Diamond photonics platform based on silicon vacancy centers in a single-crystal diamond membrane and a fiber cavity
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We realize a potential platform for an efficient spin-photon interface, namely negatively-charged silicon-vacancy centers in a diamond membrane coupled to the mode of a fully-tunable, fiber-based, optical resonator. We demonstrate that introducing the thin (~200 nm), single crystal diamond membrane into the mode of the resonator does not change the cavity properties, which is one of the crucial points for an efficient spin-photon interface. In particular, we observe constantly high Finesse values of up to 3000 and a linear dispersion in the presence of the membrane. We observe cavity-coupled fluorescence from an ensemble of SiV− centers with an enhancement factor of ~1.9. Furthermore from our investigations we extract the ensemble absorption and extrapolate an absorption cross section of (2.9 ± 2) × 10−12 cm2 for a single SiV− center, much higher than previously reported.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Color centers in diamond are amongst the most promising candidates for the realization of quantum repeaters that are needed for the distribution of quantum states over long distances and secure quantum communication using quantum key distribution [1–3]. So far, major efforts were dedicated to NV− centers, resulting in the recent demonstration of deterministic remote entanglement using diamond spin qubits, which states a key building block for an extended quantum network [4]. A complementary platform can be realized utilizing the negatively-charged silicon-vacancy center (SiV−). Its atomlike optical properties, such as large Debye-Waller factor, spectral stability, and a narrow inhomogeneous distribution, have recently attracted great attention [5–9]. Also, long spin life- and coherence times of $T_1 = 1$ s and $T_2 = 13$ ms at temperatures of 100 mK were demonstrated, completing all requirements needed to realize a quantum repeater [10,11]. A bottleneck for a scalable implementation remains the low extraction efficiency of coherent photons. The efficiency problem can be counteracted by Purcell-enhanced photon emission when coupling the SiV− center to the mode of an optical resonator [12]. Fiber-based Fabry-Perot resonators are particularly suitable, since they offer full frequency tunability and single photon emission directly into the fiber mode. In pioneering work, single SiV− centers in nanodiamonds were coupled to the mode of a fiber-based resonator [13]. However, light scattering restricts the Finesse of the coupled system. Diamond membranes hosting nitrogen vacancy centers have been integrated into the mode of an optical resonator leading to a modified dispersion relation due the composite diamond-air cavity system [14–16] and restricting the length of the resonator to the optical thickness of the membrane, the ablation depth, and the electric field penetration into the mirror coatings.

Here, we investigate a novel spin-photon interface based on the SiV− center in a thin single crystal diamond membrane with a thickness of approximately 200 nm. We show that our platform gives access to the smallest resonator length with the dispersion relation of an empty resonator and with minimal scattering loss. From the coupled SiV-cavity system we extract the absorption cross section of SiV− to (2.9 ± 2) × 10−12 cm2.

II. METHODS

Our experiments are performed using a tunable fiber-based Fabry-Perot cavity. The cavity system consists of a macroscopic flat mirror substrate on one side and the tip of a multimode optical fiber on the other side. The fiber tip is provided with a concave structure, which is fabricated using laser ablation of a high power CO2 laser. The ablation process exploits the high absorption coefficient of the fiber material in the midinfrared wavelength regime leading to melting and evaporation of the fiber tip. As a result a Gaussian-shaped profile with extremely low surface roughness is produced. The
radius of curvature (ROC) of the structure is estimated from a parabolic fit to an interferometer image and is chosen rather large (ROC = 90 μm) to allow large cavity lengths of up to ≈65 μm in stable operation. The fiber tip is coated with a dielectric mirror stack (DBR) with high reflectivity between 690 nm and 890 nm with a transmission of ≈500 ppm at 710 nm and ≈30 ppm at 740 nm. The flat mirror is provided with a high index terminated DBR stack to reach high reflectivity between 560 nm and 760 nm. The transmission is ≈500 ppm at 710 nm and ≈3000 ppm at 740 nm. The asymmetry of the mirror coatings leads to a maximum transmission at a wavelength of 710–715 nm and predominant emission of the coupled SiV\(^-\) color center through the flat mirror side. Both dielectric coatings are transparent for the green light of our 532 nm laser, enabling excitation of the diamond membrane from two sides. In our case, both excitation and collection of the cavity mode is done with a NA = 0.7 microscope air objective via the flat mirror side.

The single crystal diamond membranes were created from a bulk type IIa CVD diamond from Element 6™, with a nitrogen concentration <1 ppm. The crystal was implanted with 1 MeV He\(^+\) ions (5 \times 10^{16} \text{ ions/cm}^2). The implanted diamond was subsequently annealed at 900 °C in vacuum to create a thin amorphous carbon layer 1.7 μm below the surface, which enables liftoff. To selectively remove the carbon layer, electrochemical etching was carried out by immersing the sample in deionized water and applying a constant forward bias of 60 V. The membrane was then epitaxially overgrown using a microwave plasma chemical vapor deposition (MPCVD), flipped and thinned to a final thickness of ≈200 nm. SiV color centers are incorporated into the growing membrane by diffusion from the residual silicon present in the chamber. The membranes were transferred using a liquid droplet to the flat mirror. More information on the sample preparation is given in the Supplemental Material [17], which includes Refs. [18–22].

We use a multiaxis piezopositioner with subnanometer resolution to laterally place the diamond membrane in the cavity mode and a shear piezoactuator to tune the cavity length. The fiber mirror is mounted on a damping block to achieve high passive stability. The cavity transmission is characterized with a single photon counting module (SPCM) and spectrometer. Additional information on the experimental setup is given in the Supplemental Material [17]. A schematic sketch of the setup is shown in Fig. 1(a).

We first orient the sample so that the diamond membrane faces the microscope objective and study the properties of the thin diamond membrane in confocal microscopy. A detailed confocal map of ≈2 mm\(^2\) size around the diamond membrane is recorded and used later to localize the SiV\(^-\) ensemble in the cavity mode. A section of the ≈200 \times 100 \text{ μm}^2 diamond membrane can be seen in Fig. 1(b). Figure 1(c) shows the photoluminescence (PL) spectrum of an ensemble of SiV\(^-\) centers inside the diamond membrane. The lateral position of
the spot is marked in the inset of Fig. 1(b). The ensemble zero-phonon line (ZPL) is at 738 nm with a FWHM linewidth extracted from a Lorentzian fit of 8.83 ± 0.13 nm. The phonon sideband (PSB) extends to ∼850 nm. A fluorescence background originating from other color centers (for example NV centers) is not visible.

III. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE DIAMOND-MEMBRANE-CAVITY SYSTEM

After flipping the flat sample mirror and closing the resonator we characterize the cavity-membrane dispersion relation by determining the fundamental mode frequencies of the cavity in transmission via a white light emitting diode (LED) source. The transmitted light is collected with the microscope objective on the flat mirror side and analyzed with the spectrometer using a 1200 groves/mm grating. We observe several longitudinal resonances when the cavity length is changed in ∼20 nm steps while continuously measuring the transmitted light with the spectrometer. For a bare cavity without membrane the resonance frequency νm of the fundamental mode m, including the Gouy phase shift, is

\[ \nu_m = \frac{c}{2L} \left( m + \frac{\cos^{-1}\left(\sqrt{1 - L/ROC}\right)}{\pi} \right) \]

where L is the effective length of the cavity, including the field penetration into the coating of the DBR. Additionally, we observe higher order transverse modes with distinct eigenfrequencies.

Inserting a diamond sample into the cavity typically changes the characteristics of the resonance frequencies. The behavior can be described quantitatively by a one-dimensional model (see Ref. [14]). Considering a diamond membrane of thickness t_d, and refractive index n_d, and an air filled layer of thickness t_a, between the two high-index terminated DBR stacks of the cavity gives fundamental mode frequencies

\[ \nu_m \approx \frac{c}{2\pi t_a + (n_d - 1)t_d} \left( \pi m - (-1)^m \right) \times \arcsin \left( \frac{n_d - 1}{n_d + 1} \sin \left( \frac{m\pi (t_a - n_d t_d)}{t_a + n_d t_d} \right) \right) \]

The effect of the diamond membrane on the modal frequencies increases for thicker membranes, as diamondlike modes (shallow slope) are clearly visible leading to avoided crossings between neighboring modes. This behavior is visualized in Figs. 2(a), 2(b) and 2(c) for diamond membranes of different thickness t_d = 200 nm, 1 µm, and 10 µm, n_d = 2.425 and the smallest accessible air layer t_a = 0–5 µm, respectively. The measured data for our ≈200 nm thick membrane is shown in Fig. 2(d) for a cavity length between 36 µm and 40 µm. It matches well with the theoretical simulations (black curves). We use a cubic correction, which is extracted and calibrated from empty resonator measurements for the measured data taking into account the piezohysteresis when tuning the cavity length. When considering a cavity of the same length but instead including a much thicker membrane [Fig. 2(e)], the effect of the diamond in the spectrum is considerable. When the diamond is thin [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)] the wavelength depends linearly on the length L.

Next we investigate the influence of the membrane on the resonator Finesse by measuring the transmission of a narrowband laser (sub-100 kHz) at 713 nm for both the empty cavity and the cavity with membrane, while continuously scanning the cavity length over several µm. We determine the cavity Finesse F as the ratio of the free spectral range (FSR) and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the resonances as a function of the length of the cavity:

\[ F = \frac{\text{FSR}}{\text{FWHM}} \]

We measure a Finesse of up to ∼2800 for the empty cavity at several different spots on the plane mirror. Figure 3(a) shows the transmitted intensity versus variation of the cavity length.
length, normalized for the maximum transmitted intensity in the TEM\(^{00}\) mode. We additionally detect the first order transversal TEM\(^{10}/01\) modes with weaker intensity, due to coupling and alignment mismatch. Lorentzian fitting of the resonance peaks of the fundamental modes yields a Finesse value of \(F_e = 2830\) for the empty cavity and \(F_d = 2560\) for the diamond membrane cavity system.

Inserting the diamond membrane in the cavity does not result in a significant decrease of the transmitted intensity. We still observe Finesse values up to \(\approx 2600\) for three different measurement spots on the membrane. Figure 3(b) shows the normalized cavity transmission for the diamond membrane cavity system with a Finesse of \(F_d = 2560\pm160\).

The measured Finesse together with the results of the white light spectroscopy allows us to determine the remaining parameters for the diamond membrane cavity system. We obtain the free spectral range directly from the white light spectra [Fig. 2(d)]: \(\Delta \nu_{FSR} = 3.81 \pm 0.01\) THz corresponding to an overall cavity length of \(L \approx 39\) \(\mu\)m. Using \(F_d = 2560\) one obtains a cavity linewidth of \(\delta \nu = 1.49 \pm 0.09\) GHz from equation (3); for the diamond cavity system this is below the resolution of our grating spectrometer. Operating at an intermediate cavity length enables us to estimate the coupled SiV\(^-\)cavity parameters without the risk of damaging the diamond membrane. Additionally the high cavity quality factor of \(Q = \lambda/\delta \lambda = \nu/\delta \nu = 2.8 \times 10^6\) (at a wavelength of 713 nm) improves the photon coherence.

In summary we have demonstrated that the insertion of a thin diamond membrane into the cavity does not introduce significant scattering losses. Future perspectives arise from integrating SiV\(^-\) centers in single crystal diamond membranes with ideal optical and spin properties.

IV. CAVITY-ENHANCED ABSORPTION MEASUREMENTS TO EXTRACT THE ABSORPTION CROSS SECTION OF THE SiV\(^-\) CENTER

Next, we keep the length of the cavity fixed while laterally moving the flat mirror to overlap the position of the SiV\(^-\) ensemble with the cavity mode. We measure the Finesse on the SiV\(^-\) spot from the transmission of the 713 nm laser [see Fig. 4(a)]. The resulting value \(F_{SiV} = 401 \pm 29\) is significantly reduced compared to the measurements of the empty cavity and on the diamond membrane right next to the SiV\(^-\) ensemble, indicating an additional loss channel introduced by the absorption of the coupled SiV\(^-\) centers. Scattering losses introduced by the diamond membrane are independently extracted to be negligible by introducing a diamond membrane without SiV\(^-\) centers into the cavity. From confocal measurements we can exclude any fluorescing defect centers in that region. This is not possible, e.g., when working with nanodiamonds.

To confirm the positioning of the SiV\(^-\) center ensemble in the field maximum of the cavity mode, we study the cavity emission while exciting the SiV\(^-\) centers with the 532 nm laser. We observe coupling of the emitters ZPL to the cavity mode visible as several cavity resonances in the PL spectrum [Fig. 4(b)]. To calculate the spectral enhancement of the SiV\(^-\) ensemble emission into the resonator mode, we compare the free space emission [Fig. 1(c)], with the cavity emission [Fig. 4(b)]. We normalize the absolute intensities of the two spectra regarding excitation power and acquisition time leading to a correction factor of 0.42 for the cavity coupled spectrum and take into account the ten times higher resolution of the grating spectrometer for the cavity measurement. The resulting spectral enhancement factor originating from cavity funneling at the center wavelength of the SiV\(^-\) ensemble (at \(\lambda = 738.49\) nm) yields \(\approx 1.9\). Gaussian fits of the resonance peaks [red curves in Fig. 4(b)] yield a linewidth of 264 GHz for the fundamental modes. Broadening compared to the natural cavity linewidth calculated in the previous section results from length jitter during the acquisition time of the PL spectrum and extinction introduced by the SiV\(^-\) centers. In the absence of any cavity jitter, for example for an actively stabilized cavity, we expect an increase of the enhancement factor by more than one order of magnitude. Coupling to higher order transverse modes leads to a background in the emission spectrum. This coupling mainly originates from the dimensions of our SiV\(^-\) ensemble, which is larger than the resolution limit of our confocal microscope and can therefore not be described as a point source. The SiV\(^-\) ensemble size together with the cavity mode waist is visualized in the inset of Fig. 4(c).
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In order to extract the absorption cross section of a single SiV− center, we estimate the absorption spectrum by mirroring the PL emission at the center ZPL frequency [Fig. 4(c)] as reported in Ref. [23]. Absorption of the excitation laser leads to a reduction of the Finesse. The Finesse is evaluated by considering the transmission and losses of the two mirrors, the scattering losses introduced by the diamond membrane, and the SiV− ensemble, respectively:

$$F = \frac{2\pi}{T_1 + T_2 + 2L_{\text{mirror}} + 2L_{\text{membrane}} + 2L_{\text{SiV}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $T_1$ and $T_2$ are the individually verified transmission values of the two mirrors at a given wavelength, and $L_{\text{mirror}}$, $L_{\text{membrane}}$, and $L_{\text{SiV}}$ are the loss factors of the mirrors, the membrane, and the defect centers. We independently determine the individual loss factors by using the measured Finesse values for the empty resonator, the membrane-cavity system, and the cavity with the coupled SiV− ensemble of the previous section. At a wavelength of 713 nm $T_1 = T_2 = 0.0005$ yielding

$$L_{\text{mirror}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda c} - T_1 - T_2 \right) \equiv (610 \pm 151) \text{ ppm},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$L_{\text{membrane}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda d} - T_1 - T_2 - 2L_{\text{mirror}} \right) \equiv (117 \pm 183) \text{ ppm},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

and

$$L_{\text{SiV}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_{\text{SiV}}} - T_1 - T_2 - 2L_{\text{mirror}} - 2L_{\text{membrane}} \right) \equiv (6607 \pm 618) \text{ ppm}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

From the losses introduced by the SiV− ensemble and a focal spot area $A = \pi \omega_0^2 / 4$, corresponding to the resonator waist of the half-symmetric cavity $\omega_0 \approx \sqrt{\lambda / \pi (LROC - L^2)^{1/4}} = 3.18\ \mu m$ [24], we estimate the absorption cross section of the SiV− ensemble to be

$$\sigma_{\text{abs, ensemble}}(713) = L_{\text{SiV}} \times A = 5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The biggest uncertainty for extracting the absorption cross section of a single SiV− center arises from the uncertainty in estimating the number of emitters in the SiV− ensemble. We can roughly estimate the number of SiV− centers from the intensity of the spot in the confocal microscope image ($\approx 400\ \text{kc/s}$) and the $g^2(0)$ value. Comparing the count rate with individual SiV− centers measured in our setup with a NA = 0.95 microscope objective (similar to measurements performed in Ref. [5]) we estimate the number of SiV− centers in the ensemble to be $N = 100$ and $N = 1000$. In our assumptions the single SiV− center emission is averaged over all possible dipole orientations and we take into account the lower collection efficiency of our NA = 0.7 microscope objective resulting in a reduction of the count rate by factor 0.52. We further consider near-field optic effects and waveguiding originating from the thickness of the diamond membrane close to the emitter wavelength, which can significantly alter the count rates as simulations in Ref. [25] show. The point spread function (PSF) of the ensemble is significantly larger than the lateral dimensions of a single SiV− center and is larger than the spatial resolution limit of our microscope. Additionally, we performed second-order correlation measurements with off-resonant excitation (532 nm) yielding $g^2(0) \equiv 1$, confirming that there is a large number of SiV− centers in the ensemble.

Assuming that the absorption scales linearly for $N$ independent absorbers, the absorption coefficient of a single SiV− center is accordingly

$$Abs_{\text{SiV}}(713) = \frac{L_{\text{SiV}}}{N} = (3.6 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-5}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
This leads to a single SiV$^-$ absorption cross section at 713 nm of
\[
\sigma_{\text{abs}, \text{SiV}}(713) = A = 2.9 \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2.
\]  
\label{eq:absorption}

In Ref. [26], absorption cross sections of $\sigma_{\text{abs}, \text{SiV}} = (1.4 - 4.2) \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2$ were measured for a single SiV$^-$ center with off-resonant excitation at 532 nm.

To theoretically estimate the value of the absorption cross section we suppose a two-level system where the absorption cross section is given by
\[
\sigma_{\text{abs}} = \frac{3\lambda^2}{4\pi} \gamma^*.
\]  
\label{eq:absorption_theory}

with spectral broadening taken into account by the ratio $\gamma / \gamma^*$ and further consider dependencies on the Debye-Waller factor ($\sigma_{\text{DW}}$) as reported in Ref. [27] and on a factor describing the fraction of nonradiative decay ($\sigma_{\text{NR}}$). The Debye-Waller factor of the SiV$^-$ center is $\sigma_{\text{DW}} \approx 0.7$ [5], while $\sigma_{\text{NR}}$ has not been exactly determined so far. Additionally we take into account the detuning of the excitation laser by $\Delta \approx 28 \text{ nm}$ from the ZPL, reducing the absorption by a factor of $1/\sigma_{\text{OR}} \approx 6$ as extracted from Refs. [23,28]. We conclude with
\[
\sigma_{\text{abs}, \text{SiV}}(713) = \sigma_{\text{NR}} \times \sigma_{\text{DW}} \times \sigma_{\text{OR}} \times \frac{3\lambda^2}{2\pi} \gamma^* 
\approx \sigma_{\text{NR}} \times 9.1 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2
\]  
\label{eq:absorption_theory_adjusted}

for a single SiV$^-$ center with $\gamma / 2\pi = 94 \text{ MHz}$ and $\gamma^*/2\pi = 2.92 \text{ THz}$. The room temperature dephasing rate $\gamma^*/2\pi$ for a single SiV$^-$ center was measured separately in bulk diamond and we believe that we are able to observe these parameters in future membrane samples with a combination of ion implantation and surface termination methods, as was recently shown for nanodiamonds in Ref. [29]. For $\sigma_{\text{NR}} \sim 0.12$ this is in very good accordance with recent derivations of the emission and absorption cross section from PL spectra and McCremer theory in Ref. [28]. Following their calculations we find $\sigma_{\text{abs}, \text{SiV}}(713) \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$ at $\lambda = 713 \text{ nm}$ and about a factor of 6 higher at resonance: $\sigma_{\text{abs}, \text{SiV}}(738) \approx 6.1 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$. Usually the effect of nonradiative relaxation should be negligible. Fast nonradiative decay should even lead to an increased absorption. In the case of a long-lived shelving state, however, the absorption can be significantly reduced, resulting in $\sigma_{\text{NR}} < 1$. Such a dark or shelving state was proposed by \textit{ab initio} studies in Ref. [30] and by a rate analysis in Ref. [13]. A factor of $\sim 10$ reduced quantum yield due to nonradiative decay was also reported in Ref. [5] which is consistent with our approximated value of $\sigma_{\text{NR}} \sim 0.12$.

Experimentally we observe a value for the absorption cross section that significantly deviates from theory predictions by at least two orders of magnitude. A similar tendency was also reported in Ref. [26]. A higher precision in the determination of $\sigma_{\text{abs}, \text{SiV}}$ can be achieved in future measurements with single SiV$^-$ centers.

V. DISCUSSION

In our work we investigate an ensemble of SiV$^-$ centers in a thin diamond membrane coupled to the mode of a fiber-based optical resonator as a potential light-matter interface for applications such as quantum repeater nodes. We explore the dispersion relation and demonstrate a linear dispersion relation, as for an empty resonator, and the Finesse is unaffected by the presence of the membrane. Our findings enable experiments with high-Finesse resonators and with very small resonator lengths below 1 $\mu$m and limited only by the geometry of the cavity mirrors.

Extracting from our parameters, one finds coupling strengths of $g_0/2\pi \sim 18 \text{ GHz}$ for single SiV$^-$ centers may be possible. Together with fast field decay rates of $\kappa / 2\pi \sim 50 \text{ GHz}$ an efficient spin-photon interface can be realized. We extract the absorption cross section from the reduction in Finesse to be $2.9 \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2$. The largest uncertainty in our measurement arises from the uncertainty in the estimated number of emitter. In future experiments the absorption cross section can be determined with high precision when the experiment is repeated with single SiV$^-$ center.

One possible interpretation for the much larger experimentally estimated absorption cross section compared to theoretical predictions could be a (partly) collective absorption [31]. Although at first glance this might be surprising since the inhomogeneous ensemble linewidth of $\gamma^*/\text{ensemble}/2\pi \sim 4.86 \text{ THz}$ is much larger than the lifetime-limited linewidth of $\gamma_{\text{SiV}}/2\pi = 94 \text{ MHz}$, the parameters of the coupled SIV-cavity system render superabsorption possible. As described in Ref. [32] the coupling of light to the collective dipole is given by $\Gamma = 4g_0^2 / \kappa$ yielding $\Gamma / 2\pi \sim 4.6 \text{ GHz}$ for our settings and assuming a weak pumping rate. We characterize the collective behavior by $\Gamma / \gamma \sim 49 > 1$ indicating a significant collective absorption. However, dephasing and large inhomogeneous ensemble linewidth causes the collective spin to individualize proportional to $\Gamma / \gamma^* \sim 10^{-3} < 1$. In the case of a large ensemble we still expect partly collective absorption despite strong dephasing by a SiV$^-$ subensemble. The total ensemble absorption coefficient is then approximated by $\text{Abs}_{\text{SiV}} = L_{\text{SiV}}/(N + M^2)$ where $M$ is the number of SiV$^-$ center acting as single collective superabsorber. Comparing the experimental value for $L_{\text{SiV}} = 6.6 \times 10^{-3}$ with the theoretical absorption coefficient for a single SiV$^-$ center $\text{Abs}_{\text{SiV}, \text{theo}} = 1.38 \times 10^{-8}$ (Ref. [28]) puts an upper limit for partial superabsorption for an ensemble size $< 4.8 \times 10^5$. In particular, for nonuniformly distributed transition frequencies the onset of superabsorption becomes feasible. Temperature dependent measurements of the absorption cross section could unfold the effect of superabsorption. Cooling the sample to $\sim 5 \text{ K}$ could decrease the dephasing of $\gamma^* < \Gamma$ with the potential for a large increase in superabsorption.

In future experiments our introduced platform can be utilized towards the creation of indistinguishable single photons at room temperature. Optimizing the cavity parameters for cavity funneling yields a degree of indistinguishability $> 0.8$ at rates up to tens of MHz (for details see the Supplemental Material [17], which includes Refs. [33–37]).
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