Three Essays on the Economics of Education and Inequality

PhD Thesis

Author: Sergey Alexeev

Principal Supervisor: Peter Siminski

Supervisor: Kentaro Tomoeda

Supervisor: Isa Hafalir

Supervisor: Olena Stavrunova

Economics Discipline Group, Business School University of Technology Sydney

March 4, 2020

Abstract

This thesis consists of three independent essays, unified by the common theme of inequality and education.

Chapter 2 uses harmonized national panels for Australia, the United States and Germany to measure the role of home ownership on income inequality, polarization and intergenerational mobility. Australia stands out as the only country where home ownership drastically changes all three measures. The results of this paper provide strong reinforcement of the Canberra Group's (2001) recommendations to include all in-kind income sources for cross-country comparisons of income distributions.

Chapter 3 continues exploring the topic of intergenerational persistence of income. It uses auction theoretical modelling to demonstrate a flaw in the centralized college admission system. The development of the test-preparation industry makes marks less informative about the underlying skills of the applicants. This is mainly due to the strategic interaction of applicants that inflates the marks. This, in turn, complicates sorting under the centralized college admission system for highly competitive colleges or specializations.

Chapter 4 continues exploring the topic of education. It identifies a demand shock on one specific type of college graduates on the Russian labor market in the early 1990s. The shock changed the structure of employed professionals and technicians, influenced income inequality, and provides a parsimonious explanation for the behavior of the college wage premium in Russia from 1985 to 2015. The nature of the demand shock indicates that firms were deploying organizational technologies in response to a rapid desertion of central planning.

Certificate of original authorship

I, Sergey Alexeev, declare that this thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Business School at the University of Technology Sydney. This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise indicated in the references or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution. This research was supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signature: Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: March 4, 2020

Acknowledgements

The foremost gratitude goes to Peter Siminski for suggesting the research question for Chapter 2, and for his careful guidance for Chapter 2 and Chapter 4; to Kentaro Tomoeda for noting the novelty of the research question in Chapter 3 and providing a thorough review for it; to Isa Hafalir for his commentary on Chapter 3; and to Olena Stavrunova for rewarding discussions on Chapter 4 and various other empirical projects that did not make it into the final version of this thesis.

I also thank seminars' participants at the University of Technology Sydney and the European University at St. Petersburg, especially John Wooders, Gordon Menzies and Mario Fiorini; and researchers who responded to my brief questions including those asked by email: Mark Bray, Alexander Kondakov, Olivier Blanchard, Klara Peter, Elizabeth Brainerd, Jan Kabátek, Gleb Borisov and Christopher Pissarides.

My final thanks go to Lana Kocic and Vova Alexeev – the living proofs that God loves me.

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1			
2	A Cross-Country Comparison of the Effects of Home Owner-					
	ship	on Income Mobility, Inequality and Polarization	4			
	2.1	Literature review	9			
	2.2	Methodology	14			
	2.3	Dataset	21			
	2.4	Results	32			
	2.5	Conclusion	41			
	2.6	Appendix	43			
3	The Effect of the Test-Preparation Industry on Centralized Col-					
	lege	Admission	49			
	3.1	Motivation	52			
	3.2	The Model	58			
	3.3	Implications for Centralized College Admission	70			
	3.4	Conclusion	79			
4	The	Structure of Wages in Russia: 1985–2015	82			
	4.1	Institutional Background	89			
	4.2	Conceptual Framework and Predictions	92			
	4.3	Data and Methods	95			
	4.4	Results	104			
	4.5	Results Robustness	108			
	4.6	Connection with output decline	115			
	4.7	Conclusion	119			
	4.8	Details on the Definitions and the Imputation	121			
5	Con	acluding remark	125			
\mathbf{R}_{0}	References 1					

List of Figures

2.1	House prices to income ratios during 1980–2017: Australia, United
	States, Germany
2.2	Home ownership: Australia, United States, Germany
2.3	Home ownership by cohorts: Australia
2.4	Share of respondents living with parents
2.5	Income distribution for Australia
2.6	Income distribution for Australia
2.7	IGE by quantiles for Australia: full sample
2.8	IGE by quantiles for Australia: limited sample
3.1	Exam marks elevation
3.2	Growth of TP industry and CCA exemptions $\dots \dots \dots$
3.3	Functions $\hat{b}(v)$ and $b(v)$ from Example 3.1 69
3.4	Comparative statics in β using Example 3.1
3.5	Comparative statics in θ using Example 3.1
4.1	Russian economic output
4.2	Centralized and market economies 89
4.3	Comparative statics graphically
4.4	Share of graduates with higher education in the labor force 100
4.5	Occupation of law and business graduates
4.6	Premium for higher education: $1985-2015$
4.7	Returns for ℓb and $\overline{\ell b}$: 1985–2015
4.8	Returns on various groups of specialization
4.9	Distribution of returns on higher education: $1995/1996$ 111
4.10	Distribution of returns on higher education: $1998/2000$ 111
4.11	Distribution of returns on higher education: $2014/2015$ 113
4.12	Premium for higher education without ℓb respondents
4.13	Output decline across sectors
4.14	Fraction of category <i>Unspecified</i>

 $4.15\,$ Comparing estimates with actual and imputed information . . . $123\,$

List of Tables

2.1	Attrition rates	28
2.2	Descriptive statistics: intergenerational mobility sample	29
2.3	Descriptive statistics: inequality and polarization sample	31
2.4	Intergenerational persistence of income	33
2.5	Australian transition matrices	35
2.6	Income inequility: Gini coefficient	37
2.7	Income polarization	39
2.8	Intergenerational persistence of income by age: IRC	43
2.9	Intergenerational persistence of income by age: IGE	44
2.10	IGE by quantiles for full and limited samples	48
3.1	Sample space of college's utility function	63
4.1	Descriptive statistics	99
4.2	The baseline Specification results for 2008	05
4.3	Education levels definitions	21
4.4	Specialization definitions	22
4.5	Assessment of specialization imputation in aggregate	24

List of Abbreviations

- CCA Centralized college admission
- CES Constant elasticity of substitution
- CNEF Cross National Equivalent File
 - HE Higher education
- HILDA Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia
 - IGC Intergenerational correlation
 - IGE Intergenerational elasticity
 - IR Imputed rent
 - IRC Intergenerational rank correlation
 - ℓb Law and business
 - $\overline{\ell b}$ Except law and business
- OECD Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
 - OLS Ordinary least squares
 - PSID Panel Study of Income Dynamics
 - QR Quantile regression
- RLMS Russia Longitudinal Monitoring Survey
- SBTC Skill-Biased Technical Change
- SOEP German Socio-Economic Panel
- STEM Science, technology, engineering and mathematics
 - TP Test-preparation
 - TSK Test-specific knowledge