Making New Environmental Knowledges: EIAs and Public Hearings on Large Dams in Northeast India

Manju Menon

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of Doctor of Philosophy Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences University of Technology Sydney

January 2020

CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP

I, Manju Menon declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note: Signature removed prior to publication.

Manju Menon Date: 11.1.2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I owe a huge debt of gratitude and thanks to my supervisor Prof. Devleena Ghosh and my alternate supervisor Prof. James Goodman for their guidance, support and encouragement. The many discussions and chapter feedback sessions with them were not only valuable for this thesis but to shape my understanding of the values and purposes of academic research itself.

I owe particular thanks to Prof. Heather Goodall for her invaluable feedback and guidance on specific chapters. Her interest in my research and enthusiasm to discuss the themes related to my research were a source of much inspiration to me. I have greatly benefited from the academic mentorship of Prof. K. Sivaramakrishnan, Prof. Rohan D'Souza, Prof. Kiran Asher, Prof. Mahesh Rangarajan and Prof. Duncan McDuie-Ra. Their guidance helped me develop my approach to academic research. I am very grateful for their support.

I learned a lot from the many workshops and seminars hosted at FASS, UTS. The discussions at these workshops helped immensely to shape ideas and conceptual frameworks for this thesis. I feel lucky to have been at UTS when the Climate Justice Centre was being set up. It was an intellectual home for me even before it came into existence. To the wonderful 'coal-rush' project team - Stuart Rosewarne, Linda Connor, Tom Morton, Jon Marshall, Rebecca Pearse, Katja Muller and Ashwin Thomas a huge thank you for your friendship and for all the inspiration. It was exciting to have these multiple 'research' pots cooking.

This thesis would not have been possible without the scholarships from UTS and I am very grateful for the support. The University's flexible study program helped me find my own learning pace and style. I thank the research training faculty at the University for nurturing our cohort through useful orientation sessions on research planning and writing skills.

I benefited a lot from the wisdom and experience of friends and colleagues during my work as a campaigner in Northeast India. My admiration for their work has only grown through these years as I revisited the range of knowledge outputs produced by them on environmental and development challenges in this region. Though it is impossible to name them all here, I would like to thank a few friends and colleagues for helping me understand the governance complexities on large dams and Northeast India. Neeraj Vagholikar, Ashish Kothari, Bittu Sahgal, Dr. Goutam Narayan, Nandita Hazarika, Dr. Asad Rahmani, Himanshu Thakkar, Walter Fernandes, Ritwick Dutta, Shekhar Singh, Dr Anwaruddin Choudhury, Sanjoy Barbora, W. Ramananda, Roy Laifungbam, Raju Mimi, Tone Mickrow and friends in the Dibang valley, Mr. K.Krong, Dr. Tayang and friends in the Lohit valley, Bamang Anthony, Jarjum Ete, Dr. G. N Sinha and Tomi Ete in Itanagar, Tseten Lepcha, Dawa Lepcha and Raman Shreshtha in Sikkim, Ravindranath and the RVC crew, Bikul Goswami, Bhaskar Bora and friends in Dhemaji and Lakhimpur, Firoz Ahmed, Bibhuti Prasad and Bibhab Talukdar at Aaranyak, Prof. Dulal Goswami and Dr. Partha Jyoti Das at Gauhati University, Imtienla Ao, Dr. Vincent Darlong, Dr. Promode Kant, Amba Jamir, Dr. Dhrupad Choudhury and members of the amazing *jhumia* research and advocacy network.

Many wonderful people looked after me during this period of thesis writing. I am grateful to Heather Goodall, Paul Torzillo and Hillary Dunn for making my stay in Sydney splendid. Watching crime serials on TV together was simply the best way to unwind after hours of thesis work. I thank Kerry Little, Deborah Nixon, Amy Thomas, Ruchira Talukdar and Mukesh Kumar for their warm friendship and talking endlessly with me about India and Australia. Many friends at Level 3 helped me navigate through the University systems and student life in Sydney. Thanks to them for all the support and for turning up at my DAs.

Three families waited patiently for me to complete my thesis. Their unconditional love and their own personal histories of struggle have been a source of strength and inspiration always. To the Kalshians, the Kohlis and my dear mob at 755, I can't thank you enough. Acha and Amma, Kal and KK, you are my heroes. I owe very special thanks Betsy, Lisa, Juno, Dorje, Devi, Missy and Smudge, for their company and cuddles. I'm grateful for all the times they found their way to my desk and stayed for a little while.

CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ACRONYMS ABSTRACT

CHAPTER 1: NEW GEOGRAPHIES FOR CLEAN

POWER	1-27
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Objectives, Approaches and Methods	5
1.3 Theoretical Frameworks	8
1.4 Literature Review	12
1.5 Analysis and Research Contribution	17
1.6 Thesis Chapters	25

2.1 Large Dams, Development and Modernity2	:9
2.2 Social and Environmental Impacts of Large Dams	34
2.3 Elusive Benefits and Systemic Unviability of Dams4	.1
2.4 Technical Objects and Political Projects49	9
2.5 Conclusion	3

CHAPTER 3: LARGE DAMS AND FRONTIER

DEVELOPMENT IN NORTHEAST INDIA	54-86
3.1 Politics of Frontier Exceptionalism and	
the Production of 'Difference'	55
3.2 Territorial Borders and the Politics of Securitization	63
3.3 The Politics of Regional Underdevelopment	
and Resource Abundance	72
3.4 Neoliberal Environments	77
3.5 Conclusion	85

CHAPTER 4: PARTICIPATORY

ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE:

4.1 PEG and the Transnationalization of Development	8/
4.2 PEG and the Politicization of Development in India	94
4.3 PEG and Neoliberal Development in India	100
4.4 A Site for Reflexive Development	. .116
4.5 Conclusion	117

CHAPTER 5: EIAS: THE EPISTEMIC MACHINERY

OF ENVIRONMENTAL KNOWLEDGE PRODUCTION......119-139

5.1 The Legitimating Effect of EIAs	121
5.2 Manufacturing a Region of Deficits	126
5.3 The Technicalization of Water	128
5.4 Environmental Managerialism	130
5.5 Creating Commensurability	134
5.6 Reflexivity in EIA	137
5.7 Conclusion	139

CHAPTER 6: HYDROPUBLICS IN

ARUNACHAL PRADESH	140-161
6.1 Public Hearings for Northeast Dams	142
6.2 Tactics to Control Public Hearing outcomes	151
6.3 Strategies to Expand the Public Sphere	156
6.4 Conclusion	160

CHAPTER 7: PEG AND SUSTAINABILITY

DEBATES IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH	162-188
7.1 A Mixed Moment of Development	163
7.2 Negotiations and Deal Making on Land	165
7.3 Developmental Cost- Benefit Debates	175
7.4 Framework for Indigenous economies	182
7.5 Conclusion	188

CHAPTER 8: PUBLIC SPHERE OF

OPPOSITION IN DOWNSTREAM ASSAM	189-216
8.1 People of the Shadow Zone	190
8.2 Rivers and Resistance	
8.3 Ecological Communities	210
8.4 Conclusion	

1. Northeast States and their governance arrangements

- 2. Details of Projects analyzed in this thesis
- 3. Basin-wise and State-wise Summaries of High Ranking Projects of CEA
- 4. Environment Clearance Flowchart
- 5. Representations of the "Project Site" in the Lower Demwe Dam EIA Report
- 6. Public Hearing Notices for Dibang Project
- 7. Political Map of Northeast India

BIBLIOGRAPHY......224-257

ACRONYMS

AASU: All Assam Students Union ADC: Autonomous District Council AFSPA: Armed Forces (Special Powers) Act AGP: Asom Gana Parishad AIMSU: All Idu Mishmi Students Union AIPP: Asia Indigenous Peoples Pact AJYCP: Asom Jatiyatabadi Yuba-Chatra Parishad APSPCB: Arunachal Pradesh State Pollution Control Board ASSOCHAM: Associated Chambers of Commerce and Industry of India BOOT: Built, Own, Operate, Transfer BNNABSS: Beki Noi Niyantran Aru Baan Sahajya Samiti CA: Compensatory Afforestation CAT: Catchment Area Treatment CBA: Cost Benefit Analysis CDM: Clean Development Mechanism **CEA:** Central Electricity Authority **CERC:** Central Electricity Regulatory Commission CII: Confederation of Indian Industry **CRPF:** Central Reserve Police Force **CWC: Central Water Commission** DMP: Disaster Management Plan EAC: Expert Appraisal Committee ECMB: Environment Management Capacity Building EIA: Environment Impact Assessment EIS: Environment Impact Statement EMP: Environmental Management Plan **EPA:** Environment Protection Act FICCI: Federation of Indian Chamber of Commerce and Industry FPIC: Free, Prior, Informed Consent GHG: Green House Gases ICOLD: International Commission on Large Dams **IDP:** Internally Displaced Persons IMCLS: Idu Mishmi Cultural and Literary Society IMF: International Monetary Fund **IPT:** Independent People's Tribunal **IRBN:** India Reserve Battalion **IRN:** International Rivers Network IUCN: International Union for Conservation of Nature IWGIA: International Work group for Indigenous Affairs KMSS: Krishak Mukti Sangram Samiti LIFE: Lawyers Initiative for Forests and the Environment MoEF: Ministry of Environment and Forests MoU: Memorandum of Understanding MW: Megawatt NBA: Narmada Bachao Andolan NCEPC: National Committee on Environmental Planning and Coordination NEAA: National Environment Appellate Authority (NEAA) NEC: North Eastern Council

NEEPCO: North Eastern Electric Power Corporation NEERI: National Environmental Engineering Research Institute NEFA: North-East Frontier Agency NGO: Non Governmental Organisation NGT: National Green Tribunal NHPC: National Hydroelectric Power Corporation NPC: National Productivity Council NPMHR: Naga People's Movement for Human Rights **OECF:** Overseas Economic Cooperation Fund OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development PAF: Project Affected Family PCB: Pollution Control Board PEG: Participatory Environmental Governance PESA: Panchayati Raj (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act PPA: Power Purchase Agreement PRI: Panchayati Raj Institutions RoR: River of the River R&R: Rehabilitation and Resettlement **RTI**: Right to Information SANDRP: South Asia Network of Dams, Rivers and People SEIAA: State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority SSP: Sardar Sarovar Project ST: Scheduled Tribe STF: Special Task Force STS: Science and Technology Studies TA: Technical Assistance TERI: The Energy Research Institute TMPK: Takam Mishing Porin Kebang ToR: Terms of Reference TVC: Tennessee Valley Corporation UT: Union Territory WCD: World Commission on Dams WII: Wildlife Institute of India

ABSTRACT

In 2000, the Indian government envisaged the large-scale transformation of Northeast India to power India's neoliberal developmentalist project. According to the government's plan, the region was officially set to receive over 150 large, public and private sector hydropower projects with most of them to be located in the border state of Arunachal Pradesh. Despite dam building being contentious the world over, the government assumed hydropower development in this region to be frictionless. Project documents claimed that the dams would bring much needed development to this "backward" border region by utilising the "abundant" water resources of the Eastern Himalayan region and produce "clean energy".

However, until today, not a single one of the proposed projects has been completed. The Indian government's attempts at implementing hydroelectric projects in the Northeast region have floundered upon choppy waters. How did the central government seek to implement its seemingly foolproof developmentalist vision in this region and why did it fail? Looking back on the period from 2000, I focus on the government's hype, the knowledge-making practices of the public and private sector dam builders and the failed environmental regulation procedures. By analyzing the contestations around the proposed hydropower dams in this region, I argue that the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) a technocratic tool and the cornerstone of India's participatory environmental governance system, is neither successful as a consent manufacturing mechanism nor as a tool to manage expectations and conflicts. Instead, it acts as a legitimating device that helps make visible power relations, and thereby is generative of opposition and political participation. In this sense, EIAs succeed because they fail for their sponsors.

My thesis uses the political ecology approach to theorize the role of Participatory Environmental Governance (PEG) in contentious development. Although legal procedures for this form of governance have been implemented in India for two decades, PEG is yet to be recognized as a credible space for negotiations between policy and politics and for producing meaningful developmental outcomes.