

Satellite observations of Southeast Asia tropical forest responses related to climate seasonality, disturbance, and sun angle geometries

Ngoc Nguyen Tran

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Life Science
Faculty of Science
University of Technology Sydney

July 2019

Certificate of original authorship

I, Ngoc Nguyen Tran, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Science at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is funded by Joint UTS-VIED Scholarship between University of Technology Sydney and Vietnam International Education Development. Also, this research is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature of student:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 15-07-2019

Acknowledgements

First, and most of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor, Distinguished Professor Alfredo Huete for his expertise, assistance, guidance, support, and patience throughout my doctoral candidature progress. As it was a challenging journey, I could not finish my four years' PhD study without his assistance. I thank him for holding me to a high research standard by providing insightful comments, advice, and constructive criticisms at different stages of my thesis research. I am also very grateful for his immense help during my hard times, including the thesis finalisation and the extension of my scholarship. He also provided me with many chances for me to improve my technical skills and background knowledge related to my research area. I would like to extend my special thanks to Professor Qiang Yu, my co-supervisor for his help during my candidature.

Furthermore, my gratitude also goes to many people who contributed to my PhD project. I would like to acknowledge Ekena Rangel Pinage for her help to revise my thesis with useful and constructive comments. I extend my special thanks to other current and past team colleagues for their invaluable academic and technical support. They include Rakhesh Devadas, Xuanlong Ma, Ha Nguyen, Wenjie Zhang, Sicong Gao, Jianxiu Shen, Leandro Giovannini, Paras Siddiqui, Song Leng.

I also want to thank the faculty staff of the Faculty of Science for their administrative assistance. Special thanks go to Shannon Hawkins, who provided wise advice for me during my PhD candidature. I would like to show my greatest appreciation to Graduate Research School for providing research development workshops which helped me to develop knowledge, skills and capabilities I need as a researcher. Also, special thanks go to Capstone Editing for providing professional editing service I used for several chapters of my thesis.

My deepest thank to my family for their support and understanding throughout my candidature. Their continuous support helped me to overcome negative feelings during my PhD candidature.

Finally, I appreciate the University of Technology Sydney and Vietnam International Education Development for their cooperation in providing UTS-VIED Joint Scholarship, which supported my thesis project.

Table of Contents

Certificate of original authorship	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of figures	x
List of tables.....	xviii
Abbreviations	xix
Abstract.....	xxi
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1. Tropical forest roles.....	1
1.1.1. Forest biodiversity.....	1
1.1.2. Rainfall regulation.....	1
1.1.3. Temperature	4
1.1.4. Monsoons	5
1.2. Tropical forest responses to climate change and disturbance.....	6
1.3. Tropical forests in mainland of Southeast Asia.....	7
1.4. Remote sensing to study forest phenology	10
1.4.1. Satellite Data and Vegetation Phenology.....	10
1.4.2. Vegetation Index	12
1.4.3. Data smoothing and filtering.....	14
1.4.4. Extracting phenological parameters.....	16
1.4.5. Trending analysis	18
1.5. Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function.....	21
1.5.1. Sun-sensor geometry controversy of satellite-based results	21
1.5.2. BRDF modelling	26
1.5.3. RossThick-LiSparse Reciprocal model.....	26
1.5.4. MODIS BRDF/Albedo product	30
1.6. Current knowledge gaps and corresponding thesis objectives	32
1.7. References.....	35

Chapter 2. Intact forests seasonality and BRDF effect on forest phenology in continental Southeast Asia	47
2.1. Introduction.....	47
2.2. Methodology.....	49
2.2.1. Study areas.....	49
2.2.2. BRDF Model.....	51
2.2.3. Vegetation Indices.....	52
2.2.4. Datasets.....	52
2.2.4.1. <i>MODIS Vegetation Indices data.....</i>	52
2.2.4.2. <i>MODIS BRDF/Albedo products.....</i>	53
2.2.4.3. <i>Precipitation dataset.....</i>	54
2.2.5. Data smoothing and filtering.....	56
2.2.6. Extracting phenological parameters.....	57
2.2.7. Analyses.....	58
2.2.7.1. <i>Phenology and BRDF impact analyses at CMG (0.05-degree) resolution.....</i>	58
2.2.7.2. <i>Phenology and BRDF impact analyses at 500m resolution.....</i>	58
2.2.7.3. <i>Evaluation of the performance of the MODIS BRDF model in estimating surface reflectance at desired sun-view angles.....</i>	60
2.2.8. Tools and software.....	61
2.3. Results.....	62
2.3.1. SEA rainfall patterns.....	62
2.3.2. VZA and SZA seasonal variations.....	63
2.3.3. BRDF impact on SEA forest phenology at CMG resolution (0.05-degree).....	68
2.3.3.1. <i>Impact of BRDF on forest phenological metrics derived from time series of VIs.....</i>	68
2.3.3.2. <i>Phenological parameters dependence on variations of sun-angle settings.....</i>	73
2.3.4. BRDF influence to undisturbed forests at 500m scale.....	76
2.3.4.1. <i>Differences of seasonal VI profiles between MODIS Terra/Aqua and fixed sun-sensor geometry data.....</i>	76
2.3.4.2. <i>Impact of rainfall on VI seasonal profiles of SEA tropical forests.....</i>	79
2.3.4.3. <i>Impact of BRDF on forest phenological metrics derived from time series of VIs.....</i>	81
2.3.4.4. <i>Phenological metrics variations with different forest types.....</i>	86
2.3.4.5. <i>Phenological parameters dependence on variations of sun-angle settings.....</i>	91

2.3.4.6. <i>Evaluation of RossThick-LiSparse model in retrieving seasonal profiles, and phenological metrics of SEA tropical forests</i>	94
2.4. Discussion.....	98
2.4.1. Seasonal sun-angle variations and impact of BRDF to retrieved phenological parameters of SEA tropical forests	98
2.4.2. Influence of geometry configurations in phenological metrics	101
2.4.3. Forest VI seasonal profiles at varying water availability conditions	102
2.4.4. Evaluation of the BRDF model in generating reflectances and VIs at desired sun-view angles	103
2.5. Conclusion	104
2.6. References.....	106
Chapter 3. Impact of disturbance and associated BRDF effect on Southeast Asia forest phenology	115
3.1. Introduction.....	115
3.2. Methodology	119
3.2.1. Study areas	119
3.2.2. Vegetation Indices.....	121
3.2.3. MODIS datasets	122
3.2.4. Extraction of phenological parameters.....	123
3.2.5. Analyses	124
3.3. Results.....	124
3.3.1. Southeast Asia forest loss from 2001 to 2016.....	124
3.3.2. Forest phenology of undisturbed and mixed forests of the entire Southeast Asia	126
3.3.3. Impact of disturbance on VI for disturbed and undisturbed forests associated with BRDF influences at 500m resolution	128
3.3.3.1. <i>Time-series comparison of disturbed and undisturbed forests</i>	128
3.3.3.2. <i>Seasonal VI profiles of disturbed sites for the least and most disturbed periods</i>	130
3.3.3.3. <i>Seasonal profiles of intact and disturbed forests at the most disturbing period</i>	131
3.3.3.4. <i>Comparison of phenological metrics between disturbed and undisturbed forests</i>	132

3.3.3.5. <i>Impact of geometry settings on phenology metrics of intact and disturbed forests</i>	136
3.4. Discussion.....	139
3.4.1. Impact of BRDF on VI time-series and derived phenological parameters of disturbed forests.....	139
3.4.2. Impact of disturbance on VI time-series, seasonal profiles and derived phenological metrics.....	140
3.4.3. Influences of sun-angle on the differences of derived phenological parameters between undisturbed and disturbed forests.....	143
3.5. Conclusion.....	144
3.6. References.....	146
Chapter 4. Inter-annual variabilities of Southeast Asia tropical forests and BRDF influences	153
4.1. Introduction.....	153
4.2. Methodology.....	155
4.2.1. Study areas.....	155
4.2.2. Datasets.....	157
4.2.2.1. <i>VI datasets</i>	157
4.2.2.2. <i>Land Surface Temperature (LST)</i>	158
4.2.2.3. <i>LST</i>	158
4.2.2.4. <i>Precipitation dataset</i>	159
4.2.2.5. <i>GRACE TWSA dataset</i>	159
4.2.2.6. <i>CERES shortwave radiation dataset</i>	160
4.2.2.7. <i>ENSO</i>	160
4.2.3. Analyses.....	160
4.3. Results.....	162
4.3.1. Effect of BRDF on forest trends in continental SEA.....	162
4.3.1.1. <i>Forest VI trending and the effect of BRDF</i>	162
4.3.1.2. <i>Comparison of EVI trend slopes between undisturbed forests (500 m) and disturbed influenced forests (0.05 degree)</i>	166
4.3.1.3. <i>Effect of SZ settings on VI trending of SEA tropical forests</i>	168
4.3.2. VI anomalies of SEA tropical forests and BRDF influence on results..	171
4.3.2.1. <i>Anomalies of VI for SEA tropical forests</i>	171
4.3.2.2. <i>Comparison VI anomalies between undisturbed and mixed CMG forests</i>	177

4.3.3.	Inter-annual variations of climate factors and the relationship between VI and climate variability	179
4.3.3.1.	<i>Climate trends and their relationship with VI trends</i>	179
4.3.3.2.	<i>Trending comparison between forest VI, rainfall and LST</i>	182
4.3.3.3.	<i>Anomalies in rainfall and LST and their relationship with forest VI</i>	184
4.3.3.4.	<i>Relationship between inter-annual climate variability and VI anomalies of forests with differing dry season lengths</i>	187
4.4.	Discussion.....	189
4.4.1.	Inter-annual VI variability of SEA tropical forests and the influence of BRDF on results	189
4.4.2.	SEA inter-annual changes in climate factors for SEA tropical forest areas and the relationship between forest VI and climate variability	190
4.5.	Conclusion	192
4.6.	References.....	194
Chapter 5.	Conclusions	202
5.1.	Summary of Key Methodology and Results.....	203
5.1.1.	Chapter two	203
5.1.2.	Chapter three	204
5.1.3.	Chapter four	205
5.2.	General Future Research Directions	206
5.3.	Conclusion	207

List of figures

Figure 1.1. Simulated percentage change in precipitation due to 2000–2050 business-as-usual deforestation of the Amazon basin. A, wet season; B, dry season.	2
Figure 1.2 Trend of Peninsular SEA rainfall 2001-2010 (Suepa, 2016)	3
Figure 1.3. 5-year mean seasonal EVI (2000-2005) at Amazon local forest and conversion sites with PAR (lines) and long-term station rainfall (Alfredo R. Huete et al., 2006)	7
Figure 1.4. Remote sensing platforms.	10
Figure 1.5. Some of the seasonality parameters generated in TIMESAT: (a) beginning of season, (b) end of season, (c) length of season, (d) base value, (e) time of middle of season, (f) maximum value, (g) amplitude, (h) small integrated value, (h+i) large integrated value. (Eklundh & Jönsson, 2015)	18
Figure 1.6 Raw RGB colour Landsat 7 image of parts of Thailand and Malaysia showing clouds, cloud shadows, aerosol and sensor artefacts on 18 June 2019 (captured from EarthExplorer.usgs.gov)	22
Figure 1.7 A black spruce forest in the BOREAS experimental region in Canada with various illuminating geometries. Photography by Don Deering, retrieved from https://www.umb.edu	23
Figure 1.8 Causes of land surface reflectance anisotropy (Lucht, Schaaf, & Strahler, 2000)	24
Figure 1.9. Schematic demonstration of Solar Zenith Angle (SZA) and View Zenith Angle (VZA) for satellite observations. Source: http://sacs.aeronomie.be/info/sza.php	28
Figure 1.10 Illustration of Solar Azimuth Angle. Source: www.pveducation.org	28
Figure 2.1 Selected undisturbed sites (red areas) associated with forest pixels derived from MCD12C1 data (2016). The size of the selected undisturbed sites varies from 1000 to over 40000 square kilometres.....	51
Figure 2.2 Diagram of CMG VI time-series analyses for BRDF influence on phenological timing. Coloured background boxes are outcomes.....	58
Figure 2.3 Diagram of 500-m analyses for BRDF influence on phenological timing and dependence of forest phenology on seasonal rainfall patterns. Coloured background boxes are outcomes.....	59
Figure 2.4 Diagram of 500-m analyses for evaluating MODIS BRDF data in generating VI at desired sun-view configuration. Coloured background boxes are outcomes	61
Figure 2.5 Southeast Asia rainfall profiles retrieved from CHIRPS monthly data (2001 – 2016) with 100mm/month as the criteria for the dry season. A) Start of Dry Season. B) Length of Dry	

Season. C) Start of Wet Season. D) Monthly rainfall in dry season (mm/month). E) Monthly rainfall in wet season (mm/month). F) Annual rainfall (mm/y).....	62
Figure 2.6 Location of selected sites for angle variation analysis	64
Figure 2.7. Seasonal variation of SZA extracted from MODIS Terra and Aqua images averaged for 2001 – 2016 period. Continuous lines denote equinox and dashed lines denote solstice. Error bars represent SZA standard deviation of 16-year averaging.	65
Figure 2.8 Seasonal variation of VZA extracted from MODIS Terra, and Aqua images averaged for 2001 – 2016 period. Continuous lines denote equinox and dashed lines denote solstice. Error bars represent SZA standard deviation of 16-year averaging.	66
Figure 2.9 Histograms of SZA and VZA seasonal variations for MODIS Terra (2001 – 2016) with ten bin-widths. NDJ: November-December-January; FMA: February-March-April; MJJ: May-June-July; ASO represents August-September-October.....	67
Figure 2.10 Timing of minimum forest EVI between monthly MODIS CMG Terra, Aqua and BRDF-corrected SZ45 data. Data were calculated from average seasonal VI times-series from 2001 – 2016 (Terra and SZ45) and 2003 – 2016 (Aqua).	69
Figure 2.11 Timing of minimum forest NDVI between monthly MODIS CMG Terra, Aqua and BRDF-corrected SZ45 data. Data were calculated from average seasonal VI times-series from 2001 – 2016 (Terra and SZ45) and 2003 – 2016 (Aqua).	70
Figure 2.12 Timing of maximum forest EVI between monthly MODIS CMG Terra, Aqua and BRDF-corrected SZ45 data. Data were calculated from average seasonal VI times-series from 2001 – 2016 (Terra and SZ45) and 2003 – 2016 (Aqua).	72
Figure 2.13 Timing of maximum forest NDVI between monthly MODIS CMG Terra, Aqua and BRDF-corrected SZ45 data. Data were calculated from average seasonal VI times-series from 2001 – 2016 (Terra and SZ45) and 2003 – 2016 (Aqua).	73
Figure 2.14 Timing of minimum forest EVI derived from various fixed SZA EVI time-series at 0.05-degree resolution (SZ15-SZ45)	74
Figure 2.15 Timing of minimum forest NDVI derived from various fixed SZA NDVI time-series at 0.05-degree resolution (SZ15-SZ45)	74
Figure 2.16 Timing of maximum forest EVI derived from various fixed SZA EVI time-series at 0.05-degree resolution (SZ15-SZ45)	75
Figure 2.17 Timing of maximum forest NDVI derived from various fixed SZA NDVI time-series at 0.05-degree resolution (SZ15-SZ45)	75
Figure 2.18 Time-series of NIR band, NDVI and EVI for three forest sites with different lengths of dry season: A. one month (top), B. Four months (middle), and C. Six months (bottom).	77

Figure 2.19 Seasonal NIR/NDVI/EVI/SZA profiles of three sampled forests with various lengths of dry season for BRDF-corrected and uncorrected VIs. Grey areas indicate the dry period. Error bars represent the standard deviation of 16-year averaging. A. One-month. B. Four-months. C. Six-months	78
Figure 2.20 EVI seasonal profiles of forests with different lengths of dry season. A. BRDF-corrected EVI at SZ45. B. Terra EVI. C. Aqua EVI.....	80
Figure 2.21 EVI seasonal profiles of forests with different water deficit during the dry season. A. BRDF-corrected EVI at SZ45. B. Terra EVI. C. Aqua EVI	80
Figure 2.22 NDVI seasonal profiles of forests with different lengths of dry season. A. BRDF-corrected NDVI at SZ45. B. Terra NDVI. C. Aqua NDVI	81
Figure 2.23 NDVI seasonal profiles of forests with various water deficit during the dry season. A. BRDF-corrected NDVI at SZ45. B. Terra NDVI. C. Aqua NDVI	81
Figure 2.24 Cross-sited (30 sites) correlation of derived phenological metrics between standard and fixed SZA-45 EVI at 500m scale. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value.....	82
Figure 2.25 Cross-site (30 sites) correlation of derived phenological metrics between standard and fixed SZA-45 NDVI at 500m resolution. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value.	84
Figure 2.26. Cross-site (30 sites) correlation of derived phenological metrics between two vegetation indices: EVI and NDVI at 500m resolution. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value.	85
Figure 2.27 Cross-sited (30 sites) correlation of derived phenological metrics between BRDF-corrected and -uncorrected EVI at 500m resolution with forest groups based on the length of the dry season. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value.	87
Figure 2.28 Cross-sited (30 sites) correlation of derived phenological metrics between BRDF-corrected and -uncorrected EVI at 500m resolution with forest groups based on water deficit. A)	

Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value. 88

Figure 2.29 Cross-sited (30 sites) correlation of derived phenological metrics between BRDF-corrected and -uncorrected NDVI at 500m resolution with forest groups based on the length of dry season. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value. 89

Figure 2.30 Cross-sited (30 sites) correlation of derived phenological metrics between BRDF-corrected and -uncorrected NDVI at 500m resolution with forest groups based on water deficit. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value. 90

Figure 2.31. Cross-site correlation of derived phenological metrics between various sun-angle configurations of EVI. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value. 92

Figure 2.32 Cross-sited correlation of derived phenological metrics between various sun-angle configurations of NDVI. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value. 93

Figure 2.33 Seasonal profiles between estimated and Terra/Aqua Vegetation Indices of three sample sites with different lengths of dry season at 500m resolution. Grey areas indicate the dry period. Error bars represent VI standard deviation of 16-year averaging. A. One-month. B. Four-months. C. Six-months 94

Figure 2.34 Cross-sited correlation (30 sites) of derived phenological metrics between modelled and Terra/Aqua EVI seasonal profiles at 500m resolution. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value. 96

Figure 2.35 Cross-sited correlation of derived phenological metrics between modelled and Terra/Aqua NDVI seasonal profiles. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening

Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value.	97
Figure 3.1 Extent of undisturbed tropical forests for three regions: Amazon (A), Central Africa (B) and Southeast Asia (C). Undisturbed forests are represented as white areas (Images captured from https://earthenginepartners.appspot.com/science-2013-global-forest).	118
Figure 3.2 Forest coverage (CMG resolution) represented as green colours, undisturbed sites (30m scale) represented as red areas and disturbed sites (30m scale) represented as yellow points.	119
Figure 3.3 Disturbance sites associated with loss year pixels extracted from Hansen’s maps and MODIS extracting window (21 x 21 pixels). Red areas represent neighbour undisturbed forests	121
Figure 3.4 Forest cover change maps (2001 - 2016) derived from Hansen’s maps. According to Hansen’s criteria, lands with more than 25% of tree cover were considered forests; forest gain/loss maps show the percentage of forest gain or loss during the study period (2001 – 2016).	125
Figure 3.5 Forest cover changes between 2001 and 2016 extracted from MCD12C1 data.	126
Figure 3.6 Seasonal EVI profiles of SEA forests for undisturbed forests (500m resolution) and mixed forests at CMG resolution with different lengths of dry season.	127
Figure 3.7 Seasonal NDVI profiles of SEA forests for undisturbed forests (500m resolution) and mixed forests at CMG resolution with different lengths of dry season.	127
Figure 3.8 VI time-series and percentage of forest loss of coupled disturbed and undisturbed forests during our study period (2001 – 2016). Annual percentage of forest loss was obtained from Hansen’s forest loss map. Forest sites represent different lengths of dry season: A) One month, B) Four months, and C) Six months.	129
Figure 3.9 Seasonal VI profiles for disturbed sites between 2001-2003 period and three consecutive years with the greatest level of disturbance based on annual percentage of forest loss. Grey areas represent the dry season.	130
Figure 3.10 Seasonal VI of disturbed and undisturbed vegetation indices of the three coupled-sites with different dry-season lengths: A) One-month dry-season. B) Four-month dry season . C) Six-month dry season. Grey areas represent the dry season.	132
Figure 3.11 Comparisons of EVI phenological metrics between disturbed and undisturbed forests at the most disturbing period. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season	

(LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value.
 134

Figure 3.12 Comparisons of NDVI phenological metrics between disturbed and undisturbed forests at the most disturbing period. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value. 135

Figure 3.13 Cross-site correlation plots of the differences in phenological metrics derived from disturbed and undisturbed forests EVI time-series between with various illumination and viewing geometries. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value. 137

Figure 3.14 Cross-site correlation plots of differences in phenological metrics derived from disturbed and undisturbed forests NDVI time-series with various illumination and viewing geometries. A) Start of Greening Season (SGS). B) Peak of Greening Season (PGS). C) End of Greening Season (EGS). D) Dormancy Timing (DT). E) Length of Greening Season (LGS). F) Seasonal amplitude (AMP). G) Maximum (Peak) value. H) Minimum (Dormant) value. 138

Figure 4.1. Selected undisturbed sites (red areas) associated with forest pixels derived from MCD12C1 data (2016). Sizes of selected sites vary from 1000 to over 40000 square kilometres.
 157

Figure 4.2. Z-score of forest areas with significant trends ($p < 0.05$) generated from monthly CMG EVI data. MODIS BRDF/Albedo CMG daily data were converted to the monthly interval to match the temporal resolution of MODIS VI CMG data. The SMK tests of Terra and BRDF-corrected time series were calculated for the period from 2001 to 2016, while the Aqua VI time series were limited from 2003 to 2016. 163

Figure 4.3. EVI SSS of SEA forests at CMG resolution between MODIS Terra and BRDF-corrected SZ45 with various dry season lengths for 16 years (2001–2016). 164

Figure 4.4. EVI SSS of SEA forests at CMG resolution between MODIS Terra and BRDF-corrected SZ45 with various dry season lengths during the periods from February to April (2001–2016).
 165

Figure 4.5. Z-score of forest areas with significant trends ($p < 0.05$) generated from monthly CMG NDVI data. MODIS BRDF/Albedo CMG daily data were converted to the monthly interval to match the temporal resolutions of MODIS VI CMG data. The SMK tests of Terra and BRDF-

corrected time series were calculated for the period from 2001 to 2016, while the Aqua VI time series used for the SMK test was limited from 2003 to 2016.	166
Figure 4.6. Comparison of EVI SSS between undisturbed forests (500 m scale) and CMG forests with different dry season lengths. A. BRDF-corrected SZ45 EVI. B. MODIS Terra EVI.....	167
Figure 4.7. Comparison of EVI SSS between undisturbed forests (500 m scale) and CMG forests with different dry season lengths for the period from February to April. A. BRDF-corrected SZ45 EVI. B. MODIS Terra EVI.	168
Figure 4.8. Z-score of forest areas with significant trends ($p < 0.05$) generated from various SZ settings of EVI. MODIS BRDF/Albedo CMG daily data were converted to the monthly interval to match the temporal resolution of MODIS VI CMG data from 2001 to 2016.	169
Figure 4.9. Z-score of forest areas with significant trends ($p < 0.05$) generated from various SZ settings of EVI. MODIS BRDF/Albedo CMG daily data were converted to the monthly interval to match the temporal resolution of MODIS VI CMG data from 2001 to 2016.	170
Figure 4.10. Annual anomalies of forest areas for 16 years (2001–2016) of MODIS Terra VI generated from MODIS Terra CMG monthly data. A. EVI B. NDVI	173
Figure 4.11. Annual anomalies of forest areas for 14 years (2003–2016) of MODIS Aqua VI generated from MODIS Aqua CMG monthly VI data. A. EVI B. NDVI.	174
Figure 4.12. Annual anomalies of forest areas for 16 years (2001–2016) of VI fixing at SZ 45 degrees and nadir view. Data were derived from MODIS BRDF/Albedo CMG data and converted from daily data to monthly data. A. EVI B. NDVI.	175
Figure 4.13. Averaged SEA forest VI anomalies of CMG (0.05 degree) resolution between MODIS Terra/Aqua and BRDF-corrected SZ45 EVI (top) and NDVI (bottom) from 2001 to 2016.	176
Figure 4.14. Inter-annual VI CV (relative standard deviation) of forest areas from 2001 to 2016 for both EVI (top) and EVI (bottom). A. Terra, B. Aqua, C. BRDF-corrected SZ45.	177
Figure 4.15. Comparison of BRDF-corrected and uncorrected VI anomalies between undisturbed forests (500 m) and mixed CMG forests (0.05 degree).	178
Figure 4.16. Comparison of BRDF-corrected and uncorrected VI anomalies between undisturbed forests (500 m) and mixed CMG forests (0.05 degree) with various dry season lengths.	179
Figure 4.17. Z-score of the SMK test calculated from monthly CHIRPS rainfall for SEA forest areas. I. Top row. Z-score maps derived from whole rainfall time series. A. All available values. B. 90 per cent confidence. II. Bottom row. Z-score maps derived from dry season (February–April) rainfall time series. A. All available values. B. 90 per cent confidence.	180

Figure 4.18. Z-scores of the SMK test calculated from monthly climate variables for SEA forest areas with 90 per cent confidence ($p < 0.05$). A. TWSA (one degree–110 km). B. CERES shortwave radiation (one degree–110 km). C. LST 10.30am (0.05 degree). D. LST 1.30pm (0.05 degree).	181
Figure 4.19. Z-scores of the SMK test calculated from monthly climate variables of dry season periods (February–April) for SEA forest areas with 90 per cent confidence ($p < 0.05$). A. TWSA (one degree–110 km). B. CERES shortwave radiation (one degree–110 km). C. LST 10.30am (0.05 degree). D. LST 1.30pm (0.05 degree).....	182
Figure 4.20. Correlation plot between SSS of CHIRPS rainfall and BRDF-corrected VI of undisturbed forests (500 m) at SZ45 during the period from 2001 to 2016. A. NDVI v. rainfall. B. EVI v. rainfall.	183
Figure 4.21. Correlation plot between SSS of MODIS Terra LST and BRDF-corrected VI of undisturbed forests (500 m) at SZ45. A. NDVI v. LST daytime. B. EVI v. LST daytime.	184
Figure 4.22. Inter-annual rainfall anomalies of SEA tropical forests between 2001 and 2016, derived from CHIRPS monthly data.....	185
Figure 4.23. Inter-annual anomalies of CMG forest EVI and climate factors from 2001 to 2016.	186
Figure 4.24. Annual anomalies of VI (NDVI and EVI) at 500 m resolution, rainfall, LST, CERES shortwave radiation and TWS of forests with dry seasons of various lengths. TWS and CERES radiation anomalies are single lines because of their coarse spatial resolution.	188

List of tables

Table 1.1 <i>Global carbon stocks in vegetation and soil carbon pools down to a depth of One Metre (IPCC, 2000)</i>	5
Table 1.2 <i>Quality levels of MCD43C1 based on MCD43A1 sub-pixel quality</i>	31
Table 2.1 <i>Location of selected sites.</i>	63

Abbreviations

AMP	Seasonal Amplitude
AVHRR	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
BRDF	Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function
CERES	Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System
CHIRPS	Climate Hazards Group InfraRed Precipitation with Station data
CV	Coefficient of Variation
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DT	Dormancy Time
EGS	End of Greening Season
ENSO	El Niño-Southern Oscillation
EVI	Enhanced Vegetation Index
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
GRACE	Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment
IGBP	International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme
LCT	Land Cover Type
LGS	Length of Greening Season
LST	Land Surface Temperature
MODIS	Moderate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer

NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NBAR	Bidirectional reflectance distribution function Adjusted Reflectance
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
NIR	Near Infra-Red
PGS	Peak of Greening Season
QA	Quality assessment
RAA	Relative Azimuth Angle
SEA	Southeast Asia
SGS	Start of Greening Season
SSS	Seasonal Sen's Slope
SZA	Sun Zenith Angle
TRMM	Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission
TWS	Total Water Storage
TWSA	Total Water Storage Anomalies
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VI	Vegetation Index
VZA	View Zenith Angle

Abstract

A mix of evergreen rainforests and deciduous dry forests, the tropical forests in continental Southeast Asia (SEA) have been massively affected by human activities. Despite being highly threatened, very few studies have been conducted on SEA forests compared to the amount of studies conducted on their Amazonian and African counterparts. Consequently, studies on continental tropical forests in SEA need to be urgently conducted to gain a better understanding of their functioning and more specifically, their seasonal and inter-annual dynamics. Satellite data have proven useful in monitoring vegetated landscapes at variable spatial and temporal scales; however, variations of sun-sensor geometry have caused controversies over satellite-based results. Thus, the effect of variable sun-view angles on phenology needs to be investigated to minimise observation artefacts from real vegetation seasonal dynamics.

The primary goal of this thesis is to study the inter- and intra-annual dynamics of continental SEA tropical forests using vegetation indices (VIs) derived from MODIS satellite data while also considering the effects of the bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) on the retrieved results. To achieve this goal, I first investigated continental SEA forest phenology and the effects of the BRDF on extracted phenological information. Next, I analysed the disturbance contribution in relation to intra-annual forest phenology by comparing seasonal profiles and phenological metrics between disturbed and undisturbed forests. Finally, I analysed the effects of the BRDF on the inter-annual VI variations and the inter-annual responses of SEA forests to climate variability.

The results showed that the BRDF had substantial effects on the retrieved VI data. Thus, it appears that standardising reflectances and VIs at fixed sun-view angles is an essential process in the analysis of tropical forest phenology in the continental SEA region. Correcting the BRDF will prevent any unnecessary controversy in relation to the effects of varying illumination angles and the viewing geometries of satellite-based observations. Regarding the disturbance effects, my findings showed that disturbance affected SEA forest phenology in terms of both seasonal VI profiles and phenological metrics and that the BRDF correction helped to distinguish between disturbed and intact forests. My analyses also revealed that the inter-annual VI variations of SEA forests were affected by both the BRDF effect and climate variability.

This thesis highlights the responses of continental SEA tropical forests to sun-angle geometries, climate seasonality and disturbances related to satellite observations. It makes an essential and significant contribution to understandings of SEA forest phenological diversity.