



Cite as

Nano-Micro Lett.
(2019) 11:94Received: 21 August 2019
Accepted: 14 October 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

High-Power and Ultralong-Life Aqueous Zinc-Ion Hybrid Capacitors Based on Pseudocapacitive Charge Storage

Liubing Dong¹, Wang Yang¹, Wu Yang¹, Chengyin Wang², Yang Li³, Chengjun Xu⁴ ✉, Shuwei Wan⁵, Fengrong He⁵, Feiyu Kang⁴, Guoxiu Wang¹ ✉

Liubing Dong and Wang Yang have contributed equally to this work.


✉ Chengjun Xu, vivaxuchengjun@163.com; Guoxiu Wang, guoxiu.wang@uts.edu.au

¹ Centre for Clean Energy Technology, Faculty of Science, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia² School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, People's Republic of China³ School of Photovoltaic and Renewable Energy Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia⁴ Shenzhen Geim Graphene Center, Tsinghua Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen 518055, People's Republic of China⁵ HEC Group Pty Ltd, Canterbury, VIC 3216, Australia

HIGHLIGHTS

- This work starts the research of pseudocapacitive oxide materials for multivalent Zn^{2+} storage.
- The constructed $RuO_2 \cdot H_2O || Zn$ systems exhibit outstanding electrochemical performance, including a high discharge capacity, ultrafast charge/discharge capability, and excellent cycling stability.
- The redox pseudocapacitive behavior of $RuO_2 \cdot H_2O$ for Zn^{2+} storage is revealed.

ABSTRACT Rechargeable aqueous zinc-ion hybrid capacitors and zinc-ion batteries are promising safe energy storage systems. In this study, amorphous $RuO_2 \cdot H_2O$ for the first time was employed to achieve fast and ultralong-life Zn^{2+} storage based on a pseudocapacitive storage mechanism. In the $RuO_2 \cdot H_2O || Zn$ zinc-ion hybrid capacitors with $Zn(CF_3SO_3)_2$ aqueous electrolyte, the $RuO_2 \cdot H_2O$ cathode can reversibly store Zn^{2+} in a voltage window of 0.4–1.6 V (vs. Zn/Zn^{2+}), delivering a high discharge capacity of 122 mAh g^{-1} . In particular, the zinc-ion hybrid capacitors can be rapidly charged/discharged within 36 s with a very high power density of 16.74 kW kg^{-1} and a high energy density of 82 Wh kg^{-1} . Besides, the zinc-ion hybrid capacitors demonstrate an ultralong cycle life (over 10,000 charge/discharge cycles). The kinetic analysis elucidates that the ultrafast Zn^{2+} storage in the $RuO_2 \cdot H_2O$ cathode originates from redox pseudocapacitive reactions. This work could greatly facilitate the development of high-power and safe electrochemical energy storage.

KEYWORDS Zinc-ion hybrid capacitor; Hydrous ruthenium oxide; Ultralong life; Redox pseudocapacitance; High power

1 Introduction

Novel energy storage systems with the merits of high safety, fast charge–discharge capability, and high energy density are highly demanded with the rapid development of electric vehicles and customer electronics. Recently, multivalent-ion (e.g., Zn^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and Al^{3+}) storage systems have emerged and exhibited unique electrochemical behaviors [1–6]. During various multivalent-ion storage systems, zinc metal anode-based aqueous rechargeable zinc-ion batteries (ZIBs) and zinc-ion hybrid capacitors (ZICs) are particularly attractive [1, 7–11], due to their high safety, low cost, abundant natural resource of zinc, and unique electrochemical features of zinc metal anodes such as low redox potential of -0.76 V (vs. standard hydrogen electrode) and ultrahigh volumetric capacity of 5845 Ah L^{-1} . Furthermore, the high ionic conductivity of aqueous electrolytes such as ZnSO_4 solutions in ZIBs and ZICs is beneficial for achieving high power output. The electrochemical properties of ZIBs and ZICs are strongly dependent on the Zn^{2+} -storage behaviors in cathode materials.

Several cathode materials have been developed for ZIBs and ZICs, including manganese oxides, vanadium oxides, Prussian blue analogs, conductive polymers, and carbon materials. Zn^{2+} insertion/extraction in manganese oxides, especially tunnel-structured MnO_2 , creates high specific capacities. However, the poor electrical conductivity of manganese oxides and manganese dissolution issues cause unsatisfactory rate performance and poor cycling stability [12–15]. Vanadium oxides possess high capacities and fast kinetics for Zn^{2+} storage [16–22], whereas their high toxicity impedes their practical applications. Besides, most of the Prussian blue analogs show low capacities of about 50 mAh g^{-1} when used as cathode materials for ZIBs [23–26]. Although conductive polymers (e.g., polyaniline and polypyrrole) and carbon materials (e.g., activated carbon, denoted as “AC”) generally have a Zn^{2+} -storage capacity of 100 – 150 mAh g^{-1} and better rate performance compared to manganese oxides [11, 27–30], their low density of about 0.3 – 1 mg cm^{-2} is unfavorable for the volumetric energy density of corresponding batteries. Therefore, seeking high-performance Zn^{2+} -storage materials is still a big challenge.

Herein, for the first time, we demonstrate that fast, ultra-long-life, and safe Zn^{2+} storage can be realized in amorphous $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode materials based on a pseudocapacitive

storage mechanism. The constructed $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O} \parallel \text{Zn}$ ZICs can reversibly store Zn^{2+} in a voltage window of 0.4 – 1.6 V (vs. Zn/Zn^{2+}), delivering a capacity of about 122 mAh g^{-1} , an excellent rate capability and an ultralong cycle life exceeding $10,000$ cycles.

2 Experimental

2.1 Electrochemical Measurements

Amorphous ruthenium oxide hydrate ($\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$) powder was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation. To synthesize anhydrous RuO_2 , the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ powder was heat-treated in air at 300 °C for 1 h with a heating rate of 5 °C min^{-1} . The amorphous $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ powder (or anhydrous RuO_2 powder) was mixed with conductive black and polyvinylidene fluoride binder in *N*-methyl-pyrrolidone solutions, then coated on a stainless steel foil, and finally dried at 100 °C in vacuum to obtain $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (or RuO_2) electrodes. Mass loading of active materials in the prepared cathodes was 2.5 – 3.0 mg cm^{-2} . Electrochemical performance of these ruthenium oxides for Zn^{2+} storage was evaluated by assembling CR2032 coin cells, in which $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (or RuO_2) electrode was used as the cathode, commercial Zn foil was used as the anode, air-laid paper was used as separator, and 2 M $\text{Zn}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2$ or 2 M ZnSO_4 aqueous solution served as the electrolyte. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests were performed on a Bio-Logic VMP3 electrochemical station. An AC amplitude of 5 mV and a frequency range of 0.1 – 100 kHz were applied for the EIS test at open-circuit voltage (OCV). For galvanostatic charge–discharge (GCD) measurements, when the applied current was 0.1 – 3 A g^{-1} , they were performed on a LAND battery testing instrument, and when the current was 5 – 20 A g^{-1} , the GCD measurements were completed on the Bio-Logic VMP3 electrochemical station. (This is because for fast charge/discharge tests, Bio-Logic VMP3 electrochemical station is more sensitive and accurate.)

2.2 Material and Electrode Characterizations

We used scanning electron microscopy (SEM; model: Zeiss Supra 55VP) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM;

model: Tecnai G2 F30) to observe the micromorphologies of samples and used a Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analyzer to characterize the specific surface area. X-ray diffraction (XRD; model: Bruker D8 Discover Diffractometer) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; model: MDTC-EQ-M20-01) were applied to analyze the phase and compositions. Thermogravimetric (TG)-differential scanning calorimeter was utilized to determine the water content in amorphous $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ powder. Note that to characterize the electrodes at various charge/discharge states, corresponding cells were charged/discharged, then disassembled, and washed using deionized water five times to remove surface-adsorbed electrolyte.

3 Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows the physicochemical characteristics of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ sample. The $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is irregular-shaped particles with a size of about 100–500 nm (Fig. 1a). Its selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) image (inset in Fig. 1b) exhibits a characteristic halo ring pattern, revealing the amorphous feature of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Correspondingly, the high-resolution TEM image does not show clear lattice

fringes (Fig. S1). The amorphous feature of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is also confirmed by the XRD result (Fig. 1c), from which only several broad diffraction peaks are observed. To determine the structural water content in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$, TG analysis was performed, as shown in Fig. 1d. Mass loss in the temperature range of 100–300 °C originates from the structural water of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [31], which is ~12.4 wt%. This means that x in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is 1.0. Therefore, the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is denoted as $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$. In the XPS spectrum of Ru 3d (Fig. 1e), the Ru 3d_{3/2} peak at 285.3 eV and Ru 3d_{5/2} peak at 281.1 eV are observed, corresponding well to the previously reported hydrous RuO_2 [32, 33]. Ru 3p XPS spectrum shown in Fig. S2 provides consistent evidence. O 1s XPS spectrum shown in Fig. 1f is split into three peaks, proving the coexistence of Ru–O–Ru and Ru–O–H bonds in the amorphous $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ [32, 33].

The electrochemical performance of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ for Zn^{2+} storage was evaluated by assembling the cells with $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode, Zn metal anode, and $\text{Zn}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2$ aqueous electrolyte. The $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \parallel \text{Zn}$ system shows an open-circuit voltage of 1.05 V and can be reversibly charged/discharged in a voltage window of 0.4–1.6 V (Fig. 2a). In such a voltage window, the $\text{Zn}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2$ and




Fig. 1 a SEM image, b TEM image (inset: SAED pattern), c XRD pattern, d TG curve, e Ru 3d, and f O 1s XPS spectra of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ sample

ZnSO₄ aqueous electrolytes are stable and water decomposition does not occur (Fig. S3). In the CV curves, there is one pair of broad redox peaks. Even at high scan rates such as 100 mV s⁻¹, the redox peaks remain, suggesting good rate performance of the RuO₂·H₂O||Zn system. As shown in the GCD profiles (Fig. 2b), the charge curves and discharge curves deviate from linear shapes without flat voltage plateaus. This is consistent with the broad redox peaks observed in the CV curves. At a charge/discharge current of 0.1 A g⁻¹, the RuO₂·H₂O cathode shows a discharge capacity of 122 mAh g⁻¹ with a coulombic efficiency of 86%. When the current increases for 200 times (to 20 A g⁻¹), in which the RuO₂·H₂O||Zn system is charged/discharged within 36 s, the discharge capacity still reaches 98 mAh g⁻¹. In fact, considering that the coulombic efficiency of the RuO₂·H₂O||Zn system at low current densities of 0.1–1 A g⁻¹ and high current densities of 3–20 A g⁻¹ is 86–98% and 99–100%, respectively, the RuO₂·H₂O||Zn system is more suitable for fast charging/discharging. For comparison, rate performance of some typical cathode materials for Zn²⁺ storage is summarized

in Fig. 2c, including MnO₂ [15], Zn_{0.25}V₂O₅·*n*H₂O [16], VS₂ [34], polyaniline [28], and AC [11]. Figure 2c intuitively shows the excellent rate capability of the RuO₂·H₂O cathode, compared with the other cathode materials. It should be noted that the RuO₂·H₂O exhibits similar superior performance in 2 M ZnSO₄ aqueous electrolyte (Fig. S4). Furthermore, according to the Ragone plot shown in Fig. 2d, the RuO₂·H₂O cathode can provide a maximum energy density of 119 Wh kg⁻¹. More importantly, it keeps a high energy density of 82 Wh kg⁻¹ under the condition of delivering an ultrahigh power output of 16.74 kW kg⁻¹. Such a high power output with considerable energy density is almost impossible for most of the current electrochemical energy storage systems [9, 16, 35]. For instance, the maximum power density of currently reported lithium-ion batteries and aqueous ZIBs is generally smaller than 1–10 kW kg⁻¹.

The kinetic analysis was performed to reveal the mechanisms for the superior electrochemical performance of the RuO₂·H₂O cathode. Zn²⁺ storage in the RuO₂·H₂O cathode was firstly confirmed by the high-resolution Zn




Fig. 2 Electrochemical properties of the RuO₂·H₂O||Zn systems: **a** CV curves, **b** GCD profiles, **c** rate performance (in comparison with previously reported cathode materials for Zn²⁺ storage), and **d** Ragone plot

2p XPS spectra shown in Fig. 3. Zn^{2+} ions were stored in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode when the cathode was discharged from pristine state to 0.4 V, and almost all Zn^{2+} ions were extracted from the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode when the cathode was further charged to 1.6 V, implying highly reversible Zn^{2+} storage in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode. Besides, H^+ from the slightly acid $\text{Zn}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2$ aqueous electrolyte is also proved to participate in the electrochemical reactions in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} // \text{Zn}$ system and contributes to a small capacity




Fig. 3 High-resolution Zn 2p XPS spectra of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode at various states

to the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode (Figs. S5–S7). For the CV curves at various scan rates of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} // \text{Zn}$ system (Fig. 2a), the relationship between their peak current (i) and scan rate (v) can be depicted through Eq. 1 [36]:

$$i = av^b, \quad (1)$$

where a and b are variable parameters. Particularly, b values of 0.5 and 1.0 represent a diffusion-controlled process and a complete capacitive process, respectively [33]. As shown in Fig. 4a, the b values for the anodic peaks and cathodic peaks are close to 1.0, suggesting that Zn^{2+} storage in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode is dominated by a capacitive process.

We further tested CV curves at low scan rates (Fig. 4b). At 0.2–1 mV s^{-1} , the voltage separation between anodic peaks and cathodic peaks is very small (< 0.08 V), which is a typical feature of pseudocapacitive behavior [37]. As a comparison, ZIB cathode materials such as MnO_2 generally possess a large voltage separation (> 0.3 V; Fig. S8). Furthermore, two capacitance differentiation methods were applied to analyze the pseudocapacitive reaction of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ for Zn^{2+} storage. According to Dunn's method (Fig. 4c, d) [37], 79.0–96.4% capacitance originates from the surface-controlled capacitive process, i.e., redox pseudocapacitance




Fig. 4 Kinetic analysis of Zn^{2+} storage in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$: **a** relationship curve of peak current versus scan rate, **b** CV curves at low scan rates of 0.2–1 mV s^{-1} , **c** capacitive contribution (orange region) to the total current at 20 mV s^{-1} , **d** summary of the contribution ratios of capacitive capacity and diffusion-controlled capacity, **e**, **f** capacitive contribution analyzed through Trasatti's method, in which q and v are charge stored and scan rate, respectively

and electric double-layer capacitance. Considering that the specific surface area of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ is only $57 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (Fig. S9), the majority of the capacitance is redox pseudocapacitance, while the electric double-layer capacitance accounts a small fraction. Trasatti's method analysis in Fig. 4e, f points out that the maximum charge that can be stored in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and the charge stored at the so-called outer surface (easily accessible to electrolyte ions) of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ are 502.5 and 428.4 C g^{-1} , respectively [38]. This means that 85.3% capacity is from the outer surface, which is consistent with the Dunn's method analysis. Such an energy storage mechanism of redox pseudocapacitive behavior, as well as high conductivity of hydrous ruthenium oxides (higher than 100 S cm^{-1}) [31], benefits for the ultrafast charging/discharging of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode [37].

It should be emphasized that the structural water in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ plays a vital role in Zn^{2+} storage. As a comparison, anhydrous RuO_2 sample was synthesized by heat-treating the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ in air (Figs. 5a, b and S10). The TG curve confirms that after heat treatment, structural water content of the sample is negligible. As for the anhydrous RuO_2 , its redox pseudocapacitive reactions are notably suppressed, corresponding to very low Zn^{2+} -storage capacities of 38–15

mAh g^{-1} at 0.1–20 A g^{-1} (Figs. 5c, d and S11, S12), even though anhydrous RuO_2 generally possesses a higher electrical conductivity than hydrous $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [31]. This is because the structural water can facilitate rapid ion transport in the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ [34]. Similarly, hydrous ruthenium oxides perform much better than anhydrous RuO_2 in supercapacitors with H_2SO_4 aqueous electrolytes [31].

Besides the excellent high rate performance, the amorphous $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode also exhibits superior long-term cyclic stability, with an 87.5% capacity retention over 10,000 charge/discharge cycles (Fig. 6a). Meanwhile, the coulombic efficiency always maintains $\sim 100\%$ during the cycling test (except for the initial tens of cycles). Nyquist plots in Fig. 6b of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \parallel \text{Zn}$ hybrid capacitor reveal a small charge-transfer resistance even after the 10,000 charge/discharge cycles. In addition, the long-term cycling test does not cause an obvious change in the phase composition and micromorphology of the amorphous $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode (Fig. 6c and S13). These imply the high electrochemical and structural stability of the $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode during repeated Zn^{2+} storage processes.




Fig. 5 **a** SEM image and **b** TG curve of the anhydrous RuO_2 sample. Electrochemical behaviors of the anhydrous $\text{RuO}_2 \parallel \text{Zn}$ system with 2 M $\text{Zn}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2$ aqueous electrolyte: **c** CV curve (in comparison with that of $\text{RuO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \parallel \text{Zn}$ system) and **d** GCD profiles at 0.1–20 A g^{-1}




Fig. 6 **a** Cycling stability test at 20 A g^{-1} of the $\text{RuO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}|\text{Zn}$ system. **b** Nyquist plots and **c** XRD patterns of the $\text{RuO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode before and after the cycling test

4 Conclusions

In summary, amorphous $\text{RuO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was employed to achieve fast, ultralong-life, and safe Zn^{2+} storage. In the $\text{RuO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}|\text{Zn}$ zinc-ion hybrid capacitors with aqueous $\text{Zn}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2$ electrolyte, the $\text{RuO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ cathode reversibly stores Zn^{2+} in a voltage window of 0.4–1.6 V (vs. Zn/Zn^{2+}), displaying a discharge capacity of 122 mAh g^{-1} and an outstanding high rate performance. The zinc-ion hybrid capacitors can be rapidly charged/discharged within 36 s, in which case a very high power density of 16.74 kW kg^{-1} and a high energy density of 82 Wh kg^{-1} are delivered. Such an excellent high rate performance originates from redox pseudocapacitive reactions of the $\text{RuO}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ by storing Zn^{2+} . Besides, the zinc-ion hybrid capacitors exhibit superior cycling stability with 87.5% capacity retention over 10,000 charge/discharge cycles. This work could greatly facilitate the development of ultrafast and safe aqueous electrolyte-based electrochemical energy storage.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the financial support by the Australian Research Council through the ARC

Discovery projects (DP160104340 and DP170100436) and Rail Manufacturing Cooperative Research Centre (RMCRC 1.1.1 and RMCRC 1.1.2 projects). This work was also financially supported by the International Science & Technology Cooperation Program of China (No. 2016YFE0102200) and Shenzhen Technical Plan Project (No. JCYJ20160301154114273).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-019-0328-3>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

References

1. C. Xu, B. Li, H. Du, F. Kang, Energetic zinc ion chemistry: the rechargeable zinc ion battery. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51**(4), 933–935 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201106307>

2. O. Chusid, Y. Gofer, H. Gizbar, Y. Vestfrid, E. Levi, D. Aurbach, I. Riech, Solid-state rechargeable magnesium batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **15**(7–8), 627–630 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200304415>
3. A.L. Lipson, B. Pan, S.H. Lapidus, C. Liao, J.T. Vaughey, B.J. Ingram, Rechargeable Ca-ion batteries: a new energy storage system. *Chem. Mater.* **27**(24), 8442–8447 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b04027>
4. M. Wang, C. Jiang, S. Zhang, X. Song, Y. Tang, H.M. Cheng, Reversible calcium alloying enables a practical room-temperature rechargeable calcium-ion battery with a high discharge voltage. *Nat. Chem.* **10**, 667–672 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-018-0045-4>
5. N. Jayaprakash, S.K. Das, L.A. Archer, The rechargeable aluminium-ion battery. *Chem. Commun.* **47**, 12610–12612 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C1CC15779E>
6. M.C. Lin, M. Gong, B. Lu, Y. Wu, D.Y. Wang et al., An ultrafast rechargeable aluminium-ion battery. *Nature* **520**, 324–328 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14340>
7. L. Dong, W. Yang, W. Yang, Y. Li, W. Wu, G. Wang, Multivalent metal ion hybrid capacitors: a review with a focus on zinc-ion hybrid capacitors. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **7**, 13810–13832 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9TA02678A>
8. J. Ming, J. Guo, C. Xia, W. Wang, H.N. Alshareef, Zinc-ion batteries: materials, mechanisms, and applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng. R* **135**, 58–84 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mser.2018.10.002>
9. M. Song, H. Tan, D. Chao, H.J. Fan, Recent advances in Zn-ion batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **28**, 1802564 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201802564>
10. G. Fang, J. Zhou, A. Pan, S. Liang, Recent advances in aqueous zinc-ion batteries. *ACS Energy Lett.* **3**, 2480–2501 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.8b01426>
11. L. Dong, X. Ma, Y. Li, L. Zhao, W. Liu et al., Extremely safe, high-rate and ultralong-life zinc-ion hybrid supercapacitors. *Energy Storage Mater.* **13**, 96–102 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2018.01.003>
12. M.H. Alfaruqi, V. Mathew, J. Gim, S. Kim, J. Song, J.P. Baboo, S.H. Choi, J. Kim, Electrochemically induced structural transformation in a γ -MnO₂ cathode of a high capacity zinc-ion battery system. *Chem. Mater.* **27**, 3609–3620 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1021/cm504717p>
13. H. Pan, Y. Shao, P. Yan, Y. Cheng, K.S. Han et al., Reversible aqueous zinc/manganese oxide energy storage from conversion reactions. *Nat. Energy* **1**, 16039 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.39>
14. H. Li, L. Ma, C. Han, Z. Wang, Z. Liu, Z. Tang, C. Zhi, Advanced rechargeable zinc-based batteries: recent progress and future perspectives. *Nano Energy* **62**, 550–587 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.05.059>
15. K.W. Nam, H. Kim, J.H. Choi, J.W. Choi, Crystal water for high performance layered manganese oxide cathodes in aqueous rechargeable zinc batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **12**, 1999–2009 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9EE00718K>
16. D. Kundu, B.D. Adams, V. Duffort, S.H. Vajargah, L.F. Nazar, A high-capacity and long-life aqueous rechargeable zinc battery using a metal oxide intercalation cathode. *Nat. Energy* **1**, 16119 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.119>
17. P. He, Y. Quan, X. Xu, M. Yan, W. Yang, Q. An, L. He, L. Mai, High-performance aqueous zinc-ion battery based on layered H₂V₃O₈ nanowire cathode. *Small* **13**, 1702551 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201702551>
18. B. Tang, G. Fang, J. Zhou, L. Wang, Y. Lei et al., Potassium vanadates with stable structure and fast ion diffusion channel as cathode for rechargeable aqueous zinc-ion batteries. *Nano Energy* **51**, 579–587 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2018.07.014>
19. N. Zhang, Y. Dong, M. Jia, X. Bian, Y. Wang et al., Rechargeable aqueous Zn-V₂O₅ battery with high energy density and long cycle life. *ACS Energy Lett.* **3**, 1366–1372 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.8b00565>
20. Y. Yang, Y. Tang, G. Fang, L. Shan, J. Guo et al., Li⁺ intercalated V₂O₅·nH₂O with enlarged layer spacing and fast ion diffusion as an aqueous zinc-ion battery cathode. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **11**, 3157–3162 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EE01651H>
21. W. Zhang, S. Liang, G. Fang, Y. Yang, J. Zhou, Ultra-high mass-loading cathode for aqueous zinc-ion battery based on graphene-wrapped aluminum vanadate nanobelts. *Nano-Micro Lett.* **11**, 69 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-019-0300-2>
22. F. Liu, Z. Chen, G. Fang, Z. Wang, Y. Cai, B. Tang, J. Zhou, S. Liang, V₂O₅ nanospheres with mixed vanadium valences as high electrochemically active aqueous zinc-ion battery cathode. *Nano-Micro Lett.* **11**, 25 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40820-019-0256-2>
23. L. Zhang, L. Chen, X. Zhou, Z. Liu, Towards high-voltage aqueous metal-ion batteries beyond: the zinc/zinc hexacyanoferrate system. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **5**, 1400930 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201400930>
24. Z. Liu, P. Bertram, F. Endres, Bio-degradable zinc-ion battery based on a prussian blue analogue cathode and a bio-ionic liquid-based electrolyte. *J. Solid State Electrochem.* **21**, 2021–2027 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10008-017-3589-0>
25. R. Trócoli, F.L. Mantia, An aqueous zinc-ion battery based on copper hexacyanoferrate. *Chemsuschem* **8**, 481–485 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201403143>
26. Z. Jia, B. Wang, Y. Wang, Copper hexacyanoferrate with a well-defined open framework as a positive electrode for aqueous zinc ion batteries. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **149–150**, 601–606 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2014.11.014>
27. H.Y. Shi, Y.J. Ye, K. Liu, Y. Song, X. Sun, A long-cycle-life self-doped polyaniline cathode for rechargeable aqueous zinc batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 16359 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201808886>
28. S. Huang, F. Wan, S. Bi, J. Zhu, Z. Niu, J. Chen, A self-healing integrated all-in-one zinc-ion battery. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **58**, 4357–4361 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201814653>

29. P. Zhang, Y. Li, G. Wang, F. Wang, S. Yang et al., Zn-ion hybrid micro-supercapacitors with ultrahigh areal energy density and long-term durability. *Adv. Mater.* **31**, 1806005 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201806005>
30. G. Sun, H. Yang, G. Zhang, J. Gao, X. Jin, Y. Zhao, L. Jiang, L. Qu, A capacity recoverable zinc-ion micro-supercapacitor. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **11**, 3367–3374 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EE02567C>
31. J.P. Zheng, P.J. Cygan, T.R. Jow, Hydrous ruthenium oxide as an electrode material for electrochemical capacitors. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **142**, 2699–2703 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2050077>
32. D. Rochefort, P. Dabo, D. Guay, P.M.A. Sherwood, XPS investigations of thermally prepared RuO₂ electrodes in reductive conditions. *Electrochim. Acta* **48**, 4245–4252 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0013-4686\(03\)00611-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0013-4686(03)00611-X)
33. D.J. Yun, S. Lee, K. Yong, S.W. Rhee, In situ ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy measurement of the pentacene-RuO₂/Ti contact energy structure. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97**, 073303 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3481084>
34. P. He, M. Yan, G. Zhang, R. Sun, L. Chen, Q. An, L. Mai, Layered VS₂ nanosheet-based aqueous Zn ion battery cathode. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **7**, 1601920 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201601920>
35. P. Simon, Y. Gogotsi, Materials for electrochemical capacitors. *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 845–854 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2297>
36. J. Wang, J. Polleux, J. Lim, B. Dunn, Pseudocapacitive contributions to electrochemical energy storage in TiO₂ (Anatase) nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **111**, 14925–14931 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp074464w>
37. V. Augustyn, P. Simon, B. Dunn, Pseudocapacitive oxide materials for high-rate electrochemical energy storage. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **7**, 1597–1614 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3EE44164D>
38. S. Ardizzone, G. Fregonara, S. Trasatti, “Inner” and “outer” active surface of RuO₂ electrodes. *Electrochim. Acta* **35**, 263–267 (1989). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686\(90\)85068-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(90)85068-X)



Nano-Micro Letters is a copyright of Springer, 2019. All Rights Reserved.