

University of Technology Sydney FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Optimization and performance improvement of Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor (AnMBR) for volatile fatty acid and biohydrogen production

By

Mohd Atiqueuzzaman Khan

A Dissertation

Submitted in fulfillment for the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Environmental Engineering Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

> University of Technology Sydney New South Wales, Australia June 2019

> > T

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Mohd Atiqueuzzaman Khan, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and IT at the University of Technology Sydney. This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged.

In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution. This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note: Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: June 30, 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is my great pleasure to express my sincere gratitude to my main supervisor Prof. Huu Hao Ngo, alternative supervisor Prof. Wenshan Guo and co-supervisor Dr. Yiwen Liu. As my principal supervisor, prof. Ngo has been supporting me since the beginning of my Ph.D. by giving me this wonderful research topic, guiding me through the Ph.D. journey and enriching me by his research knowledge and experience. Without his invaluable guidance and support, I would not be able to finish my study within the scheduled time. He has always inspired me when I was confronted with new challenges and boosted up my confidence with words of inspiration. He has also helped to overcome my drawbacks, improve my skills in technical writing and developing my research approach. Although Prof. Wenshan Guo is my alternative supervisor, the tie ad effort she has dedicated to my study is equal to that done by Prof. Ngo. She has been my teacher, mentor, and guide to help me through my experimental setup and lab analysis techniques. Without her support, the anaerobic sludge acclimatization would never be possible. I would like to humbly acknowledge her guidance and mentorship during my initial period of difficulties in the laboratory experiment. The technical advice, words of encouragement and guidance for personal development would be my key takeaways for future endeavors. I would like to sincerely acknowledge the help and support from my co-supervisor Dr. Yiwen Liu. He has been coach, trainer, and guide throughout my Ph.D. journey. The suggestions and advice from Dr. Yiwen on technical drawing, revising technical papers and above all technical advice on the laboratory experiment has guided me, gave me the courage to overcome difficulties in my research.

I would like to thank the University of Technology Sydney and Australian Government for financially supporting my Ph.D. program with the Faculty of Engineering and IT top-up scholarship and scholarship on research training program respectively.

My earnest thanks and most sincere gratitude to Dr. Md Abu Hasan Johir, senior technical officer in Environmental Engineering laboratories for his amazing support and coordination. I do acknowledge his endless support and guidance during different analysis, method development and the technical advice he has given throughout my Ph.D. journey.

Finally, I would like to expand my gratitude to my parents, my wife, and friends who have been very considerate and encouraged me throughout my entire Ph.D. journey.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1 Introduction

1.1 Research background	
1.1.1 Anaerobic membrane bioreactors	
1.1.2 Different AnMBR products	
1.2 Research objectives	
1.3 Research Significance	
1.4 Organization and major contents of the thesis	

CHAPTER 2 Literature Review

2.1 Introduction	2-2
2.2 Technical Overview	2-4
2.3 Optimizing AnMBR operating conditions	2-6
2.3.1 Optimizing volatile fatty acid production	
A. Temperature	
В. рН	2-10
C. Retention Time	2-11
D. Organic loading rate	2-12
E. Other Parameters	2-13
2.3.2 Optimizing biohydrogen Production	2-14
A. Temperature	2-15
В. рН	2-17
C. Retention time	
D. Organic loading rate	2-19
E. Other Parameters	2-20
2.3.3 Optimizing methane production	2-21
A. Temperature	2-22
В. рН	2-23
C. Retention Time	2-24
D. Organic loading rate	2-25
E. Other Parameters	

F. Challenges and recent advances in methane production	2-29
2.4 Economic assessment of AnMBR products	2-31
2.4.1 Assessment for methane production	2-31
2.4.2 Assessment for production of VFA	2-32
2.4.3 Assessment for production of biohydrogen	2-33
2.5 Environmental Impact	2-40
2.6 Impact on scientific society	2-44
2.7 Concluding remarks	2-48

CHAPTER 3 Experimental Investigations

3.1 Introduction	3-2
3.2 Materials and methods	3-2
3.2.1 Wastewater Characteristics	3-2
3.2.2 Sludge acclimatization	3-3
3.2.3 Experimental Setup	3-4
3.2.4 AnMBR operation	3-5
3.2.5 Organics and nutrients removal	
3.2.6 Biomass concentration and growth rate	
3.2.7 Mass balance	
3.2.8 VFA extraction	
3.2.9 Quantification of VFA from GC-MS	3-7
3.2.10 Gas analysis and measurement	

CHAPTER 4 Optimization of hydraulic retention time and organic loading rate for volatile fatty acid production from low strength wastewater in an anaerobic membrane bioreactor

4.1 Introduction	4-2
4.1.1 Background	4-2
4.1.2 Objectives	4-4
4.2 Materials and methods	4-5
4.2.1 Experimental setup	4-5
4.2.2 The operation of anaerobic membrane bioreactor	4-6
4.3 Results and discussion	4-7
4.3.1 AnMBR performance in COD and nutrients removal	4-7

4	.4 Conclusions	4-18
	4.3.5 Advantages of VFA production from continuous AnMBR	4-16
	4.3.4 VFA concentration at different OLR	4-15
	4.3.3 VFA concentration at different HRT	4-11
	4.3.2 Membrane fouling of AnMBR	. 4-8

CHAPTER 5 Selective production of volatile fatty acids at different pH in an anaerobic membrane bioreactor

5.1 Introduction	5-2
5.1.1 Background	5-2
5.1.2 Objectives	5-4
5.3 Materials and methods	5-5
5.3.1 Experimental setup	5-5
5.3.2 The operation of anaerobic membrane bioreactor	5-5
5.3 Results and discussion	5-6
5.3.1 AnMBR performance in nutrient removal	5-6
5.3.2 Membrane fouling at different levels of pH	5-9
5.3.3 Selective VFA production at different pH	5-11
5.4 Conclusions	5-17

CHAPTER 6 Production of VFA and biohydrogen from AnMBR using low-strength synthetic wastewater

6.1 Intro	oduction	
6.1.1	Background	
6.1.2	Objectives	
6.2 Mate	erials and methods	
6.2.1 E	Experimental design	
6.2.2 A	AnMBR operation	
6.3 Resu	llts and discussion	
6.3.1 A	AnMBR performance	
6.3.2 V	VFA production	

6.4	4 Conclusions	6-18
	6.3.4 Comparing yield of biohydrogen from different anaerobic processes	6-16
	6.3.3 Biohydrogen production	6-15

CHAPTER 7 Optimization of the production of biohydrogen from anaerobic membrane bioreactor (AnMBR) using low-strength synthetic wastewater

7.1 Introduction	
7.1.1 Background	
7.1.2 Objectives	
7.2 Analytical Methods	
7.3 AnMBR setup and operation	
7.4 Results and Discussion	
7.4.1 AnMBR performance	
7.4.1 Biohydrogen production	
7.5 Conclusions	

CHAPTER 8 Conclusions and Recommendations

8.1 Conclusions	8-	2
8.2 Recommendations	8-	3

References	R-2
Publications	A-2
Awards	A-3

Table titles

Chapter 2 Table 2.1 Optimal operating conditions of Anaerobic Digestion 2-7 Table 2.2 Effect of adding surfactants and/or enzymes on the 2-13 production of VFA Table 2.3 Results of maximum hydrogen production yield and 2 - 20optimal HRT and OLR (Modified from Table 2.4 Optimum OLR and pH range for methane production 2-26 using different type of substrates Table 2.5 Additives/ treatment processes for increasing biogas 2-28 production Table 2.6 Cost of hydrogen production using different energy 2-34 sources Table 2.7 Breakdown of total life cycle capital cost, operating cost 2-37 and energy consumption in different AnMBR process Table 2.8 Summary of the proposed AnMBR models for different 2-39 product band from AnMBRs Table 2.9 LCA results of submerged AnMBR. Method: CML 2 2-41 baseline 2000 V2.05/West Europe, 1995/Normalisation/Excluding infrastructure processes **Table 2.10** Weighted percentages of pollutants in combustion flue 2-44 gas for common fuels Chapter 3 Table 3.1 Composition of different components in feed solution 3-2 Table 3.2 3-3 Characteristics of seed sludge

Chapter 4

Table 4.1	AnMBR Operating conditions for VFA production	4-6
Table 4.2	Concentration of VFA components at different HRT	4-12

Chapter 6

Table 6.1	Biohydrogen yield from different anaerobic process	6-17	

Chapter 7

Table 7.1	Comparative analysis of hydrogen production rate and	7-13
	yield from different anaerobic processes	

Figure captions

Chapter I		
Figure 1.1	Organization and major contents of this thesis	1-5
Chapter 2		
Figure 2.1	Schematic Diagram (a) Side stream (external) (b) submerged of AnMBR configurations	2-2
Figure 2.2	Major phases of anaerobic digestion	2-5
Figure 2.3	Comparison between the main pollution emissions in the industrialized countries	2-43
Chapter 3		
Figure 3.1	Schematic of experimental setup for VFA and biohydrogen production	3-4
Figure 3.2	AnMBR setup for the production of VFA	3-5
Figure 3.3	Steps for VFA extraction from AnMBR	3-7
Chapter 4		
Figure 4.1	Experimental setup of AnMBR for VFA production	4-5
Figure 4.2	Nutrient and COD removal performance of continuous AnMBR	4-7
Figure 4.3	Variations of TMP at different operating conditions	4-9
Figure 4.4	VFA concentration at different OLR	4-15
Chapter 5		
Figure 5.1	Schematic of experimental setup for selective VFA production	5-6
Figure 5.2	Nutrient removal performance of AnMBR	5-7
Figure 5.3	(a) TMP at different operating pH (b) TMP at two short operating periods for two optimum pH levels	5-9
Figure 5.4	Concentration of major VFA components and COD removal at different pH	5-12
Figure 5.5	a) Percentage of major VFA components produced at different pH (b) Overall VFA yield (mg VFA/ 100 mg COD $_{feed}$) at different pH	5-13

Chapter 6		
Figure 6.1	Schematic of experimental setup for VFA and	6-5
	biohydrogen production	
Figure 6.2	Nutrient removal performance of AnMBR at (a) different	6-7
	HRT (b) different COD	
Figure 6.3	(a) TMP at different operating HRT (b) TMP at different	6-9
	influent COD	
Figure 6.4	(a) TMP at different operating HRT (b) TMP at different	6-12
	influent COD	
Figure 6.5	Overall hydrogen yield per gram of COD added	6-15
Figure 6.5 Chapter 7	Overall hydrogen yield per gram of COD added	6-15
Figure 6.5 Chapter 7 Figure 7.1	Overall hydrogen yield per gram of COD _{added} TMP developed at different pH levels	6-15 7-5
Figure 6.5Chapter 7Figure 7.1Figure 7.2	Overall hydrogen yield per gram of COD _{added} TMP developed at different pH levels (a) NO ₃ ⁻ and (b) PO ₄ ³⁻ removal efficiency at different	6-15 7-5 7-7
Figure 6.5 Chapter 7 Figure 7.1 Figure 7.2	Overall hydrogen yield per gram of COD _{added} TMP developed at different pH levels (a) NO ₃ ⁻ and (b) PO ₄ ³⁻ removal efficiency at different pH levels	6-15 7-5 7-7
Figure 6.5Chapter 7Figure 7.1Figure 7.2Figure 7.3	Overall hydrogen yield per gram of COD _{added} TMP developed at different pH levels (a) NO ₃ ⁻ and (b) PO ₄ ³⁻ removal efficiency at different pH levels Specific hydrogen production rate at different pH	6-15 7-5 7-7 7-9

Abbreviations

Symbol	Description
AD	Anaerobic Digestion
ADBA	Anaerobic Digestion and Bioresource Association
AeMBR	Aerobic Membrane Bioreactor
AnMBR	Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactors
APBR	Anaerobic Packed Bed Reactors
BES	Bio Electrochemical Systems
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CSTR	Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
EPS	Extracellular Polymeric Substance
ERR	External Rate of Return
ES	Excess Sludge
EV	Electric Vehicle
FAME	Fatty Acid Methyl Esters
FCV	Fuel Cell Vehicles
GC-MS	Gas Chromatogram Mass Spectrometry
GWP	Global Warming Potential
ННРВ	Halophilic Hydrogen Producing Bacterium
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
HRT	Hydraulic Retention Time
IBR	Induced Bed Reactor
ICE	Internal Combustion Engine
IRR	Internal Rate of Return
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
MBR	Membrane Bioreactor
MLVSS	Mixed Liquor Volatile Suspended Solids
MS	Mass Spectrometer
MTBE	Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether

OEB	Overall Energy Balance
OFMSW	Organic Fraction of Municipal Solid Waste
OLR	Organic Loading Rate
РНА	Polyhydroxyalkanoate
РМС	Photosynthetic Mixed Culture
PVDF	Polyvinylidene Difluoride
SAnMBR	Submerged Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactors
SCFA	Short-Chain Fatty Acid
SDBS	Sodium Dodecylbenzenesulfonate
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
SHPR	Specific Hydrogen Production Rate
SMP	Soluble Microbial Products
SMR	Steam Methane Reforming
SRT	Solid Retention Time
TAN	Total Ammonia Nitrogen
ТМР	Trans Membrane Pressure
UASB	Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket reactor
VFA	Volatile Fatty Acid
VOC	Volatile Organic Components
VSS	Volatile Suspended solids

Ph.D. DISSERTATION ABSTRACT

Author:	Mohd Atiqueuzzaman Khan
Date:	June 30, 2019
Thesis title:	Optimization and performance improvement of Anaerobic Membrane
	Bioreactor (AnMBR) for volatile fatty acid and biohydrogen production
Faculty:	Engineering and Information Technology
School:	Civil and Environmental Engineering
Supervisors:	Prof. Dr. Huu Hao Ngo (principal Supervisor)
	Prof. Dr. Wenshan Guo ((Alternate supervisor)
	Dr. Yiwen Liu (Co-supervisor)

Abstract

Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactors (AnMBRs) have been widely used for source recovery from municipal and industrial wastewater treatment. Most of the research initiatives are inclined to optimize the production of methane-containing biogas from the anaerobic process. Volatile Fatty Acids (VFAs) and biohydrogen are two major intermediate products of AnMBR that can be recovered to improve the energy efficiency and product revenue from AnMBR.Research studies have investigated the technical feasibility of the production of VFA and biohydrogen using anaerobic digestion. The optimisation of VFA and biohydrogen production has been carried out through reducing their consumption by methanogens. This research study aims the optimisation of VFA and biohydrogen production so that the findings can be applied in a generic AnMBR model producing multiple products. Production of VFA has been investigated by reducing the Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT) and increase Organic Loading Rate (OLR) of the AnMBR. The solvent extraction method was used for VFA extraction and individual concentrations were measured using Gas Chromatogram-Mass Spectrometry. At 8 hrs HRT the concentration of major VFA components were maximum

whereas at 550 mg/L COD feed showed the optimum nutrient and COD removal efficiency of AnMBR. Selective production of major VFA components has been investigated by altering the pH of the bioreactor. At pH 7.0 the percentage of acetic acid was highest indicating acetate type fermentation was predominant at that condition. However, a major alteration in the percentage of VFA components were observed at pH 12.0 indicating isobutyric acid as the major VFA components. The result implies that butyrate type fermentation was predominant at pH 12.0. Production of VFA and biohydrogen both were investigated during a stepwise reduction of HRT. Without inhibiting methanogenic activity, the highest VFA and hydrogen yields were 37.08g VFA / 100 g COD feed, and 24.6 mL H₂/ g COD feed, observed at 8 and 6 hr HRT respectively. Optimization AnMBR operating pH was carried out to maximize the production of biohydrogen. The highest yield and production rate were observed to be 122.21 ± 39.05 mL H₂ / L. d and 65.38 ± 3.2 mL H₂ /g COD added respectively and at pH 5.0 at an HRT of 6 hrs.

Keywords

Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor (AnMBR), biohydrogen, membrane fouling, nutrient removal, inhibition, wastewater, energy recovery, volatile fatty acid, solvent extraction, optimization