

Issues with the delivery of power quality in wind farms

**A THESIS SUBMITTED TO
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY
OF UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY SYDNEY
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

Massood Keshavarz Siahpoosh

May 2019

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

This thesis is the result of a research candidature conducted jointly with another University as part of a collaborative Doctoral degree. I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as part of the collaborative doctoral degree and/or fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Massood Keshavarz Siahpoosh

Date: 12/05/2019

Acknowledgements

This thesis is a culmination of a perfect working relationship with my university supervisors, Dave Dorrell and Li Li and my mentor in Aurecon Company Jeffery Russell that I am eternally grateful to. Dave, Li and Jeff provided unreserved support during my PhD and generously guided me during my research development.

I am also greatly in debt to the Safe Engineering Services for CDEGS software, Power Analytics for Paladin design base software, Manitoba HVDC Research Centre for PSCAD -EMTDC software and EATON for CYMCAP software who have provided me student licence and support during my simulation and enquires.

This research is not entirely a mathematical theory or study. This research is based on actual constructed equipment and components used in wind farms. I have endeavoured to include lessons learnt from several years of construction in wind farms; all the hard work of engineers in this research therefore many people have contributed in this study so I want to thank many people who in some way contributed to the progress and research of the work contained herein.

Last but not the least is the unconditional love and encouragement provided by my wife Maryam and my two beautiful kids Ariana and Aaron who served as a secure anchor during the hard and easy times.

Thank you.

Massood

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Abbreviation..... | 4 |
| List of Figures..... | 5 |
| List of Tables | 9 |
| Abstract..... | 10 |
| 1.1 Introduction..... | 12 |
| 1.2 Statement of Problem | 17 |
| 1.3 Claims to Originality | 17 |
| Chapter 2: Wind Farm Power Quality | 21 |
| 2.1 Introduction..... | 21 |
| 2.2 Wind Turbine Fundamentals..... | 21 |
| 2.2.1 Fixed Speed Wind Turbine Generator (Type 1) | 21 |
| 2.2.2 Variable Speed Wind Turbine Generator (Types 2, 3 and 4) | 22 |
| 2.2.3 Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG)..... | 25 |
| 2.2.3.1 DFIG Steady-State Model | 28 |
| 2.2.3.2 DFIG Dynamic model | 31 |
| 2.2.4 HV Cables | 35 |
| 2.2.4.1 Positive and Negative Sequence Impedances of a Core Cable | 37 |
| 2.2.4.2 Zero Sequence Impedance of a Three-Core Cable | 38 |
| 2.2.5 Load Flow and Power System Study | 39 |
| 2.3 Power Quality Assessment | 40 |
| 2.4 Network Connection Requirement..... | 41 |
| 2.5 Wind Farms Case Study 1..... | 41 |
| 2.5.1 Wind Farm Connection to Grid..... | 47 |
| 2.5.2 MV Cable Characteristics | 48 |
| 2.5.3 Transformers..... | 49 |
| 2.5.4 Generator..... | 51 |
| 2.5.5 Wind Farm Load Flow Analysis..... | 51 |
| 2.5.5.1 Load Flow Assessment..... | 52 |
| 2.5.5.2 Fault condition assessment..... | 58 |
| 2.6 Wind Farm Power Quality Analysis | 71 |
| 2.6.1 Harmonics..... | 73 |
| 2.6.2 Voltage Fluctuation and Flicker | 81 |
| 2.6.3 Voltage Unbalance | 92 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| 2.6.4 | Commutation notches | 93 |
| 2.6.5 | System fault ride through (FRT) and short voltage dip | 95 |
| 2.6.6 | Wind farm power frequency response | 100 |
| 2.7 | Wind Farm Ferroresonance..... | 104 |
| 2.7.1 | Wind Farm Ferroresonance..... | 106 |
| 2.7.1.1 | Assessment | 106 |
| 2.7.1.2 | Modelling | 106 |
| 2.8 | Conclusion | 118 |
| 2.9 | Future Work..... | 119 |
| Chapter 3: Wind Farms Earthing and Lightning Protection Issues | | 120 |
| 3.1 | Introduction..... | 120 |
| 3.2 | Soil Resistivity | 120 |
| 3.3 | Wind Farm Case Study 1 | 127 |
| 3.3.1 | Turbine earthing system modelling..... | 127 |
| 3.3.2 | Turbine Lightning Risk Assessment..... | 131 |
| 3.3.3 | Step and Touch Voltage of wind turbine | 142 |
| 3.3.3.1 | Step and Touch Voltage for humans | 142 |
| 3.3.3.2 | Step and Touch Voltage for Animals | 144 |
| 3.4 | Earth Potential Rise (EPR) Simulation..... | 147 |
| 3.4.1 | EPR during Lightning | 147 |
| 3.4.2 | Low Frequency Earth Fault Study..... | 151 |
| 3.4.2.1 | Existing System Simulation..... | 151 |
| 3.4.2.2 | Earthing System Simulation | 156 |
| 3.4.3 | Current Injection Test..... | 157 |
| 3.5 | Conclusion | 159 |
| 3.6 | Future work..... | 159 |
| Chapter 4: Wind Farm Failures | | 160 |
| 4.1 | Power System Failure | 160 |
| 4.2 | Wind farm D-VAR Failure | 164 |
| 4.3 | Mast failure..... | 164 |
| 4.4 | Blade failure | 165 |
| 4.5 | Gearbox failure | 165 |
| 4.6 | Generator failure | 166 |
| 4.7 | Transformer failure | 169 |
| 4.8 | HV cable Failure | 171 |

| | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----|
| 4.9 | Termination Failure..... | 173 |
| 4.10 | Capacitor bank failure..... | 174 |
| 4.11 | Conclusion | 175 |
| 4.12 | Future Work..... | 175 |
| Chapter 5: Conclusions | | 176 |
| References | | 178 |
| Appendix A: Simulation Videos | | 183 |
| Appendix B: List of Publications | | 184 |

Abbreviation

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|---|
| AC | Alternating Current |
| AEMO | Australian Energy Market Operator |
| ASIG | Asynchronous induction generators |
| CDEGS | Current Distribution, Electromagnetic Fields, Grounding and Soil Structure Analysis |
| CIT | Current Injection Test |
| DC | Direct Current |
| DFIG | Doubly Fed Induction Generator |
| EPR | Earth Potential Rise |
| GMR | Geometric Mean Radius |
| HIFREQ | CDEGS software module for electromagnetic field analysis |
| HV | High Voltage |
| LFI | Low Frequency Induction |
| LPS | Lightning Protection System |
| LV | Low Voltage |
| MV | Medium Voltage |
| NER | Australian Nation Electricity Rules |
| NSP | Network Service Provider |
| PCC | Point of Common Coupling |
| RSM | Rolling Sphere Method |
| SS | Substation |
| STTM | Short Term Trading Market |
| ZEST | Zigzag Switchgear Earth Transformer |

List of Figures

| Figure | Description | Page No. |
|--------|--|----------|
| 2-1 | Schematic diagram of Type 1 wind turbine generator | 22 |
| 2-2 | Schematic diagram of Type 2 wind turbine generator | 23 |
| 2-3 | Schematic diagram of Type 3 (DGIG) wind turbine generator | 24 |
| 2-4 | Schematic diagram of Type 1 wind turbine generator | 25 |
| 2-5 | Wind Turbine component block diagram | 26 |
| 2-6 | DFIG Wind Turbine energy conversion block diagram | 27 |
| 2-7 | DFIG Wind Turbine equivalent circuit diagrams | 28 |
| 2-8 | DFIG Vectorial diagram in the dq reference frame | 29 |
| 2-9 | DFIG Wind Turbine control system block diagram | 32 |
| 2-10 | Cable installation for wind farm | 36 |
| 2-11 | Typical 3 core 33 kV Aluminium cable cross-section without armour | 37 |
| 2-12 | Wind farm Case Study 1 system reticulation | 42 |
| 2-13 | Wind Turbine and step-up transformer arrangement | 43 |
| 2-14 | Wind Turbine power curve | 45 |
| 2-15 | Wind Turbine ramp-up function in respect to wind farm | 46 |
| 2-16 | Site thermal resistivity test photo for Case Study 1 | 48 |
| 2-17 | ZEST name plate photo for Case Study 1 | 50 |
| 2-18 | Wind farm Case Study 1 in Paladin software | 52 |
| 2-19 | Total loss based on generated power over yearly cycle for Case Study 1 | 55 |
| 2-20 | Wind duration record over a yearly cycle for Case Study 1 | 55 |
| 2-21 | Power generation record for DFIG wind turbines at wind farm Case Study 1 | 56 |
| 2-22 | Wind farm Case Study 1 SCADA block diagram | 56 |
| 2-23 | Voltage fluctuation for Case Study 1 PCC for 10 MW load switching | 57 |
| 2-24 | Frequency fluctuation for Case Study 1 PCC for 10 MW load switching | 57 |
| 2-25 | Voltage fluctuation at DFIGs for Case Study 1 during 10 MW load switching | 58 |
| 2-26 | Positive-sequence and zero-sequence impedances of a DFIG for Case Study 1 | 60 |
| 2-27 | Positive-sequence and zero-sequence impedances of transformer for Case Study 1 | 60 |
| 2-28 | Wind farm Case Study 2 power system | 63 |
| 2-29 | Case Study 2 DFIG technical data | 64 |
| 2-30 | Case Study 2 fault levels independently | 64 |
| 2-31 | Voltage and frequency during 3 phase fault at main substation (100 ms clearance time) | 67 |
| 2-32 | DFIG WTG1 rotor angle behaviour during 3 phase fault at main substation (100 ms clearance time) | 68 |
| 2-33 | DFIG WTG1 voltage behaviour during 3 phase fault at main substation (100 ms clearance time) | 68 |
| 2-34 | Grid power consumption behaviour during 3 phase fault at main substation (100 ms clearance time) | 68 |

| Figure | Description | Page No. |
|---------------|--|-----------------|
| 2-35 | Voltage and frequency behaviour during 3 phase fault at main substation (450ms clearance time) | 69 |
| 2-36 | DFIG WTG1 rotor angle behaviour during 3 phase fault at main substation (100ms clearance time) | 70 |
| 2-37 | DFIG WTG1 voltage behaviour during 3 phase fault at main substation (450ms clearance time) | 70 |
| 2-38 | Grid power consumption behaviour during 3 phase fault at main substation (450ms clearance time) | 70 |
| 2-39 | Harmonic Voltage for WTG04 - 2MW Asynchronous generators with external variable resistor | 75 |
| 2-40 | Harmonic Current for WTG04 - 2MW asynchronous generators with external variable resistor | 75 |
| 2-41 | Harmonic Voltage for Case Study 2 | 76 |
| 2-42 | Harmonic Current for Case Study 2 | 76 |
| 2-43 | Detailed harmonic voltage and current for Case Study 2 | 77 |
| 2-44 | Harmonic Voltage for WTG04 - 2MW DFIG | 77 |
| 2-45 | Harmonic current for WTG04 - 2MW DFIG | 78 |
| 2-46 | Harmonic Voltage for wind farm Case Study 2 with DFIG | 78 |
| 2-47 | Harmonic Voltage for Case Study 2 with DFIG | 79 |
| 2-48 | Detailed harmonic Voltage for Case Study 2 with DFIG | 79 |
| 2-49 | Detailed harmonic Current for wind farm Case Study 2 with DFIG | 80 |
| 2-50 | Flicker measurement and assessment procedures during continuous operation of a wind turbine | 82 |
| 2-51 | Flicker measurement and assessment procedures during switching operations of a wind turbine | 84 |
| 2-52 | Case Study 2 network connection diagram | 86 |
| 2-53 | Power performance curve of a 2MW Wind turbine at Case Study 2 | 87 |
| 2-54 | 10 min time-series per wind speed bins for Case Study 2 | 88 |
| 2-55 | Flicker coefficient as a function of wind speed at Case Study 2 | 88 |
| 2-56 | DFIG circuit arrangement model in Paladin | 94 |
| 2-57 | Typical waveform of commutation notches distinction from non-repetitive transient | 94 |
| 2-58 | South Australia blackout due to storm | 95 |
| 2-59 | Case Study 1 model in Paladin software | 97 |
| 2-60 | Case Study 1 grid connection arrangements | 98 |
| 2-61 | Overvoltage percentage for permitted durations | 99 |
| 2-62 | Simplified power frequency balance condition | 101 |
| 2-63 | Collapsed electricity tower in South Australia | 102 |
| 2-64 | Active power reduction of wind turbines in the case of over-frequency in German Transmission Code 2007 | 103 |
| 2-65 | Frequency Response Curve from the Danish Grid Code | 104 |
| 2-66 | DFIG Wind turbine model in PSCAD | 107 |
| 2-67 | Wind farm with 8 DFIG wind turbines in PSCAD | 108 |
| 2-68 | Wind farm voltage, current, active power and reactive power generation curve at the 33 kV collector busbar | 109 |

| Figure | Description | Page No. |
|--------|---|----------|
| 2-69 | Wind farm with eight DFIG wind generators - LV voltage and current curve | 110 |
| 2-70 | Wind farm with eight DFIG wind turbines - 132 kV bus and current curves | 111 |
| 2-71 | Wind turbine (adjacent to faulty turbine) – LV(690 V) curve during the earth fault on nearby wind turbine | 112 |
| 2-72 | Wind farm Voltage, current, active and reactive power generation curve at 33kV collector busbar | 113 |
| 2-73 | voltage and current curves of a wind farm connection point to 132kV grid | 114 |
| 2-74 | voltage and current curves of a wind farm connection point while there is an earth fault at 33kV | 115 |
| 2-75 | LV terminal voltage and current curves of a wind turbine while there is a wind gust at 0.1 s after cut-in | 116 |
| 2-76 | Voltage and current curves of a wind farm connection point while there is an earth fault at 33 kV | 117 |
| 2-77 | Voltage and current curves of a wind farm connection point to 132kV grid | 118 |
| 3-1 | Location of soil resistivity test site | 121 |
| 3-2 | Soil resistivity test site | 122 |
| 3-3 | Borehole test log | 124 |
| 3-4 | Soil resistivity test plots | 125 |
| 3-5 | Sunde's graph | 126 |
| 3-6 | Soil model for wind farm Case Study 1 (soil model with lowest resistivity) | 128 |
| 3-7 | Soil model for wind farm Case Study 1 (soil model based on average test results). | 128 |
| 3-8 | Soil model for wind farm Case Study 1 (soil model with highest resistivity) | 129 |
| 3-9 | Two different type of wind turbine foundations | 130 |
| 3-10 | Wind farm model in SESCAD of HiFREQ module | 131 |
| 3-11 | Damaged blade by lightning | 132 |
| 3-12 | Lightning protection for large modern wind turbine blades | 133 |
| 3-13 | Example of lightning protection zones | 133 |
| 3-14 | IEC Standard lightning protection zones | 134 |
| 3-15 | IEC Standard Rolling sphere model | 134 |
| 3-16 | Wind turbine typical lightning and earthing system | 135 |
| 3-17 | An 80 m wind turbine modelled in SESShield-3D | 136 |
| 3-18 | Wind turbine lightning protection in SESShield3D | 136 |
| 3-19 | Lightning stroke current waveform | 137 |
| 3-20 | Wind turbine model and soil surface profiles with a three-layer soil model | 139 |
| 3-21 | Wind turbine response to lightning stroke potential wave form unity | 140 |
| 3-22 | Wind turbine soil voltage response to lightning stroke potential waveform | 140 |
| 3-23 | Wind turbine soil voltage response to lightning stroke | 141 |
| 3-24 | Conventional time/current zones of effects of a.c. currents | 142 |
| 3-25 | Internal partial impedances Z_t of the human body during one hand to two feet touch | 143 |
| 3-26 | Wind turbine during the construction and animal exposure | 145 |
| 3-27 | Fibrillation data for dogs, pigs, sheep and persons | 146 |

| Figure | Description | Page No. |
|---------------|---|-----------------|
| 3-28 | Body impedance dependence to different frequency | 146 |
| 3-29 | Wind farm Case Study 1 earth grid | 148 |
| 3-30 | Wind turbine soils voltage profile | 148 |
| 3-31 | Wind turbine fall of potential | 149 |
| 3-32 | Wind turbine base contour potential profile | 149 |
| 3-33 | Human Touch Voltage (1 m distance) profile | 150 |
| 3-34 | Animal Reach Voltage (3 m distance) profile | 150 |
| 3-35 | Wind turbine base Step Voltage (1 m distance) profile | 151 |
| 3-36 | Wind turbine earth fault current split analysis | 152 |
| 3-37 | Wind turbine EPR during earth fault | 153 |
| 3-38 | Wind turbine soil voltage profile during earth fault (values in kV) | 154 |
| 3-39 | Wind turbine soil Voltage 3D profile during earth fault (vertical axis in kV) | 154 |
| 3-40 | Wind turbine Soil Voltage zoomed (voltages in kV) | 155 |
| 3-41 | Wind turbine base | 155 |
| 3-42 | Soil Voltage profile at step up substation | 156 |
| 3-43 | Wind turbine soil surface voltage profile during 33 kV earth fault | 157 |
| 3-44 | Wind turbine current injection test | 158 |
| 4-1 | South Australia generation graph pre-event | 160 |
| 4-2 | Wind farms voltage ride-through status | 162 |
| 4-3 | SA interconnection power flow record | 162 |
| 4-4 | SA frequency record | 163 |
| 4-5 | SA Black-out interconnection simulation | 163 |
| 4-6 | D-VAR nuisance operation record at 33 kV busbar | 164 |
| 4-7 | Wind turbine mast failure | 165 |
| 4-8 | Damaged gearboxes | 166 |
| 4-9 | Wind speed records | 167 |
| 4-10 | Slip ring and brushes after failure event | 167 |
| 4-11 | Slip ring and brushes after failure event | 168 |
| 4-12 | Stator winding has an earth fault just below the slot wedge | 169 |
| 4-13 | 690 V / 33 kV transformer failed HV coil | 170 |
| 4-14 | Damages in both single 33 kV windings beside the foreign material | 170 |
| 4-15 | Damages in 33kV windings failure on the knee of interconnected star leg | 171 |
| 4-16 | Three core 33kV cable joint failure (before and after) | 172 |
| 4-17 | Three core 33 kV cable joint bay | 173 |
| 4-18 | 33kV cable Termination failure due to lightning | 174 |
| 4-19 | Capacitor bank failure | 175 |

List of Tables

| Table | Description | Page No. |
|-------|---|----------|
| 2-1 | Equivalent Circuit Parameters for Case Study 1 DFIG | 30 |
| 2-2 | Technical data of Wind Turbines for Case Study 1 (DFIG) | 44 |
| 2-3 | Technical data of Step up transformer for Case Study 1 (DFIG) | 47 |
| 2-4 | Grid Characteristics | 48 |
| 2-5 | Olex 33 kV Cable Characteristics | 49 |
| 2-6 | Transformer Characteristics | 50 |
| 2-7 | Case Study 1 (DFIG) Generator Characteristics | 51 |
| 2-8 | Load flow computation results. This is not for full load conditions, partial loadings are simulated | 54 |
| 2-9 | Voltage factor levels | 61 |
| 2-10 | Fault level calculation validation by software and manual method for Case Study 2 | 66 |
| 2-11 | Allowable harmonic emission level for Case Study 1 | 73 |
| 2-12 | Assumed harmonics on 2MW Asynchronous generators with external variable resistor | 74 |
| 2-13 | Assumed harmonics on 2MW DFIG generators | 74 |
| 2-14 | Planning levels for flicker in 33 kV power system | 91 |
| 2-15 | Wind farm Case Study 2 flicker assessment result | 91 |
| 2-16 | Case Study 1 steady-state voltage assessment result | 99 |
| 3-1 | Traverse 1 soil measurements | 122 |
| 3-2 | Traverse 2 soil measurements | 123 |
| 3-3 | Travers 2 soil measurements | 126 |
| 3-4 | Pre-computed FFTSES recommended frequencies | 138 |
| 3-5 | FFTSES additional frequencies | 138 |
| 3-6 | Selected soil resistivity method | 144 |
| 3-7 | Touch Potential Levels to IEC 60479.1. | 147 |

Abstract

Wind farms are designed to harvest wind kinetic energy; however, their generated power is dependent on their wind streams, and therefore their operation can impact system stability. Unlike conventional steams (gas or hydro power stations), wind farms normally require vast areas and MV distribution networks to collect generated power from each wind turbine and transfer it to a point of common coupling. Scattered wind turbines with variable generated power and long cable runs or aerial lines can create some power quality issues such as flicker, transients, voltage sags, frequency fluctuation, power factor and voltage fluctuations. Most wind turbines have large power electronic converters to complete the power conversion. Power electronic converters can create harmonics and commutation notches. These aspects are known as power quality issues.

Power quality issues are important because generated power from wind farms should be connected to a power grid to be delivered to the end user. To connect and operate wind farms, the generated power by wind farms should meet network service provider (NSP) and national codes. In Australia, the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) defines nationwide power quality requirements for power stations including wind farms.

In this research the intention is to use real data extracted from established wind farms to assess turbines and power network operation. Real data are used as inputs for software simulation and power quality assessment for steady state and transient conditions. The following software is used:

- EDSA-Paladin software is used for detailed power system analysis, fault condition assessment and harmonic assessment.
- PSCAD-EMTDC software is used for wind farm steady state and transient operation assessment including turbine behaviour during the fault and inrush current (during turbine start –up).

During the analysis most of the power distribution components are modelled. The cable impedances including capacitance of cables are included in the power system analysis for both steady state and transient condition assessment.

Some manual calculation methods are proposed to calculate some of the power quality aspects such as flicker, fault levels and commutation notches. Manual calculations are used to validate software simulation as well.

The transient operation of a wind farm power distribution system is another important topic which is assessed in this research. Ferroresonance can be critical during wind turbine switching or fault conditions. This results in ferroresonant harmonics and overvoltages in the system.

The ferroresonance does not have a linear nature; therefore, it cannot be predicted by analytical methods. PSCAD-EMTDC software is used to analyse the behaviour of a wind farm power network in ferroresonant states. Results prove that ferroresonance is a function of the network components, specifically the length of cables and transmission lines. A detailed study should be conducted during the design stage prior to system installation.

The earthing system of a wind turbine and its interconnection can play an important role in wind farm power system failure. The settings of protection relays should be selected with respect to human and farm animal safety and equipment protection. In this research the following issues are discussed, and improved methods are presented:

- Soil resistivity test;
- Lightning protection system analysis;
- Lightning strikes earth potential rise;
- Earth fault analysis; and
- Current injection test.

To conclude this research some of the issues which have resulted in voltage sag, interruption, turbine failure and wind farm outage are presented with root cause analysis discussions.

This research is an attempt to assess different wind farm components and fundamental considerations which should be considered during planning, design, construction and operation in order to minimize power quality issues. The state of problems has been provided in Section 1-2 of this thesis.

This research brings together several analysis techniques and real wind farm scenarios to address power quality issues. Claims are made to originality in terms of bringing together several studies to get an overall power quality assessment of a wind farm and claims are made for some new techniques. These claims are summarized in Section 1-3 of this thesis and made for work in Chapters 2 and 3.