

---

# **The Value of Continuity of Care in Australian General Practice**

**Michael Wright**

**Centre for Health Economics Research and Evaluation**

**Faculty of Business**

**University of Technology Sydney**

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

2018

---

---

## **Certificate of Authorship/Originality**

I, Michael Clifford Wright, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Business at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution. This thesis is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

Michael Wright

August 5, 2018

---

## Acknowledgements

Completing this PhD has been a challenging experience but one which I have enjoyed. Having the time to develop skills, to gain knowledge, and to deeply analyse a problem has been a wonderful experience, and a luxury which I will look back at fondly in years to come.

A PhD is a journey that is not completed alone.

I have been very fortunate to have four supervisors involved with my PhD. They have supported, guided and encouraged me greatly throughout this process. Professor Marion Haas has provided me with much practical advice in my research thinking and use of language. Associate Professor Kees van Gool has frequently challenged my assumptions and encouraged precision in my thinking, while Dr Serena Yu has greatly strengthened my understanding and application of econometrics. Professor Jane Hall, my principal supervisor, has been a great support and mentor throughout my PhD. Jane has encouraged rigour in my research and has ensured that economics has never been very far from my thinking. All four supervisors have been generous in their time, and I thank them for believing in me and my ability to provide robust interrogation of primary care data.

I am grateful to the data custodians for Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH) for permitting me to access this excellent data source. I also wish to acknowledge the women who participated in the study, and am particularly thankful to

David Fitzgerald for his advice (and for sharing his office) while I made numerous visits to analyse data on site. I would also like to thank the Australian Government and CHERE for their financial support while I completed this thesis.

CHERE has been a wonderful environment in which to complete a PhD, and the CHERE PhD Group has been particularly helpful and I thank my fellow PhD students for providing much needed peer support. I am especially grateful to Liz Chinchin for assistance with my research methods (and literature reviews) and Kathleen Manipis for advice on formatting (and the endless supply of sweets when it all got too much for me).

I also wish to acknowledge Marion Mapham for her thesis editing skills, and her helpful suggestions to improve the flow of this work.

I have continued to work part-time in general practice while completing my thesis and I regularly see the impact of our health system funding on patient access and experience. While completing my thesis I have become increasingly aware of the need for high quality health systems research to guide health policy decisions in Australia.

The challenges of completing a PhD mid-career should not be overlooked and were keenly felt by my family. I am particularly grateful for my partner Darren for keeping our home life stable while I have pursued this learning experience—I could not have considered this undertaking without your love and support.

# Table of Contents

Certificate of Authorship/Originality .....	i
Acknowledgements .....	ii
Abbreviations List.....	xix
Abstract.....	xxi
1 Chapter 1 – The Australian Health System and Continuity of Primary Care .....	1
1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Australia’s health system – performance and expenditure.....	1
1.2.1 Primary care .....	2
1.3 Pressures facing the Australian health system.....	4
1.3.1 Changing population demographics.....	4
1.3.2 Changing role for general practice .....	6
1.3.3 The changing general practice workforce .....	8
1.3.4 Changing general practices .....	10
1.3.5 Other pressures.....	11
1.4 Summary .....	12
1.4.1 Chapter 2 .....	13
1.4.2 Chapter 3 .....	14
1.4.3 Chapter 4 .....	15
1.4.4 Chapter 5 .....	16

1.4.5	Chapter 6 .....	16
1.4.6	Chapter 7 .....	17
1.4.7	Chapter 8 .....	18
2	Chapter 2 – Evidence about continuity of care .....	19
2.1	Introduction .....	19
2.2	What is continuity of care? .....	20
2.3	Why might continuity of care influence health care? .....	26
2.4	An economic framework for understanding continuity of care.....	28
2.4.1	Principal-agent relationships.....	28
2.4.2	The doctor-patient relationship as an agency problem .....	30
2.4.3	Managing the principal-agent problem .....	31
2.4.4	Agency problem at the practice level.....	36
2.4.5	Measuring the impact of agency .....	37
2.5	Measuring continuity of care.....	38
2.5.1	Subjective measures of continuity of care .....	39
2.5.2	Claims-based measures of continuity of care.....	39
2.5.3	Subjective or claims-based measures? .....	42
2.6	Continuity of care literature.....	43
2.6.1	Higher quality research .....	45
2.7	Literature review .....	47

2.7.1	Description of included literature.....	52
2.7.2	Study characteristics .....	63
2.7.3	Methodological issues.....	64
2.7.4	Results.....	69
2.7.5	Conclusions.....	71
2.8	Implications for this thesis.....	72
3	Chapter 3 – The Prevalence of Multiple General Practice Attendance .....	75
3.1	Introduction .....	75
3.1.1	Context.....	75
3.1.2	Existing literature.....	76
3.1.3	Research question .....	81
3.2	Data and methods .....	82
3.3	Results .....	85
3.3.1	Sample characteristics.....	85
3.3.2	Does having a usual GP or practice affect multiple practice attendance behaviour?.....	88
3.3.3	The influences of patient demographic characteristics .....	90
3.3.4	Health service utilisation and practice characteristics .....	92
3.3.5	Sensitivity analysis.....	93
3.3.6	Why did patients attend multiple practices? .....	93

3.4	Discussion .....	94
3.5	Conclusion.....	96
4	Chapter 4 – Data Used in Empirical Analyses.....	98
4.1	Introduction .....	98
4.2	Australian Longitudinal Study on Women’s Health (ALSWH) .....	99
4.2.1	Sample selection and representativeness .....	99
4.2.2	Survey structure .....	102
4.2.3	Variable selection for this thesis .....	103
4.2.4	Missing data and data transformation .....	114
4.2.5	Attrition within ALSWH sample .....	115
4.2.6	Summary demographic statistics of ALSWH cohorts .....	118
4.2.7	Changing continuity over time.....	131
4.3	Linked data .....	135
4.4	Medicare administrative data .....	135
4.4.1	Variables of interest .....	137
4.4.2	Medicare Summary statistics .....	139
4.4.3	Comparing self-reported and claims-based estimates of personal continuity .....	140
4.5	Conclusion.....	146
5	Chapter 5 – Predictors of Continuity of Care for Australian Women .....	148



5.1	Chapter summary.....	148
5.2	Background and motivation .....	148
5.2.1	Previous literature .....	149
5.3	Research Questions .....	151
5.4	Data and methodology.....	153
5.4.1	Data .....	153
5.4.2	Modelling approach .....	157
5.5	Results .....	164
5.5.1	Comparison of women with any continuity of care, with women without continuity of care (Analysis One).....	164
5.5.2	Comparison of women with personal continuity and women with site continuity (Analysis Two) .....	175
5.6	Discussion .....	183
5.7	Conclusion.....	189
6	Chapter 6 – Continuity of care and cancer screening .....	190
6.1	Chapter summary.....	190
6.2	Background and motivation .....	191
6.2.1	Cervical cancer and cervical screening in Australia .....	192
6.2.2	Breast cancer and preventative screening .....	195
6.2.3	Existing literature .....	197
6.3	Research questions .....	203

6.4	Conceptual model.....	203
6.5	Data sources.....	205
6.5.1	Variables included with this analysis.....	205
6.5.2	Sample.....	209
6.5.3	Estimation methods.....	211
6.6	Results .....	217
6.6.1	Summary statistics .....	217
6.6.2	Regression results .....	223
6.7	Discussion .....	237
6.8	Conclusion.....	241
7	Chapter 7 – Medicare Data, Continuity of Care and Pap Smear Testing .....	243
7.1	Chapter summary.....	243
7.2	Introduction .....	244
7.3	Research questions .....	245
7.4	Data and methods .....	246
7.4.2	Estimation approach.....	249
7.4.3	Modelling approach .....	252
7.5	Results .....	254
7.5.1	Summary statistics .....	254
7.5.2	Regression analysis.....	258
7.5.3	Analysis of continuity of care and screening participation.....	259

7.5.4	Regression analysis of time to rescreening for women who are overdue	
	Pap testing.....	265
7.6	Discussion .....	270
7.7	Conclusion.....	274
8	Chapter 8 – What Role for Continuity of Primary Care in Australia?.....	276
8.1	Introduction .....	276
8.2	Summary of findings .....	278
8.3	Implications for policymakers? .....	286
8.3.1	Increased public awareness alone .....	288
8.3.2	Enrolment with restrictions .....	290
8.3.3	Enrolment without restriction .....	291
8.4	Suggestions for future research .....	294
8.5	Conclusion.....	296
9	References.....	298
10	Appendices.....	316
10.1	Chapter 2 – Literature Review .....	316
10.1.1	Search strategy .....	316
10.1.2	Analysis of empirical literature meeting search criteria .....	319
10.1.3	Australian general practice research into continuity of care.....	340
10.2	Chapter 3 – Multiple General Practice Attendance .....	343
10.2.1	Alternative regression models.....	343

10.3	Chapter 4 – ALSWH Data.....	345
10.3.1	ALSWH conceptual categories.....	345
10.3.2	Coding issues within ALSWH.....	347
10.3.3	ALSWH Survey Summary Statistics .....	351
10.4	Chapter 5 – Predictors of Continuity of Care.....	360
10.4.1	Sample summary statistics .....	360
10.4.2	Full regression model outputs and alternative models.....	364
10.5	Chapter 6 – Continuity and Cancer Screening – Survey Data .....	372
10.5.1	Sample summary statistics .....	372
10.5.2	Full Regression Model Outputs and Alternative Models.....	381
10.6	Chapter 7 – Continuity of care and Pap testing.....	391
10.6.1	MBS item number descriptors for Pap testing .....	391
10.6.2	Variable list and summary statistics.....	392
10.6.3	Regression output and alternative regression models .....	404

## List of Tables

Table 1 – Average life expectancy for Australian men and women of different ages classified according to year of birth (Source: AIHW, 2016) .....	5
Table 2: Full service equivalent (FSE) GPs per 100,000 population according to urban/rural location between 2006/07 and 2016/17 .....	9
Table 3: Saultz 3-level framework for understanding continuity of care .....	23
Table 4: Characteristics of studies included in literature review .....	50
Table 5: Continuity of care measures and other concepts used within studies.....	65
Table 6: Research articles classified according to outcome measures, and whether significant findings reported .....	68
Table 7: Variables used within survey analysis, summary statistics and comparison with Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Population Statistics (ABS 2011a, 2012; National Health Performance Authority 2015).....	83
Table 8: Association between Multiple Practice Usage and Explanatory Variables (Bivariate Analysis on Left and Logistic Regression results on right) .....	89
Table 9: Sociodemographic characteristics of ALSWH cohorts at baseline (1996) and comparison with general population statistics from ABS in percentages.....	101
Table 10: ALSWH survey variables used within this thesis.....	111
Table 11: ALSWH respondents classified according to number of surveys completed .....	117
Table 12: Summary statistics for each ALSWH survey wave.....	123

Table 13: Annual personal continuity of care scores (UPC and COCI), and GP visit statistics for women in ALSWH mid and young cohort.....	140
Table 14: Calculations used in analysis of agreement between subjective and claims-based measures of continuity .....	144
Table 15: Comparing survey self-report of having all care from one GP with Medicare data (women with three or more visits in Medicare data).....	145
Table 16: Variables included within regression models .....	155
Table 17: Logistic Regression results for Analysis One predicting probability of women having any continuity of care (site or personal) compared with women not reporting any continuity of care.....	170
Table 18: Selected variables of interest for logistic regression estimating probability of women having personal continuity (OR>1) versus women having site continuity (OR<1). .....	180
Table 19: Variables associated with increased continuity of care in Analysis One, classified according to whether associated with significantly increased personal or site continuity of care or neither in Analysis Two.....	182
Table 20: Variables used within model - description and categories.....	208
Table 21: Summary statistics of variables of interest in Pap test sample for ALSWH survey waves 2-6 (summary statistics for all variables are provided in the Appendices) .....	218
Table 22: Proportion of women in each wave reporting Pap test in last two years .....	219
Table 23: Logistic Regression Models of Cancer Screening Participation – odds ratio results. ....	225

Table 24: Regression results from Instrumental Variable Analysis (young cohort).....	233
Table 25: Outcome variables used in Medicare data analysis of Pap testing .....	248
Table 26: Regression analysis of MBS derived measure of Pap test participation.....	260
Table 27: IV results, and results of model testing.....	264
Table 28: Regression results of subgroup of women overdue Pap testing and subsequent months until next Pap test .....	266
Table 29: Summary of Empirical Chapters (including data sources, outcome measures, level and source of continuity of care measures and additional methods).....	279
Table 30: Comparison of results from empirical analyses of cancer screening participation .....	282
Table 31: Potential advantages and disadvantages of potential policy options .....	293
Table 32: Critical analysis of empirical literature.....	319
Table 33: Alternative logistic regression models. Odds ratio (SE).....	343
Table 34: ALSWH survey conceptual categories, their presence in different surveys and cohorts, and whether included in this thesis. ....	345
Table 35: Summary statistics of pooled data for ALSWH 1946-51 (middle) and 1973-78 (young) cohorts, and for separate middle and young cohorts .....	351
Table 36: Response rates and reasons for attrition within ALSWH middle and young cohorts .....	354
Table 37: Summary statistics for ALSWH mid cohort.....	355
Table 38: Summary statistics for ALSWH young cohort .....	358
Table 39: Proportion of ALSWH respondents with personal and site continuity of care .....	360

Table 40: Summary Statistics for Women included within Regression Analysis One of Predictors of continuity of care.....	361
Table 41: Additional panel logistic regression models for Analysis One of predictors of continuity of care considering attrition within each wave .....	364
Table 42: Full output of regression models for Analysis Two – Logistic regression models estimating probability of women having personal continuity (OR>1) versus women having site continuity (OR<1).....	368
Table 43: Observations excluded samples for Pap testing and mammogram analyses	372
Table 44: Summary statistics for all covariates for women responding to Pap test questions.....	372
Table 45: Mammography sample summary statistics - women aged between 50 and 69 and potentially eligible for inclusion in mammography analysis .....	375
Table 46: Summary statistics of sample for instrumental variable (IV) analysis of Pap testing. Women from young ALSWH cohort only. ....	379
Table 47: Alternative models for Pap testing including expanded model including additional health and usage variables, excluding women with history of abnormal Pap test (and cohort comparisons) and excluding women from Victoria .....	381
Table 48: Alternative regression models for mammogram analysis.....	384
Table 49: Results of 2 stage least square (2SLS) IV analysis using satisfaction with GP manner as IV for personal continuity – pooled IV regression .....	388
Table 50: Results of 2 stage least square (2SLS) IV analysis using satisfaction with GP manner as IV for personal continuity- panel IV regression .....	389
Table 51: Variables Used within Chapter 7 analyses - description and categories .....	392



Table 52: Summary statistics for full sample of women for Pap test analysis using Medicare data .....	394
Table 53: Summary stats for women in the ALSWH middle cohort.....	397
Table 54: Summary statistics for women in the ALSWH young cohort .....	400
Table 55: Alternative logistic regression models estimating Pap testing within 27 months using 12 years of data.....	404
Table 56: Regression models analysing time to retest for women overdue Pap testing – FE and RE for separate ALSWH age cohorts.....	405
Table 57- Results of 2 stage least square (2SLS) IV analysis using satisfaction with GP manner as IV for personal continuity- panel IV.....	407
Table 58- Comparison of Regression results from Instrumental Variable using Manner of GP as instrument for personal continuity (Young Cohort Only) - with panel regression RE (Model 1) .....	408
Table 59- Panel data Summary statistics for variables of interest. ....	409

## List of Figures

Figure 1 - Saultz Conceptual Hierarchy of Continuity .....	23
Figure 2 - Self-reported health. GP survey respondents (n=2477) versus Australian Bureau Statistics (ABS) population data.....	87
Figure 3 - Scatterplot of association between increasing age and decreasing multiple practice attendance and trend line. ....	91
Figure 4 - Sample ALSWH question for measuring continuity of general practice care. .....	104
Figure 5 - Survey response rates for middle and young ALSWH cohorts.....	116
Figure 6 - Distribution of ALSWH survey respondent age (pooled data waves 1-7)...	122
Figure 7 - Self-reported attendance with same GP and practice through ALSWH survey waves (ALSWH mid cohort) .....	127
Figure 8 - Self-reported attendance with same GP and practice through ALSWH survey waves (ALSWH young cohort).....	129
Figure 9 - Percentage of women with personal and site continuity of care for each ALSWH survey wave .....	130
Figure 10 - Association between site continuity, personal continuity and age (combined ALSWH middle and young cohorts).....	132
Figure 11 - Association between site continuity and age through ALSWH survey waves .....	133
Figure 12 - Association between personal continuity and age through ALSWH survey waves.....	134

Figure 13 -- Mean UPC for each category of self-reported attendance with same GP.	142
Figure 14 - Mean COCI according to self-reported attendance with same GP .....	143
Figure 15 – Two-stage approach of analysing predictors of continuity of care.....	159
Figure 16 - Plot of selected findings from Model Two of Regression Analysis One...	166
Figure 17 - Plot of selected findings from panel RE logistic Regression Analysis Two - comparison of site continuity (L of unity) and personal continuity (R of unity) for subgroup with continuity. ....	177
Figure 18 – Two-yearly Australian population Pap test participation rates (1996-2015) .....	194
Figure 19 - Proportion of women reporting Pap testing in previous two years classified according to continuity of care.....	220
Figure 20 – Percentage of women reporting mammogram in previous two years classified according to continuity of care.....	222
Figure 21 - Percentage of Women with Mammography according to 5-year Age Bands .....	223
Figure 22 - Distribution of days to Pap test (MBS item 73053- screening Pap test)....	255
Figure 23 – 24-month Pap testing rates for women in ALSWH mid and young cohorts .....	256
Figure 24 – 27-month Pap test participation rates ALSWH mid and young cohorts ...	257
Figure 25 – 27-month Pap testing rates classified according to continuity of care .....	258
Figure 26 - Months until next Pap test for women who are overdue testing (27-month cutoff and truncated at 60 months).....	265
Figure 27 -Summary of empirical chapters within this thesis .....	280

## Abbreviations List

Glossary	Abbreviations
2SLS	two-stage least squares
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACT	Australian Capital Territory
AHHA	Australian Healthcare and Hospitals Association
AIC	Akaike information criterion
AIHW	Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
ALSWH	Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health
AMA	Australian Medical Association
ARIA	Accessibility/remoteness index of Australia
AUD	Australian dollar
BIC	Bayesian information criterion
BMI	Body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms squared/height in metres)
CHERE	Centre for Health Economics Research and Evaluation
CI	Confidence interval
COCI	Bice Boxerman Continuity of Care index
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
eg	For example
FE	Fixed effects estimator
FFS	Fee for service (payment model)
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GP	General Practitioner
HCC	Health care (concessional) card
HCH	Health Care Home model of care
HIC	Health Insurance Commission
HREC	Human Research Ethics Committee
iid	Independent and identically distributed
IRR	Incidence rate ratio
IV	Instrumental variable
LR	Likelihood ratio
MBS	Medicare Benefits Schedule
NA	Not applicable
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
NSW	New South Wales
NT	Northern Territory
OECD	Organisation for Economics Co-operation and Development
OLS	Ordinary least squares estimator

OR	Odds ratio
PBS	Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme
PCMH	Patient centred medical home
PhD	Doctor of Philosophy
Qld	Queensland
RACGP	Royal Australian College of General Practitioners
RCGP	Royal College of General Practitioners (UK)
RE	Random effects estimator
SA	South Australia
SF-36	Short form 36
UK	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Island
Unk	Unknown
UPC	Usual Provider Continuity
USA	United States of America
Vic	Victoria
WA	Western Australia
WHO	World Health Organization

## **Abstract**

### *Introduction*

Health systems internationally are facing demographic and financing pressures, together with changes to the health provider workforce. Multiple changes in the Australian population are increasing the demand for health care services. These demographic changes include population growth, an ageing population, and the increased burden of chronic disease in the population (AIHW 2016a). Additionally, the Australian health reform agenda is focusing on better integration and coordination of primary care, the utilisation of information technology, and increasing delivery of care by multidisciplinary teams (Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council 2017; Primary Health Care Advisory Group 2015). These changes are potentially shifting the emphasis of general practice care away from a relationship between an individual doctor and a patient, towards care focused at a practice level. These changes are occurring in a primary health care system which permits patients to access care from multiple GPs in multiple locations.

Changes to primary care may affect the availability of continuity of care, a concept referring to the benefits obtained from consulting the same health care provider over time (Freeman & Hughes 2010). It has been suggested that continuity of care is a core component of high performing primary health care systems (American Academy of Family Physicians 2010; Bodenheimer 2014; Macinko, Starfield & Shi 2003) and international research has reported an association between increased continuity of care

and positive health outcomes (Cabana & Jee 2004; Saultz & Lochner 2005; van Walraven et al. 2010), and reduced health costs (Chen & Cheng 2011; Hussey et al. 2014; Raddish, Horn & Sharkey 1999; Shin et al. 2014). However, most of the existing empirical literature emerges from clinical medicine, is cross-sectional in design, and unable able to provide evidence of a causal relationship.

This thesis investigates continuity of care in the delivery of general practice care in Australia. It examines continuity of care with an individual general practitioner (personal continuity of care) and continuity of care with a general practice (site continuity of care), in order to understand whether unrestricted access to general practice services is consistent with high levels of continuity of care at both a provider and practice level, and whether an association between increased continuity of care and improved health screening (as a proxy for the quality of general practice care) exists.

## *Methods*

### *Data*

To provide a robust analysis of continuity of care, the empirical research presented in this thesis uses multiple data sources, including cross-sectional data from a survey of 2,477 Australians (the GP Survey), panel data from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH) survey and linked Medicare claims data. The absence of practice-level Medicare data limits its usefulness in investigating site continuity of care.

### *Analysis*

All analyses were completed using Stata Version 14 (Statacorp 2017). Analysis of summary statistics and regression analysis of the GP Survey was conducted. Summary statistics and regression analysis of the ALSWH survey data and linked Medicare data was also completed. The panel nature of the ALSWH data permitted use of panel data modelling techniques. Panel data techniques provide stronger evidence of a relationship between continuity of care and improved quality of care than cross-sectional analysis. In order to control for some of the biases in existing continuity of care literature, additional econometric techniques are utilised. These techniques include the use of instrumental variables (IV) to control for the endogeneity of health care within models, and the use of individual fixed effects to control for unobserved patient-level factors which might confound the relationship between continuity of care and health screening.

### *Results*

Results from the GP survey analysis showed that over 80% of patients identified having a usual GP and over 90% have a usual general practice. However, over 25% of respondents also reported attending more than one general practice in the previous year.

Analysis of the ALSWH survey data provided multiple statistically significant findings. Site continuity of care has increased in prevalence over time and is more common with increasing age. Personal continuity of care is decreasing in prevalence for women aged under forty, but increasing for women over sixty years of age. There are multiple differences in patient and practice characteristics associated with personal and site continuity of care, which will not be detected without investigation of continuity of care



at both levels. Both personal and site continuity of care are associated with increased cancer screening rates. Failing to control for the endogeneity of continuity of care downwardly biases estimates of the association between continuity of care and cancer screening. Significant differences in screening rates according to continuity of care persist after controlling for individual fixed effects.

### *Conclusion*

This is the first Australian research to investigate both personal and site continuity of care. Most Australians report having continuity of care with either a single GP or with a general practice. Continuity of care is associated with increased quality of care, at least pertaining to cancer screening. This thesis concludes that policy attempts to encourage continuity of care have the potential to improve the quality of primary care. Policy interventions to encourage continuity of care include increasing awareness of the benefits of continuity of care and potentially providing incentives to patients and doctors. The availability of practice-level Medicare data would permit further investigation of the association between site continuity of care and general practice care. More robust research methods are needed in continuity of care research in order to better evaluate potential associations between personal and site continuity of care, and positive health outcomes.