowledge about climate change mitigation and adaptation through the ESC.

The study drew upon Engeström's Cultural Historical Activity Theory to analyse the possibilities of expansive learning, that is learning leading to radical and sustainable change, by examining how disturbances to the socio-material configuration of existing practices are managed.

Contrary to reports from the Global North, the ESC was not a dominant source of learning because of the status traditionally ascribed to children within the community, and the criticality of the issue the knowledge would impact upon. Learning and change reflected in the families' everyday practices was motivated most profoundly by the threat of *Nzara* (food insecurity). Changes occurred not through ready adoption of the abundant advice available to them, including from technical experts; learning was incremental, precipitated by questioning and reflection of existing knowledge and practices and evaluating innovations within a collective zone of proximal development (ZPD). Community members collectively gained new knowledge eventually altering some stable elements within existing practices. The collective ZPD significantly influenced changes because individual households felt secure if others were willing to experiment with a different approach. Thus, the collective ZPD could be conceptualised as a zone of proximal 'safe' development (ZPSD).

As the driver for change, climate change, was itself intractable achieving any single sustainable practice is, unlikely; the likely future is a continuing cycle of learning and change. The study proposes a new way of approaching interventions. Interventions may be reconceptualised not as solutions but sources of learning where learning extends beyond community members to include technical experts in mutual settings where knowledge is co-produced and diverse perspectives negotiated.