

---

# **Tall Building Design Exploration: Designing for Wind Resilience**

---

**PhD Thesis**

**Mohamed Khallaf**

**Supervisor: Associate Professor Julie Jupp**

**Co-Supervisor: Associate Professor Sumita Ghosh**

**Date: 2019**

## **CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP**

I, Mohamed Ibrahim Khallaf declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in the Design, Architecture and Building/Faculty of Built Environment at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

**This research is been supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.**

Production Note:

Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date:2019

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my principal supervisor, Associate Professor Julie Jupp, as well as my co-supervisor, Associate Professor Sumita Ghosh, for their considerable effort in supporting me throughout my PhD. Working with them has been a rewarding and enjoyable experience.

I am indebted to Prof. Heather Macdonald and Associate Professor Leena Thomas for their valuable criticism and encouragement at various stages of this thesis. In addition, I would like to thank Associate Professor Daniel Lee for helping me to develop my technical skills in the aerodynamic field. Also, I would like to express my warm appreciation to Professor Charles Rice, Dr Michael Er and Associate Professor Johnny Wong for their support in the last stage of my PhD journey.

I will never be able to find words to thank my mother, wife and daughter for their endless love and sacrifice. They have tolerated a hard time and shared all burdens with me. I dedicate this thesis to them.

## Contents

Chapter One.....	1
Introduction .....	1
1.1 Research Problem.....	2
1.2 Aims and Objectives.....	3
1.3 Methodology.....	4
1.4 Overview .....	6
Chapter Two .....	8
An Overview of Tall Building Design, Urban Context and Resilience.....	8
2.1 Tall Buildings .....	8
2.1.1 Definitions of Tall Buildings.....	8
2.2 Approaches to Tall Building Design .....	9
2.2.1 Urban Context .....	11
2.2.2 Interface Between Tall Building Design and the Urban Context .....	12
2.2.3 The City as a Complex System.....	13
2.2.4 Resilience .....	14
2.2.5 Definition.....	14
2.2.6 Theories of Urban Resilience .....	15
2.2.7 Urban Resilience and Wind.....	17
2.2.8 Designing for Wind Flow and Wind Load .....	22
2.2.9 Approaches to Wind Testing .....	23
2.3 Performance-Based Generative Design.....	24
2.3.1 Definition.....	25
2.3.2 Aerodynamic Design Approaches to Tall Building Design .....	25
2.3.3 Generative Approaches to Urban Design .....	32
2.3.4 Towards a Performance-Based Simulation and Exploration Method .....	35
2.4 Summary.....	37
Chapter Three .....	39
Performance-based simulation and exploration .....	39
3.1 Introduction .....	39
3.2 Building and Urban Performance Requirements .....	40
3.2.1 Multidisciplinary Design and Wind Performance .....	42
3.2.2 Tall Building Design and Structural Wind Load Requirements.....	44

3.3	Bridging Design Scales for Improving Wind Performance.....	47
3.3.1	Units of Analysis .....	49
3.4	Approach to Context Sensitive Building Envelop Generation .....	51
3.5	Approach to Assessment of Building Envelop and Urban Interface .....	52
3.5.1	The process of CFD.....	53
3.5.2	An Overview of Available CFD Software Packages.....	54
3.6	Toward A Performance-Based Simulation and Exploration Framework.....	56
3.6.1	Synthesis Module .....	56
3.6.2	Analysis Module.....	57
3.6.3	Evaluation Module .....	57
3.6.4	Constraints Module .....	57
3.6.5	Exploration Module.....	57
3.7	Summary.....	58
Chapter Four: Experiments.....		60
4.1	Exploration of Multiple Design Variables.....	60
4.1.1	Synthesis Module .....	61
4.1.2	Analysis Module.....	63
4.1.3	Evaluation Module .....	66
4.1.4	Exploration Module.....	66
4.2	Pilot Project Results and Observation .....	67
4.2.1	Exploration of Multi-Design Parameters at Multi-Dimensional Scale.....	69
4.2.2	Synthesis module.....	70
4.2.3	Analysis module .....	72
4.2.4	Evaluation module.....	74
4.2.5	Exploration module .....	74
4.3	Results and Observation .....	74
4.4	Findings .....	76
4.5	Summary.....	77
Chapter Five .....		79
Multi-objective Simulation and Exploration .....		79
5.1	Improvement on the multi-objective simulation and exploration method.....	79
5.2	System Structure Refinements.....	82
5.3	Summary of system development.....	93
Chapter Six .....		96
Exploration of the validity of the method.....		96

6.1	Experimental setup .....	96
6.2	System Implementation .....	98
6.3	Findings .....	118
6.4	Summar .....	124
Chapter	Seven .....	126
Discussion	.....	126
7.1	Summary.....	126
7.2	Contribution to Design Computing .....	128
7.3	Contribution to Building Codes and City Design Guidelines Authorities.....	131
7.4	Contribution to The Implication of Urban Resilience .....	132
7.5	Limitations.....	134
7.5.1	Research Method and System Validation.....	134
7.5.2	Multi-objective Simulation and Exploration Method.....	135
7.6	Future Work.....	137
8	Appendices .....	152
8.1	Appendix A .....	152
8.1.1	Definitions .....	152
8.1.2	Wind: Understanding Global Circulation.....	152
8.1.3	Classifications of Air Flow Phenomena .....	155
8.1.4	Wind Speed. ....	157
8.1.5	Wind Loads. ....	158
8.1.6	Wind-induced Building Motion.....	158
8.1.7	Air flow pattern around bluff bodies .....	160
8.1.8	Vortices Generation.....	160
8.1.9	Pedestrian wind studies .....	161
8.2	Appendix B – Additional Material. ....	163
8.3	Appendix C – Publications .....	169

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 The building design process (Moughtin <i>et al.</i> , 2003) .....	10
Figure 2.2 The conventional building design process (Touloupaki & Theodosiou, 2017) .....	10
Figure 2.3 Winmad speed in miles per hour and loss rate (Kurban & Kato, 2009) .....	18
Figure 2.4 Physical model and grid solution (Rodrigues <i>et al.</i> , 2015) .....	20
Figure 2.5 Plan view of different characteristics of tall building morphology .....	26
Figure 2.6 Hearst Tower by CTBUH. ....	26
Figure 2.7 Chamfered Corner by CTBUH. ....	26
Figure 2.8 Shun Hing Square by CTBUH.....	27
Figure 2.9 Rounded Corner by CTBUH.....	27
Figure 2.10 Taipei 101 building by CTBUH.....	28
Figure 2.11 Taipei 101 building Plan by CTBUH.....	28
Figure 2.12 Turning Torso in Malmo by CTBUH. ....	28
Figure 2.13 Chicago Spire in Chicago by CTBUH.....	28
Figure 2.14 Canton Tower by CTBUH. ....	30
Figure 2.15 Twisted Elliptical Shapes by CTBUH .....	30
Figure 2.16 Shanghai Tower by CTBUH.....	31
Figure 2.17 Twisted Façade 120 Degree by CTBUH.....	31
Figure 2.18 Shape grammar assembling elements .....	32
Figure 2.19 L-system approach to urban design.....	33
Figure 3.1 The spectrum of design and planning across scales of urban microclimate relative to architectural and urban disciplines .....	48
Figure 3.2 Five building morphology parameters influencing wind flow performance at the architectural scale .....	49
Figure 3.3 Five urban morphology parameters influencing wind flow performance at the urban design scale .....	49

Figure 3.4 Six parameters of urban topology and geography.....	50
Figure 3.5 Finite element method.....	52
Figure 3.6 Finite difference method .....	52
Figure 3.7 CFD: stages of data processing.....	53
Figure 3.8 Performance exploration framework.....	56
Figure 4.1 The fictitious site.....	61
Figure 4.2 Synthesis Module .....	62
Figure 4.3 Synthesis Parameters and Their Relationships.....	62
Figure 4.4 Alternative design solutions.....	63
Figure 4.5 Evaluation flow chart.....	66
Figure 4.6 City block.....	69
Figure 4.7. Alternative Design Solutions .....	71
Figure 4.8. Feasible design solutions .....	74
Figure 4.9. Infeasible Design Solutions.....	75
Figure 5.1. Framework of performance-exploration wind design for urban micro-climate.....	80
Figure 5.2. Example of defining the design parameters using grasshopper .....	84
Figure 5.3 Example output of synthesis module: building facade.....	85
Figure 5.4 Example of generated building facades .....	86
Figure 5.5 Infeasible design solutions .....	86
Figure 5.6 Filtration module workflow .....	87
Figure 5.7 CFD Data Process .....	88
Figure 5.8 Structured mesh.....	89
Figure 5.10 Analysis module workflow .....	91
Figure 5.11 Analysis, evaluation and form evolution workflow .....	92
Figure 6.1a The Existing Tall Building and The Surrounding .....	97
Figure 6.1b Existing Tall Building Design by CTBUH .....	97



Figure 6.1c The Existing Tall Building Street View by CTBUH.....	97
Figure 6.2a Proposed area .....	98
Figure 6.2b Melbourne wind rows .....	98
Figure 6.3 Defining the parameters OF relationship encoding and the relationships between design parameters .....	100
Figure 6.4 Filtration workflow .....	102
Figure 6.5a Boundary Condition Definition.....	104
Figure 6.5b Proposed Area Martial Definition.....	105
Figure 6.6a Finite Element Discretization Method of the Experimental Area .....	105
Figure 6.6b Finite element discretisation method of the model .....	106
Figure 6.7 Alternative solution design evolution .....	115
Figure 8.1 Aerofoil Body. (Holmes, 2007) .....	154
Figure 8.2 Bluff Body. (Mendis <i>et al</i> , 2007).....	155
Figure 8.3 Turbulent and Laminar Flow. (Ayoub, 2012).....	156
Figure 8.4 Mean wind profile for different terrain (Mendis <i>et al.</i> , 2007).....	157
Figure 8.5 Wind response direction (Ayoub, 2012) .....	159
Figure 8.6 Air flow pattern around bluff body (Ayoub, 2012).....	160
Figure 8.7 Vortices formation around bluff body (Mendies <i>et al.</i> , 2007) .....	160
Figure 8.8 Downwash (Ilgin , 2007) .....	161
Figure 8.9 Large Canopy (Ilgin , 2007).....	161
Figure 8.10 Large Canopy (Ilgin , 2007).....	162
Figure 8.11 Open Plaza entrance (Ilgin , 2007).....	162
Figure 8.12 Recessed entry (Ilgin , 2007) .....	162
Figure 8.13 Corner Entry (Ilgin , 2007).....	162

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Pedestrian Wind Comfort Criteria (Planning and Building Department of Mississauga City, year)	21
Table 2.3 A summary of different design approaches	36
Table 3.2 Unit of Analysis of Different Parameters.	48
Table 3.3 A General Overview of Wind Analysis and Simulation Application	55
Table 4.2 Quantitative Assessment of the Wind Performance of the Alternative Solution.	64
Table 4.3 Optimal Design Solutions	67
Table 4.4 Fittest Design Solutions	67
Table 4.6 Quantitative assessment of the wind performance of the alternative solution	72
Table 4.6 Quantitative Assessment of the Wind Performance of the Alternative Solution.	73
Table 5.1: Building and urban parameters	84
Table 5.2: Topography and wind constraints	84
Table 6.1 Defined design parameters	99
Table 6.2 Generated design solutions	101
Table 6.3 Feasible design solutions	103
Table 6.4 Graphical assessment of the effectiveness of wind performance of the alternative design solutions	107
Table 6.4 Graphical assessment of effectiveness of wind performance of the alternative design solutions	108
Table 6.4 Graphical assessment of effectiveness of wind performance of the alternative design solutions	109
Table 6.4 Graphical assessment of effectiveness of wind performance of the alternative design solutions	110
Table 6.5 Numerical assessment of effectiveness of wind performance of the alternative design solutions	111
Table 6.6 Wind performance objective criteria	112
Table 6.7 Numerical and graphical results of the alternative design solutions	113
Table 6.8 Graphical assessment of the effectiveness of wind performance of the hybrid design solution	116
Table 6.9 Quantitative assessment of the effectiveness of wind performance of the hybrid design solution	117

Table 6.10 Summarised CFD processing stage .....	120
Table 6.11 Comparison of wind performance of existing tall building design and the hybrid tall building design.....	123
Table 6.12 Wind mitigation percentage comparison between the existing solution and a proposed solution .	124
Table 8.1 Terrain category (Mendis <i>et al.</i> , 2007).....	158
Table 4.6 Quantitative assessment of the wind performance of the alternative solution .....	166
Table 4.6 Quantitative assessment of the wind performance of the alternative solution .....	167
Table 4.6 Quantitative assessment of the wind performance of the alternative solution .....	168

## DEFINITIONS OF KEY TERMS AND LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Within this research, several key terms and abbreviations may have different interpretations in different contexts. This following section explains these terms as they have been applied in this research.

**Air Ventilation Assessment (AVA):** A system that includes technical methods for assessment and guidelines for city development promoting better air ventilation.

**CFZ:** A file format that supports Computational Fluid Dynamic software

**Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD):** A numerical simulation method that offers a powerful tool for wind engineering

**Design Performance (DP):** Assessment of a design's capability according to specified performance criteria.

**Design Solution (DS):** A geometric model generated and/or optimised from a parametric system. This may also be referred to as a solution.

**Development Control Plan (DCP):** Provides detailed planning and design guidelines to support the planning controls in cities.

**Finite Difference Method (FDM):** is a computational method that divides the geometric model into small, interconnected computational Quadrilateral elements in 2D, and into hexahedral elements in 3D.

**Finite Element Method (FEM):** is a computational method that discretises and subdivides a geometry model into small finite-sized elements of geometrically.

**Finite Volume Method (FVM):** It discretises the governing equations by dividing the physical space of the geometric model into a number of computational arbitrary Polyhedral in a form of control volumes.

**Floor Area Ratio (FAR):** Is the ratio of a building's total floor area (gross floor area) to the size of the piece of land upon which it is built.

**Generative Design (GD):** A design process wherein a flexible model can be varied to produce alternative design solutions.

**Innovative Design (ID):** Non-routine design that proceeds within a well-defined state space of potential designs by manipulating the applicable ranges of values for design variables.

**Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED):** is a green building rating system in the world.

**Living System (LS):** Consists of eight levels of living systems, each of which is composed of 20 critical subsystems that carry out essential life processes.

**Parametric Design (PD):** A mode of design practice that utilises a computational parametric design environment.

**Performance Driven System (PDS):** A parametric system that is driven by performance criteria to explore to a selected performance criterion.

**Parametric System (PS):** A set of parametric models that are integrated to operate as a whole. This may also be referred to as a design system.

**Performance-Based Wind Engineering (PBWE):** A method focuses on the performance of tall buildings subjected to extreme wind conditions.

**Reliability-Based Design Optimisation (RBDO):** A framework is based on a directional fragility model that combines the directional building aerodynamics and climatological information.

**Council on Tall Buildings and Urban Habitat (CTBUH):** The world's leading resource for professionals focused on the inception, design, construction, and operation of tall buildings and future cities.

# Abstract

High-density cities can be considered as a matrix of wind obstacles, comprising buildings of different size and forms, arranged at varying angles with different distances between them. Such cities can suffer from poor ventilation and air quality problems, whilst others are subject to strong wind conditions due to their geographical location or improper urban planning. Further, the design of tall buildings plays an important role in the urban microclimate. Tall buildings design envelopes can affect urban microclimate wind flows by increasing or decreasing the wind flow of the surrounding area. Typically, conventional tall building design methods focus on single-objective design exploration techniques and/or produce a small number of design alternatives that explore wind loading and wind flows.

The aim of this research, therefore, is to provide support to planning and building standards authorities to bridge the gap between building code and city design guidelines at the architecture scale and urban scale by developing a computational design method that is able to mitigate the negative impact of wind flow caused by tall building in dense cities. This research extends concepts from generative architectural design into the domain of urban design, focusing on generating a design method to explore the effects of wind load and wind flow caused by tall building envelopes within high-density city fabric. The research presents a novel approach to predicting and providing instantaneous wind pressure data on facades of tall buildings, as well as wind flow data from the surrounding area in early stages of the design process. This performance-based design approach combines building and urban parameters to control the effect of winds on tall buildings at the pedestrian, podium and upper levels of tall buildings. This approach is based on the theoretical foundations of designing for urban resilience, highlighting the different objectives of this approach relative to existing tall building design standards and urban city planning guidelines.

This research provides an overview of related formal regulatory requirements of the building scale and urban scale, including buildings codes and city development design guidelines. In addition, performance-based design methods for generating, analysing and exploring buildings are investigated. The dissertation explores existing performance-based tall building design and the development of an architectural and urban design method that focuses on the effects of wind loads on and wind flows around tall buildings.

# Publications

- 1- **Khallaf, M., & Jupp, J. (2017b). Tall Building Design Exploration: Designing For Wind Resilience.** in *AUBEA 2017: " AUBEA 2017*. RMIT University, 2017.
  
- 2- **Khallaf, M., & Jupp, J. (2017a). Performance-based Design of Tall Building Envelopes using Competing Wind Load and Wind Flow Criteria.** *Procedia engineering, 180*, 99-109.
  
- 3- **Khallaf, M. & Jupp, J. (2016). Designing for Urban Microclimates: Towards Multidisciplinary Optimisation of Wind Flow for Architectural and Urban Design,** In name of editor (Ed.), *eCAADE* . Publisher: place of publication.