

IMPROVING DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION METHODS FOR VOLATILE ORGANIC EXPLOSIVES

By

Vitor Cesar Taranto

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences

University of Technology Sydney

2019

Certificate of Authorship and Originality

I, Vitor Cesar Taranto, certify that the work in this thesis has not been previously submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that I am the author of this project and this thesis has been written by me. All the people and institutions involved in the building of this project have been rightfully acknowledged and the information sources and literature used are indicated in the captions and final references of this work.

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Vitor Cesar Taranto

15.11.2019

This research is supported by:

- The Australian Government Research Training Program.
- The Science Without Borders Scholarship from the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) of the ministry of Brazil (201677/2014-8).

DEDICATION

TO MY AUNT AURELIA

**COM MUITO AMOR À MINHA TIA LELÉ, A PESSOA MAIS DOCE QUE
TIVE O PRAZER DE CONVIVER EM MINHA VIDA.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost a special thank you to my primary supervisor, Prof. Shari Forbes. Thank you for accepting this challenge, bringing me into your research group and giving me so much of your time and attention. I really appreciate your guidance and support. I am really grateful for the opportunity to be able to learn from such an amazing and inspiring person. To my second primary supervisor, Prof. Philip Doble, thank you for all the motivation, talks and signatures. It is amazing to think that all this started with an unpretentious chat back in 2010.

To all my co-supervisors, present and past. Dr. Lucas Blanes for pulling me into this, sharing with me my first steps back into academia, teaching me how to be a scientist and that party is a science. Dr. Maiken Ueland, one of the strongest and most driven person I have ever met. The way you face adversities and step up whenever is needed is truly inspirational. Thank you for your time and support! Dr. Val Spikmans and Prof. Chris Lennard for guiding me through the research conducted at Western Sydney University and always receiving me with a smile. And lastly a thank you to Prof. Barbara Stuart who always have the right words and is never too busy to help.

To all the canine and handler teams who gave their time to valuably contribute to my PhD project. Thank you for your continued support, enthusiasm and valuable contributions. It has been a pleasure to work alongside your teams, and I am grateful for the expertise provided and shared.

For providing financial support and consumables for my PhD I would like to thank the University of Technology Sydney and the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq). Without their financial support this project would not have been possible.

I have been very fortunate to be a member of many great teams throughout my PhD degree. From the Lab on a Chip group I would like to thank Matt who shared the remarkable CE journey with me, Tash for all the patience and James. From the analytical group I would like to thank all PhD students and researchers from whom I don't stop learning. And a special thank you to all the Forbes' research group, now transitioning with the addition of incredible and enthusiastic people and the guidance of Dr. Maiken

Ueland. So many great memories were built with this amazing team of people. I would like to specially acknowledge: Dr. Katie Nizio, my first boss always ready to help; Dr. Katelynn Perrault, for the words and schematics; Dr. LaTara Rust for sharing with me so much about scent detection dogs; Darshil Patel for the memories built on our journeys to AFTER; Nikki Catarossi, for all smiles and cheering; Sharni Collins for being light in dark days, so much love for you; and so many other people present and past.

To my beautiful Syd for showing me that home can have a heartbeat. For being my partner, taking care of me and our home in all my absence, for the hugs, tears and laughter. “Work hard. Do your best. Be great. Love you”. I will never forget that. This PhD is as much yours as it is mine!

To all my extended family and friends: Clem and kendo family for all the gaming, talking, cheering and support. Ao BFP e Pépe, meus irmãos de coração, com quem eu dividi meus melhores e mais constrengedores momentos e a minha prima Dayanne, a família que eu encontrei do outro lado do mundo, por ser minha versão feminina e por parecer que estamos dentro da cabeça um do outro. À minha prima Natalia, porque a gente briga e fica junto desde antes de virmos para este mundo.

Finally my most sincere appreciation to my family. À minha família a base de quem eu sou e minha maior razão. A minha mãe, porque todo amor do mundo não explica, porque eu cuido dela igualzinho um cão e porque eu sou sim o menino mimado da mamãe. Meu Pai, simplesmente meu herói, meu maior exemplo de homem, de vitória e de como cuidar de quem se ama. Ao meu irmão Diogo pelos abraços e tapas na cara virtuais, por ter estado lá quando eu precisei e por ser simplesmente essencial a minha existência. Minha irmã Marcela, uma das pessoas mais fortes que já conheci que me mostra a cada dia como se enfrenta as batalhas da vida. E ao meu vô por todas as conversas leves segunda de manhã.

It has been an incredible rollercoaster but if I could change something... I would, a lot! Such an amazing learning experience I'm so grateful for my life and everyone that has been with me through this journey. Thank you, thank you and thank you!

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP AND ORIGINALITY	II
DEDICATION.....	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	X
LIST OF TABLES.....	XIII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	XIV
PUBLICATIONS.....	XVII
CONFERENCES.....	XVIII
ABSTRACT.....	XIX
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	2
1.1 EXPLOSIVES.....	2
1.1.1 <i>Classification</i>	3
1.2 CHEMICAL DETECTION OF EXPLOSIVES.....	4
1.2.1 <i>Portable Instruments</i>	5
1.2.2 <i>Benchtop Instruments</i>	9
1.2.2.1 Capillary Electrophoresis (CE).....	10
1.2.2.2 Gas Chromatography – Mass Spectrometry.....	12
1.2.2.2.1 Solid Phase Micro-Extraction.....	14
1.2.2.3 GC×GC-TOFMS.....	17
1.3 BIOLOGICAL DETECTION OF EXPLOSIVES.....	18
1.3.1.1 Explosive Detection Dogs (EDDs).....	19
1.4 PROJECT AIMS AND JUSTIFICATION.....	22
CHAPTER 2: ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES USING CE-UV AND CE-C⁴D	25
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	25
2.1.1 <i>Capacitively Coupled Contactless Conductivity Detection (C⁴D)</i>	25
2.1.2 <i>Lab-On-A-Robot</i>	27
2.2 EXPERIMENTAL.....	27
2.2.1 <i>Instruments</i>	27
2.2.2 <i>Reagents</i>	28
2.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	29
2.3.1 <i>CE-UV</i>	29
2.3.2 <i>CE-C⁴D</i>	32
2.3.2.1 Phosphate Buffer.....	33
2.3.2.2 Acetic acid.....	34
2.3.2.3 Flow Reversal.....	34
2.3.2.4 Cyclodextrins.....	35
2.3.2.5 Other tests.....	36
2.4 CONCLUSIONS.....	36
CHAPTER 3: THE APPLICATION OF MICROCHIP-CE AND TRANSPORTABLE GC-MS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVES 39	
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	39
3.2 THE AGILENT BIOANALYZER.....	39
3.2.1 <i>Experimental</i>	40
3.2.1.1 Reagents.....	40

3.2.1.2	Instrument	40
3.2.1.3	Liquid Analysis	41
3.2.1.4	Vapour Analysis	42
3.2.2	RESULTS	44
3.2.2.1	Liquid Analysis	44
3.2.2.2	Vapour Analysis	45
3.3	THE GRIFFIN 450™ GC-MS	48
3.3.1	<i>Experimental</i>	49
3.3.1.1	Reagents	49
3.3.1.2	Instrument	49
3.3.1.3	Liquid Injections	51
3.3.1.4	Vapour Injections	51
3.3.2	<i>Results</i>	51
3.3.2.1	Liquid Injections	51
3.3.2.2	Instrument Issues	53
3.4	CONCLUSIONS	56
3.4.1	<i>Portability</i>	56
3.4.2	<i>Bioanalyzer Liquid and Vapour Analysis</i>	57
3.4.3	<i>Griffin 450 GC-MS Liquid Analysis</i>	58
CHAPTER 4: THE USE OF GC-MS AND GC×GC-TOFMS FOR THE PROFILING OF VOCS FROM EXPLOSIVE STANDARDS AND TRAINING AIDS		61
4.1	INTRODUCTION	61
4.1.1	<i>Headspace - Solid Phase MicroExtraction (HS-SPME)</i>	61
4.1.2	<i>Mass Spectrometry Detection</i>	61
4.1.3	<i>HS-SPME-GC-MS</i>	62
4.1.4	<i>HS-SPME-GC×GC-TOFMS</i>	62
4.2	HS-SPME-GC-MS ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVE TRAINING AIDS USING A CONVENTIONAL CHROMATOGRAPHY METHOD	63
4.2.1	<i>Materials and Methods</i>	63
4.2.1.1	Training Aids	63
4.2.1.2	VOC Collection Using SPME	64
4.2.1.3	HS-SPME Extraction of Explosive Training Aids	64
4.2.1.4	GC-MS Instrumental Parameters	65
4.2.1.5	Data Analysis and Interpretation	66
4.2.2	<i>Results</i>	66
4.2.2.1	Headspace Analysis	66
4.2.2.2	Fibre Comparison	68
4.2.2.3	TNT Based Explosives	69
4.2.2.4	Tagged Explosive Sample	71
4.2.2.5	Plastic Explosives	73
4.2.2.6	Blasting Agents	74
4.2.2.7	Propellants (Powders)	75
4.2.3	<i>Summary</i>	77
4.3	EXPLOSIVE TRAINING AIDS STABILITY ANALYSIS	77
4.3.1	<i>Materials and Methods</i>	78
4.3.1.1	Samples and Extraction Process	78
4.3.1.2	Degradation Studies	78
4.3.2	<i>Results and Discussion</i>	79
4.3.3	<i>Summary</i>	80
4.4	HS-SPME-GC-MS ANALYSIS OF LIQUID STANDARDS USING A FAST CHROMATOGRAPHY METHOD	81
4.4.1	<i>Materials and Methods</i>	81
4.4.1.1	Standards	81
4.4.1.2	HS-SPME Extraction from Standards	82
4.4.1.3	GC-MS Instrumental Parameters	83
4.4.1.4	Data Analysis and Interpretation	83
4.4.2	<i>Results and Discussion</i>	84
4.4.2.1	Extraction Time	84

4.4.2.2	Standard Analysis.....	85
4.4.2.3	Limits of Detection.....	86
4.4.3	<i>Summary</i>	87
4.5	HS-SPME-GC×GC-TOFMS ANALYSIS OF LIQUID STANDARDS USING A FAST CHROMATOGRAPHY METHOD.....	89
4.5.1	<i>Materials and Methods</i>	89
4.5.1.1	Standards.....	89
4.5.1.2	HS-SPME Extraction from Dried Standards.....	89
4.5.1.3	GC×GC-TOFMS Instrumental Parameters.....	90
4.5.1.4	Data Analysis and Interpretation.....	90
4.5.2	<i>Results and Discussion</i>	91
4.5.2.1	Standards Analysis.....	91
4.5.2.2	Limits of Detection.....	93
4.5.3	<i>Summary</i>	94
4.6	HS-SPME-GC×GC-TOFMS ANALYSIS OF EXPLOSIVE TRAINING AIDS USING A FAST CHROMATOGRAPHY METHOD.....	94
4.6.1	<i>Materials and Methods</i>	94
4.6.1.1	Samples and Extraction.....	94
4.6.1.2	GC×GC-TOFMS Instrumental Parameters.....	95
4.6.1.3	Data Analysis.....	95
4.6.2	<i>Results and Discussion</i>	96
4.6.2.1	TNT Based Explosives.....	96
4.6.2.2	Tagged Explosive Sample.....	98
4.6.2.3	Plastic Explosives.....	99
4.6.2.4	Blasting Agents.....	101
4.6.2.5	Propellants (Powders).....	102
4.6.3	<i>Comparison of VOCs Identified</i>	103
4.6.4	<i>Principle Component Analysis (PCA)</i>	106
4.6.5	<i>Summary</i>	108
4.7	CONCLUSION.....	109
CHAPTER 5: EXPLOSIVE DETECTION DOGS' RESPONSE TO VOCs IDENTIFIED IN THE HEADSPACE OF EXPLOSIVE TRAINING AIDS.....		112
5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	112
5.1.1	<i>Goals</i>	112
5.1.2	<i>Previous research</i>	113
5.1.3	<i>Canine Detection of Explosives</i>	115
5.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	115
5.2.1	<i>Standards</i>	116
5.2.2	<i>Choice of Substrate</i>	117
5.2.3	<i>Explosive Detection Dog Teams</i>	117
5.2.4	<i>Experimental Design</i>	118
5.2.5	<i>Data Analysis</i>	123
5.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	123
5.3.1	<i>Target Odour Analysis</i>	124
5.3.2	<i>Detection Limits</i>	127
5.3.3	<i>Substrate</i>	129
5.3.4	<i>Dog Responses</i>	131
5.3.5	<i>Dog Characteristics</i>	134
5.4	CONCLUSIONS.....	139
5.4.1	<i>Target Odour Analysis</i>	139
5.4.2	<i>Detection Limits</i>	140
5.4.3	<i>Substrate</i>	140
5.4.4	<i>Dog Responses</i>	141
5.4.5	<i>Dog characteristics</i>	142
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....		144
APPENDICES.....		163

APPENDIX A:	164
APPENDIX B:	167
APPENDIX C:	172

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Schematic diagram of capillary electrophoresis separation and diode array detection (DAD).....	11
Figure 1-2: Schematic of a MEKC separation process.....	11
Figure 1-3: Schematic of a GC-MS design	13
Figure 1-4: SPME extraction using the headspace mode	15
Figure 1-5: Schematic of a GC×GC design	18
Figure 2-1: Size comparison of a C ⁴ D system and a Brazilian Real coin.....	26
Figure 2-2: Electropherogram of the separation of 13 organic explosives at 10 ppm	30
Figure 2-3: Electropherogram of the separation of 11 organic explosives and the internal standard at 20 ppm.....	31
Figure 3-1: The Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100	40
Figure 3-2: RNA 500 microchip design.....	41
Figure 3-3: Agilent Priming Station	42
Figure 3-4: Vapour extraction and paper chad analysis method.....	43
Figure 3-5: Electropherogram of the separation of 9 µl of a 20 ng/µL mixture of eight explosives	44
Figure 3-6: Electropherogram comparison between vapour and liquid analysis using the Bioanalyser.....	46
Figure 3-7: The Griffin 450™ Gas Chromatograph–Mass Spectrometer.....	49
Figure 3-8: Chromatogram of a mixture of 14 explosives analysed using the Griffin 450™	52
Figure 3-9: Screenshot taken from the first filament failure	54
Figure 3-10: Screenshot of software feature that allowed the switch between filaments.....	55
Figure 3-11: Photo taken from computer screen demonstrating issues after filament swap. ..	55
Figure 4-1: Training aid sampling scheme	65
Figure 4-2: Chromatograms of VOCs from explosive training aids.....	67
Figure 4-3: Comparison between a) PDMS and b) PDMS/DVB fibres for the HS-SPME-GC-MS analysis of a high explosive (Pentolite).....	68
Figure 4-4: Comparison between a) PDMS and b) PDMS/DVB fibres for the HS-SPME-GC-MS analysis of a low explosive (Smokeless Powder)	69
Figure 4-5: Chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of cast explosives used as training aids for EDDs	70

Figure 4-6: Chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of a tagged explosive used as training aids for EDDs.....	72
Figure 4-7: Chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of plastic explosives used as training aids for EDDs.....	73
Figure 4-8: Chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of blasting agents used as training aids for EDDs	75
Figure 4-9: Chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of propellants used as training aids for EDDs	76
Figure 4-10: Average abundance for the selected compounds of interest from all training aids analysed	79
Figure 4-11: Schematic of SPME extraction from dried explosive samples	83
Figure 4-12: Effect of the SPME fibre exposure time on the extraction of TNT, DNT and DMDNB standards	84
Figure 4-13: Extracted ion chromatogram of a mixture of seven explosive related compounds	86
Figure 4-14: Two dimensional plot of HS-SPME GC×GC-TOFMS analysis of VOCs extracted from a certified mixture of nitroaromatics and nitramines	91
Figure 4-15: Two dimensional chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of TNT based explosives.....	97
Figure 4-16: Two dimensional chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of a tagged explosive	99
Figure 4-17: Two dimensional chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of plastic explosives.....	100
Figure 4-18: Two dimensional chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of a blasting agent	101
Figure 4-19: Two dimensional chromatogram of VOCs detected in the headspace of propellants	102
Figure 4-20: Principal component analysis	106
Figure 5-1: Aluminium tins used to present the samples during the EDD trials.....	119
Figure 5-2: Scent line-up and sample placement	120
Figure 5-3: Example of one scent line-up	122
Figure 5-4: Overall percentage of true positive alerts.....	124
Figure 5-5: Overall true positive response.....	125
Figure 5-6: Percentage of true positive alerts per sample	127

Figure 5-7: A comparison between true positive alerts recorded per concentration per trial day	128
Figure 5-8: A breakdown of true positive alerts yielded per concentration of each target	129
Figure 5-9: Total number of alerts for target odour and controls	130
Figure 5-10: Percentage of true positive versus false positive alerts	132
Figure 5-11: Total number of false positive alerts recorded for EDDs per trial.....	133
Figure 5-12: Percentage of true positive and false positive alerts recorded for the three target odours by EDDs divided by working experience into three groups.....	135
Figure 5-13: Percentage of true positive and false positive alerts recorded for the three target odours by EDDs divided into three groups by breed.....	136
Figure 5-14: Percentage of true positive and false positive alerts recorded for the three target odours by EDDs divided into two groups based on gender.....	136
Figure 5-15: Percentage of true positive and false positive alerts recorded for the three target odours by EDDs based on attendance at trials.....	137

List of Tables

Table 1-1: Common high explosives, their acronyms and uses, classified by sensitivity and chemical structure	4
Table 2-1: Abbreviation and classification of selected explosives analysed using CE-UV	29
Table 2-2: Figures of merit for the analytes separated and detected using the MEKC for CE separation and UV detection	32
Table 3-1: Values for the average retention time and minimum detectable masses for liquid analysis and vapour extraction in the paper chad, and average recovery rates for the vapour analysis when compared to the liquid analysis (n=4)	47
Table 3-2: GRIFFIN 450 GC-MS operational method for the detection of trace explosives	50
Table 3-3: Limits of quantification found by linearity for the 7 target explosives chosen for the GRIFFIN 450 GC-MS experiments. 1 μ L of each standard in different concentrations was injected individually into the instrument (n=3)	53
Table 4-1: List of real explosives used as training aids sampled for the VOC profiling experiments, including weight and major compound as described in the supplied MSDS.....	64
Table 4-2: List of compounds chosen for the degradation studies	78
Table 4-3: List of standards analysed by HS-SPME-GC-MS, grouped by use, including individual classification.....	82
Table 4-4: Figures of merit for the liquid standards analysed with HS-SPME-GC-MS.....	87
Table 4-5: List of all eighteen compounds present in the EPA Method 8330B grouped by explosive classification.....	91
Table 4-6: Figures of merit for the standards analysed with HS-SPME-GC \times GC-TOFMS.....	93
Table 4-7: List of compounds identified per explosive analysed by HS-SPME-GC \times GC-TOFMS	105
Table 5-1: Summary of the EDD teams that participated in the trials and their background ..	118
Table 5-2: Summary of the responses observed by EDDs during trials	123
Table 5-3: Comparison between all canines according to the factors that may influence their response to the target odours	134

List of Abbreviations and Symbols

1D	One Dimensional
2D	Two Dimensional
2-NT	Ortho-Nitrotoluene
4-NT	Para-Nitrotoluene
ANFO	Ammonium Nitrate Fuel
ANN	Artificial Neural Networks
BGE	Background Electrolyte
C ⁴ D	Capacitively Coupled Contactless Conductivity Detection
CAR	Carbowax
CE	Capillary Electrophoresis
CI	Chemical Ionisation
CIT	Cylindrical Ion-Trap
CIT-MS	Cylindrical Ion-Trap - Mass Spectrometer
CMC	Critical Micellar Concentration
CTAB	Cetyltrimethylammonium Bromide
CW/DVB	Carbowax-Divinylbenzene
DDNP	Diazodinitrophenol
DI	Direct Immersion
DMDNB	2,3-dimethyl-2,3-dinitrobutane
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
DNT	2,4-Dinitrotoluene
DVB	Divinylbenzene
EDDs	Explosive Detection Dogs
EI	Electron Ionization
EOF	Electroosmotic Flow
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared
GC	Gas Chromatography
GC-ECD	Gas Chromatography - Electron Capture Detector
GC-MS	Gas Chromatography - Mass Spectrometry
GC×GC	Two Dimensional Gas Chromatography

GC×GC-TOFMS	Two Dimensional Gas Chromatography - Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry
GSR	Gunshot Residue
His	Histidine
HMDs	Home-made Devices
HMTD	Hexamethylene Triperoxide
HMX	Octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
HS	Headspace
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
IEDs	Improvised Explosive Devices
IMS	Ion Mobility Spectrometry
IR	Infrared
LED-IF	Light Emitting Diode Induced Fluorescence
LIF	Laser Induced Fluorescence
LOAR-1	Lab-on-a-Robot-1
LOAR-2	Lab-on-a-Robot-2
LOAR-3	Lab-on-a-Robot-3
LOC	Lab-on-a-Chip
LOD	Limit of Detection
m/z	Mass-to-charge
MEKC	Micellar Electrokinetic Chromatography
MES	2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic Acid
MS	Mass Spectrometry
MS/MS	Tandem Mass Spectrometry
NB	Nitrobenzene
NFSTC	National Forensic Science Technology Center
ng	Nanograms
NICI	Negative Ion Chemical Ionization
NMR	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
NSWPDU	New South Wales Police Dog Unit
PA	Polyacrylate
PDMS	Polydimethylsiloxane

PDMS/DVB	Polydimethylsiloxane/divinylbenzene
PETN	Pentaerythritol Tetranitrate
PICI	Positive Ion Chemical Ionization
pg	Picogram
ppb	Parts per billion
ppt	Parts per trillion
QIT	Quadrupole Ion Trap
RDX	Cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine
RNA	Ribonucleic Acid
RSD	Relative Standard Deviation
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
SERS	Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy
SPE	Screen-Printed Electrodes
SPME	Solid Phase Microextraction
TATP	Triacetone Triperoxide
Tetryl	2,4,6-tetranitro-N-methylaniline
TNB	1,3,5-trinitrobenzene
TNT	2,4,6-trinitrotoluene
TOF	Time of Flight
TOFMS	Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry
µg	Micrograms
UV	Ultraviolet
UV-vis	Ultraviolet – Visible Spectroscopy
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound

PUBLICATIONS

Taranto, V., Ueland, M., Forbes, S.L. and Blanes, L. 2019. The analysis of nitrate explosive vapour samples using Lab-on-a-chip instrumentation. *Journal of Chromatography A*. Accepted 3 June 2019.

CONFERENCES

Taranto, V., Blanes, L., and Forbes, S.L. “Development of an electronic nose to detect volatile explosives“. Oral presentation. The University of Technology Sydney – Western Sydney University Student Research Symposium. Sydney, NSW, Australia. 07 July 2016

Taranto, V., Ueland, M., Forbes, S.L. and Blanes, L, “The Analysis of Organic Explosives in Vapour Samples Using a Lab-On-A-Chip Instrument“. Poster presentation. 21st Triennial Meeting of the International Association of Forensic Sciences. Toronto, ON, Canada. 20 August 2017

Taranto, V., Ueland, M., Forbes, S.L. and Blanes, L, “VOC profiling of training aids used for explosive detection dogs “. Oral presentation. 8th European Academy of Forensic Science Conference. Lyon, France. 27 August 2018

Taranto, V., Ueland, M., and Forbes, S.L. “The Analysis of Organic Explosives in Vapour Samples Using a Lab-On-A-Chip Instrument“. Poster presentation. 8th European Academy of Forensic Science Conference. Lyon, France. 27 August 2018

Taranto, V., Ueland, M., and Forbes, S.L. “VOC profiling of training aids used for explosive detection dogs “. Oral presentation. 24th Australia – New Zealand Forensic Science Society International Symposium. Perth, WA, Australia. 13 September 2018

Taranto, V., Ueland, M., Forbes, S.L. and Blanes, L, “The Analysis of Organic Explosives in Vapour Samples Using a Lab-On-A-Chip Instrument“. Poster presentation. 24th Australia – New Zealand Forensic Science Society International Symposium. Perth, WA, Australia. 13 September 2018 – Awarded Best Poster for Fires and Explosives Section.

ABSTRACT

Although numerous chemical detection methods have been posited and tested for portability and detection of explosives, to date no method has solved the simultaneous issue of speed, reliability, selectivity and sensitivity. In order to advance the chemical and biological detection of explosives as screening tools in search areas, it is necessary to understand the key volatile organic compounds (VOCs) produced and detected by explosives. This thesis aimed to investigate a range of chemical detection methods, including portable and benchtop, to gain a better understanding of the VOCs produced in the headspace of commonly utilised explosives. The first stage of this project focused on the investigation of a previously reported capillary electrophoresis (CE) system coupled to an oscilometric detector (C4D) but with limited success. The second stage of this project focused on the study of commercially-available techniques. A lab-on-a-chip (LOC) was repurposed and successfully used to detect explosive residues in liquid and vapour samples. The Agilent 2100™ Bioanalyzer showed recovery rates of 29, 45 and 75 % for the three nitrate explosives investigated. A transportable gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) system was also tested, however due to several issues presented the instrument was not able to perform headspace analysis. Instead, a benchtop GC-MS and a two dimensional gas chromatograph (GC×GC) coupled to a time of flight mass spectrometer (TOFMS) were investigated. The conventional GC-MS method proved to be inefficient for headspace profiling, whereas the GC×GC-TOFMS was successful in separating and detecting the key VOCs from explosive samples. 2,3-dimethyl-2,3-dinitrobutane (DMDNB), 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT), and 1,3-dinitrobenzene (DNB) were identified as the most significant VOCs and subsequently used in the final stage of this project to compare the chemical detection methods with biological detection methods. Accredited explosive detection dogs (EDDs) were exposed to varying concentrations of the three significant VOCs. The study demonstrated that the dogs increased their response over time and with exposure to the standards, demonstrating a learning curve to the target odour. This study has demonstrated comparable sensitivity between EDDs and the benchtop GC×GC-TOFMS method, however canines are still considered the most effective real-time method for screening of explosives, due to their speed and selectivity over large areas. This thesis has advanced our understanding on the VOCs that comprise

the odour profile of explosives and will assist with the future enhancement of chemical and biological detection methods.

Keywords: explosive vapours, volatile organic compounds, chemical detection methods, microchip-CE, gas chromatography, mass spectrometry, headspace analysis, explosive detection dogs.