# Costing childbirth at home, in a birth centre or in a hospital for women at low risk of pregnancy complications in New South Wales, Australia

# Vanessa L Scarf

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Midwifery)

**University of Technology Sydney** 

February 2020

**CERTIFICATE OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP** 

I, Vanessa L Scarf, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the

requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Health at

the University of technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced of acknowledged.

In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated

in the thesis. This research is supported by the Australian Government Research

Training Program.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic

institution.

Signature:

Production Note:

Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 04.02.2020

2

# Acknowledgments

# **Acknowledgement of country**

I acknowledge the Gadigal People of the Eora Nation, upon whose ancestral lands UTS stands. I pay my respects to the Elders past, present and emerging, acknowledging them as the traditional custodians of knowledge for this land.

# **Professional acknowledgements**

Enormous gratitude goes to my primary PhD supervisor, Professor Caroline Homer, for her confidence in my ability and guidance in my academic growth. From our first meeting in her office in 2012 when she asked me what my plans for my career were and suggested further study, I could not have predicted then that I would be submitting this thesis after seven years of growth as a researcher and lecturer. Caroline has the ability to make me feel like everything I do is worthwhile, even if it's not included in the final draft; "Think of what you've learned by doing this..." Her sense of compassion and capacity building extends far beyond her supervision of students and colleagues, and has improved the lives of women in many countries around the world.

I also wish to thank my supervisory 'team', Professor Rosalie Viney, Dr Seong Cheah and Dr Serena Yu. Rosalie provided much in-person support for me and together with Serena, guided me to keep my focus on both the minutiae of costing (counting the cotton buds) and the bigger picture of how we can improve access to birth options for women through convincing data analysis and AR-DRGs. Thanks to Seong, who's gentle patience with my arrivals at his desk and his perseverance with our data, made my work much easier.

Our midwifery team at UTS is the source of so much inspiration and support. They have encouraged me when I have found myself off-task (Deb Fox) and provided me with help when I needed a lecture or two for the students (Rachel Smith, Nicki Watts, Georgia Brown, Christine Catling, Allison Cummins). I would also like to thank Chris Rossiter who has a powerful research mind and was able to talk me through many aspects of the individual papers contained in this thesis.

# To my family

Thank you to my husband Tony; you had a vision for me long before I had entertained any notion of further study. Your initial suggestions for me were creative to say the least, but when it came to doing this PhD, your pride in my achievement is palpable. To my three children, Ned, Mathilde and Eloise, thank you for your love and encouragement. You kept me grounded, always reminding me that being your mother was always going to be more important than the work I wasn't doing on the weekend! Finally to my siblings Gabrielle, Stephanie, Nicholas, Philippa, and Benjamin. Thank you for being a constant source of background love, feedback (Phil) and support. And to my parents, Cecilie and Garry; I can never underestimate the powerful sense of

# **Funding acknowledgement**

I would like to acknowledge the following funding bodies for their financial assistance of my PhD research:

- University of Technology Sydney (UTS) Faculty of Health/NHMRC: Birthplace in Australia: A population-based data linkage study, APP1022422
- University of Technology Sydney (UTS) Faculty of Health/NHMRC Costing the Place of Birth in NSW: New knowledge to support maternity service reform, APP1103015
- UTS Centre for Midwifery, Child and Family Health

belonging I feel being a member of our family. This is for you all.

# Publications included in this thesis

Statement of contributions to jointly authored works contained in the thesis The results from this thesis have been submitted for publication in peer-reviewed journals. Below is a description of the contributions made to the papers by the coauthors. I take full responsibility for the accuracy of the findings presented in these publications and this thesis. All authors have given permission for the publications to be incorporated into this PhD.

#### Thesis Format

This is a thesis by compilation and consists of seven chapters, five of which are papers; Chapters two to six. Chapters two, three and five are papers that have been published during my PhD candidature. Chapters four and six are currently under review. Publication details for each chapter are outlined below, together with a statement of contribution and percentage contribution for each author.

# **Incorporated as Chapter 2**

Scarf, V.L., Rossiter, C., Vedam, S., Dahlen, H.G., Ellwood, D., Forster, D., Foureur, M.J., McLachlan, H., Oats, J., Sibbritt, D., Thornton, C. & Homer, C. 2018, 'Maternal and perinatal outcomes by planned place of birth among women with low-risk pregnancies in high-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis', *Midwifery*, vol. 62, pp. 240-55.

| Statement of Contribution            | Percentage of contribution              |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Concept and design of the study      | VS 70%; CR 20%; CH 10%                  |
| Supervision and conduct of the study | VS 70%; CR 20%; CH 10%                  |
| Data analysis and interpretation     | VS 70%; CR 20%; CH 10%                  |
| Writing of initial manuscript        | VS 80%; CR, SV 20%                      |
| Manuscript revision and editing      | VS 70%; CR 20%; CH, SV, HD, CT, DE, DF, |
|                                      | MJ, HM, JO, DS 10%                      |

# **Incorporated as Chapter 3**

Scarf, V. L, Catling, C., Viney, R. and Homer, C., 2016. Costing alternative birth settings for women at low risk of complications: a systematic review. *PloS One*, 11(2), p.e0149463.

| Statement of Contribution            | Percentage of contribution |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Concept and design of the study      | VS 80%; CH 10%; RV10%      |
| Supervision and conduct of the study | VS 80%; CH, CC, RV 20%     |
| Data analysis and interpretation     | VS 80%; CC 10%; CH, RV 10% |
| Writing of initial manuscript        | VS 90%; CC 10%             |
| Manuscript revision and editing      | VS 80%; CC, CH, RV 20%     |

# **Incorporated as Chapter 4**

Scarf VL, Viney R, Yu S, Foureur M, Rossiter C (CR), Dahlen, H (HD), Thornton C (CT), Cheah S (SC), Homer CSE (CH) 2019. Mapping the trajectories of women who plan to give birth at home, in a birth centre or in a hospital birth unit in New South Wales, Australia between 2000 and 2012. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, Under Review* 

| Statement of Contribution            | Percentage of contribution              |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Concept and design of the study      | VS 80%; RV, CH 20%                      |
| Supervision and conduct of the study | VS 80%; CH, RV 20%                      |
| Data analysis and interpretation     | VS 80%; RV, SY, SC, CH 20%              |
| Writing of initial manuscript        | VS 80%; CH, RV, SY 15%, HD, CT 5%       |
| Manuscript revision and editing      | VS 80%, RV, SY, CR, CT, CH 15%, HD, SC, |
|                                      | MF 5%                                   |

# **Incorporated as Chapter 5**

Scarf VL (VS), Yu S (SY), Viney R (RV), Lavis L (LL), Dahlen H (HD), Foureur M (MF), Homer C (CH) (In press), The cost of vaginal birth at home, in a birth centre or in a hospital setting in New South Wales: A micro-costing study. *Women and Birth* (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2019.06.003)

| Statement of Contribution            | Percentage of contribution            |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Concept and design of the study      | VS 80%; RV, CH 20%                    |
| Supervision and conduct of the study | VS 80%; CH, RV 20%                    |
| Data analysis and interpretation     | VS 80%; RV, SY, CH 20%                |
| Writing of initial manuscript        | VS 80%; CH, RV, SY 15%, HD, CT 5%     |
| Manuscript revision and editing      | VS 80%, RV, SY, CR, CT, CH 15%, LL 5% |

# **Incorporated as Chapter 6**

Scarf V (VS), Yu S (SY), Viney R (RV), Tracy S (ST), Cheah S (SC), Thornton C (CT), Dahlen H (HD), Foureur, M (MF), Sibbritt D (DS), Homer C (CH). Modelling the cost of place of birth: A pathway analysis. *PharmacoEconomics* (Ready for submission)

| Statement of Contribution            | Percentage of contribution             |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Concept and design of the study      | VS 80%; RV, CH 20%                     |
| Supervision and conduct of the study | VS 80%; CH, RV, SC 20%                 |
| Data analysis and interpretation     | VS 80%; RV, SY, CH, ST 20%             |
| Writing of initial manuscript        | VS 80%; CH, RV, SY 15%, HD, CT 5%      |
| Manuscript revision and editing      | VS 80%, RV, SY, CR, CT, CH, DS, MF, ST |
|                                      | 20%                                    |

# Conference presentations related to PhD **2016**

Scarf V, Viney R, Homer C, 2016. *Is the cost of maternity care affected by birth place?* (Oral presentation) 'Place of Birth: Why does it matter?' Seminar, UTS Sydney.

#### 2017

Scarf V, Cheah S, Viney R, Homer C, 2017. What are the trajectories of women who plan to give birth at home or in a birth centre in New South Wales, Australia? (Poster presentation) 31st ICM Triennial Congress, Toronto, Canada.

Scarf V, Catling C, Viney R, Homer C, 2017. What is the cost of giving birth at home or in a birth centre for women at low risk of complications? (Oral presentation) 31st ICM Triennial Congress, Toronto, Canada.

# 2018

Scarf V, Cheah S, Viney R, Homer C, 2018. Estimating costs associated with birth at home, in a birth centre or in a hospital: A micro-costing study (Poster presentation) Australian College of Midwives National Conference, Perth, WA.

#### 2019

Scarf V, Cheah S, Viney V, Foureur M, Dahlen H, Thornton C, Homer C, 2019. What are the trajectories of women who plan to give birth at home or in a birth centre in New South Wales, Australia, between 2000 and 2012? (Poster presentation) 14<sup>th</sup> Normal Labour and Birth Conference, UK.

# **Table of Contents**

| Acknowledgments  | 3  |
|--|----|
| Publications included in this thesis   | 5  |
| Statement of contributions to jointly authored works contained in                              |    |
| Thesis Format  |    |
| Conference presentations related to PhD  | 8  |
| Table of Contents  | 9  |
| Chapter 1: Introduction  | 16 |
| Background   | 17 |
| Research Question  | 28 |
| Aims   | 28 |
| Objectives   | 28 |
| Researcher statement   | 29 |
| Structure of the thesis  | 30 |
| Summary  | 32 |
| women with low-risk pregnancies in high-income count meta-analysis                             | 33 |
| Context  | 33 |
| Publication details  | 33 |
| Abstract   | 34 |
| Introduction   | 36 |
| Variation in birth setting   |    |
| The debate on safety   | 36 |
| Methodological challenges in research about place of birth                                     |    |
| Wethodological chancinges in research about place of birthininin                               | 37 |
| Synthesising research findings   | 37 |
| Synthesising research findings   |    |
| Synthesising research findings  Objectives   |    |
| Synthesising research findings  Objectives   |    |
| Synthesising research findings  Objectives  Methods  |    |
| Synthesising research findings  Objectives  Methods  Eligibility criteria                      |    |
| Synthesising research findings  Objectives  Methods  Eligibility criteria  Information sources |    |

| Data items  | 46            |
|---|---------------|
| Data collection process                                   | 48            |
| Summary measures  | 49            |
| Synthesis of results (meta-analysis)                      | 49            |
| Additional analyses                                       | 50            |
| Results   | 50            |
| Study selection   | 50            |
| Study characteristics                                     | 51            |
| Results and synthesis of selected outcomes                | 60            |
| Infant outcomes   | 60            |
| Maternal outcomes - perineal status                       |               |
| Maternal outcomes - PPH                                   | 66            |
| Discussion  | 66            |
| Principal findings  | 66            |
| Limitations   | 68            |
| Heterogeneity   | 69            |
| Risk status   | 69            |
| Quality appraisal   | 70            |
| Conclusions   | 70            |
| Chapter 3: Costing Alternative Birth Settings for Women a | t Low Pick of |
| Complications: A Systematic Review                        |               |
| Context   |               |
| Publication details                                       |               |
| A leading at  | 70            |
| Abstract  | /3            |
| Introduction  | 75            |
| Methods   | 78            |
| Search Method   | 78            |
| Quality Appraisal   | 81            |
| Results   | 82            |
| Comparisons of birthplace                                 |               |
| Limitations   | 04            |
|   | 90            |
| Discussion  | 96            |
| Where the main costs lie                                  | 97            |

| Conclusion  | 99                                  |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Post Script   | 99                                  |
| Chapter 4: Mapping the trajectories for women and at home, in a birth centre or in a hospital in New So | uth Wales, Australia, between       |
| 2000 and 2012   |                                     |
| Context   |                                     |
| Publication details   | 101                                 |
| Abstract  | 102                                 |
| Background  | 104                                 |
| Birth trajectories  | 105                                 |
| Methods   | 107                                 |
| Design: Decision tree modelling   | 107                                 |
| Setting   | 109                                 |
| Data sources  | 109                                 |
| Sample and inclusion criteria   | 110                                 |
| Data management and analysis  | 111                                 |
| Results   | 113                                 |
| Planned place of birth  | 113                                 |
| Discussion  | 118                                 |
| Strengths and Limitations   | 120                                 |
| Conclusions   | 121                                 |
| Chapter 5: The cost of vaginal birth at home, in a bir  | uth coutus ou in a hoonital cotting |
| in New South Wales: A micro-costing study   |                                     |
| Context   |                                     |
| Publication details   |                                     |
| Abstract  |                                     |
| Introduction  | 125                                 |
|   |                                     |
| Micro-costing studies  Time and motion data collection  |                                     |
| Costing studies in maternity settings   |                                     |
|   |                                     |
| Methods   |                                     |
| Design  |                                     |
| Observational data collection   |                                     |

| Analytical methods  | 132 |
|---|-----|
| Statistical analysis  | 134 |
| Results   | 134 |
| Consumables   | 135 |
| Resources used in vaginal birth                                       | 136 |
| Discussion  | 140 |
| Limitations and strengths   | 142 |
| Conclusion  | 143 |
| Chapter six: Modelling the cost of place of birth: A pathway analysis | 144 |
| Context   | 144 |
| Publication details   | 144 |
| Abstract  | 145 |
| Introduction  | 147 |
| Methods   | 149 |
| Data Sources  | 150 |
| Population  | 151 |
| Setting   | 151 |
| Decision Tree Framework   | 152 |
| Pathway costs   | 153 |
| Scenario analysis   | 155 |
| Results   | 155 |
| Planned place of birth  | 155 |
| Pathway costs of place of birth                                       | 156 |
| Proposed Scenarios  | 159 |
| Discussion  | 160 |
| Strengths and limitations   | 162 |
| Conclusion  | 163 |
| Chapter 7: Discussion   | 164 |
| Introduction  | 164 |
| Overview of findings  | 164 |
| Quality and safety, risk and choice                                   | 166 |
| Costing maternity services  | 172 |

| Strengths and limitations | 177 |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Conclusion                | 179 |
| References                | 180 |
| Appendices                | 194 |

#### Abstract

#### **Background**

There are three settings available to women in which to give birth in New South Wales: Home, birth centre and hospital. The cost of giving birth for women at low risk of complications in each of these settings is not known.

#### Aim

The aim of this study was to estimate the cost of giving birth for women at low risk of complications who are planning birth at home, in a birth centre or in a hospital in NSW from the perspective of the health service.

#### Methods

A cost analysis was conducted comprising four components. Firstly, two systematic reviews were conducted to examine the literature on 1) the safety of giving birth at home or in a birth centre, and 2) the cost associated with birth in these settings. Secondly, a decision tree framework was used to map the trajectories (or pathways) of women in New South Wales using linked health data. Thirdly, a micro-costing study employing time-and-motion observation along with resource use data collection via a unique data collection sheet was conducted. Finally, using the decision tree framework, a macro-costing analysis, the cost of the trajectories of the women who planned birth at home, in a birth centre or in hospital was estimated using Australian-Refined Diagnosis Related Groups (AR-DRGs).

# **Findings**

Maternal and perinatal outcomes of planned place of birth were significantly better for women planning birth at home or in a BC, including higher rates of normal vaginal birth and lower rates of intervention and admission to the NICU for infants. The trajectories of women showed those who plan to give birth at home or in a BC have high rates of vaginal birth and women in all groups had low rates of intervention. When cost was explored, it was either less costly or the same to provide birth services at home or in a BC. The median micro-cost of providing care for women who plan to give birth at home, in a birth centre or in a hospital were AUD \$2150.07, \$2094.86 and \$2097.30

respectively. Macro costs using AR-DRGs for homebirth, BC and hospital birth were \$4748, \$4979 and \$5463 respectively.

# Conclusion

Given the relatively lower rates of complex intervention and adverse neonatal outcomes associated with women at low risk of complications, expanding choices for women to give birth at home or in a BC would be less costly to the health system. However, what is required now is the political will and advocacy to progress the future planning of maternity service provision in New South Wales.