Elsevier required licence: © <2019>. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u> The definitive publisher version is available online at

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S104366181831733X?via%3Dihub]

Manuscript Details

Manuscript number	YPHRS_2018_1683_R2
Title	Dopaminergic-GABAergic interplay and alcohol binge drinking
Article type	Research Paper

Abstract

The dopamine D3 receptor (D3R), in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), plays an important role in alcohol reward mechanisms. The major neuronal type within the NAc is the GABAergic medium spiny neuron (MSN), whose activity is regulated by dopaminergic inputs. We previously reported that genetic deletion or pharmacological blockade of D3R increases GABAA α 6 subunit in the ventral striatum. Here we tested the hypothesis that D3R-dependent changes in GABAA α 6 subunit in the NAc affect voluntary alcohol intake, by influencing the inhibitory transmission of MSNs. We performed in vivo and ex vivo experiments in D3 knockout (D3R -/-) mice and wild type littermates (D3R +/+). Ro 15-4513, a high affinity α 6-GABAA ligand was used to study α 6 activity. At baseline, NAc α 6 expression was negligible in D3R+/+, whereas it was robust in D3R-/-; other relevant GABAA subunits were not changed. In situ hybridization and qPCR confirmed α 6 subunit mRNA expression especially in the NAc. In the drinking-in-the-dark paradigm, systemic administration of Ro 15-4513 and furosemide, a selective α 6-GABAA antagonist. Whole-cell patch-clamp showed peak amplitudes of miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents in NAc medium spiny neurons higher in D3R-/-; Ro 15-4513 reduced the peak amplitude in the NAc of D3R-/-, but not in D3R+/+. We conclude that D3R-dependent enhanced expression of α 6 GABAA subunit inhibits voluntary alcohol intake by increasing GABA inhibition in the NAc.

Keywords	dopamine D3 receptor; GABAA receptor; alpha6 subunit; ethanol; nucleus accumbens; Ro 15-4513
Corresponding Author	Salvatore Salomone
Order of Authors	Gian Marco Leggio, Roberta Di Marco, Walter Gulisano, Marcello D'Ascenzo, Sebastiano Alfio Torrisi, Federica Geraci, Gianluca Lavanco, Kristiina Dahl, Giovanni Giurdanella, Alessandro Castorina, Teemu Aitta-Aho, Giuseppe Aceto, Claudio Bucolo, Daniela Puzzo, Claudio Grassi, Esa Korpi, Filippo Drago, Salvatore Salomone
Suggested reviewers	Robert Nisticò, Jose Nobrega

Submission Files Included in this PDF

File Name [File Type]

Leggio cover letter 10jan19.pdf [Cover Letter] Leggio_Author checklist.pdf [Checklist] 10jan19_Responses to the Referee's comments.docx [Response to Reviewers] Leggio 10jan19 revised highlighted copy.docx [Response to Reviewers] Leggio SI 10jan19 revised highlighted copy.docx [Response to Reviewers] Leggio Graphical Abstract.tif [Graphical Abstract] Leggio 10jan19 revised clean copy.docx [Manuscript File] Fig1 Leggio revised.tiff [Figure] Fig2 Leggio revised.tiff [Figure] Fig3 Leggio revised.tiff [Figure] Fig4 Leggio revised.tiff [Figure] COI signed.pdf [Conflict of Interest]

Leggio SI 10jan19 revised clean copy.docx [e-Component]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.



UNIVERSITÀ

di CATANIA

degli STUDI



Sezione di Farmacologia - Prof. Salvatore Salomone

Prof. Emilio Clementi,MD, PhD Editor-in-Chief Pharmacological Research Catania, January 10th, 2019

Dear Prof. Clementi,

Please find, attached the second revised version of our manuscript entitled "**Dopaminergic-GABAergic interplay and alcohol binge drinking**", by Leggio et al., submitted for publication to *Pharmacological Research*.

We have revised the manuscript according to the recommendation of the reviewer.

We hope that, in this revised form, our manuscript could now be published in Pharmacological Research.

Looking forward to hear from you.

Sincerely yours

Salvatore Salomone, MD, PhD

Solveton S. Comone

Table 1: Author Checklist for Original Articles to be submitted to PharmacologicalResearch

Questions		reply		
	Yes	No	Not applic able	
Formatting - The submission will automatically be rejected if the firs	t six au	estions	are not	
marked "yes" (questions 1-6) or "not applicable" (limited to questions 3-6)	t om qu	05010115	uie not	
1. Are all tables and figures numbered and appropriately titled with	X			
descriptive legends that permit stand-alone interpretation?	_			
2. Are all data shown on figures and tables mentioned in the text of the	X			
Results section?				
3. Are the whole un-cropped images of the original western blots from			<u>X</u>	
which figures have been derived shown as supplemental figures?				
4. In case of human studies, has specific mention been made of the study			<u>X</u>	
compliance with the regulations of the country(ies) in which the study				
was carried out ?				
5. In case of human studies, has the study been registered on an accessible			<u>X</u>	
international registry/database of clinical trials (e.g. EudraCT,				
Clinical Irials.gov, ChiCIR, ANZCIR, JPRN and the like)	V			
6. In case of studies on animals, is there a statement indicating	<u>X</u>			
identification of the institutional committee that approved the				
experiments?				
Introduction				
7 is there a clear statement with background describing the hypothesis	Y			
being tested by this study?	<u>A</u>			
8. Are the primary endpoints clearly described?	X			
Materials and Methods				
9. Are the sources of all materials clearly indicated?	X			
10. Is(are) the chemical structure(s) of any new compound(s) presented as	X			
a figure in the manuscript or referenced in the literature?	-			
11. Are the source(s) and passage number of cell lines indicated?			<u>X</u>	
12. Are the source, catalogue number and lot for commercial antibodies			X	
indicated?				
13. Are the species, strain, sex, weight and source of the animal subjects	<u>X</u>			
provided?				
14. Is the rationale provided for the selection of concentrations, doses,	<u>X</u>			
route and frequency of compound administration?				
15. Are quantified results (e.g. IC_{50} and/or EC_{50} values) of concentration-			<u>X</u>	
and dose-response experiments included in the report?				
16. Is the method of anaesthesia described?	**		<u>X</u>	
17. Are all group sizes approximately the same?	X			
18. Were the criteria used for excluding any data from analysis	<u> </u>			
10 Was the investigator responsible for data analysis blinded to which	v			
samples/animals represent treatments and controls?	<u>A</u>			
20 Is the exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition	Y			
clearly indicated in the text and/or on the tables and figures?	<u> </u>			
erearly indicated in the text and/or on the tubles and ingules :		1		

		1	
21. Are the reported data displayed as the mean +/- an estimate of	<u>X</u>		
variability (SD, SEM) of three or more independent experimental			
replications?			
22. Is the number of replications used to generate an individual data point	<u>X</u>		
in each of the independent experiments clearly indicated?			
23. Were the statistical tests employed to analyse the primary endpoints	<u>X</u>		
predetermined as part of the experimental design?			
24. Is the threshold for statistical significance (P value) clearly indicated?	<u>X</u>		
25. Were the data normalised?	X		
26. Were post-hoc tests used to assess the statistical significance among	X		
means?	-		
27. Was the study exploratory rather than hypothesis-driven?		X	
28. Were human tissues or fluids used in this study?		X	
Results			
29 If western blots are shown are the following included: i) appropriate			Y
loading controls for each western blot ii) replication data iii)			<u>A</u>
quantification and iv) the results of a statistical analysis?			
30 Were MIOE guidelines followed in the quantitative analysis and		V	
presentation of PCR and RT_PCR findings?		<u>A</u>	
21 Was a reference standard (positive or pagetive controls) included in	V		
51. Was a reference standard (positive of negative controls) included in the study to validate the experiment?	<u>A</u>		
Discussion			
Discussion	V		
32. Are all the findings considered within the context of the hypothesis	<u>X</u>		
presented in the Introduction?	**		
33. Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated?	<u>X</u>		
34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently			<u>X</u>
powered for appropriate statistical analysis?			
35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of	<u>X</u>		
the findings clearly stated?			
For Meta-analyses only			
		[V
36 Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the			<u>A</u>
case of meta-analyses on randomised controlled trials?			
			X
37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-			
analyses on observational studies in epidemiology?			
38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International			X
prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number			
obtained?			
	•		
Conflict of Interest/Financial Support			
39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript?	X		
40 Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the	X		
Acknowledgments?			

Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author

Responses to the Referee's comments

We do thank the Editor and the Reviewer for their helpful comments. We have revised our submission accordingly, and hope it can now be considered acceptable for publication in Pharmacological Research.

Below our point-by-point rebuttal

Reviewer #2

Query: The location of the regions of interest for RT-PCR and autoradiographic measurements does not seem to be fully accounted for by the nucleus accumbens. In fact, the left and right ROIs in the image of [3H]-Ro 15-4513 binding in D3-/- mice clearly cover anatomically heterogenous brain regions (see Response to Referee's comment, page 4), and even a small shift of the ROI between a densely labeled area and the much less densely labeled nucleus accumbens would produce large differences in the measured average optical density. In this context, it would be absolutely essential to make these measurements in a blinded manner. A statement to that effect should be added in the methods.

Answer: We fully agree with the referee's view; in fact all measurements had been carried out in blind. We have now added a statement in the methods and results sections of the revised manuscript, as suggested.

Query: The labels/units in Figs 2B, D, F and H don't seem to make sense (optical density values of 10,000?).

Answer: We apologize for this misprint. Values represented in the graphs are expressed as arbitrary optical density units. We changed optical density with arbitrary optical density units in all panels of the figure 2.

Query: The film exposure times don't seem to have been added to the SI. **Answer:** We apologize. We added the film exposure time (12 weeks) in the SI section.

Table 1: Author Checklist for Original Articles to be submitted to Pharmacological Research

Questions		rentv		
<u><u>C</u>aconons</u>	Yes	No	Not applica ble	
Formatting - The submission will automatically be rejected if the first six questions are 1-6) or "not applicable" (limited to questions 3-6)	not marke	d "yes" (questions	
1. Are all tables and figures numbered and appropriately titled with descriptive legends	X			
that permit stand-alone interpretation?				
2. Are all data shown on figures and tables mentioned in the text of the Results section?	X			
3. Are the whole un-cropped images of the original western blots from which figures			X	
have been derived shown as supplemental figures?				
4. In case of human studies, has specific mention been made of the study compliance			X	
with the regulations of the country(ies) in which the study was carried out ?				
5. In case of human studies, has the study been registered on an accessible international			X	
registry/database of clinical trials (e.g. EudraCT, ClinicalTrials.gov, ChiCTR, ANZCTR,				
JPRN and the like)				
6. In case of studies on animals, is there a statement indicating compliance with	X			
regulations on the ethical treatment of animals including identification of the				
institutional committee that approved the experiments?				
Introduction	1			
7. Is there a clear statement with background describing the hypothesis being tested by this study?	X			
8. Are the primary endpoints clearly described?	X			
Materials and Methods				
9. Are the sources of all materials clearly indicated?	X			
10. Is(are) the chemical structure(s) of any new compound(s) presented as a figure in the	X			
manuscript or referenced in the literature?				
11. Are the source(s) and passage number of cell lines indicated?			X	
12. Are the source, catalogue number and lot for commercial antibodies indicated?			X	
13. Are the species, strain, sex, weight and source of the animal subjects provided?	X			
14. Is the rationale provided for the selection of concentrations, doses, route and	X			
frequency of compound administration?				
15. Are quantified results (e.g. IC_{50} and/or EC_{50} values) of concentration- and dose-			X	
response experiments included in the report?				
16. Is the method of anaesthesia described?			X	
17. Are all group sizes approximately the same?	X			
18. Were the criteria used for excluding any data from analysis determined prospectively and clearly stated?	X			
19. Was the investigator responsible for data analysis blinded to which samples/animals represent treatments and controls?	X			
20. Is the exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition clearly indicated	X			
in the text and/or on the tables and figures?				
21. Are the reported data displayed as the mean +/- an estimate of variability (SD, SEM)	X			
of three or more independent experimental replications?				
22. Is the number of replications used to generate an individual data point in each of the	X			
independent experiments clearly indicated?	V			
23. Were the statistical tests employed to analyse the primary endpoints predetermined as part of the experimental design?	X			
24 Is the threshold for statistical significance (P value) clearly indicated?	Y			
25. Were the data normalised?				
26. Were nost-hoc tests used to assess the statistical significance among means?	X			
27. Was the study exploratory rather than hypothesis-driven?		X		
28. Were human tissues or fluids used in this study?		X		
Results	1		<u> </u>	
29. If western blots are shown, are the following included: i) appropriate loading			X	
controls for each western blot, ii) replication data, iii) quantification, and iv) the results				
of a statistical analysis?				
30. Were MIQE guidelines followed in the quantitative analysis and presentation of PCR		X		

and RT-PCR findings?		
31. Was a reference standard (positive or negative controls) included in the study to		
validate the experiment?		
Discussion	, <u>,</u>	1
32. Are all the findings considered within the context of the hypothesis presented in the Introduction?	X	
33. Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated?	X	
34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently powered for appropriate statistical analysis?		X
35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings clearly stated?	X	
For Meta-analyses only		·
	1 1	
36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta- analyses on randomised controlled trials?		X
37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology?		X
38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained?		X
Conflict of Interest/Financial Support	, ,	
39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript?	X	
40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the Acknowledgments?	X	
Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author		

Dopaminergic-GABAergic interplay and alcohol binge drinking

Gian Marco Leggio^{1*}, Roberta Di Marco^{1*}, Walter Gulisano¹, Marcello D'Ascenzo², Sebastiano Alfio Torrisi¹, Federica Geraci^{1,} Gianluca Lavanco¹, Kristiina Dahl³, Giovanni Giurdanella¹, Alessandro Castorina^{1,4}, Teemu Aitta-aho³, Giuseppe Aceto², Claudio Bucolo¹, Daniela Puzzo¹, Claudio Grassi², Esa R. Korpi³, Filippo Drago¹ and Salvatore Salomone¹

¹Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Catania, Catania, Italy. ²Institute of Human Physiology, Medical School, Università Cattolica, Rome, Italy. ³Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ⁴Present address University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, Australia.

*Equally contribution author

Corresponding author: Salvatore Salomone, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences, University of Catania, Via Santa Sofia 97, 95123 Catania, Italy. Tel: 39-095-478-1195, e-mail: <u>salomone@unict.it</u>

Short/running title: D₃R-GABA_A interplay

ABSTRACT

The dopamine D_3 receptor (D_3R), in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), plays an important role in alcohol reward mechanisms. The major neuronal type within the NAc is the GABAergic medium spiny neuron (MSN), whose activity is regulated by dopaminergic inputs. We previously reported that genetic deletion or pharmacological blockade of D_3R increases GABA_A α 6 subunit in the ventral striatum. Here we tested the hypothesis that D_3R dependent changes in GABA_A α 6 subunit in the NAc affect voluntary alcohol intake, by influencing the inhibitory transmission of MSNs.

We performed *in vivo* and *ex vivo* experiments in D3 knockout ($D_3R^{-/-}$) mice and wild type littermates ($D_3R^{+/+}$). Ro 15-4513, a high affinity α 6-GABA_A ligand was used to study α 6 activity.

At baseline, NAc α 6 expression was negligible in D₃R^{+/+}, whereas it was robust in D₃R^{-/-}; other relevant GABA_A subunits were not changed. In situ hybridization and qPCR confirmed α 6 subunit mRNA expression especially in the NAc. In the drinking-in-the-dark paradigm, systemic administration of Ro 15-4513 inhibited alcohol intake in D₃R^{+/+}, but increased it in D₃R^{-/-}; this was confirmed by intra-NAc administration of Ro 15-4513 and furosemide, a selective α 6-GABA_A antagonist. Whole-cell patch-clamp showed peak amplitudes of miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents in NAc medium spiny neurons higher in D₃R^{-/-} compared to D₃R^{+/+}; Ro 15-4513 reduced the peak amplitude in the NAc of D₃R^{-/-}, but not in D₃R^{+/+}.

We conclude that D_3R -dependent enhanced expression of α 6 GABA_A subunit inhibits voluntary alcohol intake by increasing GABA inhibition in the NAc.

Key words: dopamine D3 receptor; GABA_A receptor; alpha6 subunit; ethanol; nucleus accumbens; Ro 15-4513

Chemical compounds studied in this article Ro 15-4513 (PubChem CID: 5081); SB 277011A (PubChem CID: 75358288); Furosemide (PubChem CID: 3440)

Abbreviations: DID, drinking in the dark paradigm; DR, dopamine receptor; $D_{1-5}R$, dopamine D_{1-5} receptor; GABA, *gamma*-aminobutyric acid; GABA_ARs, GABA_A receptors; ISH, in situ hybridization; mIPSCs, miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents; MSN, medium spiny neuron; NAc, nucleus accumbens; PFC, prefrontal cortex; VTA, ventral tegmental area.

1. Introduction

Alcohol is the most widely used and abused of all psychoactive drugs. Despite its mechanism of action being still elusive, general consensus recognizes its major impact on the brain reward system. Repeated intake of ethanol induces alterations in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), a main component of the mesolimbic reward circuit [1], as several other drugs of abuse [2]. In this brain region more than 95% of the cells are GABAergic Medium Spiny Neurons (MSNs), whose activity is regulated by dopaminergic and glutamatergic inputs [3]. MSNs comprise three distinct cell subpopulations; one expressing dopamine D1-like receptors (D_1R and D_5R), a second one expressing dopamine D_2 -like receptors (D_2R, D_3R, D_4R) , and a small third one expressing both D_1 -like and D_2 -like receptors [4, 5]. GABA_A receptors (GABA_ARs) in the NAc have been considered as a primary target for alcohol, and may be involved in voluntary alcohol consumption [6]; moreover, chronic alcohol intake alters GABAergic function in the NAc, which sustains behavioral addictive patterns [1, 6]. GABA_AR is an heteromeric pentamer chloride channel assembled from a variety of subunits from the 19 known up to now, $\alpha 1$ -6, $\beta 1$ -3, $\gamma 1$ -3, δ , ϵ , θ , π , $\rho 1$ -3 [7]. This lead to the formation of multiple isoforms that are likely to differ in their alcohol sensitivity [8]. This ionotropic receptor represents a major pharmacological target for many drugs, including benzodiazepines, barbiturates and ethanol. While GABA binds to an orthosteric site, these exogenous compounds (and some endogenous modulators) bind to allosteric sites, affecting the gating of the channel and/or the response to GABA [7]. Previous findings reported that $GABA_AR$ containing $\alpha 6$ subunit is particularly sensitive to alcohol; indeed, rats expressing the naturally occurring R100Q allelic variation of a6 exhibit a higher sensitivity to motor incoordination induced by moderate doses of ethanol [9] and avoid alcohol consumption [10]. This mutation was originally found in a selectively bred, alcohol-sensitive rat line [11], which also shows reduced voluntary acceptance of alcohol

solutions [12]. Furthermore, the hypersensitivity to ethanol was also seen in tonic inhibitory currents mediated by the α6βδ-type GABA_ARs in cerebellar slices [13]. GABAergic MSNs receive dopaminergic inputs from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) [14]; activation of this circuitry, the dopaminergic mesolimbic pathway, is classically considered as responsible for the reward response to physiological (e.g. food intake, sexual activity) or pathological (drug of abuse) stimuli. Activation of D_3R , highly expressed in the NAc, is involved in the control of alcohol consumption [15-17]. Indeed, either D₃R gene deletion or D₃R pharmacological blockade inhibit alcohol intake [15]. Because DRs and GABA_ARs are colocalized in MSNs, both contributing to the control of NAc output [18], we hypothesized that some cross-talk may exist between D₃R and GABA_ARs in the regulation of reward system. In this respect, we have already shown that genetic deletion or pharmacological blockade of D_3R , by using the selective D_3R antagonist SB 277011A, increases GABA_A $\alpha 6$ subunit expression in the ventral striatum [19]. Thus, this behavioral effect on alcohol intake might be linked with changed GABA_A α6 subunit expression levels in the NAc, due to the D₃R gene deletion or D₃R pharmacological blockade by SB 277011A. Here, we tested the hypothesis that D₃R-dependent changes in GABA_A α6 subunit expression in the NAc affect the alcohol intake behavior, and, at the cell level, the electrical activity of MSNs, thereby influencing the inhibitory synaptic transmission in the NAc. To do so, we attempted to directly reveal GABA_A α6 activity, by using Ro 15-4513, an imidazobenzodiazepine GABA_A ligand exerting differential effects depending on the α subunit present in the GABA_AR isoform, showing negative allosteric agonism with α 1,2,3 and 5, but positive agonism with a 4 and a 6 [20, 21]. Interestingly, based on molecular docking analysis and ligand binding interactions, Ro 15-4513 has been proposed to compete with ethanol within a binding pocket involving $\alpha 6$ [22, 23]. More importantly, Ro 15-4513 has shown efficacy in reducing alcohol drinking in rodents [24, 25], but the detailed mechanisms of action have remained unknown. However, Ro 15-4513 may be considered a high affinity α 6-GABA_AR ligand, since its binding is obvious in a α 6 rich brain structure, such as the cerebellum, while it is hardly detectable in the very same structure in α 6 null mice [26].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Mice $D_3R^{-/-}$, $D_3R^{+/-}$ and $D_3R^{+/+}$ littermates (males, 8–12 weeks old) were individually housed, with free access to chow and water (except in the ethanol drinking procedures), in an air-conditioned room, with a 12-h light–dark cycle. Mice $D_3R^{-/-}$ and $D_3R^{+/-}$ were congenic after 10th–12th generation of back crossing into C57BL/6J mouse line [27]. All experiments were carried out according to the Directive 2010/63/EU and to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Catania.

2.2. Analysis of mRNA expression by real-time quantitative RT-PCR

NAc was freshly dissected out for real-time quantitative RT-PCR by using punches (bilateral) of 14-gauge on ice, held in ice-cold PBS solution and frozen on dry ice according to Koo et al. [18]. Total RNA was isolated by TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) from the brain tissues. Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized with Super-Script III (Invitrogen), by random priming. Aliquots of cDNA were amplified in parallel reactions with external standards at known amounts, using specific primer pairs for α 6-GABA_A subunit, D₃R and GAPDH (reference gene). GAPDH levels did not differ among different groups and were not changed by alcohol exposure in the DID paradigm. Each PCR reaction (20 µl final volume), contained 0.5 mM primers, 1.6 mM Mg²⁺, and 1 X Light Cycler-Fast Start DNA Master SYBR Green I (Roche Diagnostics). Quantifications were carried out in a Light Cycler 1.5 instrument (Roche Diagnostics). Quantification was obtained by the Δ Ct comparative method.

2.3. Drinking in the dark paradigm (DID)

The 4-hour version of the behavioral paradigm was used, as described by Rhodes et al. [28]. The procedure started 3h after lights off in the animal room; water bottles were replaced with graduated tubes with stainless steel drinking spouts containing 20% (v/v) ethanol (Sigma, St Louis, MO) in tap water; this was done in home cages where animals were singly housed [28]; the ethanol tubes remained in place for 2 h. After the 2-h period, intakes were recorded, and the ethanol tubes were replaced with water tubes. This procedure was repeated on days 2 and 3. On day 4, the procedure was again repeated except that the ethanol tubes were left in place for 4 h, and intakes were recorded after 4 h.

2.4. In situ hybridization and [³H]Ro 15-4513 autoradiography

The in situ hybridization (ISH) and [³H]Ro 15-4513 autoradiography were carried out as described earlier [29, 30]. The detailed protocols are reported in Supplemental Information section.

2.5. Systemic administrations

Ro 15-4513 and SB 277011A hydrochloride were from Tocris (Ellisville, MO). Drugs were intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected. Ro 15-4513 (5 mg/kg) [31] was dissolved in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide whereas SB 277011A hydrochloride (10 mg/kg) [15, 19] was dissolved in saline. All drugs and their respective vehicles were injected in a volume of 10 ml/kg. In the DID paradigm, we first tested $D_3R^{+/+}$, $D_3R^{+/-}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ naïve (n = 8/10 per group). For pharmacological experiments with Ro 15-4513, we allocated $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ mice to 4

experimental groups: $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with vehicle, $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with Ro 15-4513, $D_3R^{-/-}$ treated with vehicle and $D_3R^{-/-}$ treated with Ro 15-4513 (n = 8/10 per group). In another set of experiments, $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ were randomly allocated to 3 experimental groups (n= 8/13 per group): $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with SB277011A for 7 days before SB 277011A

plus Ro 15-4513 during the DID procedure; $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with Vehicle for 7 days before Vehicle plus Ro 15-4513 during the DID procedure and $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with Vehicle for 7 days before Vehicle plus Vehicle during the DID procedure. SB 277011A and Ro 15-4513 were i.p. injected, respectively 1h and 15 minutes before DID. On day 4, animals were sacrificed 1 h after ethanol-drinking procedure and the brain tissues were taken.

2.6. Intra-accumbens administrations

Ro 15-4513 and furosemide (Tocris) were dissolved in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide and 90% synthetic cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [15, 19]. Cannulas were implanted as previously described (11). After anesthesia with tiletamine + zolazepam (60 mg/kg) and medetomidine (40 µg/kg), mice were implanted with a 26-gauge guide cannula into the NAc (coordinates from *Bregma*: anterior-posterior = + 1.42 mm, latero-lateral ± 0.75 mm to a depth of 4.1 mm). The cannulas were fixed to the skull with acrylic dental cement (RelyXTM Unicem). After 6–8 days recovery, drugs (10 nmol/mouse) were bilaterally injected in a final volume of 1 µl over 1 min through infusion cannulas connected to a Hamilton microsyringe by a polyethylene tube. Ro 15-4513 was injected 15 minutes before the DID, whereas furosemide was injected 5 min before Ro 15-4513. Animals were handled gently to minimize stress during infusion. After the infusion procedure, the needle was left in place for another minute to allow diffusion. In the DID paradigm, mice were allocated to three experimental groups (n = 8/10 per group): D_3R^{-t} / vehicle, D_3R^{-t} / Ro 15-

4513, $D_3R^{-/-}$ / furosemide + Ro 15-4513. After behavioral testing, a solution of 4% methylene blue was infused for histological localization of infusion cannulas.

2.7. Electrophysiology

For the preparation of brain slices, we followed the protocol described by Scala et al. [32], with minor modifications. The detailed protocol is reported in Supplemental Information section. The electrophysiological recordings were analyzed using the Clampfit 10.7 software (Molecular Devices). A template was constructed using the "Event detection/create template" function, as described in [33], then, miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) were detected using the "Event detection/template search" function. All the waveforms detected during a single recording using template analysis were averaged and amplitude, rise time and decay time calculated.

2.8. Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was assessed with the Student's t test (when used, paired-t test has been indicated in the text), one- or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Newman-Keuls. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Alcohol intake and $GABA_A \alpha 6$ subunit expression

We previously reported that D_3R^{-1} mice have low ethanol intake [15] and exhibit higher basal expression of GABA_A α 6 in the ventral striatum [19]. Here, we assessed whether a link exists between alcohol consumption and $GABA_A \alpha 6$ subunit expression in the NAc. D_3R^{-1} exhibited about 5-fold higher basal mRNA expression of α 6 subunit as compared with $D_3R^{+/+}$ in the NAc [main effect of genotype F (2, 14) = 9.447, P<0.01; post hoc: P<0.01], but not in the prefrontal cortex (PFC), while other relevant GABA_A subunits were not changed (Fig.1 A-B). Based on these data, we compared D₃R^{+/+}, heterozygous D₃R^{+/-} and homozygous $D_3 R^{-1}$ in the drinking-in-the-dark (DID) paradigm. As shown in Fig. 1C, D₃R^{+/+}exhibited obvious ethanol preference in DID paradigm on day 1, 2 and 3, whereas D_3R^{-1} had significantly lower ethanol intake [main effect of day: F (3, 60) = 40.58, P<0.01; main effect of genotype F (2, 20) = 7.812, P<0.01; post hoc: P<0.01 and P<0.05]. $D_3R^{+/-}$ showed alcohol intake similar to $D_3R^{+/+}$ and, consistently, a low $\alpha 6$ expression in the NAc (Fig. 1D). The lack of difference in ethanol intake on day 4 might be linked to the 4h-time window used instead of a 2h-time window (see Methods). Overall, these data suggest that there is a link between α6 mRNA expression and alcohol intake such that the high level of GABA_A α6 subunit expression in the NAc is associated to reduced alcohol consumption. To precisely assess the spatial expression of α 6 subunit in the brain of D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-}, we carried out in situ hybridization (ISH) experiments and analyzed the results in a blinded manner. These experiments confirmed that, while heavily enriched in the cerebellar granule cell layer, significant α 6 expression in the forebrain of D₃R^{-/-} occurred specifically in the NAc [P<0.05], being very low in the other examined brain areas (Fig. 2 A-D, Tab. S1-S2). Furthermore, the expression of other relevant GABA_A subunits was not changed in

 $D_3R^{-/-}$ (Tab. S1-S2). Data obtained by ISH confirmed the qPCR data (Fig. 1 A-B). Autoradiography following incubation with a high 15 nM concentration of [³H]Ro15-4513 showed a statistically significant increase of [³H]Ro15-4513 binding in the NAc [P<0.05] (Fig. 2 E-F). Ro 15-4513 binds at $\alpha 6/4\beta 3\delta$ -type GABA_A receptors with high affinity (K_D \approx 10 nM) [21, 34], consistent with an increased expression of $\alpha 6/4\beta 3\delta$ -type GABA_A receptors in the NAc.

3.2. Alcohol antagonist Ro 15-4513 increased ethanol consumption in mice expressing $GABA_A \alpha 6$ in NAc

Ro15-4513 was earlier named "alcohol antagonist" [35], because, in some studies, it inhibited alcohol intoxication, preference and self-administration in wild type rodents [31, 36]. Therefore, based on ISH and [³H]Ro15-4513 binding data, we tested the hypothesis that Ro 15-4513 differently affects ethanol intake in mice expressing different levels of $\alpha 6$ in the NAc. As shown in Fig. 3 A, systemic administration of Ro 15-4513 decreased voluntary ethanol intake in $D_3R^{+/+}$ [main effect of day F (3, 63) = 55.62, P<0.01; main effect of treatment F (1, 21) = 7.198, P<0.05; post hoc: P<0.05], but increased voluntary ethanol intake in $D_3R^{-/-}$ (Fig. 3 B) [main effect of day F (3, 39) = 34.87, P<0.01; main effect of treatment F (1, 13) = 9.384, P<0.01; post hoc: P<0.05]. Worthy of note, $D_3R^{-/-}$, which normally show low preference for alcohol [15], following Ro 15-4513-treatment reached a level of ethanol consumption similar to that of $D_3R^{+/+}$. To gain stronger evidence of the specific role of D_3R -dependent expression of $\alpha 6$ GABA_A subunit in the NAc, we tested D₃R^{-/-} mice in the DID after intra-NAc administration of Ro 15-4513, with or without furosemide, an α 6-GABA_A receptor antagonist [37]. As shown in Fig. 3 C, intra-NAc administration of Ro 15-4513 increased voluntary ethanol intake in D₃R^{-/-} [main effect of treatment F (2, 13) = 22.31, P<0.001; main effect of days X treatment interaction F (6, 39) = 3.297 P<0.05, post hoc: P<0.05, P<0.01 and P<0.001 vs vehicle]; the effect of Ro 15-4513 injected in this brain area was blocked by pretreatment with furosemide [main effect of treatment F (2, 13) = 22.31, P<0.001; main effect of days X treatment interaction F (6, 39) = 3.297, post hoc: P<0.001 vs furosemide+Ro 15-4513] (Fig. 3D). This result confirms that the increased expression of α 6-GABA_AR in the NAc has a key role in modulating the paradoxical effect of Ro 15-4513 in D₃R^{-/-} mice, ruling out potential off target and/or nonspecific effects of Ro 15-4513 (on other brain areas, because of intraNAc injection, and on other GABA_A receptor isoforms, because of furosemide antagonism). Thus, the paradoxical response to Ro 15-4513 seen in D₃R^{-/-} is related to increased expression of -GABA_AR in the NAc, which also accounts for the low ethanol consumption observed in these mice, as mentioned above.

Changes of GABA_AR function induced by alterations in dopaminergic transmission may have clinical relevance, because a number of DR ligands are currently used to treat different neuropsychiatric disorders [38]. In this respect, consistent with data obtained in D₃R^{-/-} mice, we previously reported that chronic treatment with the selective D₃R antagonist SB 277011A increases α 6 expression in the ventral striatum and accelerates the appearance of tolerance to the anxiolytic effect of diazepam [19]. Here, to assess the functional relevance of the D₃R/ α 6-GABA_AR cross-talk, we treated D₃R^{+/+}with SB 277011A for 7 days, (10 mg/kg i.p. as done in [19]) before testing in the DID paradigm. As shown in Fig. 3D, pretreatment of D₃R^{+/+} with SB 277011A for 7 days, which increased the expression of α 6-GABA_AR in the NAc (Figure S1), induced a paradoxical effect of Ro 15-4513 on alcohol intake, similar to D₃R^{+/-} [main effect of days F (3, 108) = 31.59, P<0.001; main effect of treatment F (2, 36) = 19.34, post hoc: P<0.05, P<0.001 vs vehicle]. These data indicate that treatment with a D₃R antagonist, sufficient to change the expression of α 6-GABA_AR in the NAc, determines changes in ethanol intake.

3.3. $D_3 R^{-/-}$ mice exhibited Ro 15-4513-driven decrease of mIPSC amplitude in Medium Spiny Neurons

To test the hypothesis that α 6 subunit expression in the NAc shell, as seen in D₃R^{-/-} mice, modifies inhibitory transmission, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings on GABAergic MSNs, which represent >95% of the cell population in this brain region, and recorded miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs). Analysis of the peak amplitudes of mIPSCs revealed a significant increase in $D_3R^{-/-}$ compared to $D_3R^{+/+}$ (Fig. 4; A-D; 38.58 ± 3.35 pA, n = 19 versus 29.51 ± 2.96 pA, n = 16; P<0.05). In contrast, there was no significant difference in mIPSC frequency (D₃R^{-/-}: 1.98 ± 0.30 Hz, D₃R^{+/+}: 1.77 ± 0.26 ms) and mIPSC kinetics (Fig. 4 G, H; rise time, D_3R^{-1-} : 0.72 ± 0.06 ms; D_3R^{+1+} : 0.72 ± 0.06 ms; decay time, $D_3R^{-/-}$: 16.96 ± 1.10 ms; $D_3R^{+/+}$: 16.14 ± 1.31 ms). Next, we tested the effects of Ro 15-4513 on mIPSCs in MSNs from D₃R^{+/+}and D₃R^{-/-}. Based on ISH and gPCR data, indicating that α 6-GABA_ARs in the NAc are scarce in naïve D₃R^{+/+}mice and given the opposite effect of Ro 15-4513 treatment on ethanol intake observed in D₃R^{-/-} mice, we expected that Ro 15-4513 would have differential effects on mIPSCs. For this in vitro experiment we selected the 0.3 µM Ro 15-4513 concentration, because it completely antagonizes ethanol enhancement of $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -type GABA_AR current [21]. As shown in Fig. 4, bath application of 0.3 µM Ro 15-4513 did not significantly alter the frequency, rise time, decay time and amplitude of mIPSCs in $D_3R^{+/+}$ (n = 16; paired t test), but induced a significant reduction of amplitude in the NAc of $D_3R^{-/-}$ (Figure 3; B-F; 38.58 ± 3.35 pA, versus 31.93± 3.03 pA, n = 19 P<0.05; paired t test) while frequency, rise time and decay time were not affected. These results suggest that the activity of α 6-GABA_AR in D₃R^{-/-} influences inhibitory synaptic transmission of MSN within NAc shell, possibly because a6 expression, higher than in D₃R^{+/+}, is sufficient to generate a population of heteromeric GABA_ARs containing α 1 and α 6 [39].

4. Discussion

We found that increased expression of α 6 GABA_A subunit, induced by D₃R deletion or pharmacological blockade, is associated to reduced alcohol intake and increased GABA inhibition in the NAc. We revealed GABA_A α 6 activity by using Ro 15-4513, both in terms of behavior (ethanol intake) as well as of neuronal excitability (electrophysiology) a GABA_A ligand that exerts α 6-dependent effects. Ro 15-4513 is considered a high affinity α 6-GABA_AR ligand, since its binding is obvious in a α 6 rich brain structure, such as the cerebellum, while it is hardly detectable in the very same structure in α 6 null mice [26]. We previously reported that alcohol sensitization is linked to increased D3R expression induced by ethanol intake and is associated with the activation of RACK1/BDNF pathway. In fact, selective blockade of the TrkB, the receptor for BDNF, reverses stable intake of

ethanol in WT mice and decreases D3R expression levels in their striatum, while it results

ineffective in D3R^{-/-} mice [15].

The α 6 subunit came to the attention of the alcohol addiction studies following the identification of the R100Q mutation in the Sardinian non-ethanol-preferring rat line, suggesting a possible involvement of the GABA_AR containing α 6 subunit in the genetic predisposition to alcohol preference [10]. This mutation is associated with hypersensitivity to motor-impairing effects of ethanol and tonic inhibitory currents mediated by α 6 β ō-type GABA_AR in cerebellar granule cells [8, 13]. Worthy of note, this mutation strongly increases diazepam effect on GABA-evoked currents [11]. Consistently, a model where the amino acidic residue at position 100 affects ethanol sensitivity in the GABA_ARs is part of the benzodiazepine ligand-binding pocket on the α 6-subunit [19, 40]. Other studies have also described α 6 polymorphisms that correlate to alcohol dependence in humans [41, 42]. Our observation that genetic deletion or pharmacological blockade of D₃R increased

GABA_A α 6 subunit expression in the ventral striatum [16], a brain structure involved in voluntary ethanol intake, provides a tool to study how the increased expression of α 6 subunit-containing receptors may affect alcohol intake. Indeed, some studies have evaluated the contribution of other GABA_AR subunits, such as α 4 and δ , but no data are available on the role of NAc GABA_A α 6 subunit in alcohol intake; this latter has only been studied for its involvement in the motor incoordination associated to alcohol, given its abundant localization in cerebellum granule cells.

Several studies, in the last two decades, have tried to elucidate how the subunit composition of different GABA₄Rs determines their electrophysiological and pharmacological features (inhibitory currents, ligand binding), or, at the organism level, the animal behavior (anxiety, addiction, response to anxiolytics). While most studies have dealt with recombinant systems, such as Xenopus laevis oocytes injected either with cRNA coding for the different subunits [9, 21] or with cRNA coding for concatenated subunits [43], no studies had the opportunity to examine native systems, i.e. animals spontaneously and stably expressing specific subunits in defined CNS structures. Polymorphisms of α 6 subunit have been found to be associated both to anxiety-related traits [44] and to benzodiazepine sensitivity in humans [45]. It is not yet known whether increased expression of $\alpha 6$ subunit containing GABA_AR isoforms in brain areas that normally express negligible amounts of α 6 produces different responses to GABA (i.e. different inhibitory currents) and/or to exogenous modulators. This might be due to the lack of *in vivo* systems with significant changes in α6 expression. Early studies with α6 subunit knockout mice [26, 46] remained inconclusive as it was later discovered that the knockout construct affected the expression of neighboring subunits in the GABA_A gene cluster [47]. We took advantage of Ro 15-4513, because it has been proposed to compete with ethanol within a binding pocket involving α6 [23]. We expected a different effect of Ro 15-4513 in $D_3R^{+/+}$, which poorly express $\alpha 6$ in the NAc, versus $D_3R^{-/-}$, which robustly express $\alpha 6$.

Indeed, we found an opposite effect of Ro 15-4513 in the two groups; in $D_3R^{+/+}$, the systemic administration of Ro 15-4513 reduced ethanol intake, presumably as a result of its action as a negative allosteric modulator in multiple GABA_ARs [21], where it would behave as an "ethanol antagonist" [23, 48]. Conversely, in $D_3R^{+/-}$, Ro 15-4513 paradoxically increased ethanol intake, a surprising finding that might be explained in terms of differential modulation of the GABA_AR containing α 6 subunit by Ro 15-4513. These data were confirmed and validated by intra-NAc injection experiments, where the local administration of furosemide, a selective α 6-GABA_A receptor antagonist [37], completely blocked the effect of Ro 15-4513.

The antagonism between Ro 15-4513 and ethanol might be more at the functional level, rather than at the binding level. While the reported affinity of Ro 15-4513 for α 4 and α 6 containing GABA_AR is quite similar in the nanomolar range [9, 21, 23], the effect on the GABA-dependent currents in cells expressing exclusively $\alpha 4$ or $\alpha 6$ subunits is not clear and might be guite different. This is consistent with the paradoxical activation of neurons by gaboxadol in a transgenic Thy1 α 6 mouse line, ectopically expressing the GABA_AR α 6 subunit gene under the Thy-1.2 promoter [20]. We directly address this issue by measuring MSN mIPSCs in the NAc and their sensitivity to Ro 15-4513. Based on the above premises, we hypothesized that a change in $GABA_A \alpha 6$ subunit expression would increase spontaneous mIPSCs and that Ro 15-4513 would inhibit mIPSCs in MSN from D_3R^{-1-} , robustly expressing α 6, whereas it would be ineffective in α 6-deficient MSNs from $D_3R^{+/+}$. The electrophysiological analysis of MSNs revealed a significant increase in mIPSC amplitude in $D_3R^{-/-}$, which expressed GABA_AR containing α 6 subunit in NAc compared to $D_3R^{+/+}$. Accordingly perfusion with Ro 15-4513 induced a significant reduction of amplitude in the NAc of $D_3R^{-/-}$, but was ineffective in $D_3R^{+/+}$. This latter observation clearly indicates that the modulation of the GABAAR channel by Ro 15-4513 depends on the presence of $\alpha 6$ subunit and is consistent with the observation of opposite effects of this

drug on ethanol intake in $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$. To precisely assess the spatial expression of α 6 subunit in the brain of D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-}, we carried out in situ hybridization (ISH) experiments. The systematic assessment of $\alpha 6$ expression in the CNS by ISH confirmed gPCR results, indicating that α 6 expression in D₃R^{-/-} was restricted to a limited brain area, corresponding to the NAc. These results were reinforced also by autoradiography data obtained with [3H]Ro 15-4513. The fact that genetic or pharmacological manipulation of D_3R induced changes in the GABA_AR α 6 subunit expression specifically in the NAc is consistent with the leaving relatively unchanged other brain areas is not so surprisingly, considering that, at variance with D_2R , restricted expression of D_3R in this brain region t same structures where we observe increased $\alpha 6$ expression [49]. To the best of our knowledge, it is not known in detail how D_3R controls $GABA_AR$ subunit mRNA expression; however, other studies have shown dynamic D₃R-dependent down-regulation of GABAergic control over lateral/basolateral amygdala neurons [50], NAc [51] and hippocampus [52]. A direct dynamic interplay between metabotropic DA receptors and other ionotropic receptors in plasma membrane has been documented by single-molecule detection imaging and electrophysiology in live hippocampal neurons [53]. Furthermore, cell signaling downstream of D₃R affects GABA_ARs in the NAc [51], but numerous other complex mechanisms may impact GABA_ARs trafficking [54] and deserve further studies to be elucidated. Finally, because these changes in GABA_AR function can be related to dopaminergic transmission, they may assume further relevance in clinical situations, such as schizophrenia and Parkinson's disease, where D₃R are chronically blocked or stimulated by drug-treatments [38].

In conclusion, these data indicate that α 6-containing GABA_ARs in the NAc play an important role in controlling alcohol intake by increasing GABAergic-inhibition in the MSNs. Because changes in α 6-containing GABA_ARs are specifically induced in the NAc by D₃R-

blockade, the interplay between DAergic and GABAergic transmission may present a novel relevant mechanisms in reinforcing properties of alcohol and other addictive drugs.

Funding

This work was supported by Piano triennale per la Ricerca – Linea Intervento 2, University of Catania, Italy. The Academy of Finland and the Sigrid Juselius foundation grants to Esa R. Korpi are gratefully acknowledged.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Di Marco, Dr. Gulisano, Dr. Geraci and Dr. Lavanco were supported by the International Ph.D. Program in Neuroscience, University of Catania, Catania, Italy; Dr. Aceto was supported by the International Ph.D. Program in Neuroscience of Università Cattolica, Rome, Italy.

We thank Dr. Chiara Platania for help in preparing figures.

Competing interests: None of the authors have competing financial interests in relation to the work described.

References

- [1] R.W. Olsen, Extrasynaptic GABAA receptors in the nucleus accumbens are necessary for alcohol drinking, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108 (2011) 4699-4700.
- [2] S. Ikemoto, A. Bonci, Neurocircuitry of drug reward, Neuropharmacology 76 Pt B (2014) 329-341.
- [3] R.E. Maldve, T.A. Zhang, K. Ferrani-Kile, S.S. Schreiber, M.J. Lippmann, G.L. Snyder, et al., DARPP-32 and regulation of the ethanol sensitivity of NMDA receptors in the nucleus accumbens, Nat. Neurosci. 5 (2002) 641-648.
- [4] M.K. Lobo, S.L. Karsten, M. Gray, D.H. Geschwind, X.W. Yang, FACS-array profiling of striatal projection neuron subtypes in juvenile and adult mouse brains, Nat. Neurosci. 9 (2006) 443-452.
- [5] J. Bertran-Gonzalez, C. Bosch, M. Maroteaux, M. Matamales, D. Herve, E. Valjent, et al., Opposing patterns of signaling activation in dopamine D1 and D2 receptorexpressing striatal neurons in response to cocaine and haloperidol, J. Neurosci. 28 (2008) 5671-5685.
- [6] H. Nie, M. Rewal, T.M. Gill, D. Ron, P.H. Janak, Extrasynaptic delta-containing GABAA receptors in the nucleus accumbens dorsomedial shell contribute to alcohol intake, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108 (2011) 4459-4464.
- [7] U. Rudolph, F. Knoflach, Beyond classical benzodiazepines: novel therapeutic potential of GABAA receptor subtypes, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 10 (2011) 685-697.
- [8] R.W. Olsen, W. Sieghart, GABA A receptors: subtypes provide diversity of function and pharmacology, Neuropharmacology 56 (2009) 141-148.
- [9] M. Wallner, H.J. Hanchar, R.W. Olsen, Ethanol enhances alpha 4 beta 3 delta and alpha 6 beta 3 delta gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptors at low concentrations known to affect humans, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100 (2003) 15218-15223.
- [10] L. Saba, A. Porcella, E. Congeddu, G. Colombo, M. Peis, M. Pistis, et al., The R100Q mutation of the GABA(A) alpha(6) receptor subunit may contribute to voluntary aversion to ethanol in the sNP rat line, Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. 87 (2001) 263-270.
- [11] E.R. Korpi, C. Kleingoor, H. Kettenmann, P.H. Seeburg, Benzodiazepine-induced motor impairment linked to point mutation in cerebellar GABAA receptor, Nature 361 (1993) 356-359.
- [12] M. Sarviharju, E.R. Korpi, Ethanol sensitivity and consumption in F2 hybrid crosses of ANT and AT rats, Alcohol 10 (1993) 415-418.

- [13] V. Santhakumar, M. Wallner, T.S. Otis, Ethanol acts directly on extrasynaptic subtypes of GABAA receptors to increase tonic inhibition, Alcohol 41(3) (2007) 211-21.
- [14] M. Morales, E.B. Margolis, Ventral tegmental area: cellular heterogeneity, connectivity and behaviour, Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 18 (2017) 73-85.
- [15] G.M. Leggio, G. Camillieri, C.B. Platania, A. Castorina, G. Marrazzo, S.A. Torrisi, et al., Dopamine D3 receptor is necessary for ethanol consumption: an approach with buspirone, Neuropsychopharmacology 39 (2014) 2017-2028.
- [16] C.A. Heidbreder, M. Andreoli, C. Marcon, D.M. Hutcheson, E.L. Gardner, C.R. Ashby, Jr., Evidence for the role of dopamine D3 receptors in oral operant alcohol selfadministration and reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behavior in mice, Addict. Biol. 12 (2007) 35-50.
- [17] V. Vengeliene, F. Leonardi-Essmann, S. Perreau-Lenz, P. Gebicke-Haerter, K. Drescher, G. Gross, et al., The dopamine D3 receptor plays an essential role in alcohol-seeking and relapse, FASEB J. 20 (2006) 2223-2233.
- [18] J.W. Koo, M.K. Lobo, D. Chaudhury, B. Labonte, A. Friedman, E. Heller, et al., Loss of BDNF Signaling in D1R-Expressing NAc Neurons Enhances Morphine Reward by Reducing GABA Inhibition, Neuropsychopharmacology 39 (2014) 2646-2653.
- [19] G.M. Leggio, S.A. Torrisi, A. Castorina, C.B. Platania, A.A. Impellizzeri, A. Fidilio, F. et al., Dopamine D3 receptor-dependent changes in alpha6 GABAA subunit expression in striatum modulate anxiety-like behaviour: Responsiveness and tolerance to diazepam, Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol. 25 (2015) 1427-1436.
- [20] K.S. Hellsten, A.M. Linden, E.R. Korpi, Paradoxical widespread c-Fos expression induced by a GABA agonist in the forebrain of transgenic mice with ectopic expression of the GABA(A) alpha6 subunit, Neuroscience 293 (2015) 123-135.
- [21] M. Wallner, H.J. Hanchar, R.W. Olsen, Low-dose alcohol actions on alpha4beta3delta GABAA receptors are reversed by the behavioral alcohol antagonist Ro15-4513, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103 (2006) 8540-8545.
- [22] R. Baur, K.H. Kaur, E. Sigel, Structure of alpha6 beta3 delta GABA(A) receptors and their lack of ethanol sensitivity, J. Neurochem. 111 (2009) 1172-1181.
- [23] M. Wallner, H.J. Hanchar, R.W. Olsen, Alcohol selectivity of beta3-containing GABAA receptors: evidence for a unique extracellular alcohol/imidazobenzodiazepine Ro15-4513 binding site at the alpha+beta- subunit interface in alphabeta3delta GABAA receptors, Neurochem. Res. 39 (2014) 1118-1126.

- [24] W.J. McBride, J.M. Murphy, L. Lumeng, T.K. Li, Effects of Ro 15-4513, fluoxetine and desipramine on the intake of ethanol, water and food by the alcohol-preferring (P) and nonpreferring (NP) lines of rats, Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 30 (1988) 1045-1050.
- [25] K. Wegelius, A. Honkanen, E.R. Korpi, Benzodiazepine receptor ligands modulate ethanol drinking in alcohol-preferring rats, Eur. J. Pharmacol 263 (1994) 141-147.
- [26] G.E. Homanics, C. Ferguson, J.J. Quinlan, J. Daggett, K. Snyder, C. Lagenaur, et al., Gene knockout of the alpha6 subunit of the gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor: lack of effect on responses to ethanol, pentobarbital, and general anesthetics, Mol. Pharmacol. 51 (1997) 588-596.
- [27] D. Accili, C.S. Fishburn, J. Drago, H. Steiner, J.E. Lachowicz, B.H. Park, et al., A targeted mutation of the D3 dopamine receptor gene is associated with hyperactivity in mice, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 93 (1996) 1945-1949.
- [28] J.S. Rhodes, K. Best, J.K. Belknap, D.A. Finn, J.C. Crabbe, Evaluation of a simple model of ethanol drinking to intoxication in C57BL/6J mice, Physiol. Behav. 84 (2005) 53-63.
- [29] S.T. Sinkkonen, O.Y. Vekovischeva, T. Moykkynen, W. Ogris, W. Sieghart, W. Wisden, et al., Behavioural correlates of an altered balance between synaptic and extrasynaptic GABAAergic inhibition in a mouse model, Eur. J. Neurosci. 20 (2004) 2168-2178.
- [30] S.T. Sinkkonen, B. Luscher, H. Luddens, E.R. Korpi, Autoradiographic imaging of altered synaptic alphabetagamma2 and extrasynaptic alphabeta GABAA receptors in a genetic mouse model of anxiety, Neurochem. Int. 44 (2004) 539-547.
- [31] L.C. Melon, S.L. Boehm, 2nd, GABAA receptors in the posterior, but not anterior, ventral tegmental area mediate Ro15-4513-induced attenuation of binge-like ethanol consumption in C57BL/6J female mice, Behav. Brain Res. 220 (2011) 230-237.
- [32] F. Scala, S. Fusco, C. Ripoli, R. Piacentini, D.D. Li Puma, M. Spinelli, et al., Intraneuronal Abeta accumulation induces hippocampal neuron hyperexcitability through A-type K(+) current inhibition mediated by activation of caspases and GSK-3, Neurobiol. Aging 36 (2015) 886-900.
- [33] C. Ripoli, S. Cocco, D.D. Li Puma, R. Piacentini, A. Mastrodonato, F. Scala, et al., Intracellular accumulation of amyloid-beta (Abeta) protein plays a major role in Abetainduced alterations of glutamatergic synaptic transmission and plasticity, J. Neurosci. 34 (2014) 12893-12903.

- [34] H.J. Hanchar, P. Chutsrinopkun, P. Meera, P. Supavilai, W. Sieghart, M. Wallner, et al., Ethanol potently and competitively inhibits binding of the alcohol antagonist Ro15-4513 to alpha4/6beta3delta GABAA receptors, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103 (2006) 8546-5851.
- [35] P.D. Suzdak, J.R. Glowa, J.N. Crawley, R.D. Schwartz, P. Skolnick, S.M. Paul, A selective imidazobenzodiazepine antagonist of ethanol in the rat, Science 234 (1986) 1243-1247.
- [36] H.L. June, R.W. Hughes, H.L. Spurlock, M.J. Lewis, Ethanol self-administration in freely feeding and drinking rats: effects of Ro15-4513 alone, and in combination with Ro15-1788 (flumazenil), Psychopharmacology 115 (1994) 332-339.
- [37] E.R. Korpi, T. Kuner, P.H. Seeburg, H. Luddens, Selective antagonist for the cerebellar granule cell-specific gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor, Mol. Pharmacol. 47 (1995) 283-289.
- [38] G.M. Leggio, C. Bucolo, C.B. Platania, S. Salomone, F. Drago, Current drug treatments targeting dopamine D3 receptor, Pharmacol. Ther. 165 (2016) 164-177.
- [39] H. Luddens, I. Killisch, P.H. Seeburg, More than one alpha variant may exist in a GABAA/benzodiazepine receptor complex, J. Recept. Res. 11 (1991) 535-551.
- [40] C. Kleingoor, H.A. Wieland, E.R. Korpi, P.H. Seeburg, H. Kettenmann, Current potentiation by diazepam but not GABA sensitivity is determined by a single histidine residue, Neuroreport 4 (1993) 187-190.
- [41] D.H. Han, N. Bolo, M.A. Daniels, I.K. Lyoo, K.J. Min, C.H. Kim, et al., Craving for alcohol and food during treatment for alcohol dependence: modulation by T allele of 1519T>C GABAAalpha6, Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res. 32 (2008) 1593-1599.
- [42] M. Radel, R.L. Vallejo, N. Iwata, R. Aragon, J.C. Long, M. Virkkunen, et al., Haplotype-based localization of an alcohol dependence gene to the 5q34 {gamma}aminobutyric acid type A gene cluster, Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 62 (2005) 47-55.
- [43] F. Minier, E. Sigel, Positioning of the alpha-subunit isoforms confers a functional signature to gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptors, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101 (2004) 7769-7774.
- [44] B. Arias, M. Aguilera, J. Moya, P.A. Saiz, H. Villa, M.I. Ibanez, et al., The role of genetic variability in the SLC6A4, BDNF and GABRA6 genes in anxiety-related traits, Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 125 (2012) 194-202.

- [45] N. Iwata, D.S. Cowley, M. Radel, P.P. Roy-Byrne, D. Goldman, Relationship between a GABAA alpha 6 Pro385Ser substitution and benzodiazepine sensitivity, Am. J. Psychiatry 156 (1999) 1447-1449.
- [46] A. Jones, E.R. Korpi, R.M. McKernan, R. Pelz, Z. Nusser, R. Makela, et al., Ligandgated ion channel subunit partnerships: GABAA receptor alpha6 subunit gene inactivation inhibits delta subunit expression, J. Neurosci. 17 (1997) 1350-1362.
- [47] M. Uusi-Oukari, J. Heikkila, S.T. Sinkkonen, R. Makela, B. Hauer, G.E. Homanics, et al., Long-range interactions in neuronal gene expression: evidence from gene targeting in the GABA(A) receptor beta2-alpha6-alpha1-gamma2 subunit gene cluster, Mol. Cell. Neurosci. 16 (2000) 34-41.
- [48] A.M. Linden, U. Schmitt, E. Leppa, P. Wulff, W. Wisden, H. Luddens, et al., Ro 15-4513 Antagonizes Alcohol-Induced Sedation in Mice Through alphabetagamma2-type GABA(A) Receptors, Front. Neurosci. 5 (2011) 3.
- [49] O. Guillin, J. Diaz, P. Carroll, N. Griffon, J.C. Schwartz, P. Sokoloff, BDNF controls dopamine D3 receptor expression and triggers behavioural sensitization, Nature 411 (2001) 86-89.
- [50] M.R. Diaz, A.M. Chappell, D.T. Christian, N.J. Anderson, B.A. McCool, Dopamine D3like receptors modulate anxiety-like behavior and regulate GABAergic transmission in the rat lateral/basolateral amygdala, Neuropsychopharmacology 36 (2011) 1090-1103.
- [51] G. Chen, J.T. Kittler, S.J. Moss, Z. Yan, Dopamine D3 receptors regulate GABAA receptor function through a phospho-dependent endocytosis mechanism in nucleus accumbens, J. Neurosci. 26 (2006) 2513-2521.
- [52] J. Swant, M. Stramiello, J.J. Wagner, Postsynaptic dopamine D3 receptor modulation of evoked IPSCs via GABA(A) receptor endocytosis in rat hippocampus, Hippocampus 18 (2008) 492-502.
- [53] L. Ladepeche, J.P. Dupuis, D. Bouchet, E. Doudnikoff, L. Yang, Y. Campagne, et al., Single-molecule imaging of the functional crosstalk between surface NMDA and dopamine D1 receptors, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110 (2013) 18005-18010.
- [54] M. Mele, G. Leal, C.B. Duarte, Role of GABAA R trafficking in the plasticity of inhibitory synapses, J. Neurochem. 139 (2016) 997-1018.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Alcohol intake and D₃R-dependent GABA_A α 6 subunit mRNA expression in the NAc. A and B, GABA_AR α 1, α 2, α 4, α 6, γ 2 and δ subunits mRNA expression in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of wild type (D₃R^{+/+}) and D₃R null mice (D₃R^{-/-}). Abundance of transcripts was assessed by qPCR (primer sequences are reported in Tab. S3). C and D, ethanol intake (in the drinking in the dark paradigm, DID) and α 6 expression in wild type (D₃R^{+/+}) heterozygous (D₃R^{+/-}) and null mice (D₃R^{-/-}). DID was measured for 4 days, in mice with limited access (2h/day for 3 days and 4h the 4th day) to ethanol solution (20%). Abundance of transcripts in the NAc was assessed by qPCR after DID; expression level is given as mean fold changes relative to controls. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 *vs.* the corresponding control (D₃R^{+/+}); one- or two-way ANOVA and Newman–Keuls post hoc test. Each experimental group included 8-10 mice.

Figure 2. Expression of α 6 GABA_A subunit mRNA and [³H]-Ro 15-4513 binding in the NAc and Cerebellum of D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-} mice. A, B, C and D *In situ* hybridization (ISH) detection of α 6; E, F, G and H, [³H]-Ro 15-4513 autoradiography. A, C, E and G show representative images. B, D, F and H show average optical density, (expressed in arbitrary units); n=6-8 per group. *P < 0.05 *vs.* D₃R^{+/+}, unpaired *t* test.

Figure 3. Opposite effect of RO 15-4513 on alcohol intake, in $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ (drink in the dark paradigm, DID). A and B, ethanol intake in $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ intraperitoneally (i.p.) treated with vehicle (VEH) or Ro 15-4513 (5 mg/kg); C, ethanol intake in $D_3R^{-/-}$ locally injected into the NAc with VEH, Ro 15-4513 (10 nmol/mouse) or furosemide (10 nmol/mouse) plus Ro 15-4513; D, ethanol intake in $D_3R^{+/+}$ pretreated with VEH or the
selective D₃R antagonist, SB 277011A for 7 days (10 mg/kg, i.p.) plus Ro 15-4513 (5 mg/kg, i.p.) over DID paradigm.

Each experimental group included 8-13 mice. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 vs. vehicle (VEH). One- or two- way ANOVA and Newman–Keuls post hoc test.

Figure 4. NAc medium spiny neurons from $D_3R^{-/-}$ mice exhibited increased GABA_A inhibitory currents sensitive to Ro 15-4513. A and B, representative traces showing mIPSC recordings in slice from $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ mice before and after treatment with Ro 15-4513 (0.3 µM; in red). C, analysis of the peak amplitudes of mIPSCs; notice an increase in $D_3R^{-/-}$ compared to $D_3R^{+/+}$ and a decrease following Ro 15-4513 application in $D_3R^{-/-}$ only. D-F, cumulative frequency distributions for mIPSC amplitude in the experimental conditions shown in A and B. G-I, analysis of mIPSC frequency, rise time and decay time. *P<0.05, unpaired ($D_3R^{-/-}$ vs. $D_3R^{+/+}$) or paired (pre- vs. post- Ro 15-4513) *t* test ($D_3R^{-/-}$, n=19; $D_3R^{+/+}$, n=16). Leggio et al.

Dopaminergic-GABAergic interplay and alcohol binge drinking

Supplementary Information

Table S1. In situ hybridization (ISH) signals for GABA_A ??1, ??2, ??4, ??6, γ 2 and δ subunit mRNA in the prefrontal cortex from D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-} mice.

GABA _A subunit ISH signal (D ₃ R ^{-/-} over D ₃ R ^{+/+} ratio)		
α1	0.92 ± 0.02	
α2	1.10 ± 0.11	
α4	0.80 ± 0.07	
α6	1.15 ± 0.13	
γ2	0.89 ± 0.30	
δ	0.83 ± 0.17	

Table S2. In situ hybridization (ISH) signals for GABA_A ??1, ??2, ??4, ??6, γ 2 and δ subunit mRNA in the hippocampus from D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-} mice.

GABA _A subunit ISH signal ($D_3R^{-/-}$ over $D_3R^{+/+}$ ratio)						
0.91 ± 0.09						
$\textbf{1.19} \pm \textbf{0.13}$						
1.07 ± 0.03						
1.20 ± 0.10						
0.96 ± 0.26						
$\textbf{0.85}\pm\textbf{0.16}$						
	BA_A subunit I 0.91 ± 0.09 1.19 ± 0.13 1.07 ± 0.03 1.20 ± 0.10 0.96 ± 0.26 0.85 ± 0.16	BA_A subunit ISH signal (I 0.91 ± 0.09 1.19 ± 0.13 1.07 ± 0.03 1.20 ± 0.10 0.96 ± 0.26 0.85 ± 0.16	BA_A subunit ISH signal (D₃R -^{<i>j</i>-} ov 0.91 ± 0.09 1.19 ± 0.13 1.07 ± 0.03 1.20 ± 0.10 0.96 ± 0.26 0.85 ± 0.16	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{BA}_{\textbf{A}} \text{ subunit ISH signal (D}_{3}\textbf{R} \ \ ^{\prime -} \text{ over } \textbf{D}_{3}\textbf{R} \ \ ^{\prime +} \\ \hline 0.91 \pm 0.09 \\ 1.19 \pm 0.13 \\ 1.07 \pm 0.03 \\ 1.20 \pm 0.10 \\ 0.96 \pm 0.26 \\ 0.85 \pm 0.16 \end{array}$	BA _A subunit ISH signal (D ₃ R - ^{<i>i</i>-} over D ₃ R + ^{<i>i</i>+} ratio) 0.91 ± 0.09 1.19 ± 0.13 1.07 ± 0.03 1.20 ± 0.10 0.96 ± 0.26 0.85 ± 0.16	BA _A subunit ISH signal (D ₃ R -/- over D ₃ R +/+ ratio) 0.91 ± 0.09 1.19 ± 0.13 1.07 ± 0.03 1.20 ± 0.10 0.96 ± 0.26 0.85 ± 0.16

Table S3. Primers for Real-Time PCR

Target gene	Primer sequence
Gabra1	5'-GACCAGGTTTGGGAGAGCGTGT-3'
	3'-GCCGGAGCACTGTCATGGGTC-5'
Gabra2	5'-CCCAGTCAGGTTGGTGCTGGC-3'
	3'-ACAGGGCCAAAACTGGTCACGT-5'
Gabra4	5'-CCTGTGCCTGGCGGCTTGTTTA-3'
	3'-CCCCAAATCCAGGACGCAGCC-5'
Gabra6	5'-GGCCAGGATTTGGGGGGTGCTG-3'
	3'-TCAGTCCAAGTCTGGCGGAAGA-5'
Gabrg2	5'-ACCCAGAGGCGAGAGGCGAG-3'
	3'-GCTTGTGAAGCCTGGGTAGAGCG-5'
Gabrd	5'-CCGACCAGGCATTGGAGGTGC-3'
	3'-TGCTGTCCCGCCAGCTCTGA-5'
Gapdh	5'-CAACTCACTCAAGATTGTCAGCAA-3'
	3'-GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGA-5'



Figure S1. Pharmacological blockade of D3R counteracts alcohol intake and induced overexpression of GABA_A α 6 subunit in the NAc of D₃R^{+/+}. A and B, ethanol intake (DID) and α 6 expression in D₃R^{+/+} treated with vehicle (VEH) or the selective D₃R antagonist, SB 277011A (10 mg/kg, i.p.) for 7 days. Each experimental group included 8-13 mice. *P<0.05, ***P<0.001 *vs.* VEH; two-way ANOVA and Newman–Keuls post hoc test.

Material and Methods

In situ hybridization

Air-dried slides were fixed in ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde for 5 min. The sections were washed in 1 PBS at room temperature for 5 min, dehydrated in 70% ethanol for 5 min and stored in 95% ethanol at 4 °C until used. The antisense DNA oligonucleotide probe (Oligomer Oy, Helsinki, Finland) sequences were as follows: $\alpha 6$, 5[']-CAG TCT CTC ATC AGT CCA AGT

CAT-3[']; was complementary to the mouse GABA_AR subunit mRNA sequence. Poly[³⁵S]dATP (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA) tails were added to the 3[']-ends of the probes by deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI). Unincorporated nucleotides were removed by Illustra ProbeQuant G-50 Micro Columns (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK). Labelling efficiency (360,000 cpm/µl) was determined by a scintillation counter. The labeled probe was diluted to 0.06 fmol/µl of hybridization buffer consisting of 50% formamide and 10% dextran sulfate in 4X Saline Sodium Citrate (SSC). Nonspecific controls for the antisense probes were produced by adding 100-fold excess of unlabeled probes. The hybridization occurred under glass Menzel-Gläser coverslips (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA) overnight at 42 °C. Finally, the slides were washed in 1X SSC at room temperature for 10 min, in 1X SSC at 55°C for 30 min, and 1X SSC, 0.1X SSC, 70% EtOH and 95% EtOH at room temperature for 1 min each. The slides were then air-dried and exposed with plastic [14C]-radioactivity standards (GE Healthcare) to BioMax MR films (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY). Films were scanned (Epson expression 1680 Pro). Images were imported into the FIJI version of the free image processing software *ImageJ*. The [¹⁴C]-standards were exposed simultaneously with the brain sections as the reference. The hybridization values were converted to arbitrary optical density units. Nonspecific signal was subtracted to obtain the specific signal. All measurements were analyzed in blind.

[³H]Ro 15-4513 autoradiography

Slides were pre-incubated in ice-cold 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer, pH 7.4, containing 120 mM NaCl for 15 min. The final incubation for basal [³H]Ro 15-4513 binding was performed in the

pre-incubation buffer containing 15 nM [³H]Ro 15-4513 (23 Ci/mmol, PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences) at 4 °C for 1 h. This high ligand concentration was aimed at estimating the receptor number rather than affinity. The non-specific binding was determined in the presence of 10 µM flumazenil. The sections were then washed in ice-cold pre-incubation buffer twice for 1 min, dipped in ice-cold distilled water, air-dried at room temperature and exposed with [³H]-plastic standards for 12 weeks (GE Healthcare) to Biomax MR films (Eastman Kodak). The films were scanned (Epson expression 1680 Pro) and binding density was expressed as arbitrary optical density units (*FIJI IMAGE-J*). The [³H]-standards were exposed simultaneously with the sections as the reference. Non-specific binding was subtracted to obtain the specific binding values. All data were analyzed in blind.

Electrophysiology

Animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Brains were rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold cutting solution containing (in mM): TRIS-HCI 72, TRIZMA base 18, NaH₂PO₄ 1.2, NaHCO₃ 30, KCI 2.5, glucose 25, HEPES 20, MgSO₄ 10, Na-pyruvate 3, ascorbic acid 5, CaCl₂ 0.5, sucrose 20. Slices (300 µm thick) were cut on a vibratome (VT1200S; Leica Microsystems, Germany) and immediately transferred to an incubation chamber held at 32°C and filled with a recovery solution containing (in mM): TRIS-HCI 72, TRIZMA base 18, NaH₂PO₄ 1.2, NaHCO₃ 25, KCI 2.5, glucose 25, HEPES 20, MgSO₄ 10, Na-pyruvate 3, ascorbic acid 5, CaCl₂ 0.5, sucrose 20. After 30 min, slices were transferred to a second incubation chamber held at 32°C and filled with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing (in mM): NaCl 124, KCl 3.2, NaH₂PO₄ 1.2, MgCl₂ 1, CaCl₂ 2, NaHCO₃ 26, and glucose 10, pH 7.4. During incubations, the chambers were continuously bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂.

Slices were equilibrated at room temperature for at least 45 min. Slices were then transferred to a submerged recording chamber constantly perfused with heated aCSF (32°C) and bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂. Medium spiny neurons (MSNs) within the NAc shell subregion were identified with a 40X water-immersion objective on an upright microscope equipped with differential interface contrast optics under infrared illumination (BX5IWI, Olympus, Center Valley, PA) and video observation. Electrodes were made from borosilicate glass micropipettes (Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) prepared with a P-97 Flaming-Brown micropipette puller (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA). Patch pipettes had a resistance of 4-6 $M\Omega$ when filled with an internal solution containing (in mM): CsCl 135, HEPES 10, EGTA 1.1, CaCl₂ 0.1; Mg-ATP 2.5, Na-GTP 0.25, phosphocreatine 5, pH 7.2. After establishing a gigaseal, the patch was broken by applying negative pressure to achieve a whole-cell configuration. A series resistance lower than 15 M Ω was considered acceptable, and monitored constantly throughout the entire recording. Neurons were held at -70 mV. Tetrodotoxin (TTX, 0.5 µM, Tocris), D-(-)-2-Amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (D-AP5, 50 2,3-Dioxo-6-nitro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[f]quinoxaline-7-sulfonamide μM. Tocris) and (NBQX, 10 µM, Tocris) were applied to the bath to block action potential-mediated neurotransmitter release, NMDA and AMPA receptors, respectively. Ro 15-4513 (0.3 µM) was applied in the bath after 5-7 min of TTX, APV and NBQX perfusion. All recordings were carried out at least 10 min after application of any drug to the bath. Recordings were performed using a Multiclamp 700B/Digidata 1550A system (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and digitized at a 10,000 Hz sampling frequency.



Table 1: Author Checklist for Original Articles to be submitted to Pharmacological Research

	Yes	No	A / - 4
			not applica ble
Formatting - The submission will automatically be rejected if the first six questions are 1-6) or "not applicable" (limited to questions 3-6)	not mark	ed "yes" ((questions
1. Are all tables and figures numbered and appropriately titled with descriptive legends that permit stand-alone interpretation?	X		
2 Are all data shown on figures and tables mentioned in the text of the Results section?	X		-
 Are the whole un-cropped images of the original western blots from which figures have been derived shown as supplemental figures? 			X
4. In case of human studies, has specific mention been made of the study compliance with the regulations of the country(ies) in which the study was carried out 2			X
5. In case of human studies, has the study been registered on an accessible international registry/database of clinical trials (e.g. EudraCT, ClinicalTrials.gov, ChiCTR, ANZCTR, JPRN and the like)			X
6. In case of studies on animals, is there a statement indicating compliance with regulations on the ethical treatment of animals including identification of the institutional committee that approved the experiments?	X		
Introduction	1		
7. Is there a clear statement with background describing the hypothesis being tested by this study?	X		
8. Are the primary endpoints clearly described?	X		
Materials and Methods	1		
9. Are the sources of all materials clearly indicated?	X	_	
10. Is(are) the chemical structure(s) of any new compound(s) presented as a figure in the manuscript or referenced in the literature?	X		
11. Are the source(s) and passage number of cell lines indicated?			X
12. Are the source, catalogue number and lot for commercial antibodies indicated?			X
13. Are the species, strain, sex, weight and source of the animal subjects provided?	X		_
14. Is the rationale provided for the selection of concentrations, doses, route and frequency of compound administration?	X		
15. Are quantified results (<i>e.g.</i> IC_{50} and/or EC_{50} values) of concentration- and dose-response experiments included in the report?			X
16. Is the method of anaesthesia described?			X
17. Are all group sizes approximately the same?	X		
18. Were the criteria used for excluding any data from analysis determined prospectively and clearly stated?	X		
19. Was the investigator responsible for data analysis blinded to which samples/animals represent treatments and controls?	X		
20. Is the exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition clearly indicated in the text and/or on the tables and figures?	X		
21. Are the reported data displayed as the mean +/- an estimate of variability (SD, SEM) of three or more independent experimental replications?	X		
22. Is the number of replications used to generate an individual data point in each of the independent experiments clearly indicated?	X		
23. Were the statistical tests employed to analyse the primary endpoints predetermined as part of the experimental design?	X		
24 Is the threshold for statistical significance (P value) clearly indicated?	X		-
25. Were the data normalised?	X		-
26. Were post-hoc tests used to assess the statistical significance among means?	X		
27. Was the study exploratory rather than hypothesis-driven?		X	1
28. Were human tissues or fluids used in this study?		X	1
Results	1		
			X
29. If western blots are shown, are the following included: 1) appropriate loading controls for each western blot, ii) replication data, iii) quantification, and iv) the results of a statistical analysis?			

and RT-PCR findings? X 31. Was a reference standard (positive or negative controls) included in the study to X X validate the experiment? X Discussion 32. Are all the findings considered within the context of the hypothesis presented in the X Introduction? X 33. Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated? X 34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently powered for appropriate statistical analysis? X 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings X clearly stated? For Meta-analyses only X 36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? X Conflict of Interest/Financial Support X X 30. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X X Athe analyses on a checklist by the author X X	and RT-PCR findings?		
31. Was a reference standard (positive or negative controls) included in the study to X X Validate the experiment? Discussion 32. Are all the findings considered within the context of the hypothesis presented in the X Introduction? 33. Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated? X 34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently powered for appropriate statistical analysis? X 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings clearly stated? X For Meta-analyses only X 36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta-analyses on randomised controlled trials? X 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? X 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? X Conflict of Interest/Financial Support X X 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? X Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author X X X			
Wandate the experimental Image: Construct of the construction of the systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? 32. Are all the findings considered within the context of the hypothesis presented in the introduction? X 33. Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated? X 34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently powered for appropriate statistical analysis? X 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings clearly stated? X For Meta-analyses only X 36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta-analyses on randomised controlled trials? X 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? S 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? X Conflict of Interest/Financial Support X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X X Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author X	31. Was a reference standard (positive or negative controls) included in the study t	0 <i>X</i>	
32. Are all the findings considered within the context of the hypothesis presented in the X 33. Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated? X 34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently powered for appropriate statistical analysis? X 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings clearly stated? X For Meta-analyses only X 36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta-analyses on randomised controlled trials? X 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? S 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? X Conflict of Interest/Financial Support X X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X X Acknowledgments? X X Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author X X	Discussion		
32. Are the findings considered within the context of the hypothesis presented in the X Introduction? 33. Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated? X 34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently powered for appropriate statistical analysis? 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings X clearly stated? For Meta-analyses only 36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta-analyses on randomised controlled trials? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	32 Are all the findings considered within the context of the hypothesis presented in th	e V	
33. Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated? X 34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently powered for appropriate statistical analysis? appropriate statistical analysis? 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings X clearly stated? For Meta-analyses only 36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta-analyses on randomised controlled trials? analyses on randomised controlled trials? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? ast the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	52. Are an me manings considered within the context of the hypothesis presented in the Introduction?		
33. Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated? X 34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently powered for appropriate statistical analysis? 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings tearly stated? 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings clearly stated? X 6. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta-analyses on randomised controlled trials? 36. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	32 Are the primary conclusions and their implications clearly stated?	V	
34. Are any secondary endpoints reported and are mese sufficiently powered for appropriate statistical analysis? 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings teacher of the clearly stated? 35. Are the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta-analyses only 36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta-analyses on randomised controlled trials? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	34 Are any secondary endpoints reported and are these sufficiently powered for		
appropriate statistical analysis: 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings X 35. Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the findings X Gearly stated? For Meta-analyses only 36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta- analyses on randomised controlled trials? A 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta- analyses in epidemiology? B 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? B Conflict of Interest/Financial Support X A 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X A A Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	appropriate statistical analysis?	1	
35. Are the minimutous of the current study of alternative interpretations of the minings X iclearly stated? For Meta-analyses only 36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta- analyses on randomised controlled trials? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta- analyses on epidemiology? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta- analyses on epidemiology? 9. State of the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	35 Are the limitations of the current study or alternative interpretations of the finding	S X	
36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta- analyses on randomised controlled trials? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the Acknowledgments? X Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	clearly stated?	5 1	
36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta- analyses on randomised controlled trials? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	For Meta-analyses only		
36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta- analyses on randomised controlled trials? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? 9 Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the Acknowledgments? X 1 Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author 5 5	Tor withe analysis only		
36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta- analyses on randomised controlled trials? 37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? 8 Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 9 9 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the Acknowledgments? X Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author 5			
analyses on randomised controlled trials?	36. Were the PRISMA reporting guidelines and checklist followed in the case of meta	ı-	
37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	analyses on randomised controlled trials?		
37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses on observational studies in epidemiology?			
observational studies in epidemiology? International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? 38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Image: Conflict of Interest/Financial Support Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Image: Conflict of the providence of th	37. Were the MOOSE reporting guidelines followed in the case of meta-analyses o	n	
38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Image: Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Image: Conflict of the confli	observational studies in epidemiology?		
systematic reviews and a registration number obtained? Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? X Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	38. Was the protocol submitted into the PROSPERO International prospective register of	f	
Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	systematic reviews and a registration number obtained?		
Conflict of Interest/Financial Support 39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author			
39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript? X 40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	Conflict of Interest/Financial Support		
40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in the X Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	39. Is the conflict of interest statement is included in the manuscript?	X	
Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author	40. Are all organisations providing funding for this work listed in th	e X	
Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author			
	Acknowledgments?		
	Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author		
	Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author		
	Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author		
	Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author		
	Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author		
	Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author		
	Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author		
	Acknowledgments? Feedback/suggestions on the checklist by the author		

Dopaminergic-GABAergic interplay and alcohol binge drinking

Gian Marco Leggio^{1*}, Roberta Di Marco^{1*}, Walter Gulisano¹, Marcello D'Ascenzo², Sebastiano Alfio Torrisi¹, Federica Geraci^{1,} Gianluca Lavanco¹, Kristiina Dahl³, Giovanni Giurdanella¹, Alessandro Castorina^{1,4}, Teemu Aitta-aho³, Giuseppe Aceto², Claudio Bucolo¹, Daniela Puzzo¹, Claudio Grassi², Esa R. Korpi³, Filippo Drago¹ and Salvatore Salomone¹

¹Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Catania, Catania, Italy. ²Institute of Human Physiology, Medical School, Università Cattolica, Rome, Italy. ³Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ⁴Present address University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, Australia.

*Equally contribution author

Corresponding author: Salvatore Salomone, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences, University of Catania, Via Santa Sofia 97, 95123 Catania, Italy. Tel: 39-095-478-1195, e-mail: salomone@unict.it

Short/running title: D₃R-GABA_A interplay

ABSTRACT

The dopamine D_3 receptor (D_3R), in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), plays an important role in alcohol reward mechanisms. The major neuronal type within the NAc is the GABAergic medium spiny neuron (MSN), whose activity is regulated by dopaminergic inputs. We previously reported that genetic deletion or pharmacological blockade of D_3R increases GABA_A α 6 subunit in the ventral striatum. Here we tested the hypothesis that D_3R dependent changes in GABA_A α 6 subunit in the NAc affect voluntary alcohol intake, by influencing the inhibitory transmission of MSNs.

We performed *in vivo* and *ex vivo* experiments in D3 knockout ($D_3R^{-/-}$) mice and wild type littermates ($D_3R^{+/+}$). Ro 15-4513, a high affinity α 6-GABA_A ligand was used to study α 6 activity.

At baseline, NAc α 6 expression was negligible in D₃R^{+/+}, whereas it was robust in D₃R^{-/-}; other relevant GABA_A subunits were not changed. In situ hybridization and qPCR confirmed α 6 subunit mRNA expression especially in the NAc. In the drinking-in-the-dark paradigm, systemic administration of Ro 15-4513 inhibited alcohol intake in D₃R^{+/+}, but increased it in D₃R^{-/-}; this was confirmed by intra-NAc administration of Ro 15-4513 and furosemide, a selective α 6-GABA_A antagonist. Whole-cell patch-clamp showed peak amplitudes of miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents in NAc medium spiny neurons higher in D₃R^{-/-} compared to D₃R^{+/+}; Ro 15-4513 reduced the peak amplitude in the NAc of D₃R^{-/-}, but not in D₃R^{+/+}.

We conclude that D_3R -dependent enhanced expression of α 6 GABA_A subunit inhibits voluntary alcohol intake by increasing GABA inhibition in the NAc.

Key words: dopamine D3 receptor; GABA_A receptor; alpha6 subunit; ethanol; nucleus accumbens; Ro 15-4513

Chemical compounds studied in this article Ro 15-4513 (PubChem CID: 5081); SB 277011A (PubChem CID: 75358288); Furosemide (PubChem CID: 3440)

Abbreviations: DID, drinking in the dark paradigm; DR, dopamine receptor; $D_{1-5}R$, dopamine D_{1-5} receptor; GABA, *gamma*-aminobutyric acid; GABA_ARs, GABA_A receptors; ISH, in situ hybridization; mIPSCs, miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents; MSN, medium spiny neuron; NAc, nucleus accumbens; PFC, prefrontal cortex; VTA, ventral tegmental area.

1. Introduction

Alcohol is the most widely used and abused of all psychoactive drugs. Despite its mechanism of action being still elusive, general consensus recognizes its major impact on the brain reward system. Repeated intake of ethanol induces alterations in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), a main component of the mesolimbic reward circuit [1], as several other drugs of abuse [2]. In this brain region more than 95% of the cells are GABAergic Medium Spiny Neurons (MSNs), whose activity is regulated by dopaminergic and glutamatergic inputs [3]. MSNs comprise three distinct cell subpopulations; one expressing dopamine D1-like receptors (D_1R and D_5R), a second one expressing dopamine D_2 -like receptors (D_2R, D_3R, D_4R) , and a small third one expressing both D_1 -like and D_2 -like receptors [4, 5]. GABA_A receptors (GABA_ARs) in the NAc have been considered as a primary target for alcohol, and may be involved in voluntary alcohol consumption [6]; moreover, chronic alcohol intake alters GABAergic function in the NAc, which sustains behavioral addictive patterns [1, 6]. GABA_AR is an heteromeric pentamer chloride channel assembled from a variety of subunits from the 19 known up to now, $\alpha 1$ -6, $\beta 1$ -3, $\gamma 1$ -3, δ , ϵ , θ , π , $\rho 1$ -3 [7]. This lead to the formation of multiple isoforms that are likely to differ in their alcohol sensitivity [8]. This ionotropic receptor represents a major pharmacological target for many drugs, including benzodiazepines, barbiturates and ethanol. While GABA binds to an orthosteric site, these exogenous compounds (and some endogenous modulators) bind to allosteric sites, affecting the gating of the channel and/or the response to GABA [7]. Previous findings reported that $GABA_AR$ containing $\alpha 6$ subunit is particularly sensitive to alcohol; indeed, rats expressing the naturally occurring R100Q allelic variation of a6 exhibit a higher sensitivity to motor incoordination induced by moderate doses of ethanol [9] and avoid alcohol consumption [10]. This mutation was originally found in a selectively bred, alcohol-sensitive rat line [11], which also shows reduced voluntary acceptance of alcohol

solutions [12]. Furthermore, the hypersensitivity to ethanol was also seen in tonic inhibitory currents mediated by the α6βδ-type GABA_ARs in cerebellar slices [13]. GABAergic MSNs receive dopaminergic inputs from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) [14]; activation of this circuitry, the dopaminergic mesolimbic pathway, is classically considered as responsible for the reward response to physiological (e.g. food intake, sexual activity) or pathological (drug of abuse) stimuli. Activation of D_3R , highly expressed in the NAc, is involved in the control of alcohol consumption [15-17]. Indeed, either D₃R gene deletion or D₃R pharmacological blockade inhibit alcohol intake [15]. Because DRs and GABAARs are colocalized in MSNs, both contributing to the control of NAc output [18], we hypothesized that some cross-talk may exist between D₃R and GABA_ARs in the regulation of reward system. In this respect, we have already shown that genetic deletion or pharmacological blockade of D₃R, by using the selective D₃R antagonist SB 277011A, increases GABA₄ α 6 subunit expression in the ventral striatum [19]. Thus, this behavioral effect on alcohol intake might be linked with changed GABA_A α 6 subunit expression levels in the NAc, due to the D₃R gene deletion or D₃R pharmacological blockade by SB 277011A. Here, we tested the hypothesis that D₃R-dependent changes in GABA_A α6 subunit expression in the NAc affect the alcohol intake behavior, and, at the cell level, the electrical activity of MSNs, thereby influencing the inhibitory synaptic transmission in the NAc. To do so, we attempted to directly reveal GABA_A α 6 activity, by using Ro 15-4513, an imidazobenzodiazepine GABA_A ligand exerting differential effects depending on the α subunit present in the GABA_AR isoform, showing negative allosteric agonism with α 1,2,3 and 5, but positive agonism with $\alpha 4$ and $\alpha 6$ [20, 21]. Interestingly, based on molecular docking analysis and ligand binding interactions. Ro 15-4513 has been proposed to compete with ethanol within a binding pocket involving $\alpha 6$ [22, 23]. More importantly, Ro 15-4513 has shown efficacy in reducing alcohol drinking in rodents [24, 25], but the detailed mechanisms of action have remained unknown. However, Ro 15-4513 may be considered a high affinity α 6-GABA_AR

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Mice $D_3R^{-/-}$, $D_3R^{+/-}$ and $D_3R^{+/+}$ littermates (males, 8–12 weeks old) were individually housed, with free access to chow and water (except in the ethanol drinking procedures), in an air-conditioned room, with a 12-h light–dark cycle. Mice $D_3R^{-/-}$ and $D_3R^{+/-}$ were congenic after 10th–12th generation of back crossing into C57BL/6J mouse line [27]. All experiments were carried out according to the Directive 2010/63/EU and to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Catania.

2.2. Analysis of mRNA expression by real-time quantitative RT-PCR

NAc was freshly dissected out for real-time quantitative RT-PCR by using punches (bilateral) of 14-gauge on ice, held in ice-cold PBS solution and frozen on dry ice according to Koo et al. [18]. Total RNA was isolated by TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) from the brain tissues. Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized with Super-Script III (Invitrogen), by random priming. Aliquots of cDNA were amplified in parallel reactions with external standards at known amounts, using specific primer pairs for α 6-GABA_A subunit, D₃R and GAPDH (reference gene). GAPDH levels did not differ among different groups and were not changed by alcohol exposure in the DID paradigm. Each PCR reaction (20 µl final volume), contained 0.5 mM primers, 1.6 mM Mg²⁺, and 1 X Light Cycler-Fast Start DNA Master SYBR Green I (Roche Diagnostics, IN). Amplifications were carried out in a Light Cycler 1.5 instrument (Roche Diagnostics). Quantification was obtained by the Δ Ct comparative method.

The 4-hour version of the behavioral paradigm was used, as described by Rhodes et al. [28]. The procedure started 3h after lights off in the animal room; water bottles were replaced with graduated tubes with stainless steel drinking spouts containing 20% (v/v) ethanol (Sigma, St Louis, MO) in tap water; this was done in home cages where animals were singly housed [28]; the ethanol tubes remained in place for 2 h. After the 2-h period, intakes were recorded, and the ethanol tubes were replaced with water tubes. This procedure was repeated on days 2 and 3. On day 4, the procedure was again repeated except that the ethanol tubes were left in place for 4 h, and intakes were recorded after 4 h.

2.4. In situ hybridization and [³H]Ro 15-4513 autoradiography

The in situ hybridization (ISH) and [³H]Ro 15-4513 autoradiography were carried out as described earlier [29, 30]. The detailed protocols are reported in Supplemental Information section.

2.5. Systemic administrations

Ro 15-4513 and SB 277011A hydrochloride were from Tocris (Ellisville, MO). Drugs were intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected. Ro 15-4513 (5 mg/kg) [31] was dissolved in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide whereas SB 277011A hydrochloride (10 mg/kg) [15, 19] was dissolved in saline. All drugs and their respective vehicles were injected in a volume of 10 ml/kg. In the DID paradigm, we first tested $D_3R^{+/+}$, $D_3R^{+/-}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ naïve (n = 8/10 per group). For pharmacological experiments with Ro 15-4513, we allocated $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ mice to 4

experimental groups: $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with vehicle, $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with Ro 15-4513, $D_3R^{+/-}$ treated with vehicle and $D_3R^{-/-}$ treated with Ro 15-4513 (n = 8/10 per group). In another set of experiments, $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ were randomly allocated to 3 experimental groups (n= 8/13 per group): $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with SB277011A for 7 days before SB 277011A plus Ro 15-4513 during the DID procedure; $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with Vehicle for 7 days before Vehicle plus Ro 15-4513 during the DID procedure and $D_3R^{+/+}$ treated with Vehicle for 7 days before Vehicle plus Vehicle during the DID procedure. SB 277011A and Ro 15-4513 were i.p. injected, respectively 1h and 15 minutes before DID. On day 4, animals were sacrificed 1 h after ethanol-drinking procedure and the brain tissues were taken.

2.6. Intra-accumbens administrations

Ro 15-4513 and furosemide (Tocris) were dissolved in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide and 90% synthetic cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [15, 19]. Cannulas were implanted as previously described (11). After anesthesia with tiletamine + zolazepam (60 mg/kg) and medetomidine (40 µg/kg), mice were implanted with a 26-gauge guide cannula into the NAc (coordinates from *Bregma*: anterior-posterior = + 1.42 mm, latero-lateral ± 0.75 mm to a depth of 4.1 mm). The cannulas were fixed to the skull with acrylic dental cement (RelyXTM Unicem). After 6–8 days recovery, drugs (10 nmol/mouse) were bilaterally injected in a final volume of 1 µl over 1 min through infusion cannulas connected to a Hamilton microsyringe by a polyethylene tube. Ro 15-4513 was injected 15 minutes before the DID, whereas furosemide was injected 5 min before Ro 15-4513. Animals were handled gently to minimize stress during infusion. After the infusion procedure, the needle was left in place for another minute to allow diffusion. In the DID paradigm, mice were allocated to three experimental groups (n = 8/10 per group): $D_3R^{-/}$ / vehicle, $D_3R^{-/}$ / Ro 15-

4513, $D_3R^{-/-}$ / furosemide + Ro 15-4513. After behavioral testing, a solution of 4% methylene blue was infused for histological localization of infusion cannulas.

2.7. Electrophysiology

For the preparation of brain slices, we followed the protocol described by Scala et al. [32], with minor modifications. The detailed protocol is reported in Supplemental Information section. The electrophysiological recordings were analyzed using the Clampfit 10.7 software (Molecular Devices). A template was constructed using the "Event detection/create template" function, as described in [33], then, miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) were detected using the "Event detection/template search" function. All the waveforms detected during a single recording using template analysis were averaged and amplitude, rise time and decay time calculated.

2.8. Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as means \pm standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was assessed with the Student's t test (when used, paired-t test has been indicated in the text), one- or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc Newman-Keuls. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Alcohol intake and GABA_A α 6 subunit expression

We previously reported that D_3R^{-1} mice have low ethanol intake [15] and exhibit higher basal expression of GABA_A α 6 in the ventral striatum [19]. Here, we assessed whether a link exists between alcohol consumption and $GABA_A \alpha 6$ subunit expression in the NAc. $D_3R^{-/-}$ exhibited about 5-fold higher basal mRNA expression of α 6 subunit as compared with $D_3R^{+/+}$ in the NAc [main effect of genotype F (2, 14) = 9.447, P<0.01; post hoc: P<0.01], but not in the prefrontal cortex (PFC), while other relevant GABA_A subunits were not changed (Fig.1 A-B). Based on these data, we compared $D_3R^{+/+}$, heterozygous $D_3R^{+/-}$ and homozygous D_3R^{-1} in the drinking-in-the-dark (DID) paradigm. As shown in Fig. 1C, $D_3R^{+/+}$ exhibited obvious ethanol preference in DID paradigm on day 1, 2 and 3, whereas $D_3R^{-1/2}$ had significantly lower ethanol intake [main effect of day: F (3, 60) = 40.58, P<0.01; main effect of genotype F (2, 20) = 7.812, P<0.01; post hoc: P<0.01 and P<0.05]. $D_3R^{+/-}$ showed alcohol intake similar to $D_3R^{+/+}$ and, consistently, a low $\alpha 6$ expression in the NAc (Fig. 1D). The lack of difference in ethanol intake on day 4 might be linked to the 4h-time window used instead of a 2h-time window (see Methods). Overall, these data suggest that there is a link between α6 mRNA expression and alcohol intake such that the high level of $GABA_A \alpha 6$ subunit expression in the NAc is associated to reduced alcohol consumption. To precisely assess the spatial expression of α 6 subunit in the brain of D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-}, we carried out in situ hybridization (ISH) experiments and analyzed the results in a blinded manner. These experiments confirmed that, while heavily enriched in the cerebellar granule cell layer, significant α 6 expression in the forebrain of D₃R^{-/-} occurred specifically in the NAc [P<0.05], being very low in the other examined brain areas (Fig. 2 A-D, Tab. S1-S2). Furthermore, the expression of other relevant GABA_A subunits was not changed in

 $D_3R^{-/-}$ (Tab. S1-S2). Data obtained by ISH confirmed the qPCR data (Fig. 1 A-B). Autoradiography following incubation with a high 15 nM concentration of [³H]Ro15-4513 showed a statistically significant increase of [³H]Ro15-4513 binding in the NAc [P<0.05] (Fig. 2 E-F). Ro 15-4513 binds at $\alpha 6/4\beta 3\delta$ -type GABA_A receptors with high affinity (K_D \approx 10 nM) [21, 34], consistent with an increased expression of $\alpha 6/4\beta 3\delta$ -type GABA_A receptors in the NAc.

3.2. Alcohol antagonist Ro 15-4513 increased ethanol consumption in mice expressing $GABA_A \alpha 6$ in NAc

Ro15-4513 was earlier named "alcohol antagonist" [35], because, in some studies, it inhibited alcohol intoxication, preference and self-administration in wild type rodents [31, 36]. Therefore, based on ISH and [³H]Ro15-4513 binding data, we tested the hypothesis that Ro 15-4513 differently affects ethanol intake in mice expressing different levels of $\alpha 6$ in the NAc. As shown in Fig. 3 A, systemic administration of Ro 15-4513 decreased voluntary ethanol intake in $D_3R^{+/+}$ [main effect of day F (3, 63) = 55.62, P<0.01; main effect of treatment F (1, 21) = 7.198, P<0.05; post hoc: P<0.05], but increased voluntary ethanol intake in D_3R^{-1} (Fig. 3 B) [main effect of day F (3, 39) = 34.87, P<0.01; main effect of treatment F (1, 13) = 9.384, P<0.01; post hoc: P<0.05]. Worthy of note, $D_3R^{-/-}$, which normally show low preference for alcohol [15], following Ro 15-4513-treatment reached a level of ethanol consumption similar to that of $D_3R^{+/+}$. To gain stronger evidence of the specific role of D_3R -dependent expression of $\alpha 6$ GABA_A subunit in the NAc, we tested D₃R^{-/-} mice in the DID after intra-NAc administration of Ro 15-4513, with or without furosemide, an α 6-GABA_A receptor antagonist [37]. As shown in Fig. 3 C, intra-NAc administration of Ro 15-4513 increased voluntary ethanol intake in D₃R^{-/-} [main effect of treatment F (2, 13) = 22.31, P<0.001; main effect of days X treatment interaction F (6, 39)

= 3.297 P<0.05, post hoc: P<0.05, P<0.01 and P<0.001 vs vehicle]; the effect of Ro 15-4513 injected in this brain area was blocked by pretreatment with furosemide [main effect of treatment F (2, 13) = 22.31, P<0.001; main effect of days X treatment interaction F (6, 39) = 3.297, post hoc: P<0.001 vs furosemide+Ro 15-4513] (Fig. 3D). This result confirms that the increased expression of α 6-GABA_AR in the NAc has a key role in modulating the paradoxical effect of Ro 15-4513 in D₃R^{-/-} mice, ruling out potential off target and/or nonspecific effects of Ro 15-4513 (on other brain areas, because of intraNAc injection, and on other GABA_A receptor isoforms, because of furosemide antagonism). Thus, the paradoxical response to Ro 15-4513 seen in D₃R^{-/-} is related to increased expression of -GABA_AR in the NAc, which also accounts for the low ethanol consumption observed in these mice, as mentioned above.

Changes of GABA_AR function induced by alterations in dopaminergic transmission may have clinical relevance, because a number of DR ligands are currently used to treat different neuropsychiatric disorders [38]. In this respect, consistent with data obtained in $D_3R^{-/-}$ mice, we previously reported that chronic treatment with the selective D_3R antagonist SB 277011A increases $\alpha 6$ expression in the ventral striatum and accelerates the appearance of tolerance to the anxiolytic effect of diazepam [19]. Here, to assess the functional relevance of the $D_3R/\alpha 6$ -GABA_AR cross-talk, we treated $D_3R^{+/+}$ with SB 277011A for 7 days, (10 mg/kg i.p. as done in [19]) before testing in the DID paradigm. As shown in Fig. 3D, pretreatment of $D_3R^{+/+}$ with SB 277011A for 7 days, which increased the expression of $\alpha 6$ -GABA_AR in the NAc (Figure S1), induced a paradoxical effect of Ro 15-4513 on alcohol intake, similar to $D_3R^{-/-}$ [main effect of days F (3, 108) = 31.59, P<0.001; main effect of treatment F (2, 36) = 19.34, post hoc: P<0.05, P<0.001 vs vehicle]. These data indicate that treatment with a D_3R antagonist, sufficient to change the expression of $\alpha 6$ -GABA_AR in the NAc, determines changes in ethanol intake.

3.3. $D_3 R^{-/-}$ mice exhibited Ro 15-4513-driven decrease of mIPSC amplitude in Medium Spiny Neurons

To test the hypothesis that α 6 subunit expression in the NAc shell, as seen in D₃R^{-/-} mice, modifies inhibitory transmission, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings on GABAergic MSNs, which represent >95% of the cell population in this brain region, and recorded miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs). Analysis of the peak amplitudes of mIPSCs revealed a significant increase in $D_3R^{-/-}$ compared to $D_3R^{+/+}$ (Fig. 4; A-D; 38.58 ± 3.35 pA, n = 19 versus 29.51 ± 2.96 pA, n = 16; P<0.05). In contrast, there was no significant difference in mIPSC frequency ($D_3R^{-/-}$: 1.98 ± 0.30 Hz, $D_3R^{+/+}$: 1.77 ± 0.26 ms) and mIPSC kinetics (Fig. 4 G, H; rise time, D_3R^{-1} : 0.72 ± 0.06 ms; D_3R^{+1+1} : 0.72 ± 0.06 ms; decay time, $D_3R^{-/-}$: 16.96 ± 1.10 ms; $D_3R^{+/+}$: 16.14 ± 1.31 ms). Next, we tested the effects of Ro 15-4513 on mIPSCs in MSNs from D₃R^{+/+}and D₃R^{-/-}. Based on ISH and gPCR data, indicating that α 6-GABA_ARs in the NAc are scarce in naïve D₃R^{+/+}mice and given the opposite effect of Ro 15-4513 treatment on ethanol intake observed in D₃R^{-/-} mice, we expected that Ro 15-4513 would have differential effects on mIPSCs. For this in vitro experiment we selected the 0.3 µM Ro 15-4513 concentration, because it completely antagonizes ethanol enhancement of $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -type GABA_AR current [21]. As shown in Fig. 4, bath application of 0.3 µM Ro 15-4513 did not significantly alter the frequency, rise time, decay time and amplitude of mIPSCs in $D_3R^{+/+}$ (n = 16; paired t test), but induced a significant reduction of amplitude in the NAc of $D_3R^{-/-}$ (Figure 3; B-F; 38.58 ± 3.35 pA, versus 31.93± 3.03 pA, n = 19 P<0.05; paired t test) while frequency, rise time and decay time were not affected. These results suggest that the activity of α 6-GABA_AR in D₃R^{-/-} influences inhibitory synaptic transmission of MSN within NAc shell, possibly because $\alpha 6$ expression, higher than in $D_3R^{+/+}$, is sufficient to generate a population of heteromeric GABA_ARs containing α 1 and α 6 [39].

4. Discussion

We found that increased expression of α 6 GABA_A subunit, induced by D₃R deletion or pharmacological blockade, is associated to reduced alcohol intake and increased GABA inhibition in the NAc. We revealed GABA_A α 6 activity by using Ro 15-4513, both in terms of behavior (ethanol intake) as well as of neuronal excitability (electrophysiology) a GABA_A ligand that exerts α 6-dependent effects. Ro 15-4513 is considered a high affinity α 6-GABA_AR ligand, since its binding is obvious in a α 6 rich brain structure, such as the cerebellum, while it is hardly detectable in the very same structure in α 6 null mice [26]. We previously reported that alcohol sensitization is linked to increased D3R expression induced by ethanol intake and is associated with the activation of RACK1/BDNF pathway. In fact, selective blockade of the TrkB, the receptor for BDNF, reverses stable intake of ethanol in WT mice and decreases D3R expression levels in their striatum, while it results

ineffective in D3R^{-/-} mice [15].

The α 6 subunit came to the attention of the alcohol addiction studies following the identification of the R100Q mutation in the Sardinian non-ethanol-preferring rat line, suggesting a possible involvement of the GABA_AR containing α 6 subunit in the genetic predisposition to alcohol preference [10]. This mutation is associated with hypersensitivity to motor-impairing effects of ethanol and tonic inhibitory currents mediated by α 6 β ō-type GABA_AR in cerebellar granule cells [8, 13]. Worthy of note, this mutation strongly increases diazepam effect on GABA-evoked currents [11]. Consistently, a model where the amino acidic residue at position 100 affects ethanol sensitivity in the GABA_ARs is part of the benzodiazepine ligand-binding pocket on the α 6-subunit [19, 40]. Other studies have also described α 6 polymorphisms that correlate to alcohol dependence in humans [41, 42]. Our observation that genetic deletion or pharmacological blockade of D₃R increased

GABA_A α 6 subunit expression in the ventral striatum [16], a brain structure involved in voluntary ethanol intake, provides a tool to study how the increased expression of α 6 subunit-containing receptors may affect alcohol intake. Indeed, some studies have evaluated the contribution of other GABA_AR subunits, such as α 4 and δ , but no data are available on the role of NAc GABA_A α 6 subunit in alcohol intake; this latter has only been studied for its involvement in the motor incoordination associated to alcohol, given its abundant localization in cerebellum granule cells.

Several studies, in the last two decades, have tried to elucidate how the subunit composition of different GABA₄Rs determines their electrophysiological and pharmacological features (inhibitory currents, ligand binding), or, at the organism level, the animal behavior (anxiety, addiction, response to anxiolytics). While most studies have dealt with recombinant systems, such as Xenopus laevis oocytes injected either with cRNA coding for the different subunits [9, 21] or with cRNA coding for concatenated subunits [43], no studies had the opportunity to examine native systems, i.e. animals spontaneously and stably expressing specific subunits in defined CNS structures. Polymorphisms of α 6 subunit have been found to be associated both to anxiety-related traits [44] and to benzodiazepine sensitivity in humans [45]. It is not yet known whether increased expression of $\alpha 6$ subunit containing GABA_AR isoforms in brain areas that normally express negligible amounts of α 6 produces different responses to GABA (i.e. different inhibitory currents) and/or to exogenous modulators. This might be due to the lack of *in vivo* systems with significant changes in α6 expression. Early studies with α6 subunit knockout mice [26, 46] remained inconclusive as it was later discovered that the knockout construct affected the expression of neighboring subunits in the GABA_A gene cluster [47]. We took advantage of Ro 15-4513, because it has been proposed to compete with ethanol within a binding pocket involving α 6 [23]. We expected a different effect of Ro 15-4513 in $D_3R^{+/+}$, which poorly express $\alpha 6$ in the NAc, versus $D_3R^{-/-}$, which robustly express $\alpha 6$.

Indeed, we found an opposite effect of Ro 15-4513 in the two groups; in $D_3R^{+/+}$, the systemic administration of Ro 15-4513 reduced ethanol intake, presumably as a result of its action as a negative allosteric modulator in multiple GABA_ARs [21], where it would behave as an "ethanol antagonist" [23, 48]. Conversely, in $D_3R^{-/-}$, Ro 15-4513 paradoxically increased ethanol intake, a surprising finding that might be explained in terms of differential modulation of the GABA_AR containing α 6 subunit by Ro 15-4513. These data were confirmed and validated by intra-NAc injection experiments, where the local administration of furosemide, a selective α 6-GABA_A receptor antagonist [37], completely blocked the effect of Ro 15-4513.

The antagonism between Ro 15-4513 and ethanol might be more at the functional level, rather than at the binding level. While the reported affinity of Ro 15-4513 for α 4 and α 6 containing GABA₄R is guite similar in the nanomolar range [9, 21, 23], the effect on the GABA-dependent currents in cells expressing exclusively $\alpha 4$ or $\alpha 6$ subunits is not clear and might be guite different. This is consistent with the paradoxical activation of neurons by gaboxadol in a transgenic Thy1 α 6 mouse line, ectopically expressing the GABA_AR α 6 subunit gene under the Thy-1.2 promoter [20]. We directly address this issue by measuring MSN mIPSCs in the NAc and their sensitivity to Ro 15-4513. Based on the above premises, we hypothesized that a change in $GABA_A \alpha 6$ subunit expression would increase spontaneous mIPSCs and that Ro 15-4513 would inhibit mIPSCs in MSN from D_3R^{-1-} , robustly expressing α 6, whereas it would be ineffective in α 6-deficient MSNs from $D_3R^{+/+}$. The electrophysiological analysis of MSNs revealed a significant increase in mIPSC amplitude in $D_3R^{-/-}$, which expressed GABA_AR containing α 6 subunit in NAc compared to $D_3R^{+/+}$. Accordingly perfusion with Ro 15-4513 induced a significant reduction of amplitude in the NAc of $D_3R^{-/-}$, but was ineffective in $D_3R^{+/+}$. This latter observation clearly indicates that the modulation of the GABAAR channel by Ro 15-4513 depends on the presence of α 6 subunit and is consistent with the observation of opposite effects of this

drug on ethanol intake in $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$. To precisely assess the spatial expression of α 6 subunit in the brain of D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-}, we carried out in situ hybridization (ISH) experiments. The systematic assessment of $\alpha 6$ expression in the CNS by ISH confirmed gPCR results, indicating that α 6 expression in D₃R^{-/-} was restricted to a limited brain area, corresponding to the NAc. These results were reinforced also by autoradiography data obtained with [³H]Ro 15-4513. The fact that genetic or pharmacological manipulation of D_3R induced changes in the GABA_AR α 6 subunit expression specifically in the NAc is consistent with the leaving relatively unchanged other brain areas is not so surprisingly, considering that, at variance with D_2R , restricted expression of D_3R in this brain region t same structures where we observe increased $\alpha 6$ expression [49]. To the best of our knowledge, it is not known in detail how D_3R controls $GABA_AR$ subunit mRNA expression; however, other studies have shown dynamic D₃R-dependent down-regulation of GABAergic control over lateral/basolateral amygdala neurons [50], NAc [51] and hippocampus [52]. A direct dynamic interplay between metabotropic DA receptors and other ionotropic receptors in plasma membrane has been documented by single-molecule detection imaging and electrophysiology in live hippocampal neurons [53]. Furthermore, cell signaling downstream of D₃R affects GABA_ARs in the NAc [51], but numerous other complex mechanisms may impact GABA_ARs trafficking [54] and deserve further studies to be elucidated. Finally, because these changes in GABA_AR function can be related to dopaminergic transmission, they may assume further relevance in clinical situations, such as schizophrenia and Parkinson's disease, where D₃R are chronically blocked or stimulated by drug-treatments [38].

In conclusion, these data indicate that α 6-containing GABA_ARs in the NAc play an important role in controlling alcohol intake by increasing GABAergic-inhibition in the MSNs. Because changes in α 6-containing GABA_ARs are specifically induced in the NAc by D₃R-

1201	
1202	
1203	blockade the interplay between DAergic and GABAergic transmission may present a
1204	blokade, the interplay between briefgie and cribitergie transmission may present a
1205	novel relevant mechanisms in reinforcing properties of alcohol and other addictive drugs
1206	nover relevant meenanisms in reinforcing properties of alcohor and other addictive drugs.
1207	
1208	
1209	
1210	
1211	
1212	
1213	
1214	
1215	
1216	
1217	
1218	
1219	
1220	
1221	
1222	
1223	
1224	
1220	
1220	
1227	
1220	
1220	
1230	
1232	
1233	
1234	
1235	
1236	
1237	
1238	
1239	
1240	
1241	
1242	
1243	
1244	
1245	
1246	
1247	
1248	
1249	
1250	
1251	
1252	
1253	
1204	
1200	
1200	
1258	
1250	
1260	

Funding

This work was supported by Piano triennale per la Ricerca – Linea Intervento 2, University of Catania, Italy. The Academy of Finland and the Sigrid Juselius foundation grants to Esa R. Korpi are gratefully acknowledged.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Di Marco, Dr. Gulisano, Dr. Geraci and Dr. Lavanco were supported by the International Ph.D. Program in Neuroscience, University of Catania, Catania, Italy; Dr. Aceto was supported by the International Ph.D. Program in Neuroscience of Università Cattolica, Rome, Italy.

We thank Dr. Chiara Platania for help in preparing figures.

Competing interests: None of the authors have competing financial interests in relation to the work described.

References

- [1] R.W. Olsen, Extrasynaptic GABAA receptors in the nucleus accumbens are necessary for alcohol drinking, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108 (2011) 4699-4700.
- [2] S. Ikemoto, A. Bonci, Neurocircuitry of drug reward, Neuropharmacology 76 Pt B (2014) 329-341.
- [3] R.E. Maldve, T.A. Zhang, K. Ferrani-Kile, S.S. Schreiber, M.J. Lippmann, G.L. Snyder, et al., DARPP-32 and regulation of the ethanol sensitivity of NMDA receptors in the nucleus accumbens, Nat. Neurosci. 5 (2002) 641-648.
- [4] M.K. Lobo, S.L. Karsten, M. Gray, D.H. Geschwind, X.W. Yang, FACS-array profiling of striatal projection neuron subtypes in juvenile and adult mouse brains, Nat. Neurosci. 9 (2006) 443-452.
 - [5] J. Bertran-Gonzalez, C. Bosch, M. Maroteaux, M. Matamales, D. Herve, E. Valjent, et al., Opposing patterns of signaling activation in dopamine D1 and D2 receptorexpressing striatal neurons in response to cocaine and haloperidol, J. Neurosci. 28 (2008) 5671-5685.
 - [6] H. Nie, M. Rewal, T.M. Gill, D. Ron, P.H. Janak, Extrasynaptic delta-containing GABAA receptors in the nucleus accumbens dorsomedial shell contribute to alcohol intake, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108 (2011) 4459-4464.
 - [7] U. Rudolph, F. Knoflach, Beyond classical benzodiazepines: novel therapeutic potential of GABAA receptor subtypes, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 10 (2011) 685-697.
 - [8] R.W. Olsen, W. Sieghart, GABA A receptors: subtypes provide diversity of function and pharmacology, Neuropharmacology 56 (2009) 141-148.
 - [9] M. Wallner, H.J. Hanchar, R.W. Olsen, Ethanol enhances alpha 4 beta 3 delta and alpha 6 beta 3 delta gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptors at low concentrations known to affect humans, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100 (2003) 15218-15223.
 - [10] L. Saba, A. Porcella, E. Congeddu, G. Colombo, M. Peis, M. Pistis, et al., The R100Q mutation of the GABA(A) alpha(6) receptor subunit may contribute to voluntary aversion to ethanol in the sNP rat line, Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. 87 (2001) 263-270.
- [11] E.R. Korpi, C. Kleingoor, H. Kettenmann, P.H. Seeburg, Benzodiazepine-induced motor impairment linked to point mutation in cerebellar GABAA receptor, Nature 361 (1993) 356-359.
 - [12] M. Sarviharju, E.R. Korpi, Ethanol sensitivity and consumption in F2 hybrid crosses of ANT and AT rats, Alcohol 10 (1993) 415-418.

- [13] V. Santhakumar, M. Wallner, T.S. Otis, Ethanol acts directly on extrasynaptic subtypes of GABAA receptors to increase tonic inhibition, Alcohol 41(3) (2007) 211-21.
- [14] M. Morales, E.B. Margolis, Ventral tegmental area: cellular heterogeneity, connectivity and behaviour, Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 18 (2017) 73-85.
- [15] G.M. Leggio, G. Camillieri, C.B. Platania, A. Castorina, G. Marrazzo, S.A. Torrisi, et al., Dopamine D3 receptor is necessary for ethanol consumption: an approach with buspirone, Neuropsychopharmacology 39 (2014) 2017-2028.
- [16] C.A. Heidbreder, M. Andreoli, C. Marcon, D.M. Hutcheson, E.L. Gardner, C.R. Ashby, Jr., Evidence for the role of dopamine D3 receptors in oral operant alcohol self-administration and reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behavior in mice, Addict. Biol. 12 (2007) 35-50.
- [17] V. Vengeliene, F. Leonardi-Essmann, S. Perreau-Lenz, P. Gebicke-Haerter, K. Drescher, G. Gross, et al., The dopamine D3 receptor plays an essential role in alcohol-seeking and relapse, FASEB J. 20 (2006) 2223-2233.
- [18] J.W. Koo, M.K. Lobo, D. Chaudhury, B. Labonte, A. Friedman, E. Heller, et al., Loss of BDNF Signaling in D1R-Expressing NAc Neurons Enhances Morphine Reward by Reducing GABA Inhibition, Neuropsychopharmacology 39 (2014) 2646-2653.
- [19] G.M. Leggio, S.A. Torrisi, A. Castorina, C.B. Platania, A.A. Impellizzeri, A. Fidilio, F. et al., Dopamine D3 receptor-dependent changes in alpha6 GABAA subunit expression in striatum modulate anxiety-like behaviour: Responsiveness and tolerance to diazepam, Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol. 25 (2015) 1427-1436.
 - [20] K.S. Hellsten, A.M. Linden, E.R. Korpi, Paradoxical widespread c-Fos expression induced by a GABA agonist in the forebrain of transgenic mice with ectopic expression of the GABA(A) alpha6 subunit, Neuroscience 293 (2015) 123-135.
- [21] M. Wallner, H.J. Hanchar, R.W. Olsen, Low-dose alcohol actions on alpha4beta3delta GABAA receptors are reversed by the behavioral alcohol antagonist Ro15-4513, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103 (2006) 8540-8545.
- [22] R. Baur, K.H. Kaur, E. Sigel, Structure of alpha6 beta3 delta GABA(A) receptors and their lack of ethanol sensitivity, J. Neurochem. 111 (2009) 1172-1181.
- [23] M. Wallner, H.J. Hanchar, R.W. Olsen, Alcohol selectivity of beta3-containing GABAA receptors: evidence for a unique extracellular alcohol/imidazobenzodiazepine Ro15-4513 binding site at the alpha+beta- subunit interface in alphabeta3delta GABAA receptors, Neurochem. Res. 39 (2014) 1118-1126.

- [24] W.J. McBride, J.M. Murphy, L. Lumeng, T.K. Li, Effects of Ro 15-4513, fluoxetine and desipramine on the intake of ethanol, water and food by the alcohol-preferring (P) and nonpreferring (NP) lines of rats, Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 30 (1988) 1045-1050.
- [25] K. Wegelius, A. Honkanen, E.R. Korpi, Benzodiazepine receptor ligands modulate
 ethanol drinking in alcohol-preferring rats, Eur. J. Pharmacol 263 (1994) 141-147.
- [26] G.E. Homanics, C. Ferguson, J.J. Quinlan, J. Daggett, K. Snyder, C. Lagenaur, et al.,
 Gene knockout of the alpha6 subunit of the gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor:
 lack of effect on responses to ethanol, pentobarbital, and general anesthetics, Mol.
 Pharmacol. 51 (1997) 588-596.
- [27] D. Accili, C.S. Fishburn, J. Drago, H. Steiner, J.E. Lachowicz, B.H. Park, et al., A
 targeted mutation of the D3 dopamine receptor gene is associated with hyperactivity in
 mice, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 93 (1996) 1945-1949.
- [28] J.S. Rhodes, K. Best, J.K. Belknap, D.A. Finn, J.C. Crabbe, Evaluation of a simple
 model of ethanol drinking to intoxication in C57BL/6J mice, Physiol. Behav. 84 (2005)
 53-63.
- [29] S.T. Sinkkonen, O.Y. Vekovischeva, T. Moykkynen, W. Ogris, W. Sieghart, W.
 Wisden, et al., Behavioural correlates of an altered balance between synaptic and
 extrasynaptic GABAAergic inhibition in a mouse model, Eur. J. Neurosci. 20 (2004)
 2168-2178.
- [30] S.T. Sinkkonen, B. Luscher, H. Luddens, E.R. Korpi, Autoradiographic imaging of
 altered synaptic alphabetagamma2 and extrasynaptic alphabeta GABAA receptors in a
 genetic mouse model of anxiety, Neurochem. Int. 44 (2004) 539-547.
- [31] L.C. Melon, S.L. Boehm, 2nd, GABAA receptors in the posterior, but not anterior,
 ventral tegmental area mediate Ro15-4513-induced attenuation of binge-like ethanol
 consumption in C57BL/6J female mice, Behav. Brain Res. 220 (2011) 230-237.
- [32] F. Scala, S. Fusco, C. Ripoli, R. Piacentini, D.D. Li Puma, M. Spinelli, et al.,
 Intraneuronal Abeta accumulation induces hippocampal neuron hyperexcitability
 through A-type K(+) current inhibition mediated by activation of caspases and GSK-3,
 Neurobiol. Aging 36 (2015) 886-900.
- [33] C. Ripoli, S. Cocco, D.D. Li Puma, R. Piacentini, A. Mastrodonato, F. Scala, et al.,
 Intracellular accumulation of amyloid-beta (Abeta) protein plays a major role in Abetainduced alterations of glutamatergic synaptic transmission and plasticity, J. Neurosci.
 34 (2014) 12893-12903.
- 1496 1497

1444

1445 1446

- 1498
- 1499
- 1500

- [34] H.J. Hanchar, P. Chutsrinopkun, P. Meera, P. Supavilai, W. Sieghart, M. Wallner, et al., Ethanol potently and competitively inhibits binding of the alcohol antagonist Ro15-4513 to alpha4/6beta3delta GABAA receptors, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103 (2006) 8546-5851.
- [35] P.D. Suzdak, J.R. Glowa, J.N. Crawley, R.D. Schwartz, P. Skolnick, S.M. Paul, A
 selective imidazobenzodiazepine antagonist of ethanol in the rat, Science 234 (1986)
 1243-1247.
- [36] H.L. June, R.W. Hughes, H.L. Spurlock, M.J. Lewis, Ethanol self-administration in
 freely feeding and drinking rats: effects of Ro15-4513 alone, and in combination with
 Ro15-1788 (flumazenil), Psychopharmacology 115 (1994) 332-339.
- [37] E.R. Korpi, T. Kuner, P.H. Seeburg, H. Luddens, Selective antagonist for the
 cerebellar granule cell-specific gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor, Mol.
 Pharmacol. 47 (1995) 283-289.
 - [38] G.M. Leggio, C. Bucolo, C.B. Platania, S. Salomone, F. Drago, Current drug treatments targeting dopamine D3 receptor, Pharmacol. Ther. 165 (2016) 164-177.
 - [39] H. Luddens, I. Killisch, P.H. Seeburg, More than one alpha variant may exist in a GABAA/benzodiazepine receptor complex, J. Recept. Res. 11 (1991) 535-551.
 - [40] C. Kleingoor, H.A. Wieland, E.R. Korpi, P.H. Seeburg, H. Kettenmann, Current potentiation by diazepam but not GABA sensitivity is determined by a single histidine residue, Neuroreport 4 (1993) 187-190.
 - [41] D.H. Han, N. Bolo, M.A. Daniels, I.K. Lyoo, K.J. Min, C.H. Kim, et al., Craving for alcohol and food during treatment for alcohol dependence: modulation by T allele of 1519T>C GABAAalpha6, Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res. 32 (2008) 1593-1599.
 - [42] M. Radel, R.L. Vallejo, N. Iwata, R. Aragon, J.C. Long, M. Virkkunen, et al., Haplotype-based localization of an alcohol dependence gene to the 5q34 {gamma}aminobutyric acid type A gene cluster, Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 62 (2005) 47-55.
 - [43] F. Minier, E. Sigel, Positioning of the alpha-subunit isoforms confers a functional signature to gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptors, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101 (2004) 7769-7774.
 - [44] B. Arias, M. Aguilera, J. Moya, P.A. Saiz, H. Villa, M.I. Ibanez, et al., The role of genetic variability in the SLC6A4, BDNF and GABRA6 genes in anxiety-related traits, Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 125 (2012) 194-202.

- [45] N. Iwata, D.S. Cowley, M. Radel, P.P. Roy-Byrne, D. Goldman, Relationship between
 a GABAA alpha 6 Pro385Ser substitution and benzodiazepine sensitivity, Am. J.
 Psychiatry 156 (1999) 1447-1449.
- 1568
1569
1570[46] A. Jones, E.R. Korpi, R.M. McKernan, R. Pelz, Z. Nusser, R. Makela, et al., Ligand-
gated ion channel subunit partnerships: GABAA receptor alpha6 subunit gene
inactivation inhibits delta subunit expression, J. Neurosci. 17 (1997) 1350-1362.
- [47] M. Uusi-Oukari, J. Heikkila, S.T. Sinkkonen, R. Makela, B. Hauer, G.E. Homanics, et
 al., Long-range interactions in neuronal gene expression: evidence from gene targeting
 in the GABA(A) receptor beta2-alpha6-alpha1-gamma2 subunit gene cluster, Mol. Cell.
 Neurosci. 16 (2000) 34-41.
- [48] A.M. Linden, U. Schmitt, E. Leppa, P. Wulff, W. Wisden, H. Luddens, et al., Ro 15 4513 Antagonizes Alcohol-Induced Sedation in Mice Through alphabetagamma2-type
 GABA(A) Receptors, Front. Neurosci. 5 (2011) 3.
 - [49] O. Guillin, J. Diaz, P. Carroll, N. Griffon, J.C. Schwartz, P. Sokoloff, BDNF controls dopamine D3 receptor expression and triggers behavioural sensitization, Nature 411 (2001) 86-89.
 - [50] M.R. Diaz, A.M. Chappell, D.T. Christian, N.J. Anderson, B.A. McCool, Dopamine D3like receptors modulate anxiety-like behavior and regulate GABAergic transmission in the rat lateral/basolateral amygdala, Neuropsychopharmacology 36 (2011) 1090-1103.
 - [51] G. Chen, J.T. Kittler, S.J. Moss, Z. Yan, Dopamine D3 receptors regulate GABAA receptor function through a phospho-dependent endocytosis mechanism in nucleus accumbens, J. Neurosci. 26 (2006) 2513-2521.
 - [52] J. Swant, M. Stramiello, J.J. Wagner, Postsynaptic dopamine D3 receptor modulation of evoked IPSCs via GABA(A) receptor endocytosis in rat hippocampus, Hippocampus 18 (2008) 492-502.
- [53] L. Ladepeche, J.P. Dupuis, D. Bouchet, E. Doudnikoff, L. Yang, Y. Campagne, et al.,
 Single-molecule imaging of the functional crosstalk between surface NMDA and
 dopamine D1 receptors, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110 (2013) 18005-18010.
 - [54] M. Mele, G. Leal, C.B. Duarte, Role of GABAA R trafficking in the plasticity of inhibitory synapses, J. Neurochem. 139 (2016) 997-1018.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Alcohol intake and D₃R-dependent GABA_A α 6 subunit mRNA expression in the NAc. A and B, GABA_AR α 1, α 2, α 4, α 6, γ 2 and δ subunits mRNA expression in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of wild type (D₃R^{+/+}) and D₃R null mice (D₃R^{-/-}). Abundance of transcripts was assessed by qPCR (primer sequences are reported in Tab. S3). C and D, ethanol intake (in the drinking in the dark paradigm, DID) and α 6 expression in wild type (D₃R^{+/+}) heterozygous (D₃R^{+/-}) and null mice (D₃R^{-/-}). DID was measured for 4 days, in mice with limited access (2h/day for 3 days and 4h the 4th day) to ethanol solution (20%). Abundance of transcripts in the NAc was assessed by qPCR after DID; expression level is given as mean fold changes relative to controls. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 *vs.* the corresponding control (D₃R^{+/+},); one- or two-way ANOVA and Newman–Keuls post hoc test. Each experimental group included 8-10 mice.

Figure 2. Expression of α 6 GABA_A subunit mRNA and [³H]-Ro 15-4513 binding in the NAc and Cerebellum of D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-} mice. A, B, C and D *In situ* hybridization (ISH) detection of α 6; E, F, G and H, [³H]-Ro 15-4513 autoradiography. A, C, E and G show representative images. B, D, F and H show average optical density, (expressed in arbitrary units); n=6-8 per group. *P < 0.05 *vs.* D₃R^{+/+}, unpaired *t* test.

Figure 3. Opposite effect of RO 15-4513 on alcohol intake, in $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ (drink in the dark paradigm, DID). A and B, ethanol intake in $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ intraperitoneally (i.p.) treated with vehicle (VEH) or Ro 15-4513 (5 mg/kg); C, ethanol intake in $D_3R^{-/-}$ locally injected into the NAc with VEH, Ro 15-4513 (10 nmol/mouse) or furosemide (10 nmol/mouse) plus Ro 15-4513; D, ethanol intake in $D_3R^{+/+}$ pretreated with VEH or the
selective D_3R antagonist, SB 277011A for 7 days (10 mg/kg, i.p.) plus Ro 15-4513 (5 mg/kg, i.p.) over DID paradigm.

Each experimental group included 8-13 mice. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 vs. vehicle (VEH). One- or two- way ANOVA and Newman–Keuls post hoc test.

Figure 4. NAc medium spiny neurons from $D_3R^{-/-}$ mice exhibited increased GABA_A inhibitory currents sensitive to Ro 15-4513. A and B, representative traces showing mIPSC recordings in slice from $D_3R^{+/+}$ and $D_3R^{-/-}$ mice before and after treatment with Ro 15-4513 (0.3 µM; in red). C, analysis of the peak amplitudes of mIPSCs; notice an increase in $D_3R^{-/-}$ compared to $D_3R^{+/+}$ and a decrease following Ro 15-4513 application in $D_3R^{-/-}$ only. D-F, cumulative frequency distributions for mIPSC amplitude in the experimental conditions shown in A and B. G-I, analysis of mIPSC frequency, rise time and decay time. *P<0.05, unpaired ($D_3R^{-/-}$ vs. $D_3R^{+/+}$) or paired (pre- vs. post- Ro 15-4513) *t* test ($D_3R^{-/-}$)

n=19; D₃R^{+/+}, n=16).





В





Α



D₃R^{-/-}

D₃R^{+/+}

D₃R^{+/+}

D₃R^{.,.}

Α









UNIVERSITÀ degli STUDI di CATANIA



Sezione di Farmacologia - Prof. Salvatore Salomone

To Prof. Emilio Clementi, MD, PhD Editor in Chief Pharmacological Research

This statement applies to the manuscript "**Dopaminergic-gabaergic interplay controls alcohol binge drinking**", by Leggio et al., submitted for publication to Pharmacological Research.

We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

We confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved by all named authors and that there are no other persons who satisfied the criteria for authorship but are not listed. We further confirm that the order of authors listed in the manuscript has been approved by all of us.

We confirm that we have given due consideration to the protection of intellectual property associated with this work and that there are no impediments to publication, including the timing of publication, with respect to intellectual property. In so doing we confirm that we have followed the regulations of our institutions concerning intellectual property.

We further confirm that any aspect of the work covered in this manuscript that has involved experimental animals has been conducted with the ethical approval of all relevant bodies and that such approvals are acknowledged within the manuscript.

We understand that the Corresponding Author is the sole contact for the Editorial process (including Editorial Manager and direct communications with the office). He is responsible for communicating with the other authors about progress, submissions of revisions and final approval of proofs. We confirm that we have provided a current, correct email address which is accessible by the Corresponding Author.

Signed by the Corresponding Author, on behalf of all authors

Salvatore Salomone, MD, PhD

Catania, 06th November, 2018

Solveton S. Comone

Leggio et al.

Dopaminergic-GABAergic interplay and alcohol binge drinking

Supplementary Information

Table S1. In situ hybridization (ISH) signals for GABA_A ??1, ??2, ??4, ??6, γ 2 and δ subunit mRNA in the prefrontal cortex from D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-} mice.

GABA _A subunit ISH signal ($D_3R^{-/-}$ over $D_3R^{+/+}$ ratio)				
α1	0.92 ± 0.02			
α2	1.10 ± 0.11			
α4	0.80 ± 0.07			
α6	1.15 ± 0.13			
γ2	0.89 ± 0.30			
δ	0.83 ± 0.17			

Table S2. In situ hybridization (ISH) signals for GABA_A ??1, ??2, ??4, ??6, γ 2 and δ subunit mRNA in the hippocampus from D₃R^{+/+} and D₃R^{-/-} mice.

GABA _A subunit ISH signal (D ₃ R ^{-/-} over D ₃ R ^{+/+} ratio)						
α1	0.91 ± 0.09					
α2	1.19 ± 0.13					
α4	1.07 ± 0.03					
α6	1.20 ± 0.10					
γ2	0.96 ± 0.26					
δ	$\textbf{0.85}\pm\textbf{0.16}$					

Table S3. Primers for Real-Time PCR

Target gene	Primer sequence
Gabra1	5'-GACCAGGTTTGGGAGAGCGTGT-3'
	3'-GCCGGAGCACTGTCATGGGTC-5'
Gabra2	5'-CCCAGTCAGGTTGGTGCTGGC-3'
	3'-ACAGGGCCAAAACTGGTCACGT-5'
Gabra4	5'-CCTGTGCCTGGCGGCTTGTTTA-3'
	3'-CCCCAAATCCAGGACGCAGCC-5'
Gabra6	5'-GGCCAGGATTTGGGGGGTGCTG-3'
	3'-TCAGTCCAAGTCTGGCGGAAGA-5'
Gabrg2	5'-ACCCAGAGGCGAGAGGCGAG-3'
	3'-GCTTGTGAAGCCTGGGTAGAGCG-5'
Gabrd	5'-CCGACCAGGCATTGGAGGTGC-3'
	3'-TGCTGTCCCGCCAGCTCTGA-5'
Gapdh	5'-CAACTCACTCAAGATTGTCAGCAA-3'
	3'-GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGA-5'



Figure S1. Pharmacological blockade of D3R counteracts alcohol intake and induced overexpression of GABA_A α 6 subunit in the NAc of D₃R^{+/+}. A and B, ethanol intake (DID) and α 6 expression in D₃R^{+/+} treated with vehicle (VEH) or the selective D₃R antagonist, SB 277011A (10 mg/kg, i.p.) for 7 days. Each experimental group included 8-13 mice. *P<0.05, ***P<0.001 *vs.* VEH; two-way ANOVA and Newman–Keuls post hoc test.

Material and Methods

In situ hybridization

Air-dried slides were fixed in ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde for 5 min. The sections were washed in 1 PBS at room temperature for 5 min, dehydrated in 70% ethanol for 5 min and stored in 95% ethanol at 4 °C until used. The antisense DNA oligonucleotide probe (Oligomer Oy, Helsinki, Finland) sequences were as follows: $\alpha 6$, 5[']-CAG TCT CTC ATC AGT CCA AGT

CAT-3[']; was complementary to the mouse GABA_AR subunit mRNA sequence. Poly[³⁵S]dATP (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA) tails were added to the 3[']-ends of the probes by deoxynucleotidyl transferase (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI). Unincorporated nucleotides were removed by Illustra ProbeQuant G-50 Micro Columns (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK). Labelling efficiency (360,000 cpm/µl) was determined by a scintillation counter. The labeled probe was diluted to 0.06 fmol/µl of hybridization buffer consisting of 50% formamide and 10% dextran sulfate in 4X Saline Sodium Citrate (SSC). Nonspecific controls for the antisense probes were produced by adding 100-fold excess of unlabeled probes. The hybridization occurred under glass Menzel-Gläser coverslips (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA) overnight at 42 °C. Finally, the slides were washed in 1X SSC at room temperature for 10 min, in 1X SSC at 55°C for 30 min, and 1X SSC, 0.1X SSC, 70% EtOH and 95% EtOH at room temperature for 1 min each. The slides were then air-dried and exposed with plastic [14C]-radioactivity standards (GE Healthcare) to BioMax MR films (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY). Films were scanned (Epson expression 1680 Pro). Images were imported into the FIJI version of the free image processing software *ImageJ*. The [¹⁴C]-standards were exposed simultaneously with the brain sections as the reference. The hybridization values were converted to arbitrary optical density units. Nonspecific signal was subtracted to obtain the specific signal. All measurements were analyzed in blind.

[³H]Ro 15-4513 autoradiography

Slides were pre-incubated in ice-cold 50 mM Tris–HCI buffer, pH 7.4, containing 120 mM NaCI for 15 min. The final incubation for basal [³H]Ro 15-4513 binding was performed in the

pre-incubation buffer containing 15 nM [³H]Ro 15-4513 (23 Ci/mmol, PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences) at 4 °C for 1 h. This high ligand concentration was aimed at estimating the receptor number rather than affinity. The non-specific binding was determined in the presence of 10 µM flumazenil. The sections were then washed in ice-cold pre-incubation buffer twice for 1 min, dipped in ice-cold distilled water, air-dried at room temperature and exposed with [³H]-plastic standards for 12 weeks (GE Healthcare) to Biomax MR films (Eastman Kodak). The films were scanned (Epson expression 1680 Pro) and binding density was expressed as arbitrary optical density units (*FIJI IMAGE-J*). The [³H]-standards were exposed simultaneously with the sections as the reference. Non-specific binding was subtracted to obtain the specific binding values. All data were analyzed in blind.

Electrophysiology

Animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Brains were rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold cutting solution containing (in mM): TRIS-HCI 72, TRIZMA base 18, NaH₂PO₄ 1.2, NaHCO₃ 30, KCI 2.5, glucose 25, HEPES 20, MgSO₄ 10, Na-pyruvate 3, ascorbic acid 5, CaCl₂ 0.5, sucrose 20. Slices (300 µm thick) were cut on a vibratome (VT1200S; Leica Microsystems, Germany) and immediately transferred to an incubation chamber held at 32°C and filled with a recovery solution containing (in mM): TRIS-HCI 72, TRIZMA base 18, NaH₂PO₄ 1.2, NaHCO₃ 25, KCI 2.5, glucose 25, HEPES 20, MgSO₄ 10, Na-pyruvate 3, ascorbic acid 5, CaCl₂ 0.5, sucrose 20. After 30 min, slices were transferred to a second incubation chamber held at 32°C and filled with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing (in mM): NaCl 124, KCl 3.2, NaH₂PO₄ 1.2, MgCl₂ 1, CaCl₂ 2, NaHCO₃ 26, and glucose 10, pH 7.4. During incubations, the chambers were continuously bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂.

Slices were equilibrated at room temperature for at least 45 min. Slices were then transferred to a submerged recording chamber constantly perfused with heated aCSF (32°C) and bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂. Medium spiny neurons (MSNs) within the NAc shell subregion were identified with a 40X water-immersion objective on an upright microscope equipped with differential interface contrast optics under infrared illumination (BX5IWI, Olympus, Center Valley, PA) and video observation. Electrodes were made from borosilicate glass micropipettes (Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) prepared with a P-97 Flaming-Brown micropipette puller (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA). Patch pipettes had a resistance of 4-6 $M\Omega$ when filled with an internal solution containing (in mM): CsCl 135, HEPES 10, EGTA 1.1, CaCl₂ 0.1; Mg-ATP 2.5, Na-GTP 0.25, phosphocreatine 5, pH 7.2. After establishing a gigaseal, the patch was broken by applying negative pressure to achieve a whole-cell configuration. A series resistance lower than 15 M Ω was considered acceptable, and monitored constantly throughout the entire recording. Neurons were held at -70 mV. Tetrodotoxin (TTX, 0.5 µM, Tocris), D-(-)-2-Amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (D-AP5, 50 2,3-Dioxo-6-nitro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[f]quinoxaline-7-sulfonamide μM, Tocris) and (NBQX, 10 µM, Tocris) were applied to the bath to block action potential-mediated neurotransmitter release, NMDA and AMPA receptors, respectively. Ro 15-4513 (0.3 µM) was applied in the bath after 5-7 min of TTX, APV and NBQX perfusion. All recordings were carried out at least 10 min after application of any drug to the bath. Recordings were performed using a Multiclamp 700B/Digidata 1550A system (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and digitized at a 10,000 Hz sampling frequency.