

# DEVELOPMENT OF A NOVEL MICROBIAL FUEL CELL FOR NUTRIENT RECOVERY FROM SYNTHETIC MUNICIPAL WASTEWATER

# by Yuanyao Ye

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

# **Doctor of Philosophy**

under the supervision of Prof. Huu Hao Ngo

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

June 2019

CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training

Program. I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a

degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as part of

the collaborative doctoral degree and/or fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have

received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been

acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are

indicated in the thesis.

Production Note:

SIGNATURE OF STUDENT: Signature removed prior to publication.

DATE: 29/06/2019

i

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to my principle supervisor Prof. Huu Hao Ngo. His endless guidance, valuable suggestion and constant encouragement make me successfully complete this thesis. From the beginning of my Ph.D. study, his experienced advice and patient assistance help me to adopt to the new environment and improve myself in research and daily life. His conscientious academic spirit and modest, open-minded personality not only guide me through the novel academic research, but also make me to be a better man. Also, heartfelt thanks are extended to my co-supervisor Prof. Wenshan Guo and Dr. Yiwen Liu, whose instructions will always be of great value for my future career and academic research.

Secondly, I would like to thanks to many excellent fellow postgraduate students in our research group including Khan, Dora, Phong, Hang, Loan and Thuy who share their knowledge and selflessly help me during the study. My thanks also go to lab manager Dr. Johir Mohammad for his help in setting up experiments and analysing results. Apart from this, I would like to acknowledge the help and assistance from academic and administration staff from the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology (FEIT) and Graduate Research School (GRS).

Last but not least, I would like to thank my beloved wife. She makes my life meaningful and delightful, and accompanies me whenever and wherever. Without her unconditional love and tremendous support, I could not complete the Ph.D. study and deliver the final thesis. Thanks a lot for everything she did for me and I love her forever.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title page	
Certification of original authorship	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of contents	iii
List of tables	vii
List of figures	viii
List of abbreviations	xi
List of symbols	xiii
Abstract	xvi
CHAPTER 1 Introduction	
1.1 Research background	1-1
1.2 Research motivations and scope	1-4
1.3. Research significance	1-5
1.4 Organization of the report	1-5
CHAPTER 2 Literature review	
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Membrane hybrid system for nutrient recovery	2-3
2.2.1 Membrane-based hybrid system	2-3
A. Forward osmosis	2-3
B. Membrane distillation	2-5
C. Electrodialysis	2-6
2.2.2 Bioelectrochemical system	2-8
2.3 MBR-based hybrid system	2-14
2.4 Comparison of membrane hybrid systems for nutrient recovery	2-18
2.4.1 Technical feasibility	2-18
2.4.2 Costs involved in the nutrient recovery	2-23
2.5 Future perspectives	2-25
	• • •

# **CHAPTER 3 Experimental investigation**

3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Materials	3-1
3.2.1 Synthetic wastewater	3-1
3.2.2 Membrane	3-2
3.3 Experimental setup and operating conditions of a double-chamber MFC	3-4
3.4 Analytical methods	3-5
3.4.1 Organics, nutrients, pH, DO and electricity	3-5
3.4.2 Electricity calculation	3-7
3.4.3 Characterization of precipitates	3-7
CHAPTER 4 Feasibility study on a double chamber microbial fuel cell for n	utrient
recovery from municipal wastewater	
4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 Materials and method	4-3
4.2.1. MFC construction	4-3
4.2.2. Microorganisms and synthetic wastewater	4-4
4.2.3. Experimental process	4-4
4.2.4. Analytical method	4-6
4.3 Results and discussions	4-6
4.3.1 Effects of operation mode of anolyte on the nutrient accumulation catholyte	
4.3.2 Effects of aeration on the nutrient recovery	
4.3.3 Effects of different separators in the MFC on nutrient recovery	
4.4. Conclusion.	
CHAPTER 5 Effect of organic loading rate on the recovery of nutrient energy in a dual-chamber microbial fuel cell	ıts and
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Materials and methods	5-4
5.2.1 Experimental setup	5-4
5.2.2 Inoculum and experimental operation	5-4
5.2.3 Data analysis	5-5
5.3 Results and discussion	5-5

5.3.1 COD removal efficiency	5-5
5.3.2 Electricity generation	5-6
5.3.3 Nutrients recovery	5-11
5.4 Conclusion	5-12
CHAPTER 6 Microbial fuel cell for nutrient recover	y and electricity generation
from municipal wastewater under different ammonium	concentrations
6.1 Introduction	6-1
6.2 Materials and methods	6-4
6.2.1 MFC design and setup	6-4
6.2.2 MFC operation	6-4
6.2.3 Calculation	6-5
6.2.4 Chemical analysis	6-5
6.3 Results and discussion	6-5
6.3.1 Electricity generation	6-5
6.3.2 COD removal	6-8
6.3.3 Nutrient recovery	6-10
6.4 Conclusion	6-13
CHAPTER 7 Nutrient recovery using a dual-cham	nber microbial fuel cell in
continuous flow mode under different hydraulic retenti	on time
7.1 Introduction	7-1
7.2 Materials and methods	7-3
7.2.1 MFC preparation	7-3
7.2.2 MFC design and operation	7-4
7.2.3 Calculations	7-4
7.3. Results and discussion	7-4
7.3.1 Electricity generation	7-4
7.3.2 COD removal	7-8
7.3.3 Recovery of nutrients	7-10
7.4 Conclusion	7-12
CHAPTER 8 Conclusions and recommendations	
8.1 Conclusions	8-1

8.2 Recommendations	8-3
References	R-1
Appendix	A-1

# LIST OF TABLES

Main types of membrane hybrid systems for nutrient recovery	2-2
from wastewater	
Advantages and disadvantages of various membrane hybrid	2-18
systems for nutrient recovery	
Energy analysis of different systems for the N recovery	2-23
(Kuntke et al., 2012; Maurer et al., 2003; Qin and He, 2014)	
Characteristics of the synthetic wastewater (displayed in the	3-2
case of COD: N: P = 300: 5: 1)	
Technical specifications of CMI-7000 CEM (Inc.)	3-3
Operational conditions of the double-chamber MFC	5-5
Composition of artificial municipal wastewater (Ye et al.,	6-4
2019a)	
Operational conditions of the dual-chamber MFC	6-5
Operational conditions of the dual-chamber MFC	7-4
	from wastewater  Advantages and disadvantages of various membrane hybrid systems for nutrient recovery  Energy analysis of different systems for the N recovery  (Kuntke et al., 2012; Maurer et al., 2003; Qin and He, 2014)  Characteristics of the synthetic wastewater (displayed in the case of COD: N: P = 300: 5: 1)  Technical specifications of CMI-7000 CEM (Inc.)  Operational conditions of the double-chamber MFC  Composition of artificial municipal wastewater (Ye et al., 2019a)  Operational conditions of the dual-chamber MFC

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Mechanism of membrane to concentrate and separate feed	1-3
	water (adapted from Fuchs and Drosg (2010))	
Figure 2.1	Schematic representation of the FO-based hybrid system for the	2-3
	nutrient recovery	
Figure 2.2	Schematic representation of the MD-based hybrid system for	2-5
	the nutrient recovery	
Figure 2.3	Schematic representation of the ED-based hybrid system for the	2-7
	nutrient recovery	
Figure 2.4	Basic principles of MFC and MEC (adapted from Wang and	2-9
	Ren (2013)). (A): MFC with electricity generation; (B): MEC	
	with hydrogen generation and external power supply.	
	Anode Bacterial; • : Gas (MFC: O <sub>2</sub> ; MEC: H <sub>2</sub> ); PS: Power	
	Supply; membrane: cation-exchange membrane.	
Figure 2.5	Schematic representation of the FO-MD hybrid system for the	2-13
	nutrient recovery	
Figure 2.6	Schematic representation of the MEC-FO hybrid system for the	2-14
	nutrient recovery	
Figure 2.7	Schematic of the OMBR hybrid system for direct nutrient	2-15
	recovery (adapted from Qiu and Ting (2014a))	
Figure 2.8	Schematic of the OMBR-MF hybrid system for direct nutrient	2-15
	recovery (modified from Qiu et al. (2015))	
Figure 3.1	Experimental set-up of MFC	3-5
Figure 3.2	The schematic: a) anode electrode; b) cathode electrode	3-5
Figure 3.3	The analytical instruments used in the experimental	3-6
	investigation: a) TOC analyser; b) pH meter; c) DO meter; d)	
	universal digital meter	
Figure 4.1	Schematic representation of nutrients accumulation using a	4-5
	double-chamber MFC at different operation modes of the anode	
	chamber. In this figure, CEM represents the cation exchange	
	membrane; represents the anaerobic microorganisms	

Figure 4.2	Schematic representation of double-chamber MFC for nutrients	4-6
	recovery. CEM represents the cation exchange membrane;	
	represents the anaerobic microorganisms	
Figure 4.3	Ammonium accumulation in the cathode chamber in the: (a)	4-8
	batch mode; (b) self-circulation mode; and (c)	
	single-continuous mode	
Figure 4.4	Changes in nutrient concentration in the: (a1) anode effluent	4-9
	with aeration supplied in catholyte; (a2) anode effluent without	
	aeration supplied in catholyte; (b1) cathode effluent with	
	aeration supplied in catholyte; (b2) cathode effluent without	
	aeration supplied in catholyte	
Figure 4.5	(a) EDS and (b) SEM image of the precipitates achieved in the	4-12
	cathode chamber in the double-chamber MFC	
Figure 4.6	Removals of NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> -P using double-chamber MFC	4-13
	with different separators	
Figure 5.1	COD removal efficiency vs operation time at different OLRs	5-6
	(435-870 mgCOD/L·d) in the dual-chamber MFC (daily	
	$averages \pm standard deviations)$	
Figure 5.2	Electricity generation vs operation time at different OLRs	5-7
	(435-870 mgCOD/L·d) in the dual-chamber MFC (daily	
	$averages \pm standard deviations)$	
Figure 5.3	Maximum voltage and power density vs OLR	5-9
Figure 5.4	Variations in the concentrations of (a) NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and (b) PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> -P	5-12
	in the anode and cathode effluents at different OLRs (435-870	
	mgCOD/L·d) in the dual-compartment MFC (daily	
	averages $\pm$ standard deviations)	
Figure 6.1	Energy output of the MFC system as per different influent	6-6
	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> –N concentration (5-40 mg/L)	
Figure 6.2	Coulombic efficiency and average powder density as per	6-8
	different influent NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> –N concentration (5-40 mg/L)	
Figure 6.3	COD removal efficiency of the MFC system as per different	6-9
	influent NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> –N concentration (5-40 mg/L)	

Figure 6.4	Average removal rate in the anode chamber and recovery rate	6-11
	of the double-chamber MFC: (a) $NH_4^+$ -N and (b) $PO_4^{3-}$ -P as per	
	different influent NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> –N concentration (5-40 mg/L)	
Figure 7.1	Power output vs operation time at different HRTs (0.35-0.69 d)	7-5
	in the double-compartment MFC (daily averages $\pm$ standard	
	deviations)	
Figure 7.2	Maximum voltage generated and corresponding power density	7-8
	at different HRTs (0.35-0.69 d) in the double-compartment	
	MFC (daily averages $\pm$ standard deviations)	
Figure 7.3	COD reduction efficiencies vs operation time at different HRTs	7-9
	(0.35-0.69 d) in the double-compartment MFC (daily	
	$averages \pm standard deviations)$	
Figure 7.4	Average removal and recovery efficiency of (a) NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and (b)	7-11
	PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> -P in the anode chamber and cathode chamber at different	
	HRTs (0.35-0.69 d) in the double-compartment MFC	

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

**Symbol** Description

AEM Anion-exchange membrane

AnMBRs Anaerobic membrane bioreactors

AnOMBRs Anaerobic osmotic membrane bioreactors

BES Bioelectrochemical system

BMED Bipolar membrane electrodialysis

CE Coulombic efficiency

CEM Cation exchange membrane
COD Chemical oxygen demand

DI Distilled

DO Dissolved oxygen

EBA Electrochemically active bacteria

ED Electrodialysis

EDS Energy dispersive spectroscopy

EMBR Enhanced biological phosphorus removal

F/M ratio Food-to-microorganisms ratio

FO Forward osmosis

HRT Hydraulic retention time

MBR Membrane bioreactor
MD Membrane distillation

MEC Microbial electrolysis cell

MF Microfiltration

MFC Microbial fuel cell

MLSS Mixed liquor suspended solids

MRC Microbial recovery cell

NW Nonwoven

OLR Organic loading rate

OMBR Osmotic membrane bioreactor

PAOs Phosphate accumulating microorganisms

PS Power supply

RO Reverse osmosis

SEM Scanning electron microscopy

SWRO Seawater reverse osmosis

TOC Total organic carbon

UF Ultrafiltration

UTS University of Technology, Sydney

VFAs Volatile fatty acids

WHO World Health Organization Guidelines

WWTPs Wastewater treatment plants

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

**Symbol** Description

A Surface area of the anode electrode (in the present case on both

sides)

Al Aluminum

C Carbon

C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>6</sub> Glucose

Ca Calcium

Ca<sup>2+</sup> Ironized calcium

Ca<sub>5</sub>(OH)(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> Hydroxylapatite, HAP

CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O Calcium chloride

CaO Calcium oxide

C<sub>E</sub> Coulombic efficiency

CH<sub>4</sub> Methane

CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon dioxide

CoCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O Cobalt chloride

CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O Cupric sulphate

e Electron

F Faraday's constant at 96485 C/mol

Fe Iron

FeCl<sub>3</sub> Ferric chloride anhydrous

FePO<sub>4</sub> Ferric phosphate

H<sup>+</sup> Proton

H<sub>2</sub> Hydrogen

H<sub>2</sub>O Water

H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> Dihydrogen phosphate

H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> Sulphuric acid

H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> Phosphoric acid

HCl Hydrogen chloride

HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> Hydrogen phosphate

I Current

K Potassium

K<sup>+</sup> Ironized potassiumKCl Potassium chloride

KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> Potassium dihydrogen phosphate

Mg Magnesium

Mg<sup>2+</sup> Ironized magnesium
MgCl<sub>2</sub> Magnesium dichloride

MgNH<sub>4</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O Struvite, MAP

MgO Magnesium oxide

MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O Magnesium sulphate

N Nitrogen

N<sub>2</sub> Nitrogen gas

Na Sodium

Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O Sodium molybdate dehydrate

Na<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> Sodium phosphate NaCl Sodium chloride

NaHCO<sub>3</sub> Sodium bicarbonate

NaOH Sodium hydroxide

NH<sub>3</sub> Free ammonia

NH<sub>3</sub> (aq) Aqueous ammonia

NH<sub>3</sub>-N Ammonia nitrogen

NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> Ionized ammonia

NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N Ammonium nitrogen NH<sub>4</sub>Cl Ammonium chloride

NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> Ammonium bicarbonate

O Oxygen

O<sub>2</sub> Oxygen gas
OH<sup>-</sup> Hydroxyl

P Power output
P Phosphorus

P<sub>A</sub> Powder density

PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> Hydrogen phosphate

PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup>-P Hydrogen phosphate phosphorus

Pt/C Platinum on carbon

R Resistor

R<sup>2</sup> Correlation coefficient

U Voltage

 $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$  Zinc sulphate

 $\Delta$ COD The amount of COD removed

# Ph.D. DISSERTATION ABSTRACT

**Author:** Yuanyao Ye

**Date:** 30 June 2019

**Thesis title:** Development of a novel microbial fuel cell for

nutrient recovery from synthetic municipal

wastewater

Faculty: Faculty of Environmental and Information

Technology

**School:** Civil and Environmental Engineering

**Supervisors:** Prof. Huu Hao Ngo (Principal supervisor)

Prof. Wenshan Guo (Alternative supervisor)

Dr. Yiwen Liu (Co-supervisor)

### **Abstract**

Microbial fuel cell (MFC) is currently considered as a promising technology for wastewater treatment. This study aims to evaluate the feasibility of a double-chamber MFC to remove nutrients toward their recovery from municipal wastewater. In this scenario, the nutrient recovery can be obtained with the MFC reactor and there is no need of adding chemicals for the pH increase. Besides, energy recovery can also be achieved, which could increase the economic feasibility of this recovery system. Results showed that phosphate ions were not detected in the catholyte when the anode chamber and cathode chamber were not hydraulically connected; in contrast, the accumulation of ammonium was achieved in the cathode chamber under this situation. When anode effluent was used as the influent of the cathode compartment, nutrients can be recovered by chemical precipitation at high pH generated by the MFC itself while supplying aeration in the cathode chamber. Besides, partial phosphate and ammonium were

removed by microbial absorption in the anode compartment. It was found that double-chamber MFC with the cation exchange membrane (CEM) as the separator reported the best nutrients removal compared to the forward osmosis membrane and nonwoven acting as the separator. Therefore, the MFC with CEM serving as the separator was utilized in the subsequent experiments.

The impacts of organic loading rate (OLR) (435-870 mgCOD/L·d) on nutrients recovery via the double-chamber MFC for treating domestic wastewater were also evaluated. Experimental results suggested the MFC could successfully treat municipal wastewater with over 90% of organics being removed at a wider range of OLR from 435 to 725 mgCOD/L·d. Besides, the maximum power density achieved in the MFC was 254 mW/m² at the OLR of 435 mgCOD/L·d. Higher OLR may disrupt the recovery of PO<sub>4</sub>³-P and NH<sub>4</sub>+N via the MFC. The same pattern was observed for the coulombic efficiency of the MFC and its highest value was 25.01% at the OLR of 435 mgCOD/L·d.

The dual-chamber MFC was then continuously operated under different influent concentrations of ammonium-nitrogen (5 to 40 mg/L). Experimental results demonstrated that this MFC reactor achieved > 85% of COD removed. Moreover, excess ammonium concentration in the feed solution may compromise the generation of electricity. Simultaneously, the recovery of phosphate achieved in the MFC was not significantly influenced at the wider influent ammonium concentration. In contrast, a high concentration of ammonium may not be beneficial for its recovery.

In addition, the effect of hydraulic retention time (HRT) on the recovery of nutrients by the MFC system was studied. The COD removal rates were relatively stable while varying HRT from 0.35 to 0.69 d, which were over 92%. Similarly, the changes in the recovery rate of nutrients were negligible while increasing the HRT. In contrast, the maximum power generation declined when HRT increased.

**Keywords:** Domestic wastewater; Microbial fuel cell; Nutrients recovery; Energy recovery; Ammonium concentration effect; Hydraulic retention time; Organic loading rate; Chemical precipitation.