

# **FACEBOOK AS A META- IDEOLOGICAL APPARATUS**

REASSESSING THE ENCODING/DECODING  
MODEL IN THE CONTEXT OF SOCIAL MEDIA

Marie Palmer

A Thesis submitted in fulfilment of requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

For the School of Communication

Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences

University of Technology Sydney

March 2020

# Certificate of Authorship

---

I certify that the work presented in this dissertation has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged in the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program.

Production Note:  
Signature removed prior to publication.

This work is dedicated to my dad, Hervé-Luc Palmer and to my “Podri”, Marcos Palmer Alemany, who both would be very proud to see that, in two generations, the three years of elementary school done by my grand-father allowed me to be a doctor.

# Acknowledgements

---

This thesis is the product of multiple encounters. Among them, I want to acknowledge first the support of Dr. Marie Manidis, who ‘rescued’ this PhD project when I was about to drop it and introduced me to Dr. Terry D. Royce. Terry accepted to supervise this project and the challenge to complete it in two years and a half. He was the right ‘match’ for it, and provided an amazingly supportive, positive and generous supervision. I also want to thank my alternate supervisor, Dr. Catriona Bonfiglioli, who anticipated and avoided a ‘data-drowning’ and rightfully canalised my ambitions in terms of data collection.

I also received academic support from Prof. Bruce Mutsvairo who suggested to go back to Aristotle (What a great idea!), Prof. Peter Fray who provided multiple journalistic ideas for procrastinating, Dr. James Meese for his pertinent feedback, Racheal Laugery who provided guidance regarding how to conduct an ethical research project, Dr. Sue Hood for her linguistics classes, my friend Dr. Benjamin Hanckel, and the assistance provided the accredited editor Dr. Terry Fitzgerald.

This project would not have been possible without the participants who volunteered their time to share their decoding practices and the administrators of the Facebook groups who permitted me to advertise my research. I also want to thank Dr. Thierry Tirbois from Paris-Sorbonne university who accepted being the local contact person in case the participants would have ethical concerns regarding this research.

A big thank also to my friends and family. Among them, my dear friend Charles who proof-read my thesis twice, the “Happy Moldova” utopian community, Dr. Solène Hameau who was always available to share a ‘pot de rillettes’ to avoid homesickness, my flatmate Jeff who stressed more than I did about my PhD and finished in the hospital for tachycardia, my sweet mummy, my brother ‘Ol’, my successive desk-mates Dr. Jiandong Chen (who taught me to eat with chopsticks, a vital skill to survive in Australia), and Dr. Lauren Aimée Curtis. Finally, nothing of this would have been possible without the Krav Maga Direct Institute team who taught me how to properly defend myself against my evil PhD thesis.

# Table of Contents

---

---

<b>CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>PART I .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>23</b>
1.1 BACKGROUND – NEWS AND SOCIAL MEDIA.....	23
1.1.1 How social media become a major gateway to news .....	23
1.1.2 Scholarship on the emergence of social media as a key actor of news circulation.....	27
1.2 GENESIS OF THE STUDY – CIRCULATION AND MEANING-MAKING .....	29
1.2.1 Understanding the concept of “augmented commodity” .....	29
1.2.2 Hall’s Encoding/Decoding model in the context of social media .....	30
1.3 AIM, SCOPE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY .....	32
1.4 OVERVIEW OF THE DISSERTATION.....	34

<b>CHAPTER 2 – FACEBOOK'S ROLE IN NEWS CIRCULATION AND MEANING CREATION: A REVIEW .....</b>	<b>38</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	38
2.2 NEWS, NEWSMAKING, AND “SYMBOLIC POWER” .....	39
2.2.1 News and newsmaking.....	40
2.2.2 News as a meaning maker.....	40
2.2.3 News and reception by the audience.....	41
2.3 ENCODING AND DECODING NEWS .....	42
2.3.1 Reconciling media effects studies and audience studies: the Encoding/decoding model.....	43
2.3.2 Decoding, “misunderstanding” and the “struggle over the meanings” .....	46
2.3.3 The limits of the Encoding/Decoding model .....	47
2.4 CIRCULATION ON SOCIAL MEDIA .....	48
2.4.1 Facebook as a complex networked environment .....	51
2.4.2 Inputs hosted on Facebook .....	53
2.4.3 Content retrieval on Facebook via algorithms .....	54
2.5 PERSONALISED CIRCULATION AND MEANING CREATION .....	55
2.5.1 Defining algorithms and technological frames.....	55
2.5.2 The hermeneutical aspect of algorithmic circulation.....	56
2.5.3 What criteria does Facebook use to “assign meaningfulness”?.....	58

2.6 INTRODUCING A CIRCULATION MOMENT WITHIN THE ENCODING/DECODING SEQUENCE.....	62
2.6.1 Articulating the encoding moment and the circulation moment.....	62
2.6.2 How the circulation moment can affect the decoding moment.....	64
2.6.3 Modelling Encoding/Decoding in the context of Facebook .....	65
2.7 CONCLUSION.....	66
<b>CHAPTER 3 - A QUALITATIVE RESEARCH DESIGN TO REASSESS THE ENCODING/DECODING MODEL.....</b>	<b>68</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	68
3.2 RESEARCH APPROACH .....	69
3.2.1 Theoretical perspective and epistemology .....	71
3.2.2 Methodologies .....	72
3.2.3 Research methods.....	73
3.3 RESEARCH DESIGN: PARTICIPANTS' RECRUITMENT .....	79
3.3.1 Sampling strategy.....	79
3.3.2 Ethical concerns regarding participation.....	84
3.4 DATA COLLECTION .....	85
3.4.1 Recruitment of participants .....	85
3.4.2 Conducting interviews.....	87
3.5 DATA ANALYSIS.....	89

3.5.1 Preparing data for analysis.....	89
3.5.2 Data analysis.....	95
3.6 CONCLUSION.....	106
<b>PART II .....</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>PART II - INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4 - ANALYSING CIRCULATION AND MEANING-MAKING.....</b>	<b>110</b>
4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	110
4.2 BREAKING DOWN THE NEWSFEED AS A UNIT OF MEANING .....	110
4.2.1 The newsfeed as horizontal intertextuality.....	111
4.2.2 The newsfeed as an intertextual contextualisation .....	139
4.3 BREAKING DOWN THE NEWS POST AS A UNIT OF MEANING .....	141
4.3.1 The news post as a complex ensemble of paratexts.....	141
4.3.2 The news post as a “context of situation”.....	157
4.3.3 The news post and weakness of the transition.....	167
4.4 CONCLUSION.....	171
<b>CHAPTER 5 - UNDERSTANDING FACEBOOK’S ALGORITHMIC RHETORIC.....</b>	<b>172</b>
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	172
5.2 THE ALGORITHMIC RHETORIC APPROACH .....	173



5.2.1 Defining Aristotelian rhetoric and adapting it to algorithms .....	173
5.2.2 Why use the algorithmic rhetoric approach.....	176
5.3 APPROACHING THE LOGOS: FROM CONTEXTUAL MEANING TO A 'QUATERNARY' TEXT .....	178
5.3.1 Contextualised logos and reduced polysemy.....	178
5.3.2 From heteroglossia to a 'quaternary' text.....	182
5.4 UNDERSTANDING THE ALGORITHMIC RHETORIC .....	186
5.4.1 Approaching ethos and pathos .....	186
5.4.2 Algorithmic rhetoric as 'Circulation encoding' .....	194
5.5 CONCLUSION.....	198
<b><u>PART III .....</u></b>	<b><u>200</u></b>
<b>PART III – INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>CHAPTER 6 - ANALYSING THE HERMENEUTICAL SEQUENCE OF DECODING .....</b>	<b>204</b>
6.1 INTRODUCTION.....	204
6.2 EXPLAINING THE APPROACH TO THE HERMENEUTICAL PROCESS .....	205
6.3 PROCESS 1: UNMARKEDNESS APPRAISAL .....	209
6.3.1 Attentiveness as a prerequisite to decoding.....	209
6.3.2 Appraising unmarkedness as the first process of decoding .....	212
6.4. PROCESS 2: COMPREHENDING THE MESSAGE.....	220

6.4.1 When the news post provided a consonant and complete meaning.....	221
6.4.2 When the news post provided a dissonant and/or incomplete message .....	225
6.5 PROCESS 3: IDENTIFYING RELEVANT CONTENT .....	229
6.5.1 Analysing Relevance .....	230
6.5.2 The factors impacting the identification of relevance .....	236
6.6 PROCESS 4: TRIGGERING POST DECODING RESPONSES .....	238
6.6.1 The specificities of post-decoding responses on Facebook .....	239
6.6.2 Observing post-decoding responses after relevance .....	243
<b>CHAPTER 7 - UNDERSTANDING THE ENCODING/DECODING CIRCUIT .....</b>	<b>259</b>
7.1. INTRODUCTION.....	259
7.2 ASSESSING THE EFFECT OF CIRCULATION ON DECODING.....	260
7.2.1 Hyper-decoding as evaluating the algorithm’s content selection.....	260
7.2.2 Post-decoding responses as a systematised struggle over the meaning .....	266
7.2.3 The impact on circulation on decoding .....	268
7.3 THE CIRCULARITY OF THE ENCODING/DECODING PROCESS ON FACEBOOK.....	271
7.3.1 Defining the decoding moment as prosumption decoding/encoding.....	272
7.3.2 Modelling the Encoding/Decoding circuit on Facebook.....	277
7.4 CONCLUSION .....	282

<b>CHAPTER 8 – CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>284</b>
8.1 CONCLUDING SUMMARY .....	284
8.2 DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH .....	291
8.3 APPLICATIONS OF THIS STUDY .....	293
8.4 FINAL COMMENTS .....	293
<b>LIST OF REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>295</b>
<b>APPENDIX A – USAS TAGSET (RAYSON, 2008) .....</b>	<b>311</b>
<b>APPENDIX B – ADVERTISING THE RESEARCH ON FACEBOOK .....</b>	<b>312</b>
<b>APPENDIX C - SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS ORIENTATIVE GUIDELINE IN FRENCH AND ITS TRANSLATION TO ENGLISH .....</b>	<b>314</b>
<b>APPENDIX D - NEWS POSTS TRANSLATION.....</b>	<b>321</b>

# List of Figures

---

---

Figure 1.1. Facebook as a key actor of the news ecology over the years, adapted from the Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism. ....	26
Figure 2.1. The Encoding/Decoding process. Extract from Stuart Hall, Encoding and decoding in the television discourse (Hall, 1980b).....	44
Figure 2.2. Introducing circulation on Facebook within the original Encoding/Decoding model (Hall 1980b).....	50
Figure 2.3. Visualisation realised on the grounds of Michael De Vito's (2016) list of criteria via the software Tableau.....	61
Figure 3.1. Mapping of the research approach (Crotty, 1998).....	70
Figure 3.2. Sampling strategy – From a large study population to a reduced purposive sampling.....	81
Figure 3.3. Example of textual element selected for automated semantic tagging via USAS. ....	97
Figure 3.4. Example of USAS semantic tagging with textual elements.....	98
Figure 3.5. Example of image manually described and automatically coded with USAS...100	
Figure 3.6. Example of manual description of a picture processed via USAS.....	101
Figure 3.7. Example of coding output generated by USAS from the text extracted from Figure 3.3. ....	103
Figure 3.8. Example of transferring USAS coding output in MAXQDA to observe potential layouts. ....	104

Figure 4.1. Intertextuality criteria applied by Facebook newsfeed-generating algorithm to select news for its users. ....	113
Figure 4.2. Identified categories of network intertextual links.....	116
Figure 4.3. Relevance scale of codes according to the number of code occurrences in LP'S newsfeed.....	122
Figure 4.4. Relevance scale of codes according to the number of occurrences pondered by number of news posts in which each label appears. ....	124
Figure 4.5. News posts selected for LP due to a possible tagging mistake (from Le Monde). ....	128
Figure 4.6. News posts selected for LP due to a possible tagging mistake (from Rue89)..	129
Figure 4.7. News posts with protest pictures which appeared in LP's newsfeed (from Mediapart).....	131
Figure 4.8. News posts with protest pictures which appeared in LP's newsfeed (from Le Monde).....	132
Figure 4.9. News posts with protest pictures which appeared in LP's newsfeed (from Slate.fr). ....	133
Figure 4.10. Example of news post received by LP with very long and descriptive formal texts (from Mediapart.fr).....	134
Figure 4.11. Example of traditional journalistic binary construction of argument (from Slate.fr). ....	135
Figure 4.12. Example of binary construction with an opposition in the post status (from LeMonde.fr).....	136

Figure 4.13. Example of formal but succinct news post received by ML (from Le Parisien). .....	137
Figure 4.14. Example of informal language used in news post (from Cheek Magazine). ..	138
Figure 4.15. Example of news posts received by AC with informal address to the reader via direct informal discourse and the use of emojis (from Nova).....	139
Figure 4.16. Example of news post composition with peritexts and epitexts (from The New York Times).....	143
Figure 4.17. Screenshot of the reference text linked to the news post presented in Figure 4.15: the original news article from The New York Times website. ....	144
Figure 4.18. Example of a news post whose referent text is ‘external’ and without signalising paratext materialising its existence (from Le Monde).....	146
Figure 4.19. Example of a news post where the hyperlink towards the referent news content is materialised by its address (from L’Equipe).....	147
Figure 4.20. Example of a news post where the existence of a hyperlink towards its referent news content is materialised by a rhetorical process (from L’Orient XXI). ....	148
Figure 4.21. Example of a news post where the link to an ‘external’ referent text is signalised by an emoji (from Slate.fr). ....	149
Figure 4.22. Example of a news post in which the referent news content is ‘internal’ under the form of an embedded video (from Franceinfo vidéos). ....	150
Figure 4.23. Example of the signalisation of the temporal situation of the paratext (from The New York Times).....	151
Figure 4.24. The metafunctions of paratexts in Facebook news posts. ....	160

Figure 4.25. The spatial composition and dimensions of the visual space in Facebook news posts (Kress & Van Leeuwen, 2006) (Example of a news post from Le Monde). ....	167
Figure 5.1. The Aristotelian rhetoric applied to the circulation of news offer on Facebook newsfeed.....	175
Figure 5.2. Context of culture and context of situation (Matthiessen, 1993, p. 272). ....	187
Figure 5.3. Adaptation of Matthiessen's (1992) Situation type and register modelling to predicted instantiation of meaning on Facebook. ....	189
Figure 5.4. Facebook's algorithmic rhetoric triangle. ....	193
Figure 6.1. Mapping of decoding as a set of processes inspired from Morley (1992).....	206
Figure 6.2. The three sequential steps of decoding in the context of mass media. ....	206
Figure 6.3. Focusing on the hypothetical Step 1 of decoding as a set of processes (Morley, 1992) .....	210
Figure 6.4. Appraisal of unmarkedness as the first step of the decoding process on Facebook newsfeed. ....	212
Figure 6.5. L'Equipe news post that appeared in AB's newsfeed during the guided tour (from L'Equipe). ....	215
Figure 6.6. Example of unmarked news post received by AC (from Cheek Magazine).....	216
Figure 6.7. BBC news that appeared on LP's during the guided tour (from BBC News)..	219
Figure 6.8. Observing the process of Comprehension of the message during Decoding..	221
Figure 6.9. An example of a news post with few aligned cues easily comprehended by MI (from Libération). ....	223

Figure 6.10. Example of a news post easily comprehended by AB during the guided tour (from L'Equipe).....	224
Figure 6.11. News post easily comprehended by MA because he had already heard about the news (from Konbini).....	225
Figure 6.12. News post missing some cues to be comprehended properly by AB (from Onze Mondial).....	226
Figure 6.13. A news post who needed the additional information provided by the hyperlinked news content in order to be interpreted by AB (from Temps Additionel). .....	228
Figure 6.14. A news post that generated a cognitive dissonance with the participant's previous knowledge (from Onze Mondial).....	229
Figure 6.15. Focusing on the recognition of relevance (Morley, 1992).....	230
Figure 6.16. A news post identified by AC's as combining the three forms of relevance (from France Culture).....	233
Figure 6.17. A news post identified as combinind the three forms of relevance by LP's (from Le Monde).....	234
Figure 6.18. News posts containing several cues to evaluate interpretative relevance for AC (from Konbini).....	235
Figure 6.19. Observing post-decoding responses.....	239
Figure 6.20. Overview of the different post-decoding possibles triggered at each stage of decoding.....	241
Figure 6.21. The interpretative relevance identified by AC was not enough to make her open the hyperlink (from Brain magazine).....	246



Figure 6.22. News post identified as relevant by MI (from Ebdo).....	247
Figure 6.23. News post combining interpretational and motivational relevance for MI (from Loopsider).....	249
Figure 6.24. News post combining motivational and interpretational relevance for MI (from Midi Libre).....	250
Figure 6.25. News post who triggered an opening of the hyperlink by AB (from So Foot) .....	253
Figure 6.26. News post that triggered the reading of the referent news article by ML (from Le Parisien) .....	254
Figure 6.27. News post in which ML tagged a friend and commented without opening the hyperlink (from Konbini).....	256
Figure 6.28. News post liked by ML without reading the content of the hyperlink (from Le Dauphiné libéré) .....	257
Figure 7.1. Hyper-decoding sequence in the context of Facebook's newsfeed .....	262
Figure 7.2. Prosumption decoding/encoding in the context of Facebook.....	273
Figure 7.3. News Decoding/Encoding circuit on Facebook.....	277

## List of Tables

---

---

Table 2.1. How news is circulated on Facebook.....	53
Table 2.2. Hierarchy of the criteria used by Facebook algorithms to customise the content offer on the users' newsfeed according to De Vito (2016) .....	59
Table 3.3. Summary of the data collected during the semi-structured interviews combined with “guided tours”.....	75
Table 3.4. Detail of the database created from the unfolding “guided tour” data regarding the different information.....	92
Table 3.5. Detail of the database regarding the description of participants’ behaviours for each news post during the "guided tour".....	94
Table 4.6. Vertical interparatextuality in Facebook news posts.....	154
Table 4.7. Categorisation of the paratextual elements contained in Facebook news posts	157
Table 4.8. The semiotics of the context of situation (Royce, 1999).....	159
Table 4.9. Observing the link between vertical intertextuality level and metafunctions.....	162
Table 5.1. Comparison between production encoding and circulation encoding. ....	196
Table 6.1. Distribution of the news posts according to their relevance and mode. ....	236
Table 6.2. Classification of the post-decoding responses generated by the participants....	243
Table 6.3. Link between the identified relevance of a news post and the decoding reaction of the participants.....	244

Table 7.1. Comparing audience decoding and produsage decoding/encoding .....275

## Abstract

---

Social media, over the past fifteen years, has become a major gateway to news. The practice of an algorithmically-customised selection of news content has revived critical concerns within the research community regarding the risk of large scale ideology diffusion without appearing to do so. This research aims to tackle those critical concerns, investigating how the circulation of news on social media, via algorithmic customisation, may impact how the users decode news posts, using Facebook as a case study.

A transdisciplinary qualitative approach combining cultural studies and Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL) has been chosen. A series of in-depth interviews with a ‘guided tour’ of Facebook’s newsfeeds was conducted and screen-recorded with a sample of young journalism students. The interview data and the researcher’s observations during the guided tour served to understand decoding practices via a thematic analysis while the content of the newsfeeds was used to observe what the algorithm selected for them, and how meaning was created through circulation. The news posts were coded with an automated semantic tagger, in order to reproduce an algorithmic thinking process, and then a multimodal analysis model was done to investigate any surface patterns of meaning making.

The analysis of the participants’ newsfeed showed that the circulation moment may be compared to a decoding/encoding sequence. The newsfeed-generating algorithm decoded both the news contents posted on the platform and its users’ preferences, and then re-encoded the news posts before re-circulating them to users. During the circulation, no new verbal message is created, but existing ones are aggregated together and contextualised in a certain way, to orientate users’ decoding towards the algorithm’s preferred meaning.

Despite being orientated to decode towards the algorithm’s preferred meaning, users seemed to preserve their decoding autonomy. They appeared to adapt their decoding practices and to interpret the ideological connotations carried by a news posts before comprehending it and identifying its relevance. When appraising unmarkedness, instead of positioning themselves with respect to the algorithm’s code, they evaluated the news post code with respect to their own set of values. Additionally, on Facebook users systematically

encoded a post-decoding response which was automatically transmitted to the algorithm and worked to inform further circulation. Therefore, the circulation of news posts on Facebook's newsfeed was redefined as an encoding/decoding circuit composed of three moments: production encoding/decoding, circulation decoding/encoding, and 'prosumption' decoding/encoding. The larger implications of this redefinition are the characterisation of Facebook as a meta-ideological apparatus, promoting a meta-ideological cultural order that encompasses the cultural order promoted by news producers.