

The Mission of the Cochrane Nursing Care Field (CNCF) is to improve health outcomes through increasing the use of the Cochrane Library and supporting Cochrane's role by providing an evidence base for nurses and related healthcare professionals involved in delivering, leading or researching nursing care. The CNCF produces 'Cochrane Corner' columns (summaries of recent nursing-care-relevant Cochrane Reviews) that are regularly published in collaborating nursing-care-related journals. Information on the processes this Field has developed can be accessed at: http://cncf.cochrane.org/evidence-transfer-program-review-summaries

Cochrane Nursing Care Field - Cochrane Review Summary

Prepared for the

American Journal of Nursing

TITLE: Automated mandatory bolus versus basal infusion for maintenance of epidural analgesia in labour

Cochrane Corner Writer:

Jacqueline Pich
PhD, BNurs (Hons I), BSc
Lecturer, Faculty of Health UTS Sydney
Jacqueline.pich@uts.edu.au

A member of the Cochrane Nursing Care (CNC)

Background:

The pain experienced by women during labour is caused by contractions of the uterus and pressure of the cervix and is impacted by psychosocial influences, previous pain experiences and obstetric interventions, for example oxytocin augmentation. Epidural analgesia is one of the most commonly used pain management techniques for this type of pain. It involves injections of local anaesthetics, for example bupivacaine, and/or opioids including fentanyl and sufentanil, in to the epidural space, resulting in numbness and weakness in the lower part of the body, thereby reducing pain levels.

There are two techniques used to maintain effective epidural analgesia during labour, automated mandatory boluses and basal infusion. These techniques differ in the timing of top-up doses, following the administration of an initial bolus. The dosing is delivered intermittently in the automated mandatory bolus technique compared to continual administration of the drug(s) in a basal infusion. The spread and distribution of drugs is thought to be greater in the automated mandatory boluses technique, related to the higher injectate pressure near site of injection (Hogan, 2002), and this has been linked to more effective pain relief and a reduced risk of motor blockade. This technique is the one employed in patient-controlled epidural analgesia, a method that allows patients to control the timing of bolus doses. This method has been associated with a number of positive outcomes including a reduction in breakthrough pain requiring anaesthetic intervention and increased patient satisfaction (Fettes, 2006; Lim, 2005; Sia, 2013; Wong, 2006).

Objective/s:

The primary objective of this review was to assess the effects of automated mandatory bolus versus basal infusion for maintaining epidural analgesia during labour.

• Intervention/Methods:

The review included parallel-group randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that compared the use of automated bolus with basal infusion for providing epidural analgesia pain relief during labour. The participants included pregnant term women requesting epidural analgesia during labour.

The primary outcomes included:

- 1. Risk of breakthrough pain with the need for anaesthetic intervention;
- 2. Risk of caesarean delivery;
- 3. Risk of instrumental delivery.

The secondary outcome measures considered were duration of labour analgesia; local anaesthetic consumption per hour; maternal satisfaction and Apgar scores.

Results:

There were 12 studies included in this review, representing a total of 1121 participants. Ten studies enrolled only healthy nulliparous women while the remaining two studies also included healthy parous women at term.

The authors reported a significant reduction in the risk of breakthrough pain and in local anaesthetic consumption per hour with automated mandatory boluses compared to basal infusion, based on moderate-certainty evidence. There was little or no difference identified to the risk of caesarean delivery for automated mandatory boluses compared to basal infusion, (15% and 16% respectively) based on low certainty evidence. No difference was identified), the risk the difference in the duration of labour analgesia (moderate-certainty evidence) or the of instrumental delivery (low-certainty evidence) or. An increase in maternal satisfaction was noted with automated mandatory boluses compared to basal infusion and no differences were identified in Apgar scores between the two techniques.

Conclusions

The authors concluded that here is predominantly moderate-certainty evidence that automated mandatory bolus is similar to basal infusion for maintaining epidural analgesia during labour for all measured outcomes and may have the benefit of decreasing the risk of breakthrough pain and improving maternal satisfaction while also decreasing the amount of local anaesthetic needed.

• Implications for Practice:

Pain management is integral to the safe and effective management of women in labour. Pain is an individual experience influenced by a myriad of factors including duration of labour, psychosocial influences, previous pain experiences and obstetric interventions. Therefore effective pain management should reflect this, and allow for the administration of pain medications to be tailored to individual patients, as is the case with the use of automated mandatory boluses, especially where a patient controlled epidural analgesia device is employed.

References:

Sng BL, Zeng Y, de Souza NNA, Leong WL, Oh TT, Siddiqui FJ, Assam PN, Han NLR, Chan ESY, Sia AT. Automated mandatory bolus versus basal infusion for maintenance of epidural analgesia in labour (Review). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2018, Issue 5 Art.No: CD011344. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011344.pub2.

Fettes PD, Moore CS, Whiteside JB, McLeod GA, Wildsmith JA. Intermittent vs continuous administration of epidural ropivacaine with fentanyl for analgesia during labour. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*. 2006;97(3):359-64. [PUBMED: 16849382].

Hogan Q. Distribution of solution in the epidural space: examination by cryomicrotome section. *Regional Anaesthesia and Pain Medicine*. 2002;27(2):150-6. [PUBMED: 11915061].

Lim Y, Sia AT, Ocampo C. Automated regular boluses for epidural analgesia: a comparison with continuous infusion. *International Journal of Obstetric Anaesthesia*. 2005;14(4):305-9. [PUBMED: 16154735].

Sia AT, Leo S, Ocampo CE. A randomised comparison of variable-frequency automated mandatory boluses with a basal infusion for patient-controlled epidural analgesia during labour and delivery. *Anaesthesia*, 2013;68(3):267-75. [DOI: 10.1111/anae.12093; PUBMED: 23278328].

Wong CA, Ratliff JT, Sullivan JT, Scavone BM, Toledo P, McCarthy RJ. A randomized comparison of programmed intermittent epidural bolus with continuous epidural infusion for labor analgesia. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*. 2006;102(3):904-9. [PUBMED: 16492849].