

Minor ailment services from community pharmacy

by Sarah Michelle Dineen-Griffin

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of Prof Kylie Williams and Dr Victoria Garcia Cardenas

University of Technology Sydney Discipline of Pharmacy, Graduate School of Health February 2020

Minor ailment services from community pharmacy

Graduate School of Health, University of Technology Sydney

Thesis. University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, Australia.

© 2020, Sarah Dineen-Griffin, Sydney, Australia

Certificate of original authorship

I, *Sarah Michelle Dineen-Griffin*, declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Discipline of Pharmacy, Graduate School of Health at the University of Technology Sydney, Australia. This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution. This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:

Signed: Signature removed prior to publication. Date: 7th February 2020

This page is intentionally left blank

Abstract

Background: Governments including the United Kingdom and Canada endeavour to optimise health care systems through investment in primary care reform. Community pharmacists are moving, encouraged by policy, to deliver self-care support in pharmacy. International studies indicate the role and scope of pharmacists in primary care could be expanded with clinical and economic savings.

Methods: Chapter 1 presents a systematic review of randomized controlled trials evaluating selfmanagement support interventions following the Cochrane handbook and PRISMA guidelines. Chapter 4 describes the qualitative research (a focus group with stakeholders, working meetings with general practitioners (GPs) to develop treatment pathways, and semi-structured interviews with community pharmacists) to co-design an Australian model minor ailment service (MAS) applicable to the Australian setting. Chapter 5 presents a protocol for a cluster-randomized controlled trial (cRCT) quantitatively evaluating the clinical, humanistic and economic effectiveness of MAS. MAS pharmacists were trained in treatment pathways pre-agreed with GPs and communication systems with GPs, and received monthly practice facilitator support. Control patients received usual pharmacist care (UC). Chapter 6 details the statistical analysis undertaken using modified Poisson regression. Chapter 7 details the cost utility analysis (CUA) conducted alongside the cRCT. Deterministic and probabilistic sensitivity analysis were performed.

Results: A theoretical model was developed providing structure to self-management in practice (Chapter 1). Chapter 4 presents the community pharmacy MAS model with the following elements: (1) In-pharmacy consultation, (2) treatment protocols on a technology platform (HealthPathways), (3) communication channels between pharmacy and GPs (HealthLink), (4) educational training, and (5) practice change support. Chapter 6 highlights findings from the cRCT. Patients (n=894) were recruited from 30 pharmacies and 82% (n=732) responded to follow up. Patients receiving MAS were 1.5 times more likely to receive an appropriate referral (relative rate (RR)=1.51; 95% confidence interval (CI)=1.07-2.11; p=0.018), and were 5 times more likely to adhere to referral, compared with UC patients (RR=5.08; 95%CI=2.02-12.79; p=0.001). MAS pharmacists were 2.6 times more likely to perform a clinical intervention (RR=2.62, 95%CI=1.28-5.38; p=0.009), compared with UC. MAS patients (94%) achieved symptom resolution or relief at follow up, while this was 88% with UC (RR=1.06; 95%CI=1-1.13; p=0.035). MAS patients had a greater mean difference in EQ-VAS at follow up (4.08; 95%CI=1.23-6.87; p=0.004). No difference in reconsultation was observed (RR=0.98; 95%CI=0.75-1.28; p=0.89). The CUA revealed MAS as cost-effective. MAS patients gained an additional 0.003 QALYs at an incremental cost of AUD \$7.14, compared to UC. The resulting ICER was AUD \$2,277/ QALY. The probabilistic SA revealed ICERs between AUD -\$1,150 and \$5,780/ QALY.

Conclusion: Findings suggest MAS should be implemented within the Australian context. A series of recommendations are made including the development of self-care policy in Australia to provide a policy framework for MAS.

This page is intentionally left blank

Dissemination of Research

The research described within this thesis has been disseminated as follows.

Peer reviewed publications

- 1. **Dineen-Griffin, S**., Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. Helping patients help themselves: a systematic review of self-management support in primary health care. PloS one. 2019;14(8): e0220116.
- Dineen-Griffin, S., Benrimoj, S. I., Williams, K. A. & Garcia-Cardenas, V. Co-design of a minor ailment service: Involving service users and healthcare professionals. BMC Health Services. 2020 (Submitted – Under Review)
- Dineen-Griffin, S., Garcia-Cardenas, V., Rogers, K., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. Evaluation of a collaborative protocolized approach by community pharmacists and general medical practitioners for an Australian Minor Ailments Scheme: Protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. JMIR Res Protoc. 2019;8(8): e13973.
- 4. **Dineen-Griffin, S.**, Benrimoj, S. I., Rogers, K., Williams, K. A. & Garcia-Cardenas, V. A cluster randomized controlled trial evaluating the clinical and humanistic impact of a pharmacist-led minor ailment service. BMJ Qual Saf 2020;0:1–11.
- 5. **Dineen-Griffin, S.**, Williams, K. A., Vargas, C., Benrimoj, S. I., & Garcia-Cardenas, V. Cost utility of a minor ailment service provided in the community pharmacy setting. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation. 2020. (Submitted Under Review)

Reports

- Dineen-Griffin, S., Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. An Australian Minor Ailments Scheme: Evaluation of a collaborative protocolized approach by community pharmacies and general medical practitioners; 2019. ISBN-13: 978-0-646-80883-3.
- 2. **Dineen-Griffin, S**., Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. Evaluation of a collaborative minor ailment service in community pharmacy: Findings from a pilot study in Western Sydney primary health network. 2018.

Conference proceedings

- Dineen-Griffin, S., Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. A Collaborative approach for an Australian Minor Ailments Scheme. What's New in Practice. 79th FIP World Congress of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. Abu Dhabi. 2019. (Oral and Poster Presentation).
- Dineen-Griffin, S., Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. Enhancing selfmanagement support in primary health care: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *FIP Pharmacy Practice Research Symposium* Lisbon, Portugal. 2019. (Oral Presentation).

- Dineen-Griffin, S., Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. Innovative practice: Minor Ailments – triage to treatment. *Pharmaceutical Society of Australia 2018 Conference* Sydney, Australia. 2018. (Oral Presentation – invited speaker).
- 4. **Dineen-Griffin, S.** Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. Innovation of collaborative healthcare models: minor ailment services in community pharmacy. *UTS Annual Research Showcase*. University of Technology Sydney, Australia. 2018. (Oral Presentation invited speaker).
- Dineen-Griffin, S., Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. Evaluation of an integrated model of minor ailment care in the Australian health care system. *Congreso Simpodader Annual Conference*. Granada, Spain. 2018. (Poster Presentation).
- Dineen-Griffin, S. Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. (2017). Session: Primary Health Networks. *Pharmaceutical Society of Australia 2017 Conference*. Sydney, Australia. (Panel Interview – guest panellist).
- 7. **Dineen-Griffin, S**., Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. A model of collaborative primary health care: integrating consumer self-care, community pharmacy and general practice in the management of minor ailments and usage of non-prescription medications. *Pharmaceutical Society of Australia (PSA) Annual Conference*. Sydney, Australia. 2017. (Poster Presentation).
- 8. **Dineen-Griffin, S.** Garcia-Cardenas, V., Williams, K. A. & Benrimoj, S. I. An Australian Minor Ailment Scheme. *Pharmaceutical Society of Australia Annual Seminar*. Western Australia, Australia. 2016. (Oral Presentation – invited speaker).

Acknowledgements

With the deepest gratitude, I wish to thank the following people for their magnificent support and contributions to my journey and in the creation of this thesis. Firstly, a huge thank you to my supervisory team, Emeritus Professor Charlie (Shalom) Benrimoj, Dr Victoria Garcia Cardenas and Professor Kylie Williams from the Graduate School of Health (GSH), University of Technology Sydney (UTS). Your time and dedication to this PhD made it possible and I cannot thank you enough for generously sharing your wisdom, guidance, and belief in me throughout this journey.

Charlie, thank you for your inspirational teachings as my mentor and for guiding my thinking and work profoundly. You are a source of guidance, motivation and knowledge. Your commitment to my development as a researcher has been overwhelming. I am immensely thankful for the opportunities you provided, both nationally and internationally. More importantly, I am thankful for all the laughs. I could not have done this without you!

Victoria, not only are you my supervisor but a colleague and true friend whom I trust and respect. Your passion for research and scientific rigour is challenging, but more importantly constructive and rewarding. I could not have achieved this thesis without your friendship and guidance.

Kylie, thank you for being a stable grounding force and the time spent reading and providing feedback on my work. I value so much the support you provided my thinking and awareness as a researcher. The knowledge and wisdom you have imparted has been a great help. It will remain a contributor behind my success and achievements.

I sincerely owe thanks to my family and friends, many of whom were with me during both exciting and difficult times. My precious husband, John, who is always by my side and whose love and support knows no limits. None of this could have been done without your support. Thank you for joining me on our overseas adventures, giving up sun, surfing and your job, to be with me. I owe a lot to you, working enormously hard supporting and inspiring me throughout. Without your dedication, undertaking this PhD, by no means, would be possible.

To my amazing family, thank you for always challenging me to do more of the things I dreamed about. I can't thank my parents enough for the hard work and courage they themselves have shown to get me to this stage.

Thanks to the HDRs at GSH for bringing welcome distractions. I owe a lot of my fun, beers and sanity to you. Thank you for the constant laughter, coffee time, and being an amazing bunch of friends. I am also grateful to Stephan, Sylvia and Lara. I would like to convey my thanks to Associate Professor Kris Rogers for his help and guidance with the statistical design and analysis. I'd like to thank Constanza Vargas from the Centre for Health Economics Research and Evaluation for her guidance with the economic component in this research.

Lastly, many thanks to those who participated in this research and for being generous to commit their time. This research would not have been possible without their cooperation and effort in providing their time and commitment. This PhD was funded by a UTS Doctoral Scholarship, comprising an Australian Government Research Training Scholarship, plus a "top-up" funded by the Graduate School of Health (UTS). The studies presented in this thesis were funded by UTS, the Pharmaceutical Society of Australia (PSA) and Consumer Healthcare Products Australia.

Preface

This thesis is presented in fulfilment of the doctoral requirements for UTS. The thesis is structured as a PhD by compilation. Eight chapters are presented throughout the thesis, comprising a coherent suite of published works where copies of peer reviewed publications form chapters of the manuscript. To meet journal requirements for manuscript submission spelling varies between US English and British English. Sarah M Dineen-Griffin is the primary author of each publication. Coauthors include supervisors and collaborators who contributed to the concept, design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, and revision of manuscripts.

Chapter 1 describes a systematic review of published literature (1). The review was undertaken as part of the early exploratory work to capture the breadth of literature around self-management support interventions to identify and describe the main components of self-management support from a large body of published literature. Early insights from the review suggested a dearth of published evidence relating to self-management in community pharmacy. It was then decided to expand the review to include published literature from other primary care disciplines. The findings captured and synthesized the overarching components of self-management into a theoretical model. The model consists of a oneon-one consultation with a health care professional, such as a community pharmacist. The preliminary work contributed to understanding and investigating how self-management services could be practised in community pharmacy.

Chapter 2 presents the contextual background information of this research by examining self-care and self-care models in community pharmacy. The role of the community pharmacist in relation to self-care and minor ailment services is described. The chapter concludes by highlighting the gaps and opportunities in practice and international literature detailing the premise for undertaking the research.

Chapter 3 provides the aim and objectives of individual studies within the thesis and provides a description of the methodological approach to meet objectives. A detailed description of methods is presented under the relevant chapters of this thesis.

Chapters 4-7 discusses the empirical studies undertaken, each addressing specific objectives. Chapter 4 details a qualitative study undertaken with the aim of co-designing a MAS relevant to Australian community pharmacy (2). The co-design process involved an initial focus group with stakeholders to agree on service model elements and semi-structured interviews with community pharmacists during feasibility testing of the service. Chapter 5 details the protocol for a cRCT to evaluate the clinical, humanistic and economic impact of the community pharmacist delivered MAS (developed in chapter 4) compared to UC, in the Australian setting (3). Chapter 6 describes the clinical and humanistic evaluation results obtained from the cRCT (4). Chapter 7 details the results of the economic evaluation undertaken alongside the cRCT (5).

Chapter 8 discusses the overall research. The chapter focuses on describing how the research methods addressed the overall objectives and discusses contributions to existing knowledge in community pharmacy and the wider literature. The chapter reflects on the overall strengths and limitations of the research, describes the implications of the research findings and areas for future research. The chapter concludes by drawing conclusions from the overall research and provides recommendations for practice and policy.

Appendices provided at the end of this thesis include copies of ethics approval, a summary of abbreviations, and a declaration outlining authors contributions to co-authored papers.

Table of Contents

Abstract	v
Dissemination of Research	vii
Acknowledgements	ix
Preface	xi
Table of Contents	xiii
List of tables	xv
List of figures	xvi
Chapter 1: Self-management	1
Self-management	
Self-management support in pharmacy	
Systematic review	
Chapter 2: Self-care in pharmacy	
Self-care	
Self-medication	
International policy response	
International minor ailment initiatives	
Policy context in Australia	
Contextualizing in Australia	
Rationale for an Australian minor ailments service	
Chapter 3: Objectives and research overview	43
Chapter 4: Service co-design	
Abstract	
Introduction	
Methods	
Results	
Discussion	
Conclusion	
References	
Chapter 5: Research protocol	
Chapter 6: Clinical evaluation	
Abstract	
Introduction	
Methods	
Results	
Discussion	
Conclusion	
References	
Chapter 7: Economic evaluation	
Abstract	
Introduction	
Methods	
Results	
Discussion	
Conclusion	
References	128

Chapter 8: Overall discussion, conclusions and recommendations	131
Research summary	132
Methodological reflections and limitations	135
Implications for policy and practice	136
Conclusion and recommendations	138
Bibliography	143
Appendices	
List of abbreviations	154
Author's contributions	156
List of appendices	158

List of tables

Table 1 Classification of self-management support studies by condition	10
Table 2 Evidence of SMS interventions on desired outcomes	11
Table 3 Frequency of self-management components of included interventions	15
Table 4 RCTs showing positive findings for all outcome measures	16
Table 1 Structure of HealthPathways	58
Table 2 Implementation factors influencing MAS delivery during the pilot testing phase	61
Table 1 Study outcomes	93
Table 2 Baseline patient characteristics	96
Table 3 Comparison of outcome measures	98
Table 4 Reconsultation by health care provider	100
Table 5 Mean difference in EuroQoL EQ-5D VAS	101
Table 1 Key components of the economic evaluation	114
Table 2 Cost utility and cost effectiveness effect outcomes	116
Table 3 Summary of identified health resources and cost estimates	118
Table 4 Estimated mean costs for each cost category	120
Table 5 Incremental analysis	120
Table 6 Results of the CEA	

List of figures

Figure 1 PRISMA diagram of search results and screening	9
Figure 2 Risk of bias graph	20
Figure 3 Elements for a practical approach for HCPs in supporting face-to-face SMS multi-compor	nent
strategies, individually tailored for patients in primary care. Modelled on the definition of self-	
management interventions by Jonkman et al. 2016	22
Figure 1 Modifying health service utilisation through investment in self-care	39
Figure 1 Flow chart of study Work Streams and methods	45
Figure 1 Co-designed MAS model	57
Figure 1 CONSORT 2010 flow diagram	95
Figure 1 Decision tree model structure	115
Figure 2 ICER tornado diagram for multiple one-way SA	122
Figure 3 Cost effectiveness plane for MAS over UC	123
Figure 4 Cost effectiveness acceptability curve showing the probability of MAS being cost-effective	e at
different willingness-to-pay thresholds	124