

**Information and noise in stock markets:
Evidence on the determinants and
effects using new empirical measures**

Thanh Huong Nguyen

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Finance

Finance Discipline Group, UTS Business School
University of Technology Sydney

July 2019

Certificate of original authorship

I, Thanh Huong Nguyen declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in Finance, in the Business School at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements for a degree at any other academic institution except as fully acknowledged within the text.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Signature:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Thanh Huong Nguyen

Date: 16/02/2020

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor, Professor Talis Putnins, for his tremendous guidance, support, and encouragement. I would especially like to express my thanks for his patience and engagement throughout my research at UTS. The skills and knowledge that I have developed under his supervision will be of great value to my future academic career.

I am indeed fortunate to have Associate Professor Eliza Wu as my external supervisor. I would like to thank her for introducing me to UTS and providing me with a great opportunity to learn and work professionally at this university. Eliza also provided me with valuable comments for improving the materials in this thesis.

I am especially thankful to Dr Christina Sklibosios and Dr Adrian Lee for their helpful comments and encouragement. I also truly appreciate the help I have received from the administrative staff at the Finance Discipline Group: Andrea Greer, Mala Kapahi, Stephanie Ough, and Duncan Ford.

I gratefully acknowledge the Securities Industry Research Centre of Asia-Pacific (SIRCA), Thomson Reuters, and United Nations for providing access to data used in this thesis. I thank the Vietnam International Education Development (VIED) – UTS joint scholarship for financing my Ph.D. study, as well as colleagues from the University of Economics – The University of Danang for their great support when I was doing my Ph.D. overseas.

I extend my thanks to my friends and fellow research students for stimulating research discussions, and for the fun we have had over the last four years. A special thank you goes to Kate Ly's family for making my stay in Sydney so enjoyable.

I am very grateful to my family and my husband, Phu Mai; and it is to them I dedicate my thesis. None of my successes and achievements would have been possible without their endless love and continuous support.

Preface

Some of the research presented in this thesis has also been incorporated in four working papers co-authored with Prof. Talis Putnins, Assoc. Prof. Eliza Wu, and Prof. Jonathan Brogaard. The four papers are titled:

1. “What moves stock prices? The role of news, noise, and information”;
2. “Noisy prices and capital allocation efficiency”;
3. “Time-varying gambling in stock markets and its effect on asset prices”;
4. “Stock markets as casinos: International evidence on the drivers and effects of gambling in stock markets”.

This research has benefited greatly from the thoughtful insights and feedback provided by Paul Besson, Jean-Philippe Bouchaud, Amit Goyal, Alok Kumar, Charles-Albert Lehalle, Joshua Mollner, Ryan Riordan, Elvira Sojli, and seminar and conference participants at the Market Microstructure Confronting Many Viewpoints #5 Conference, the Investment Management Research Conference, the Asian Finance Association Annual Meeting, the Financial Research Network (FIRN) Annual Meeting, FIRN Asset Pricing Workshop, the Australasian Finance and Banking Conference, the J.P. Morgan Quantference, Brigham Young University, Monash University, the University of Western Australia, Curtin University, the University of Technology Sydney, the University of Sydney, and the Queensland University of Technology.

The first working paper is scheduled for presentation at the American Finance Association (AFA) Annual Meeting in San Diego, California in January 2020.

Table of Contents

Statement of originality.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	ii
Preface	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
List of Figures.....	vii
List of Tables	viii
List of Abbreviations	ix
Abstract	x
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Research background and motivation.....	1
1.1.1 Definition and forms of market efficiency.....	1
1.1.2 Tests for market efficiency: contentious evidence.....	2
1.1.3 Challenges to measuring stock market efficiency.....	4
1.1.4 The presence of noise in stock prices	6
1.1.5 Implications/effects of informational efficiency.....	6
1.2 Research scope and contributions.....	7
1.3 Thesis outline.....	9
Chapter 2: Measuring the information and noise in prices	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Related literature.....	16
2.3 Empirical model for variance decomposition.....	18
2.3.1 Baseline variance decomposition model.....	18
2.3.2 Full sample estimates of variance components.....	27
2.3.3 Variance components through time	30
2.3.4 Variance components in the cross-section of stocks.....	33
2.4 Further validation tests	38
2.4.1 Exogenous shocks to tick sizes.....	38
2.4.2 Exogenous shocks to analyst coverage	42
2.4.3 Relation between variance components and other measures of information and noise	45
2.5 Conclusion.....	48
Appendix 2.A. Variable definitions.....	50

Appendix 2.B. Effect of including the covariance between noise and information.....	51
Chapter 3: The effects of noise on existing empirical models	53
3.1 Introduction	53
3.2 Related literature.....	56
3.3 Theoretical model.....	57
3.4 Extensions incorporating cash flow and discount rate news	60
3.4.1 The standard approach to separating cash flow and discount rate news	61
3.4.2 Accounting for noise when separating cash flow and discount rate news	62
3.4.3 Extended variance decomposition	70
3.5 The empirical relation between R2 and the variance components	73
3.6 Conclusion.....	76
Appendix 3.A. Variable definitions.....	78
Appendix 3.B. Proofs	80
Appendix 3.C. Effect of including the covariance between noise and information.....	84
Chapter 4: Noisy stock prices and capital allocation efficiency.....	86
4.1 Introduction	86
4.2 Related literature.....	90
4.3 Sample, data, and key measures	91
4.3.1 Country sample selection.....	91
4.3.2 Data description	91
4.3.3 Measures of noise and information in prices	92
4.4 The impact of noise on firm-level investment efficiency	92
4.4.1 Measuring firm-level investment efficiency.....	93
4.4.2 Evidence from a long time-series of US data	98
4.4.3 Evidence from the cross-section of countries	103
4.5 The impact of noise on industry-level capital allocation efficiency.....	106
4.5.1 Measuring industry-level capital allocation efficiency.....	106
4.5.2 Evidence from the cross-section of countries	111
4.6 Robustness tests.....	112
4.7 Conclusion.....	120
Appendix 4.A. Data description.....	122
Appendix 4.B. Measures of information and noise in stock prices.....	124

Appendix 4.C. Variable definitions	127
Chapter 5: How gambling in stock markets affects information and noise	129
5.1 Introduction	129
5.2 Related literature.....	131
5.3 Data and measures	133
5.3.1 Sample and data sources	133
5.3.2 Measures of “casino” gambling.....	134
5.3.3 Measures of stock market gambling	135
5.4 How much gambling occurs in stock markets around the world?	145
5.5 What drives the level of gambling in stock markets and casinos?	152
5.5.1 Country-level variables and hypotheses	152
5.5.2 Estimating the determinants of gambling	153
5.6 Are stock markets substitutes for casinos?	157
5.7 How does gambling impact stock markets?	163
5.8 Conclusion.....	169
Appendix 5.A. Data description	171
Appendix 5.B. Variable construction	173
Appendix 5.C. Summary of variable definitions (further details are provided in Appendix 5.B).....	178
Chapter 6: Conclusions	179
6.1 What do the new measures of noise and information in stock prices tell us about what drives stock price movements?	179
6.2 How have the levels of noise and information that are reflected in stock prices changed through time as the structure of stock markets has evolved?.....	180
6.3 How does noise in stock prices affect inference in existing empirical models?	181
6.4 What are the real effects of informational efficiency: how does noise in stock prices affect the efficiency of corporate investment and capital allocation across firms and industries?.....	182
6.5 How does gambling in stock markets affect their liquidity, informational efficiency, and noise?.....	183
6.6 How much gambling occurs in stock markets and what drives the amount of gambling?	183
6.7 Future research	184
References.....	186

List of Figures

Figure 2.1. R2 through time.....	11
Figure 2.2. Stock return components.....	19
Figure 2.3. Stock return variance components for US stocks through time.....	31
Figure 2.4. Variance components in size groups through time.....	36
Figure 2.5. Variance components in major industry groups through time.....	37
Figure 3.1. Extension of variance decomposition to cash flow and discount rate information.....	64
Figure 3.2. Cash flow news, discount rate news, and noise through time.....	68
Figure 4.1. Investment- Q sensitivity by country.....	97
Figure 4.2. Investment- Q sensitivity by year.....	98
Figure 4.3. Elasticity of industry investment to value added by country.....	110
Figure 5.1. Correlation between stock gambling and return of lottery-like stocks....	140
Figure 5.2. Relation between stock gambling and MAX-premium.....	141
Figure 5.3. Relation between quarterly stock gambling and HiTech Index during the dot-com bubble (1997-2002).....	142
Figure 5.4. Relation between changes in stock gambling and ASVI during the period from 2004 to 2015.....	144
Figure 5.5. Gambling activity by country.....	148
Figure 5.6. Gambling activity over time.....	151

List of Tables

Table 2.1. VAR coefficient estimates.	24
Table 2.2. Stock return variance components in the baseline model.	28
Table 2.3. Determinants of stock return variance components.	34
Table 2.4. Effect of the tick size on noise.	40
Table 2.5. Effect of analyst coverage on variance components.	44
Table 2.6. Relation between variance components and other measures of information in prices.	47
Table 3.1. Stock return variance components in the extended decomposition model.	72
Table 3.2. Drivers of the trend in R^2 through time.	76
Table 4.1. Summary statistics for the US sample.	94
Table 4.2. Investment- Q sensitivity, information, and noise by country.	95
Table 4.3. US evidence on the relation between noise and firm-level investment efficiency.	101
Table 4.4. Cross-country evidence on the relation between noise and firm-level investment efficiency.	105
Table 4.5. Industry investment growth, value added growth, and investment-to-value-added elasticity.	108
Table 4.6. Cross-country evidence on the relation between noise and industry-level capital allocation efficiency.	112
Table 4.7. Robustness tests for the US evidence on how noise impacts firm-level investment efficiency.	114
Table 4.8. Robustness tests for the cross-country evidence on how noise impacts firm-level investment efficiency.	116
Table 4.9. Robustness tests for the evidence of how noise impacts industry-level capital allocation efficiency.	118
Table 5.1. Gambling and other country-level variables by country.	146
Table 5.2. Determinants of “casino” gambling activity.	155
Table 5.3. Determinants of stock market gambling activity.	156
Table 5.4. Relation between “casino” gambling and stock market gambling (OLS regressions)	159
Table 5.5. Substitution between “casino” gambling and stock market gambling.	162
Table 5.6. Impact of stock market gambling on stock market liquidity and efficiency.	166
Table 5.7. Robustness tests for the impact of stock market gambling.	168

List of Abbreviations

2SLS	Two-Stage Least Squares
AMEX	American Stock Exchange
CRSP	Center for Research in Security Prices
ETF	Exchange traded fund
EU	European Union
GBGC	Global Betting Gaming Consultants
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
IDV	Individualism versus Collectivism
IV	Instrumental Variable
IVR	Indulgence versus Restraint
NYSE	New York Stock Exchange
OLS	Ordinary Least Squares
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
SIC	Standard Industrial Classification
SIRCA	Securities Industry Research Centre of Asia-Pacific
VAR	Vector Auto-Regression
VMA	Vector Moving Average
UAI	Uncertainty Avoidance Index
US	United States of America

Abstract

This thesis comprises four studies relating to stock market efficiency, its measurement, its effects, and its determinants.

The first study proposes novel empirical measures that separate different types of information and noise as drivers of stock return variance. Specifically, the new methods disentangle four components: market-wide information, private firm-specific information revealed through trading, firm-specific information revealed through public sources, and noise. Overall, in US stocks, 31% of the return variance is attributable to noise, 37% to public firm-specific information, 24% to private firm-specific information, and 8% to market-wide information. Since the mid-1990s, there has been a dramatic decline in noise and an increase in firm-specific information, consistent with increasing market efficiency.

The second study examines how noise affects inference in existing empirical measures, such as idiosyncratic volatility (one minus the R^2 of a market model) and decompositions of cash flow and discount rate news. This thesis finds that after accounting for noise, cash flow information plays a considerably larger role in driving individual stock returns than previously believed and discount rate information plays a smaller role. Furthermore, the decrease in idiosyncratic volatility (increase of market model R^2) since 1997 is the result of a decrease in noise during this recent period. The evidence indicates that the market has become more efficient in the past two decades, contrary to what is implied by standard interpretations of R^2 as an inverse measure of efficiency.

In the third study, this thesis examines the real effects of stock market efficiency by analysing the relation between noise in stock prices and the efficiency of corporate investment and capital allocation at both the firm and industry levels. The analysis uses a long time-series of data from 1963, as well as a cross-section of 42 countries. Consistent with the notion that noise decreases investment efficiency, this research finds strong evidence that noise is negatively associated with the sensitivity of corporate investment to firms' growth opportunities and the sensitivity of industry-level investment to value added. These findings highlight the important real effects of

secondary market quality in determining firms' investment behaviour and the efficiency with which capital is allocated.

The fourth essay provides evidence on how individual investors' behaviour, in particular investors' gambling activity in stocks, affects stock market efficiency. We develop novel measures of the amount of gambling in stock markets based on the turnover differences between lottery stocks and non-lottery stocks, and validate the measure. Using a global sample, we examine how much gambling occurs in different countries, what determines these levels, and how the gambling that occurs on stock markets affects a country's capital markets. We find that culture and economic factors are all significant drivers of a country's gambling propensity in both traditional venues and stock markets. Interestingly, we find a substitution effect—restrictions/bans on traditional gambling lead to a spillover of gambling onto stock market(s). Exploiting regulation of traditional gambling as an instrument, we find that increased gambling on stock markets makes them more liquid and efficient. Our findings have implications for using gambling regulation as a policy instrument to affect financial market quality.

Collectively, these studies contribute to our understanding of market efficiency, how to measure it, what drives its variation through time and across stocks, and how it affects resource allocation across companies and sectors.